Supplementary Material

Method

Since the total number of keywords/tags produced by the CNN is large (over 11,000), it is not computationally efficient to use all of them to construct a tag dictionary for a specific study due to the extremely low occurrence frequency of some tags. Therefore, we first built a tag dictionary using a large number of images acquired by eButton from free-living individuals. Then we computed a histogram of tags (Fig. A1) and removed those with low occurrence probabilities (e.g., \( p<0.2\% \)) to decrease the computational load.
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Fig.A1. An example of the histogram of the generated tags representing the occurrence frequency of each tag.

Next, we defined a tag dictionary \( D = \{ t_i, i=1,2,\ldots,n \} \), where \( t_i \) represented the \( i \)th tag and \( n \) was the total number of tags in the dictionary. After \( D \) was defined, each image can be represented as a binary vector \( x = [x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n] \), as:

\[
x_i = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } t_i \text{ is one of the image's tags} \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

(A1)

Then we defined another binary vector \( y = [y_1, \ldots, y_i, \ldots, y_n] \) to represent the relatedness of each tag with food objects semantically:

\[
y_i = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } t_i \text{ is related to food object(s)} \\
0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]

(A2)

where the assignment as 1 or 0 was carried out by a two-step training process based on statistics from known training images.
In the first step, we calculate the probability of each tag $t_i$ belonging to a food image by

$$p_i = \frac{N(t_i)_f}{N(t_i)_f + N(t_i)_n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)

where $N(t_i)_f$ and $N(t_i)_n$ denotes the number of occurrence of tag $t_i$ in food and non-food images in the training set, respectively. In the extreme cases, $p_i = 1$ means that tag $t_i$ only occurs in food images while $p_i = 0$ indicates that $t_i$ only occurs in non-food images. Then, we obtained $y$ by setting $y_i = 1$ if $p_i \geq P$, where $P$ is an empirical threshold, say, $P=0.7$. An example illustrating the calculation of $p_i$ for a dictionary containing 20 tags is shown in Fig. A2. In this example, $y=[0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,0]$ when threshold is set to 0.7. It contains seven food-related tags which are “table”, “food”, “container”, “coffee”, “hand”, “kitchenware”, “cooking”.
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Fig. A2. An example showing the occurrence frequency of 20 tags in food images and non-food images(a), and the calculation of their probabilities in food images(b).

In the second step, we measured the similarity of each food-related tag in $y$ with tag “food” in semantic domain. There have been a number of semantic similarity measures in the field of computational linguistics\(^{(1-4)}\). Here we used the Jaccard and Dice measure to define the similarity between tag $t_i$ and tag $t_j$ as follows:

$$\text{Jaccard} = \frac{N(t_i \cap t_j)}{N(t_i) + N(t_j) - N(t_i \cap t_j)}$$

$$\text{Dice} = \frac{2 \ast N(t_i \cap t_j)}{N(t_i) + N(t_j)} , \hspace{1cm} (A4)$$
where $N(t_i)$ and $N(t_j)$ denotes the numbers of occurrences of tag $t_i$ and tag $t_j$, respectively; and $N(t_i \cap t_j)$ denotes the co-occurrences of these two tags. Here $t_j$ is the “food” tag because we need to calculate the relatedness of “food” with all other tags. An example of the semantic measures between several tags and “food” is shown in Fig. A3. It can be seen that, for several tags (e.g., round, sound, candle), its Jaccard or Dice measure is very small, meaning that these tags are not related with food semantically. Thus the elements in $y$ corresponding to those tags are set to 0 to further remove the un-related tags. A threshold $\epsilon=0.05$ determined experimentally is used in this study.
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Because $y_i = 1$ means that tag $t_i$ in the tag dictionary is related with food, we can calculate the total number of food-related tags in each image by computing the inner product of $x$ and $y$, defined as an evidence index:

$$e(x) = x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i \cdot y_i).$$ (A5)

For any image, if $e(x)$ is higher than a threshold $k$, it is classified as a food image. Otherwise, it is a non-food image. If the number of tags generated for each image is not the same, a normalization factor has to be added as:

$$e(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot y_i,$$ (A6)

where $N$ is the number of non-zero elements in $x$.
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