shows how Knighton’s story of the Lollard burning of an image of St Katherine reverberates tellingly with issues raised by the popular legend of the saint, while the way in which an image miracle that features in The arrivall of Edward IV enhanced the political epiphany of the king’s arrival at Daventry is explored by Wendy Scase. Discourse about images in fifteenth-century England is illuminated by Michael Camille in a characteristically stimulating and well-illustrated piece on the figure of idolatry in Guillaume de Deguileville’s Pèlerinage de la vie humaine and the long expansion defending images introduced in Lydgate’s translation. Finally, Brian Cummings’s review of early sixteenth-century controversy enables us to see how competing claims for image and book were involved in the same semiological dilemma; the violent processes of iconoclasm and bibliophobia interacted as well as collided. As the blurb announces, the book moves the topic of the title into ‘wider discursive territories’ and a small sign of its departure from the Wycliffite nexus is the fact that texts in Matthew’s English works of Wyclif are attributed in two chapters respectively to Wyclif and his Lollard followers.

CHIPPING ONGAR, 

MARGARET ASTON

ESSEX
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Research into the life and thought of Nicholas of Cusa (1401–64) – philosopher, theologian, canon lawyer, cardinal and reformer – has experienced resurgence in the United States, thanks especially to the American Cusanus Society whose conferences have led to the publication of this book and two predecessors, the first of their kind in English. The dedication of the current volume to three distinguished Renaissance scholars indicates the wide scope of the society’s appeal: it has attracted more than those who specialise in some particular aspect of the cardinal’s thought, probably because he represents an alternative to late medieval scholasticism and nominalism. At the same time, the editors have invited younger scholars to participate along with those who have established reputations, such as Bernard McGinn, Louis Dupré and Wilhelm Dupré. The present volume contains thirteen essays that cover three general topics. The first section, on context, interprets the subject in a broad sense, and includes two chapters on metaphysical issues: Wilhelm Dupré on spirit and mind in Cusanus and Louis Dupré on the cardinal’s theory of religious symbols. A wider historical context engages Dennis Martin who writes on the Carthusians and late medieval spirituality. Cusanus’ early life as a canon lawyer is not forgotten, however, as is demonstrated in Thomas Morrissey’s article on ‘Canonists in crisis’ during the fifteenth-century reform councils. The second section takes us into still fairly uncharted territory with three articles on Nicholas’s sermons: Lawrence Hundersmarck and Thomas Izbicki on some of the early sermons; Walter Euler on the role of Christ in sermons from the cardinal’s visitation to Brixen; and Clyde Lee Miller on the presence of Eckhart’s thought in a sermon from 1456.
Eckhart and Nicholas are also the subject of a chapter by Elizabeth Brient, while Bernard McGinn broadens the comparison to include other predecessors as well as Eckhart in his comprehensive essay on the motive for the Incarnation. H. Lawrence Bond reflects on the role of the icon and the ‘iconic text’ in Cusanus’ best known work, *The vision of God*, and Brian Pavlac returns to the historical context with a study of Cusanus and the practice of excommunication in Germany during the period. Nicholas of Cusa’s legacy, the subject of the third section, is treated by Yelena Matusevich who traces a continuity of ideas in Jean Gerson, Cusanus and Jacques Lefèvre d’Étapes; and by Morimichi Watanabe, long-time president of the Cusanus Society, who deals with the spiritual legacy from the cardinal’s foundation, the St Nicholas Hospital in Kues. One of the prominent features of the three volumes thus far published is the exhaustive bibliography by Thomas Izbicki who here updates the listing he began in the first volume of all works on Cusanus in English.

LUTHERAN THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY, GETTYSBURG

GERALD CHRISTIANSON

*The exchequer cartulary of Torre Abbey (P.R.O. 164/19).* Edited by Deryck Seymour. Pp. v+571 incl. map. Torquay: Friends of Torre Abbey, 2000. £50 incl. post + packing from The Treasurer, The Friends of Torre Abbey, Torre Abbey, Kings Drive, Torquay TQ2 5JX. 0 9539 673 0 1
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In an age in which successful courtiers sought to advertise their status and to make reparation for their sins by the foundation of new religious houses, William Brewer, scion of a previously undistinguished family of Hampshire foresters, could boast not only a greater advertisement than most, but perhaps greater sins for which to atone. Within a decade or so of 1195 William founded no fewer than three new monasteries: the Premonstratensian abbey of Torre and the Cistercian abbey of Dunkeswell, both in Devon, and the Augustinian priory of Mottisfont in William’s native Hampshire. Torre was the first and the wealthiest of these foundations, although it was at Dunkeswell that William and his wife chose to be buried. Of the two surviving Torre cartularies, Deryck Seymour has chosen to edit only the early fifteenth-century manuscript now in the Public Record Office (PRO, E 164/19), leaving a proper collation between this and the thirteenth-century cartulary preserved at Trinity College, Dublin, to some future scholar. A collation will be needed, since the Dublin manuscript contains not only significantly more than the 320 charters preserved in E 164/19, but earlier and in some cases fuller texts. Seymour’s is none the less a valiant effort by a keen local amateur. His transcriptions and his translations are rarely less than competent. He has made no attempt to search beyond the cartulary for supporting documentary evidence – most of the charters of John and Henry III, presented here with only approximate dates, survive with full witness lists and dating clauses in the chancery charter and patent rolls – whilst his introduction and his textual notes are somewhat naive. Torre, for example, is unlikely to have been Brewer’s birthplace; papal letters dated at Avignon can hardly be attributed to Honorius III, and the count of ‘Mortell’ identified in no. 185 is in reality the future King John, count of Mortain. The edition is expensive, although its binding is so poor as to disintegrate after a single reading. None the less, for making accessible a
valuable collection of documents, including not only royal, papal and episcopal letters, but a wide selection of charters issued by such west country families as Courtenay, Tracy and Pomeroy, Deryck Seymour deserves the very warmest of thanks.

CHRIST CHURCH COLLEGE, 
CANTERBURY
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This volume is the first book-length study of Thomas Hoccleve’s Regiment of princes, and it offers an interesting and detailed reading of the poem, considering its genre, its structure, its audience and its presentation of moral exempla. At the centre of the book Perkins presents an analysis of Hoccleve’s source material, outlining the debt, both in structure and in content, owed by The regiment of princes to Jacobus de Cessolis’s De ludo scaccorum. In examining the changes Hoccleve makes to his source material, particularly the increase in dramatic exchange, Perkins suggests that Hoccleve embeds a dialogic relationship between king and subject in The regiment of princes, and he presents speech, its representation, its interpretation and sometimes its absence, as crucial to his reading of the poem. As a petitionary poem, for instance, The regiment needs to create a sense of personal presence through mimicking speech patterns to persuade the patron to reward and recognise the poet. As a poem of advice, on the other hand, it strives to set universal standards of good governance, and to teach the king how to read the counsel he is given without overt criticism. Both genres seek to encourage a change in behaviour, and thus a response from their intended audience; in both cases, the speaker risks potentially painful rejection by their intended audience. Perkins argues that, as a result, Hoccleve’s speaker is forced into a humble, helpless and dull persona, and he draws on evidence both literary and historical to support his view that such an attitude is neither craven nor proof of Hoccleve’s unproblematic support of Lancastrian kingship. For example, he points to the desire of parliament to speak together in private before addressing the king, as evidence of the real dangers of public speech in Hoccleve’s environment. The careful positioning of the advisory speaker and the difficulties of ensuring right reading by the royal audience are also reflected, Perkins argues, in texts such as Mum and the soothsayer, Confessio amantis and other advisory works. In such contexts, the dullness of the narrator of The regiment facilitates the examination of potentially difficult topics such as the self-governance of the king, the nature of royal power, and royal vulnerability to being reduced to an exemplum, most likely bad, for his successors. The last chapter of the book, which deals with manuscript evidence, stresses the variety of the readings which early audiences applied to The Regiment, and points to further areas of investigation into the early reception and positioning of the text. Altogether, this book makes a valuable contribution to the wider examination of advisory material in the fifteenth century, as well as to our understanding of its central subject, The regiment of princes.

UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM

NICOLA ROYAN
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Oswald de Corda (probably Hertz), often wrongly supposed an Englishman, after taking a degree in arts at Vienna in 1403, was professed at the charterhouse of Nördlingen, Bavaria, and in 1414 transferred to the Grande Chartreuse, where he became vicar (deputy to the prior), and in 1429 first prior of the new foundation at Perth, Scotland, where he died in 1434. This treatise of counsel on the correct or acceptable spelling and pronunciation of Latin liturgical, scriptural and patristic texts copied and used in his order was completed in 1417. In a lengthy English introduction the editor describes the strong Carthusian stress on the copying and use of books and the maintenance of uniformity in these as in other practices. She discusses variations in the degrees of discipline imposed by the order’s statutes and the directives of the General Chapter from the twelfth century onwards, and other Carthusian texts on the same questions. This is the first edition of a work that has been mentioned quite often and sometimes quoted since Paul Lehmann discussed it in 1924. It is based on the two autograph manuscripts which have subsequently been identified, one altered and corrected by the author and another hand, the other a later fair copy by him. In view of the interest of the relationship, and the possibility of finding other work in his hand, one may regret the absence of a specimen photograph of each. Ten other copies are known and have been collated here. All of the extant and recorded copies were from charterhouses or other religious communities in Germany, the Netherlands and Switzerland, a fact which the editor adduces for her argument that the work was aimed particularly at resolving difficulties which may have arisen in that region of different linguistic habits on the reunification of the Carthusian order after the papal schism. No copy is known from England, although some of its advice would have been applicable here. Oswald’s observations (the grammatical sources of which are traced by the editor) are instructive for any student of late medieval manuscripts. The editor has done a very good job in explaining the background and presenting the evidence for the text.

**University of Durham**

A. I. Doyle

---
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This book will be of use and interest to all those working on medieval and early modern religion and gender. Historians will find that Sarah Salih’s discussion offers a survey of the many theories – literary and philosophical – which have been marshalled in the last two decades in the attempt to understand women’s religious practices and writings. Her own idea emerges clearly and orientates this dense area of scholarship: it suggests that ‘virginity’ is best understood as a separate gender besides male and female in medieval thinking: ‘virginity is not a denial or rejection of sexuality, but itself a sexuality’ (p. 10). Seen as a gender – that is a culturally specific understanding of the significance of sexual orientation – it emerges as more complex and thus more historically variant than the statements about virginity by
Tertullian (‘Virgins are still women’ and thus always dangerously desirable). Virgins could range in mood and style, just as the desirable Agnes differed from the character of Katherine, learned, strong and forbidding. After establishing the medieval and modern terms in which virginity has been discussed, Salih turns to examining the historical/textual record of virgin life-style in three frames. She examines the virgin martyr literature contained in the thirteenth-century Katherine Group, then the life of enclosed nuns and, finally, in a very long chapter, the non-virginal virginity of Margery Kempe. When the matron from Lynn donned white garments, some were appalled, but all understood her to be exhibiting her change of life, her abandonment of the marital bed, and her striving, pained, perhaps deluded, but none the less sincere, to live the imitatio Christi. Late medieval England saw a resurgence of interest in virgin martyrs, as witnessed in the English works of Lydgate, Capgrave and Osbern of Bokenham. There was an appreciation of the martyrdom of virgins; the resolve and unshakeable faith which they demonstrated provided inspiring examples even for less heroic Christians. The men and women who commissioned such texts and chose virgin martyrs to adorn the rood-screens of their parishes admired Agnes and Katherine and Margaret, but they also marvelled at SS Edmund and Fremund, and at little boys allegedly martyred by Jews. Sarah Salih’s intelligent decoding of female virginity signals the timeliness of another historical task: the understanding of virginity and chastity in the religious sensibility of men.

Queen Mary College, London

Confessional identity in east-central Europe. Edited by Maria Crăciun, Ovidiu Ghitta and Graeme Murdock. (St Andrews Studies in Reformation History.) Pp. xvii + 207 incl. 5 figs. Aldershot: Ashgate, 2002. £49.50. 0 7546 0320 2
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Standing today in the centre of towns such as Aiud, Cluj or Făgărás and seeing the church spires each surmounted by symbols of their different faiths – Latin and Greek crosses, cockerels and globes – one is aware of the complex religious history of this region. The editors have brought together a fascinating collection of essays that explore the varied religious traditions of east-central Europe. The scope of the volume is more circumscribed than the title suggests (and it would seem than the editors originally intended); the majority of the essays focus on Hungary–Transylvania–Romania. But this does serve to make this a coherent collection of essays and provides the opportunity to learn more about religious coexistence to an extent which would have bewildered coreligionists in the west. This went beyond just the sharing of church buildings by different faiths. In Romania it saw the Orthodox Church placed within the institutional structure of the Reformed Church. Their metropolitan was subject to the approval and authority of the Reformed superintendent, who also carried out inspections of their churches.

An overview of the religious history and diversity of the region is provided in the editors’ introduction. It is a succinct account which is particularly useful for those unfamiliar with the political fragmentation and the course of the Reformation in eastern Europe. It sets out the legal privileges and environment that determined the religious choices made during the early modern period. The introduction
emphasises the key theme of this volume – confessional identity. One of the means by which this identity could be established was through the use of catechisms, a form of religious literature that was particularly popular and readily accessible with the advent of printing. The Reformers saw them as an essential tool in inculcating their beliefs, both through the pulpit and in the home.

Catechisms therefore provided one of the key means by which confessional identity could be established in east-central Europe. One of the earliest catechisms is discussed by Thomas Fudge in ‘Luther and the “Hussite” catechism of 1522’. Education had been an important element in the Hussite movement and although an actual catechism was not produced until the beginning of the sixteenth century, it drew upon questions and answers about their faith deriving from texts from before 1414. The publication of the catechism in 1522 represented an institutionalisation of the movement and provided a statement of their beliefs in the context of the more radical Lutheran agenda. The number and variety of catechisms that circulated in this part of Europe is examined by Krista Zach in ‘Protestant vernacular catechisms and religious reform in sixteenth-century east-central Europe’. Reformers from the region, who had studied at the German and Swiss universities, returned to write and translate religious literature for use in their homelands. From the 1540s to the 1580s between twenty-five and thirty different versions of Protestant catechisms were produced in languages of the region, including the very first printed texts in Romanian, Slovene, Croat and Slovak and one of the earliest in Hungarian. Zach points out in her conclusion that some of these catechisms are held to be important in some areas more for their linguistic contribution than for their religious impact. The effectiveness of catechetical teaching is examined closely in Graeme Murdock’s informative essay on ‘Calvinist catechizing and Hungarian Reformed identity’. Learning the correct responses to catechetical questions and scriptural passages raised concern about rote learning without a detailed understanding of what was being said and the possible superstitious overtones of incantations. The catechisms were therefore reinforced by further religious questioning and examination to ensure that the essentials of belief were understood by the faithful and as a test against the spread of heretical beliefs.

Murdock touches on the use of catechisms translated into Romanian as a means of proselytisation. These catechisms have in the past been seen mainly in terms of their literary significance, and considerable doubts have been expressed about the actual existence of a Romanian Reformed community. This is the subject of an important essay by Maria Crăciun, which considers the importance of these catechisms in spreading Protestant ideas but is placed in the context of a fascinating study of Calvinist attempts to reform the Romanian Orthodox Church and the emergence of a Romanian Reformed Church. Another interesting case study is provided in Carmen Florea’s ‘Shaping Transylvanian anti-Trinitarian identity in an urban context’, which looks at the community in Cluj where the Church’s superintendent was also often the plebanus or chief minister. The Greek Catholic Churches are the subject of two articles: Ovidiu Ghitta studies the catechisms of these Churches in Hungary and Transylvania while Pompiliu Teodor provides us with a survey of the Transylvanian Church. In this complex and varied religious environment it is often possible to overlook the place of the Catholic Church in Transylvania which without leadership became a field of missionary activity for Rome. Two essays examine this subject, Csilla Gabor looking at devotional literature while Joachim Bahlcke looks at the political history of the Church in the early modern period. It is the
divided attitude of the Catholic Church towards the Jews which is discussed in Judith Kalik’s essay on eighteenth-century Poland.

This collection of essays sheds important light on the varied religious faiths of eastern Europe in the early modern period. While some might consider that there is an over-concentration on Hungary–Transylvania–Romania, the essays provide important insights into the impact of the Reformation in this region. This is a coherent collection; its emphasis on catechisms demonstrates how they provided the means by which confessional identity could be established amongst members of the Church themselves as well as a means of proselytisation. It is therefore not only of interest to those studying the religious history of eastern Europe but more widely as a case study of the effectiveness and means by which confessional identities could be established.

University of Exeter

Andrew Spicer
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In this work James Veazie Skalnik seeks to set the life and thought of Pierre de la Ramée (Peter Ramus) in the context of sixteenth-century France. Skalnik argues persuasively that Ramus’ various ideas for change in education, the Reformed Church and politics ended in failure because by the time Ramus articulated his views, the crest of the wave of openness in French society had passed. Instead, Ramus ran into the increasingly rigid beginnings of ancien régime France. Skalnik’s analysis of Ramus’ view of the ideal form of government in Church and State is particularly revealing. Skalnik clarifies effectively that Ramus supported the idea of a political meritocracy, led by the learned, yet given his largely stormy relations with his academic colleagues, it seems difficult to see how his ideas would have worked out in practice. The strength of this work lies in its awareness of the importance of the historical context of sixteenth-century France in assessing Ramus’ thought and its impact. The more general question the book raises is one of approach. Can one, through the study of one major figure, albeit set in his or her historical context, draw meaningful conclusions about the society itself at the time? Skalnik’s argument that Ramus epitomised the men whose ideas no longer fit the world of their day is credible. Yet to use Ramus’ largely unhappy career outcomes as a prism through which to analyse early modern society is a larger and more debatable step. Did Ramus encounter problems because French society was increasingly hierarchical and rigid, or because his contentious approach meant that he made enemies too easily? Can one gain a better understanding of a society through the study of a man as unusual as Ramus?

One area that remains unexplained in any detail is the reasons for Ramus’ popularity in certain quarters, especially in England. Skalnik suggests that this was due to a more democratic, merit-oriented spirit, especially among the Puritans. Yet one would need more evidence to be convinced that the English warmed to more than the Ramist method of laying out topics. A complete bibliography of secondary sources used in the book would also be helpful to readers.
Overall, this work is to be commended for providing an effective and highly readable account of Ramus in his early modern context. It may also generate helpful discussions among scholars as to whether Ramus’ career serves as a good vehicle for the analysis of major transformations in early modern France.

H. Henry Meeter Center, Grand Rapids

Karin Maag
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The author is a specialist in both religious and legal history at Emory University in Atlanta, Georgia, and he has written an excellent introduction to a controversial and little-understood subject. He demonstrates how Luther’s well-known attacks on the medieval canon law have to be weighed against his own pragmatic retraction, as it became clear that the Reformation was in danger of promoting lawlessness in the name of evangelical freedom. Witte pays special attention to the vexed questions of matrimony and education, but he also shows how the Lutherans gradually worked out a new synthesis between the pre-Reformation canon law and the demands of Luther’s theology. Much of what he says can be applied to the English situation, but it is instructive to realise that there were also important differences between England and Protestant Germany, most obviously in the way in which civil and canon law merged into one another in the later sixteenth century.

Witte is unusual in that he gives equal weight and importance to both law and theology, and it is this happy combination which makes his book so valuable. He also discusses the effects of legal reforms made before the Reformation, and interprets the early Lutherans in the light of a wider and more on-going process of reform than is usually the case. He is particularly careful to avoid either magnifying Lutheran achievements or disparaging them, recognising as he does that there were both advantages and disadvantages in what was achieved nearly five hundred years ago. But whether we are for or against them, Luther’s reforms continue to exercise an extraordinary influence on the modern world, as Witte points out in his concluding chapter.

The book is well researched and carefully documented. Those who know and appreciate Richard Helmholz’s work on English ecclesiastical law will be delighted with this volume, which covers much the same ground for Germany. Perhaps best of all, Witte does not assume that his readers have as much legal knowledge as he has, and he is always careful to explain both terminology and legal structures, with a non-specialist audience clearly in mind. Reformation scholars of all kinds will find this a most stimulating and rewarding study, for which the author is to be thanked and congratulated.

Beeson Divinity School, Sanford University

Gerald Bray
This volume of essays continues, with great success, Patrick Collinson’s quest to ‘discover what the Reformation did for the towns and what the towns did for the Reformation’. ‘Part of the aim of this book’, concludes Craig, ‘has been to demonstrate some of the ways in which townsmen and women were acting both as mediators and definers of the Crown’s policy of reformation.’ In pursuit of this aim he presents case studies of the interweaving of religion, politics and social attitudes in four East Anglian market towns: Mildenhall, Bury St Edmunds, Thetford and Hadleigh. In so doing he shows that in the course of moulding their own version of parish Protestantism each of these towns has a different story to tell. In Mildenhall the churchwardens hold centre stage as mediators between the authority of a national Church and the attitudes of their parishioners. The upshot appears to have been a mixture of co-operation with, and parochial assertiveness against, episcopal authority. Craig’s study of Bury St Edmunds (which, together with its seven valuable appendices, occupies almost half the text) presents a definitive version of the emergence of full-blooded Protestantism among the ‘middling sort’ which culminated in a successful struggle with conservative elements in the town for political and social control over the community. The case of Thetford stands this story on its head. Here, too, there were radicals and conservatives in religion, but conflict within the town was first and foremost about ‘office-holding and the use and misuse of power’. The townsmen did not divide along the religious ‘fault line’ albeit the latter contributed polemical overtones to what was essentially a secular power struggle. Hadleigh was the joker in the pack. Here, despite the ministry of notable reformers down to 1554, under Elizabeth ‘it developed no reputation for puritanism’. Inevitably one of Craig’s principal concerns has been to gain some sense of the ‘religious life of the common sort of people’. To great effect he has buttressed the growing body of opinion that in East Anglian towns the ‘middling sort’ played a crucial part in the development of Protestantism. He has also made a serious attempt to discover the mind-set of the poorer sorts. On this last point this juror is abstaining from judgement, even though Craig’s sensitive use of churchwardens’ accounts presents a challenging agenda which may call for close co-operation between historians and anthropologists. Although the principal chapters of this book are revised versions of previously published essays, two well-argued introductory chapters ensure that the whole is greater than the sum of the parts. Here and there some awkward passages occur (probably the result of hasty proof reading), but generally both narrative and argument is clearly presented. That this is an important book for ecclesiastical historians and students of the Reformation hardly needs saying, but it is also a ‘must’ for urban, local and cultural historians and it presents a model for those who may attempt similar studies for rural parishes.

A. HASSELL SMITH
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These volumes are the latest in a series, begun under the auspices of the Academy of Sciences of the former German Democratic Republic and now continued by the Martin-Luther University of Halle-Wittenberg, of edited selections of pamphlets of the German Reformation. Laube and his collaborators have produced another very useful tool for both teaching and research. Some fifty-nine works are presented here (though many have been filleted) by more than twenty-five different writers, ranging from the famous (King Henry VIII in German) to the now largely forgotten (Bachmann, Oberwalt, Vattlin). Each pamphlet is furnished with a note on its printing history and historical context, with footnotes explaining words and word-forms unfamiliar to modern German readers, and with explanatory endnotes. The principles of selection remain idiosyncratic, betraying perhaps the ideological origins of the series: pamphlets in Latin are considered elite and therefore not real pamphlets, while the many anonymous and pseudonymous efforts are air-brushed out of the picture. None the less, the selection gives an accurate impression of this stage of Catholic anti-Reformation literary production. By 1525 conservative writers had recovered from the initial shock and were now capable of mounting a coherent and co-ordinated counter-punch. They were helped in this by the emergence of conflict both between Protestants (for example pamphlet no. 52, on the Marburg colloquy) and supposedly within the same individual (for example nos 47–9, Cochlaeus’ famous ‘Sieben Köpfe Martin Luther’ series). Catholics were becoming adept spin-doctors, who could criticise Luther for inciting the peasants to revolt in 1524/5 and then with equal insouciance attack him for his heartless denunciation of their use of force. Theologically, the chief concerns remained as they had been before 1525, though the sacrifice of the mass now took centre-stage. But in this period there was an increasing tendency to attempt to relate the numerous individual Protestant errors to some basic, underlying error, namely the elevation of the authority of Scripture over that of ecclesiastical tradition.

DAVID BAGCHI
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A significant number of Flacius’ contemporaries and subsequent historians of Protestantism alike have found him ‘odious’, as S. R. Maitland remarked in 1837, but he has also recently found powerful advocates (not least Oliver K. Olson, the first part of whose comprehensive and highly sympathetic study of his life appeared in 2002). Martina Hartmann eschews both the dismissive historical tradition, of which she gives a clear account, and the religiously biased writing she detects in some recent historical studies. Her scholarly and painstaking investigation of Flacius’ achievement as a historian of the Middle Ages and discoverer and collector of important...
manuscripts does not disguise his intractability, apologetical energy and single-mindedness in the service of his understanding of Lutheranism. She chronicles his retrieval of manuscripts and his reliance on rich and powerful patrons; she assesses the merits of the *Catalogus testium veritatis* in comparison with those of the *Magdeburg Centuries* which Flacius inspired but left to others to compose, and charts his remarkable knowledge and sense of the medieval period. She acknowledges that he committed errors of attribution and dating, and that his approach to the past is driven by his apologetic need to document both ‘prereformation’ hostility to the papacy and medieval thought on issues such as investiture and clerical celibacy; but she claims persuasively that his range of sources is more extensive and his editorial skills more refined and reliable than those of such rivals as Melanchthon and Peucer, the continuators of the *Chronicon Carionis*. She also demonstrates that his sense of the gaps in his own account (largely in the period before 1000) is more acute, and that his inclusion of Old High German as well as Latin texts in his *Catalogus* places his endeavours in the tradition of *Bibelhumanismus* rather than Renaissance historiography.

Hartmann is at pains to show how in this work he was careful not to fragment sources as the *Magdeburg Centuries* do, and how he adheres there to the single principle of chronology, whereas the *Centuries* (to which, she argues, he contributed less than is generally claimed) have a less coherent structure. Much useful information is given about the book history of Flacius’ works and the history of his acquisition of documents. Hartmann accompanies this dispassionate reassessment with a sophisticated identification of the books and manuscripts he possessed or annotated; an appendix provides the best account available of his library. Also included is an edition of ten unpublished letters relating to the investiture controversy from one of the transcriptions Flacius caused to be made, now preserved in Wolfenbüttel, where the majority of the manuscripts he assembled are to be found. Hartmann’s meticulous archival work in these two appendices extends the same care to Flacius’ work (without the polemical fervour) as he did to medieval letters on ecclesiastical matters and accounts of church councils.

All Souls College, 
Oxford

**Sodomy in early modern Europe.** Edited by Tom Betteridge. (Studies in Early Modern History.) Pp. ix + 173. Manchester–New York: Manchester University Press, 2002. £49.99 (cloth), £16.99 (paper). 0 7190 6114 8; 0 7190 6115 6
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One of the most important services which an historian performs for humanity is to listen to silence. What has not been said? Why has a situation been made to appear simple, when investigation reveals it as very complex? Telling a simple story about the past will probably lead to a simple view of the end-result, which is the present. Nowhere is this more true than the history of sexuality: in particular the supposed great simplicity of God’s purpose for sexuality – two sexes, men and women, with genital and emotional relations demanding one of each, no more, no less. In fact, the past like the present is far more complex than that. So when the historian gets beyond the loud, confident, simple noise, and listens to the complex whispers beyond it, voices may begin to emerge in the silence. That task is performed by this useful set of
essays on perceptions and realities of sodomy in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, reflecting the work of historians and literary scholars. Engagingly the essays are arranged alphabetically by author, to remove any sense that either of these often discordant disciplines is being privileged. The reader is guided by an introduction from the editor, who also contributes a brief essay on John Bale and John Foxe: here there is a contrast between Bale’s emphatic construction of Protestant masculinity versus the Catholic perversion of celibacy, which may have autobiographical roots, with Foxe’s rather more nuanced presentation of emotional relationships between male martyrs (alongside his vigorously journalistic presentation of Bishop Bonner’s disciplinary inclinations). A good place to take up reading would be Sarah Salih’s careful essay on medieval views of sexual identity, before launching into essays which reflect the insight of the late Alan Bray in his classic *Homosexuality in Renaissance England* (1981) that the Church’s stereotype of sodomy as diabolic disorder was so horrific that often people did not relate their actual sexual behaviour to it. So Maria R. Boes for Frankfurt-am-Main and N. S. Davidson for Venice both find a remarkably high degree of toleration of same-sex activity, and in the case of Venice, an enterprising Conventual Franciscan prepared to go into print in praise of same-sex love and sexual activity. P. G. Maxwell-Stuart reminds us that one variable in sudden upsurges of sexual intolerance was social and political disruption, as when the Scottish Kirk in the English-occupied Scotland of the 1650s suddenly discovered an urgent need to stamp out bestiality (at much the same time as it stepped up its persecution of witches). Much pragmatic research here undermines the crudities of Foucauldian views of the history of sexuality, in particular the idea that before the medical and psychological revolutions of the nineteenth century, same-sex activity was a matter of ‘acts without discourse’. William Naphy offers a précis of his recent book on sexual regulation in Geneva, and describes the courts there showing a remarkably twenty-first-century understanding of the categories of sexual acts (within a completely different punitive framework) – while in Geneva, Venice and Frankfurt, many people are shown to have categorised their same-sex activities in the language of love. Danielle Clark considers how discussion of male favourites in literature hovered uneasily between the categories of friendship, patronage and sodomy, triggering potentially inappropriate social as well as sexual relationships. Alan Stewart deals contrariwise with the fraught relationship between King James VI of Scotland and George Buchanan: that stern and unloved tutor’s beatings contrived to fracture social relationships in a rather different manner to that which may have been employed by some of James’s later favourites. Tom Webster provides an interesting perspective on Puritan ministers as they sought to express the nurturing and therefore feminine side of their pastoral ministry in terms which would not threaten the newly-established married masculinity of the Protestant ministry: many of them formulated a startlingly wifely relationship with their Saviour through judiciously emotional meditation on the Song of Songs. A moving epilogue is Alan Bray’s own reflection on his landmark earlier work: his miniature essay, viewing his research through the prism of Derrida’s discussion of friendship, is an important document in the historiography of a scholar who struggled to reconcile his sexual identity with his conversion to Roman Catholicism.

St Cross College, Oxford

Diarmaid MacCulloch

Oxford
As the editors point out, the term ‘community’ has proved a slippery customer, encompassing both rhetorical warmth and conceptual vagueness. Eschewing warm fuzziness, Alexandra Shepard and Phil Withington have gathered and edited twelve essays which reflect on communities as networks, as changing in place and as rhetorical constructions. The volume is well balanced, consisting of an introduction and the twelve essays divided equally into three parts. Under ‘Networks’, Ian Archer explores the social networks discovered through a close analysis of the people with whom Samuel Pepys ‘dined, supped or drank’ in 1660 and 1666, and argues that brokers such as Pepys hold a key to understanding an emerging metropolitan identity. Margaret Sena scrutinises the zealous activities of William Blundell, an Elizabethan Catholic Lancashire gentleman, to suggest that the threat posed by networks of Catholic dissent was more powerful than has often been acknowledged. Margaret Pelling discusses the networks of female medical practitioners accused by the College of Physicians of practising physic in London without licence and in a contribution as much to the social history of reading, Jason Scott-Warren reconstructs the networks of manuscript exchange through a detailed analysis of Tanner Mss 168 and 169 which once belonged to Sir Stephen Powle. The second section, entitled ‘Place’, opens with a subtle essay by Steve Hindle on the shifting membership and experience of community in the rural parish and includes Phil Withington’s exploration of the changing characteristics and practices of civic community in Restoration York. Paul Griffiths argues that criminal communities in early modern London are best imagined as a ‘shifting sequence of overlapping circles’ (p. 115) and Craig Muldrew reflects critically and persuasively on the ways in which community and individualism have been interpreted from the medieval period to the present. Finally, under ‘Rhetoric’, Cathy Shrank explores the ways in which a small group of mid-Tudor authors advocated the use of a standardised form of English common pronunciation with implications for the nation. Alexandra Shepard examines the rhetorical construct of ‘town’ and ‘gown’ as they interacted and competed in Cambridge. Natasha Glaisyer observes the ‘community of the text’, created by the readers of and contributors to John Houghton’s weekly periodical, A Collection for Improvement of Husbandry and Trade (1692–1703) and Geoff Baldwin argues that the imagined community of the term ‘public’ as referring to the whole nation was a development of the contested politics of the seventeenth century. Taken as a whole, the volume is uniformly excellent and enormously stimulating. There are no duds in this collection and the striking feature of the separate essays is the way in which they aptly illustrate and amplify the brief but intelligently suggestive conclusions of a masterly introduction.

SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY,
BRITISH COLUMBIA

JOHN CRAIG
Throughout his working life, Gottfried Maron, Professor of Church History in the Protestant faculty at Kiel, wrote short essays on Ignatius Loyola. Only in the early years of his retirement, however, has he been able to write a full-scale monograph. He makes no attempt to duplicate recent biographies (Tellechea, Dalmases), psychological studies (Meissner), or wider accounts of the early Jesuits (O’Malley). Instead he takes up a challenge laid down by Hugo Rahner, Karl’s elder brother, and perhaps – for all his faults – the figure most responsible for the modern renewal in Jesuit understandings of Ignatius. He seeks to provide a study of Ignatius’ theology, one that – significantly as we shall see – depends principally on Ignatius’ own written words, rather than on what Ignatius left unsaid. This theological concern makes Maron’s work unique, quite apart from its status as the first full-length Protestant contribution to Ignatian studies for at least seventy years.

Maron gives us seven thematic chapters, on Scripture, mysticism, Ignatius’ conception of theology, ecclesiology, Christology and relationship to Christ, the theology of the human person and the idea of reform. An eighth chapter, ‘Ignatius von Loyola in evangelischer Sicht’, begins by offering a valuable sketch of how Protestant perceptions of Ignatius have changed (interestingly, the first Protestants who encountered the Jesuits were quite unaware of Ignatius and thought that Canisius was their founder [p.270]). Then Maron offers his own account of the similarities and differences between Ignatius and Luther, before expressing some worthy hopes that Catholics and Protestants can learn from each other and work together.

Maron is aware that Jesuits dominate Ignatian scholarship, but does not lament this fact nor issue any serious challenge to what have become standard positions in the literature. He is well acquainted with the major works of the last fifty years, and regularly provides deft, concise syntheses that even specialists will find worth consulting. In some areas he moves the discussion significantly forward. Though Maron gives what is now a consensus account of how the early Jesuits are to be understood in terms of Catholic Reform or Counter-Reformation, he offers some striking new readings of the sources – notably of correspondence between Ignatius and Canisius, as well as making some interesting connections between the early Jesuits and Wolfgang Reinhard’s theories regarding the Reformation and modernity. Maron also offers us a valuable brief excursus on Jesuit devotion to Mary, pointing up effectively how it is only well after Ignatius’ time that the issue becomes a matter of polemic.

Maron’s work is at its best when it is most informed by the skills now common among historians of Christianity. It is less satisfactory, though always interesting and stimulating, when it addresses questions of fundamental theology and ecumenical interpretation. In the final chapter, Maron’s commitment to ecumenical collaboration stands in some tension with his sharp differentiation between Ignatius and Luther, and this tension typifies the book as a whole. Some recent, and perhaps sometimes romantically unreflective, writing on Ignatius has stressed the similarities between early Jesuit concerns and those of the magisterial reformers: a Christocentrism, a concern that the Word be both well preached and authentically heard. Maron does not deny these similarities, but insists stoutly on the very different ways in which Ignatius and Luther understood these commitments, tellingly
structuring his account in terms of the three Lutheran slogans, *solus Christus*, *sola scriptura*, *sola fide*. ‘It is not the Word of Jesus Christ that stands in the centre for Ignatius as it does for Luther, but the figure (Gestalt) of Jesus’ (p. 276). For Ignatius, devotion to Christ is a matter of pilgrimages to the Holy Land, of the pope and the Church, as well as the Word, which in any case he initially received only through Ludolf’s paraphrases. Scriptural authority is at best one authority among others, and often subordinate to that of the Church or of Ignatius’ own experience. The influence of Maron’s classically Protestant convictions is apparent elsewhere too: in the first half of the book Ignatius is seen as a founding figure in practical theology, and criticised for instrumentalising theology to the service of the Church. Scripture serves in Ignatius’ spiritual world as *das nachträglich Bestätigende*, as what subsequently confirms insights already acquired through other means.

Though such claims are trenchant and thought-provoking, there is something deeply unsatisfactory about the strategy of testing Ignatius by strict Lutheran criteria and finding him wanting. There are important historical facts which do not fit Maron’s theological framework, notably the major tensions between Ignatius’ and church authority — tensions which Maron notes but cannot include within his interpretation. Protestant preoccupations regarding the gulf between creator and creature prevent Maron from making coherent sense of Ignatius’ contemplative prayer, even if his pages on Ignatius’ courtly imagery are suggestive. Moreover, such a procedure fails to respect a principle central to the method of Ignatius’ *Spiritual exercises*, and articulated in the so-called Presupposition at the beginning: holiness and authenticity are compatible with pluralism, even ineptitude, at the level of doctrinal formulation. Approaches to ecumenism informed by such a principle will inevitably generate more generous, diverse and irenic accounts of Christian belonging than those which insist on subscription to formulae — a point that may help explain contemporary differences of opinion as to whether agreed statements such as the 1999 Augsburg Joint Declaration on Justification are saying anything of substantive significance.

Most important, however, is that Maron’s own ecumenical commitment to Christians learning from each other and working together, expressed eloquently in the book’s final pages, implies a more flexible approach to theological interpretation than the one he actually adopts. For Maron’s Ignatius, there is always ‘an active moment of bringing forth, of production’ in the hearing of God’s Word, whereas for his Luther the process is one of passive confrontation with the Word ‘neat’ (p. 280). This latter claim may echo venerable Lutheran rhetoric, and may indeed point towards something which is true. Taken at face value, however, it is nonsense, on both philosophical and psychological grounds. And similar face-value nonsense, such as the claim that Ignatius’ private revelations could in principle substitute for Holy Scripture (how would he have recognised the revelations for what they were unless he had been in contact with scriptural revelation?), can be found in the Ignatian tradition too, as Maron tellingly notes. When we contemporary Christians commit ourselves to learning from each other in our reading of the past, we do so because we are aware of the unfinished business, the incoherences we bring to the process. Dialogue implies an openness to having our understanding extended and corrected. Nevertheless, disappointment that Maron’s relentlessly Protestant reading of Ignatius’ theology does not seem to be informed by the best of recent ecumenical reflection needs to be tempered by a recognition that he has produced an immensely
learned, clear and stimulating study. The plea for something more is grounded in a recognition that what Maron has given us is already of great value.

**CAMPION HALL, PHILIP ENDEAN SJ**

**OXFORD**
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This volume is one in a new Ashgate series focusing on the ‘early modern Englishwoman’, each of which includes a critical edition of a contemporary text. Here a seventy-page essay on female monasticism in the last four decades before its demise is coupled with Bishop Richard Fox’s English translation of the Benedictine rule for the four nunneries within his diocese of Winchester: the Benedictine abbey in Winchester itself (Nunnaminster), those in Romsey and Wherwell and the Cistercian priory in Hartley Wintney. Collett’s approach to Fox’s edition of the rule, published by Richard Pynson, the king’s printer, in 1516/1517, is refreshingly sane and balanced. He does not interpret the bishop’s instructive insertions into the text as evidence of an overriding concern to curtail the nuns’ legitimate freedom by imposing on them an unreasonable degree of subjection. In Collett’s view Fox evinced no fear of increasingly literate religious women with independent minds; he was confident that they, if provided with instruction in the precepts of the rule, would come to understand and readily obey. His primary concern for the nuns was the fulfilment of their spiritual vocation within the monastic life, both in their individual lives and in the life of the community. Against the recent tendency to favour a gender-orientated reading of Fox’s rule and of other vernacular texts then in circulation, Collett sees no signs of this bishop’s unease about unruly nuns threatening the ecclesiastical status quo, no veiled attempt, in the explanatory passages, to assert and enforce clerical domination and to command unquestioning obedience. Fully aware of the problem of laxity in female houses due to the nuns’ understandable reluctance to be cut off completely from the outside world, Fox favoured a fatherly reprimand over a repressive denunciation. The introductory essay provides a vivid account of some of the last abbesses and prioresses drawn mainly from episcopal and archiepiscopal visitation records. The rule survives in two identical copies: pressmark G. 10245 in the British Library and Arch.A.d.15 in the Bodleian Library, Oxford, from the former of which the typographical facsimile of the present edition has been produced. Two full-page reproductions of the frontispiece (recto and verso), Fox’s prologue and the first page of St Benedict’s prologue in the original edition are also reproduced. A few slips have escaped notice: Kent described as a diocese (p. 8); Wherwell, spelled ‘Wherewell’ throughout; the abbey of St Augustine, Bristol, identified as Benedictine rather than Augustinian (pp. 31, 178); and the priory of Lyminster in Sussex identified as Lymington (p. 42). Finally, in the ample bibliography it is surprising to find no mention of Diana Coldicott’s _Hampshire nunneries_ (Phillimore 1989).

**ROBINSON COLLEGE, JOAN GREATREX**

**CAMBRIDGE**
The Revd John O’Malley SJ, Distinguished Professor of Church History at Weston Jesuit School of Theology, has contributed extensively to recent historical research and historiographical debates in the field of the Counter- or Catholic Reformation and the early modern Churches of the Spanish, Portuguese and French colonial empires. The introduction and O’Malley’s bibliography in this volume attest to the preponderance of his learning and his influence upon other scholars, as does the title of this collection of sixteen articles. ‘Early modern Catholicism’ was a term he coined when giving the Martin D’Arcy Lectures at the University of Oxford in 1993, published in 2000 as Trent and all that. Professor O’Malley has presented this phrase to stand alongside Counter-Reformation, Catholic Reform, Tridentine Catholicism and other terms, to embrace them and such disparate topics as the devotion of reform-minded clerics to popular religion and the Marian piety of Chinese aristocratic women, which may be found in this book. Besides serving as a Festschrift, the editors offer these essays to demonstrate that Catholic Christianity in this period was hardly monolithic, for all its global reach; they and the other contributors succeed admirably. Kathleen Comerford demonstrates that the impact of the Roman catechism and Trent’s decree on seminaries was very slow in coming in Italy: the eighteenth century, to be exact. The French Daughters of Charity were able to assist the sick and the poor by discreetly avoiding – with the help of bishops, among others – the Tridentine decree for the cloistering of women religious, in Susan Dinan’s study. William Hudon confounds the anachronistic view of the early modern papacy as a precursor to the autocratic pontiffs of the nineteen and twentieth centuries. Mark Lewis SJ reveals the origins of reformed communities of priests – such as the Jesuits and the Theatines – in confraternities of laywomen and men. Parochial polemic is portrayed by D. Jonathan Greiser as essential in the slow confessionalisation of Moravia. Xiaoping Lin reveals how Marian devotion underwent acculturation in Ming China, though the underlining of the Virgin’s inner virtues may be better attributed to a shift in western understanding of Mary, according to Donna Spivey Ellington’s recent monograph. And surely the portrayal of women in the foreground of a Chinese illustration of the Via Dolorosa is due to Luke xxiii. 27–31, and not only to ‘a feminine vision of Christianity’? It is also surprising that one of the editors, Hilmar Pabel, falls into a description of late medieval piety as death-obsessed à la Huizinga, in order to portray Erasmus’ De praeparatione ad mortem as a revolutionary tract. Nevertheless, this is a valuable compilation of current research in the multifarious world of early modern Catholicism. The only element missing from this collection of institutional and social studies is any discussion of the theological ideas that underpin the Catholicism of this period; only Corrie Norman’s article on preaching at the papal court touches – and hesitantly – on such juxtapositions of theological tenets as finding Christ in the eucharist, in the poor, and in Pope Paul V in Rome on the Maundy Thursdays of 1609, 1611 and 1612.

WILLIAM WIZEMAN SJ

FORDHAM UNIVERSITY

Johannes Wolfart is dissatisfied with what he discerns as the prevailing preoccupation of German historians of the sixteenth century with confessionalism, constitutionalism and nationalism. Whether they are historians of the Reformation or of the Reich, they have contributed, he believes, to a distorted perspective. They have, he suggests, collectively tended to divorce religion from politics, to divide the sixteenth century into two, and to emphasise the particularity of German history and historiography in ways which deny even the possibility of comparison with trends outside the Reich. Wolfart believes that his study of the long chronological background to a 1626 political protest in the imperial city of Lindau, an island in Lake Constance, once more illuminates the interconnectedness of religion and politics and the true complexity of sixteenth-century urban social relations. Where some historians of confessionalisation have discerned a long process of dirigiste social discipline, Wolfart reveals a protracted struggle between conflicting views of the polity fought out between urban oligarchs often in league with imperial officials on the one hand and artisan gilds and rebellious clergy on the other. During the course of that conflict the idea of the republic began as the rallying cry of the communal opposition and ended as the ideology of a ruling oligarchy, an interesting corrective to the conventional teleological view of the evolution of the concept. Age and gender added further dimensions of tension to both public and private life in the claustrophobic urban community. Wolfart’s wide-ranging discussion of Lindau politics does indeed reveal local complexity almost to the point where the larger ‘national’ structures examined by other scholars disappear. His study reveals much about the life of this island community, but to suggest, as Wolfart seems to do, that the accumulation of such histories in some way constitutes more genuine history than the study of larger constitutional or national issues surely goes too far. For the religious politics of Lindau only really make sense in the context of the wider structure of the Holy Roman Empire, of which Lindau was a very minor and peripheral part.

JOACHIM WHALEY
GONVILLE AND CAIUS COLLEGE,
CAMBRIDGE


Aspects of English Protestantism is an impressive collection of twelve essays first published between 1973 and 1998. They range from an essay entitled ‘Re-thinking the “English Reformation”’, which presents a general and thought provoking examination of the early years of the English Reformation, to such pieces as ‘Popular Puritan mentality in late Elizabethan England’ which contains a detailed analysis of Puritan nomenclature in Surrey. All the twelve essays included in this volume display the kind of historical rigour and insight that one has come to associate with Tyacke’s work.
What strikes one most strongly when reading these pieces is the extent to which Tyacke’s work has been central in establishing a new orthodoxy regarding the Jacobean Church. Reading again such seminal essays as ‘Puritanism, Arminianism and counter-revolution’, one is not only reminded of the radicalism but also of the assuredness with which Tyacke set about challenging the existing historiographic tradition which viewed Puritanism as progressive or revolutionary. Few would now question the twin poles of Tyacke’s argument, that in the 1620s and 1630s it was the Arminians who were the religious revolutionaries or that ‘by the 1590s Calvinism was dominant in the higher reaches of the Established Church’ (p. 161). It is a real pleasure to re-read Tyacke’s seminal revisionist essays on pre-English Civil War religious debate and conflict. In particular, the essays relating to the late Elizabethan and Jacobean period are exemplary in the way they combine an analysis of complex theological ideas with reference to popular culture. Tyacke writes in his introduction to this volume that ‘[Religious] theory informed practice and as a consequence it will not do to argue, as some have, that doctrine was of little relevance to ordinary people. We are in fact dealing here with some of the intellectual underpinnings of popular religious observance’ (p. 13). It is Tyacke’s mastery of these ‘intellectual underpinnings’ and in particular the detailed and crucial theological distinctions that were the matter of the dispute between Calvinists and Arminians in the early Jacobean period which enable him successfully to critique some of the wilder excesses of revisionism. The essay ‘Anglican attitudes: some recent writings on English religious history, from the Reformation to the Civil War’, is an exemplary piece of sustained historical polemic revealing how problematic it is to posit the existence of an Anglican via media and a flourishing Stuart regime that were both brought down by ‘a Scottish bolt from the blue’ (p. 197).

There are, however, a number of criticisms that I would make of this volume. In places some of the essays seem rather dated. This is perhaps inevitable in a collection of this type and is not a problem with the essays dealing with the early Jacobean Church. Some of the other pieces, however, could have benefited from more substantial revision than they have received. Tyacke’s work, as exemplified in this volume, embodies the successes, but also the problems, of the best of revisionist writing. For example, in the pieces collected here he demonstrates conclusively that Calvinism was the orthodox norm in the early Jacobean Church and that it was Charles I and Laud who were the religious radicals in the 1620s and 1630s. Tyacke also argues persuasively that Calvinism did not disappear after 1660 and that there were many Calvinists who were perfectly able to remain within the Restoration Church. These points do, however, beg the question why Laud thought Calvinism was so dangerous and, even more important, why Charles adopted an Arminian religious policy when it was so divisive? Tyacke’s answers to these questions are sound but limited since they tend to be restricted to the level of the aims and beliefs of the individuals involved. Is this adequate? Tyacke’s own work suggests that it is not. Indeed, reading this collection one sometimes feels as if Tyacke has taken a self-denying ordinance in terms of extending the scope and scale of his explanation of historical events.

One of the most thought-provoking essays in this collection is ‘Re-thinking the “English Reformation”’. In this piece Tyacke critiques the concept of a Reformation from below as a ‘revisionist straw man’. (p. 39). He goes on to point out the importance of giving proper weight to the power of ideas in accounts of the Reformation. Tyacke writes that
Revisionists are prone to belittle the power of ideas in bringing about the Reformation, emphasising what they see as almost the irrelevance of theology. Yet this is seriously to neglect the subversive potential particularly of the doctrine of justification by faith alone, undermining as it did the whole panoply of medieval Catholic teaching and practice built on the notion of spiritual good works. (p. 45)

Tyacke goes on in this piece to point out the importance of printed works in the early spread of Reformation ideas in England. However, in order for concepts like justification by faith to be subversive the cultural or social possibility of subversion needs to exist. What was it about some social groups that made them sympathetic to Protestant ideas? Why was Protestantism more welcome in some localities while being rejected in others? It is perhaps inevitable that a collection of essays cannot fully address questions such as these. However this volume indicates both the need for a general and broad-stroke history of English religious practices and beliefs from 1500 to 1688 that seeks to explain why England became a Protestant country and that Tyacke would be the ideal historian to undertake such a task.

It would be quite wrong to end this review on a negative note. It is an impressive collection of essays that will no doubt remain a staple of undergraduate reading lists for many years to come. Aspects of English Protestantism is an important volume that brings together a number of seminal essays written by one of the leading figures in the field of early modern religious English history.

Kingston University

Tom Betteridge
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This is certainly one of the most significant books on the Scottish Reformation published in the last twenty years. More important, however, Margo Todd’s book demands to be read by anyone interested in how Reformed Protestantism could actually function on the ground. Scotland was the only European territorial state in which the Genevan model of discipline was taken out of the laboratory of the city-state and applied in full-scale field trials. Moreover, the kirk sessions which implemented this system in the parishes left excellent records. By analysing the sixty or so sets of kirk session minute books surviving for the period 1560–1640, Todd gives us a remarkable view of Reformed Protestantism from the pew.

She has wisely resisted the temptation to conduct a statistical analysis of these records, except in a few very limited areas. Her sources are anecdotal and impressionistic; so, therefore, is her account, although her impressions are underpinned by the sheer quantity of material she has examined. In assessing these sources, she draws warily on anthropology, but always measures its insights against the social and especially the theological specificities of the Reformation era. (‘Early modern Europe’, she comments drily, ‘was not a primitive tribal society.’) So, for example, in her pivotal chapter on repentance, she is concerned to stress that public penitence was a ‘dramatic performance’ and that its ritual aspects were taken extremely seriously by all participants. Yet she does this without neglecting or diluting the distinctly Calvinist ideas which shaped it. At the same time she avoids most anthropological and most theological jargon; ‘logocentric’ is about her worst offence on this score.
Such a successful methodological balance is all too rare, and is the more remarkable for being understated.

Todd’s chapters lead us through all the aspects of life with which kirk sessions dealt: from worship, through the regulation of social relations and family life, to the place of kirk and clergy in laypeople’s lives. Throughout, she writes engagingly, and with a sensitivity and a wry humour which leavens her frequently lurid material. The result is that there is rarely a dull page: if it is not a disgruntled session ruling that piping during the sermon was as severe an offence as incest, it is a minister searching kitchens for banned Christmas geese, ‘telling them that the feathers of them would rise up against them’. And the startling examples used in her passage on street insults beg to be made into a set of fridge magnets. The plates give some additional flesh to these accounts, although one could wish for more: perhaps a few communion tokens, given the importance she ascribes to these objects. If there is a second edition, perhaps we might also have the page of the Ellon minute book where, we are told, a clerk sketched a portrait of the offender in the margin.

Throughout, Todd has two main theses. First, she argues compellingly that kirk sessions were astonishingly effective in transforming Scottish religious culture. Enforcement of sermon attendance and moral discipline was seamless, but this was not simply an early modern Panopticon. Todd demonstrates that many of those excused sermon attendance came nevertheless. As we now expect, she emphasises that the system of discipline was pastoral before it was punitive, but she goes beyond this to show the extraordinary effectiveness of kirk sessions in preserving the peace, in arbitrating and sealing quarrels, and in providing a wide range of social services. Her evidence suggests that in these roles at least, the sessions were genuinely popular and – more important – respected. Todd’s argument is of course open to question, and her evidence is coloured by its institutional source. No doubt, as she argues, fast sermons were terrifying to some; most likely, others found them tedious but knew better than to complain. Even so, historians of Reformation England, and others, who tend to assume that Calvinism was doomed to be a minority religion, can learn here that it need not have been that way.

Todd’s second theme is the considerable continuities between the old religion and the new. Protestantism’s ritual life was more minimalist than Catholicism’s, but a ritual life it still had, and one which became more powerful from being more tightly concentrated. It was a religion of the Word, but of the Word as written, engraved, enacted and bodied forth. Her careful discussion of the Reformed communion service reveals some of the layers of symbolic meaning which remained in it and which accrued afresh around it. The physical space of the church remained sacralised; saints’ days were replaced with sabbatarianism and fastings days; obits and chantries were replaced with the payment of hefty fees for burial in prestigious places; charismatic preachers retained an aura of sanctity. Although reinterpreted by Reformed theology, the social meanings of these phenomena often changed very little. Moreover, there were some battles which the Reformed kirk chose not to fight. Some popular festivities or social structures were too useful, or too innocuous, to be worth the trouble of stamping them out. ‘When Reformed ministers and elders threw out the popish bath water, they were careful to keep not only the baby, but also some bath toys to keep it happy.’

A decade ago, Eamon Duffy’s Stripping of the altars reminded us of how powerful a system late medieval Catholicism was for those within it. Margo Todd’s book is a
Protestant mirror to that. It leaves questions unasked: in particular, she sidesteps the
problem of how this system came to be accepted so widely and so quickly after the
Reformation. Yet she has shown us how powerful it was, how it transcended social
barriers and even how it can, in one sense, be called ‘traditional religion’. Moreover,
she does this without allowing us to forget the humanity of the people whose lives
she describes. The result is an exceptional book which comes as close as any to
conveying the lived flavour of early modern Protestantism.

University of Birmingham

Alec Ryrie

Huguenot heartland. Montauban and southern French Calvinism during the wars of religion. By
Philip Conner. (St Andrews Studies in Reformation History.) Pp. xiv + 257 incl.
3 figs. Aldershot: Ashgate, 2002. £47.50. 0 7546 0762 3

This alert volume offers one of the best and most original local studies of the French
wars of religion to appear in some time. As Philip Conner rightly insists, Huguenot
strongholds such as Montauban have been far less well examined to date than those
cities in northern France where Protestantism witnessed an initial period of growth
around 1560, only to see its hopes of carrying the day extinguished amid the sub-
sequent civil wars. A local study can only be as rich as the available archives. Those
of Montauban have large gaps. No town council minutes have survived from 1560
to 1580, and consistory records are only available for 1595–8. Faced with these
lacunae, Conner wisely chose not to attempt a narrative history of the town’s expe-
rience over the entire course of the wars of religion, but instead to examine a series
of themes or problems on the basis of the extant evidence. Drawing inspiration
from the recent scholarship on Calvinism across Europe, he widened the scope of
previous studies of French cities to focus as much on the upbuilding of Reformed
churches and the relationship between these churches and the civic magistrates as
on the Huguenot struggle for survival. He also ably compensated for the gaps in the
town records with strategic soundings in the public archives of the other great
Huguenot strongholds of the Midi, as well as by gaining access to rare documents in
private hands. His exposition is rich but restrained, occasionally leaving the reader
wishing for more. For instance, fascinating statistical information from will pre-
ambles indicating the spread of evangelical attitudes prior to 1555 is reported without
any indication of the sizes of the samples in question. An outstanding and utterly
original account of how Henry of Navarre drew Montauban into his orbit after his
flight from court in 1576 ends in 1587, just on the eve of Henry’s decisive struggle to
win the royal succession. This is a dissertation based on a year of local research and
then moved quickly into print – too quickly, perhaps, for once a good book has pres-
ented many of the most important findings to be discovered about a locality, who is
going to revisit it to fill in the gaps? What must be stressed, however, is the range and
importance of its findings. It shows a city where the civic elites rapidly embraced
Reformed doctrines, sheltered Protestant ministers from royal repression in 1561,
established close family connections with the leading ministers after the establish-
ment of Reformed domination and worked alongside and within the consistory to
build a godly community. It shows Montauban’s Church exercising a measure of
oversight over the evangelisation of the surrounding rural areas and its églises de fief.
It provides fundamental new information about Protestant military organisation in the region and batters the myth of the ‘United Provinces of the Midi’. It tells us much about the local printing trade, ministers and relations with Geneva (surprisingly distant). All this represents a considerable achievement.

BROWN UNIVERSITY

PHILIP BENEDICT


JEH (54) 2003; DOI: 10.1017/S0022046903417983

This impressive study originated as a doctoral dissertation at the University of Freiburg-im-Breisgau, supervised by Wolfgang Reinhard, whose own research did much to illuminate the structure, personnel and the process of decision-making at the papal court during the pontificate of Paul V (Camillo Borghese). The compromise candidate who emerged as pope from the second conclave in 1605 following the sudden death of Leo XI (1–27 April 1605), and whose name is familiar to visitors to the basilica of St Peter in Rome, Paul V is nevertheless one of the lesser-known early modern popes. Emich’s study, however, is devoted not to the pope himself, but to the role of his nephew, the son of his sister Ortensia, Cardinal Scipione Caffarelli-Borghese († 2 October 1633), in the papal administration, and to the manner in which family loyalty and support were rewarded with lucrative offices, money and other favours. The author draws attention to the similarity of the role of ‘Kardinalnepote’, as personification of the phenomenon ‘nepotism’, and that of the royal favourite in early modern secular courts. She raises the question of a conflict of interest when the curial administration, which should be an autonomous institution, is headed by the person who has most to profit from the position of his ‘princely’ uncle. However her reply to this question is determined not by the moral rectitude of older ecclesiastical historians, who regarded papal nepotism as an embarrassing abuse, and – in the case of Protestant scholars – a useful supply of ammunition, but by the criteria of modern research, which regards the structures and personnel of government as legitimate subjects for social history. Birgit Emich displays an impressive familiarity with the administrative procedures of the Roman Curia and with sources in the Vatican (Archivio Segreto Vaticano and Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana), in Rome (Archivio di Stato) and in Ferrara. She documents meticulously the development of an office which subsequently became the secretariat of state in the papal Curia, and clarifies the different types of correspondence in circulation: official letters, documents dealing with patronage and communication with princes, cities and subjects of the papal state. It emerges clearly that Cardinal Scipione Borghese could rely on various secretarial offices with different responsibilities: for patronage, for private matters and for the administration of family property. However the function of the ‘cardinal-nephew’ was only temporary: with the curial reform in 1692 he was finally replaced by the secretary of state, who could be, but need not be a papal nephew (p. 105). Emich follows her academic mentor, Wolfgang Reinhard, when she sees a fundamental difference in quality between the nepotism of the Borgia pope, Alexander VI (1492–1503), and the administrative patronage of the Borghese clan. In this respect she dates a fundamental change in the role and
function of the cardinal-nephew to the year 1538, when Pope Paul III (1534–49) entrusted the business affairs of papacy and papal state to his nephew Cardinal Alessandro Farnese. Late medievalists familiar with the nepotism of Pope Sixtus IV (1471–84) and the concentration of power in the hands of the Della Rovere-Riario family may have their doubts.


In this detailed and sensitive study of George Herbert’s 1633 volume of devotional poems, *The temple*, Svenja Kuhfuss examines the place of lyric poetry amidst the complexity of theological and philosophical ideas in early seventeenth-century England. Noting how difficult it is to link Herbert firmly with any particular faction within the Church of his day, Kuhfuss interprets this phenomenon not as a sign of withdrawal from controversy on the priest-poet’s part, but rather as a critical position in its own right. She demonstrates this claim by means of detailed readings of the poems themselves, as well as with reference to churchmen, philosophers and poets of the Elizabethan and Stuart periods. An especially significant aspect of Kuhfuss’s approach is her focus on early modern theories of time – cyclical or linear, declining or progressive – and on Herbert’s poetic vision of temporality, particularly in relation to the optimistic sense of time expressed by his friend and contemporary, Francis Bacon. Also to be commended, however, is Kuhfuss’s awareness of the differences in the ways in which lyrics and polemical prose functioned in the spiritual climate of the early seventeenth century; she thereby pays proper attention to the role of aesthetics in the expression of devotional and theological issues. It is clear, then, that this study in fact deals with more than the one *Spannungsfeld* identified in its title. It is, to its credit, simultaneously concerned with a number of creative tensions: the opposing ideas of different ecclesiastical groups (as revealed in the theology of the eucharist or assumptions about spiritual time); the relationship between the Church and the individual believer or writer; the contrasts between philosophical discussion and poetic imagination; and, perhaps inevitably, the continuing arguments among critics as to Herbert’s doctrinal or political affiliations. The greatness of his poetry, and the fascination of the era which produced it, meanwhile remain undisputed.


Aristotle taught that moral virtues stand in a mean: each virtue is flanked by two opposing vices, and each virtue is a disposition to have or do the right amount of something of which there can be vicious excess or defect. He did not himself apply
the doctrine to intellectual virtues: but clearly there is a virtue that determines the mean in matters of belief, the mean between scepticism and credulity. Richard Davies calls this virtue ‘doxastic rectitude’, and he uses the notion to structure a highly original and intelligent account of Descartes’s philosophical writings. The overall shape of Descartes’s endeavour, he maintains, is given by the pursuit of doxastic rectitude and by the battle against credulity, the vice of excess, and against scepticism, the vice of defect. Within this novel framework, Davies offers many acute close readings of familiar texts, readings which often show the texts in a new and convincing light. Davies’s discussion is supported by very wide reading, and he shows an impressive familiarity with the scholastic texts which often lie behind unargued assumptions in Cartesian arguments. He says much that is illuminating about the relation, in Descartes, between reason and the passions, between intellect and will, and between method and science. Ecclesiastical historians will be particularly interested in his account of Descartes’s reaction to the condemnation of Galileo in 1633. Unlike most commentators, Davies argues that Descartes, however reluctantly, sincerely accepted the doctrine thus taught by the Church, and took pains to adapt his physical system so that it brought out the false proposition ‘The earth moves’.

St John’s College, Oxford

Anthony Kenny


This is a book targeting the intelligent general reader: it dispenses with detailed annotation, preferring a bibliographic survey intended as a guide to further reading; it provides sketches, lucid and elegant, of (to the professional) well-known civil war personalities and incidents. Yet its theme is a demanding one. Blair Worden seeks to elucidate how and why, over the intervening period, divines, political theorists, historians and essayists have constructed such divergent readings of the period 1640–60. The fiercely contested place of the wars and their aftermath in English memory and culture is, Worden argues, at the heart of his enterprise. Yet any attempt to survey the kaleidoscopic shifts in interpretation over three centuries by writers responding to, inter alia, contemporary political and confessional dispute, shifts in the nation’s social structure, changing views of the nature of historical discourse, would create an almost impossible agenda. Worden has, understandably, largely abandoned any attempt at providing a synoptic and holistic overview, and has constructed his argument around a series of comparatively narrow case studies. Yet one remarkable chapter in this volume suggests what might have been attained with a broader, more inclusive conceptual framework.

Chapter vii, ‘The patriots’, examines the understanding of the Civil War in the mid-eighteenth century. The analysis centres on a study of the Biographia britannica, the first attempt at an English DNB, but extends the discussion to incorporate the works of other historians, editors and commentators of the period. Worden shows how the interpretative partisanship, emanating from the wars and refined in the furnaces of the Exclusion Crisis and the period of the ‘rage of party’ following the
Glorious Revolution, had waned. In the political and social conditions of the mid-century more consensual readings emerged, lauding both Royalist martyrs like Capel and regicides like Ludlow. The shared virtues praised in these political opponents were incorruptibility and an inflexible determination to advance the public good. They were manifestly men of principle, and, even if, as in Ludlow’s case, the principles ‘might be mistaken’ they were redeemed because held ‘sincerely and steadily’. Such ‘patriots’ won further praise if their careers advanced England’s international prestige, and if they could boast aristocratic lineage. The Biographia’s authors were swift to condemn venality and self-aggrandisement, but sordid, mercenary, motives of interest were not seen as characterising the adherents of only one of the parties in the wars. Insofar as the Roundheads were more likely to be censured, it was for their religious views: the Biographia admired ‘piety’; it savaged ‘the spirit of enthusiasm’ – one of the worst of the ‘deep and dangerous’ errors attributed to Cromwell.

Chapter vii, a splendid example of full and compelling analysis germane to the book’s most ambitious goals, also plays a key role in its structure. It is the hinge between the case studies with which the book opens and closes. The first six chapters focus on the creation of Ludlow and Algernon Sidney as iconic figures by the Country Whigs in the period immediately following the Glorious Revolution; chapters viii–xi examine the transformation of the reputation of Oliver Cromwell in the nineteenth century. The bulk of these chapters have their origin in scholarly articles and introductions produced by Worden since 1978.

The Cromwell sections are admirable in this format. Worden is particularly subtle and sensitive on the role of religion from the mid-nineteenth century in encouraging a re-evaluation of Cromwell. Increasing in numbers and confidence, the Non-conformists abandoned the quietist and deferential political role that they had accepted gratefully in the eighteenth century. Cromwell was an appropriate totem for their new militancy. In 1873 The Congregationalist, deprecating the sect’s record of back-seat subservience to a tepid Whig establishment, reminded its readers ‘we have reigned with Cromwell’. Excellent, too, is the chapter on Carlyle, the first editor of Cromwell’s writings. The seeming paradox of a man who had abandoned Calvinist theology and for whom ‘Christianity is but the mythic expression of religion’, providing a usable hero for the Nonconformists is nicely dissected here.

The first six chapters, particularly the four on Ludlow, however, are a less successful reworking of the earlier material. Worden’s 1978 demonstration, in his edition of A voice from the watch-tower, of the mixture of cut-and-paste and sheer forgery undertaken by Toland in editing Ludlow’s Memoirs to transform their writer from a zealous millenarian into a secular republican, and thus appropriate him for the radical Whig cause in their struggle against the military state created by William III, was a brilliant piece of historical detection. But, in relation to the assumed audience of this work, too much of the technical minutiae of textual exegesis have survived from the original introduction. Much more synopsis here would have clarified the argument, and provided space to develop themes that are only hinted at – the contended readings of Milton, for instance. More fundamentally, Worden should undertake more discussion of Royalist historiography. Divergences of interpretation within the broad pro-parliamentary tradition of interpretation may be ‘of subtler interest’ (p. 1) than the Royalist–Parliamentary debate, but some understanding of the thrust of Royalist arguments, particularly those of Clarendon, would illuminate many of the issues raised in this work.
The final chapter of the book, ‘The Levellers and the left’ reinforces some of the problems of the opening section. The chapter reminds us that ‘present-mindedness’, while controlled by stricter canons of scholarly propriety, is not foreign to the twentieth-century historian. It is sensible, but pedestrian. It lacks the compelling engagement with which Worden excavates Toland’s radical surgery on Ludlow, or explains the attractions for Carlyle of Cromwell’s doctrine of Providence. Worden appears to have no great enthusiasm for the Levellers, and less for their historians. The chapter lacks the stylistic elegance which otherwise typifies this book. Ending on a rhetorical and substantive diminuendo, it emphasises the major weakness of the study – its episodic character; its genesis as a series of essays. The shaping chapter vii, for all its brilliance, ultimately cannot sustain the intellectual coherence to which this work aspires.

Lady Margaret Hall, Oxford
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These eight essays supplement Dom Yves Chaussy’s history (1989–91) and Pierre Gasnault’s monograph on Maurist erudition (1999). Y.-M. Berceé studies the bourg of Saint-Germain, its proud independence guaranteed by the abbey’s seigneurial jurisdiction and proclaimed in the famous annual fair – with Paris gradually taking over; B. Chédozeau describes the alterations made to the abbey church to conform to the new liturgical emphasis on the eucharist – the demolition of the rood screen and the devising of a new high altar with sixteen marble columns from Leptis Magna – not the first church in France to be adorned with archaeological plunder from the north African coast. The remaining essays concern the Maurist contribution to learning. Only two or three of the monks were littérateurs; mostly from the urban middle class, chosen by merit and, even if beginning in the provinces, gravitating to the two great abbeys in Paris (analysis in P. Gasnault’s essay). D.-O. Hurel describes the process of editing Mabillon’s correspondence (1,739 letters received, 1,028 written – to 580 correspondents, including 150 consulted frequently with 4 inner circle). As for Jansenism, we have J.-R. Armogathe’s account of the role of the exiled Arnauld in encouraging the edition of St Augustine and a brilliant essay by J.-L. Quantin (who has succeeded to the chair of Bruno Neveu). His subject is the critics of the work of the Maurists, mostly Jesuits; he concludes that the learning of the Maurists cannot be faulted, but even so, they dangerously came to regard Augustinianism, not just as a theology, but a ‘mythologie historique’, a doctrine of the saint clear in all ages to his true disciples – an original insight deserving elaboration. But could erudition be justified as an occupation for monks? Was there an answer to Rancé? G.-M. Oury studies Dom Claude Martin’s (d. 1696) reply, the concept of the mind centred on God, even when called away to worldly duties: ‘quitte Dieu pour Dieu’. P. Gasnault cites the more prosaic answer in a monastic circular of 1671: the great danger for monks is idleness, so granted that spiritual and
communal obligations must be fulfilled all must be allotted work ‘in conformity with
their inclinations and their talents’. There is a learned preface by the curé of Saint-
Germain-des-Prés, sadly reflecting on the end of the great abbey and its library,
though essentially concerned with the souls of his parishioners, whatever the piety
and learning of bygone years.

ALL SOULS COLLEGE, OXFORD

JOHN McMANNERS
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The title of this book is slightly misleading, perhaps a result of publishers’ preference
for bland titles which fit well into search programmes on the Internet. The texts con-
sidered by Reid Barbour are not, on the whole, those of the seventeenth-century
literary canon, and his concept of ‘religious culture’ takes in many fields of enquiry,
encompassing in the second half of the book texts more ordinarily considered in
studies of early modern scientific thought. This makes for a truly interdisciplinary
study, however, with an unusual breadth of scope. The first two chapters contain
a valuable historical and textual study of two communities which could be said to
have both religious and literary significance, the Little Gidding household and the
Great Tew circle. The third chapter shows Barbour’s wide-ranging methodology at
its best: he carries on the themes of Protestant heroism and its relationship to literary
imagination into a study of 1630s texts associated with the rise of Laud, in a fresh and
informed approach to topics of great current interest. The second half of the book
loses focus a little. The separate studies of figures such as Browne, Hakewill, Harvey
and Bacon lack clear thematic interrelation, and the reader becomes aware of a tend-
edy to over-use favoured secondary sources and to refer to primary sources rather
less often than is comfortable when dealing with an unfamiliar text. In these later
chapters, too, the rather generalised readings seem to become detached from events
and readers in the seventeenth century. The conclusion returns the reader to the
political situatedness of Caroline Protestantism in a wide-ranging synthesis which
illustrates both the strengths and weaknesses of this book. From the England of
Kenelm Digby, William Chillingworth, Richard Crashaw and a host of lesser-
known writers about whom no information is given, Barbour takes a whistle-stop tour
to the Boston colony where he considers Anne Hutchinson, John Winthrop and some-
one called Robert Ryece, in a general discussion of what he calls the ‘charity debate’
of the 1630s. The lack of detailed contextualisation means that the complex intellec-
tual structure built on these brief individual readings is hard to follow and ultimately
unconvincing: as with so much of this book, however, the textual analyses are
intriguing and would no doubt repay investigation.

OXFORD

ELIZABETH CLARKE
The nonjuring antiquary Thomas Hearne had a remarkable career. A sometime farm labourer, his prospects were transformed when his early talents were identified by Francis Cherry of Shottesbrooke in Berkshire, a Jacobite country gentleman who paid for an education that took Hearne in 1695 to St Edmund Hall, Oxford. Here he remained for the next forty years, rapidly acquiring a reputation as an accurate transcriber of early English manuscripts at a time when Anglo-Saxon and medieval studies were being pursued for polemical as well as scholarly purposes. He is now best remembered for the voluminous diary, the *Remarks and Collections of Thomas Hearne* (11 vols, ed. C. E. Doble and H. E. Salter [Oxford Historical Society, 1885–1918]), which he compiled between 1705 and his death in 1735. This intimate and opinionated record of daily events in university and town has tended to obscure the more serious reputation that Hearne deserves—and enjoyed to a very large extent with his contemporaries—as a scholar and antiquary.

In this volume Dr Harmsen sets out to re-evaluate Hearne’s achievements as a bookman, antiquary, publisher, and editor. This is not the first attempt of this kind, and it invites comparison perhaps mostly notably with D. C. Douglas’s ‘Portrait of Hearne’ in *English scholars* (2nd edn, 1951). However, in terms of sympathy as well as scope, the present volume has much more to offer. Well grounded on a thorough familiarity with published and manuscript sources, Harmsen’s study demonstrates conclusively how Hearne sustained a remarkable scholarly output despite being marginalised on account of his conscientious adherence to Jacobite and nonjuring principles: the university authorities in Oxford not only prevented his having access to the Bodleian Library after 1715, but also attempted actively to obstruct the publication of several of his textual editions, considering them, not altogether without good reason, as tending to undermine the Hanoverian claim to the British throne.

Although Harmsen’s first chapter on ‘General background 1688–1735’ is weak, and contains several serious misapprehensions (the royal birthdays that so excited early eighteenth-century Oxford [p. 30] were those of Charles II and James III, not Charles I and James II, for example) the quality of the rest of the book is high. In chapters on Hearne as ‘Nonjuror and diarist’ and as ‘Antiquary’ Harmsen provides the best demonstration to date of his indebtedness to the nonjuring scholars Henry Dodwell and Thomas Smith, showing how his career followed in a tradition of English antiquarianism deriving from Leland, Cotton and Camden, and also, in Oxford, from John Aubrey, Elias Ashmole, Robert Plot, Edward Lhuyd and Anthony à Wood. Although it is not denied that Hearne’s sometimes vehement partisanship could distort his historical judgement, as in his obstinate insistence on the truth of the legendary foundation of University College by King Alfred, Harmsen succeeds in demonstrating how Hearne’s work can be placed in a context of High Tory writing stretching from Heylin and Brady through Collier and Harbin to Carre. The argument is that Hearne, convinced that ‘the fate of learning and religion had become indistinguishable’, was, above all, anxious to ‘correct [Bishop William] Nicholson’s formulation of a Protestant-latitudinarian canon of ecclesiology … his work amounted to a consistent defence of learning and orthodoxy spanning all of English history’ (p. 284). Such interests led Hearne naturally to follow and emulate those who attempted, like Spelman and Dugdale before him, or like Wharton,
Dodsworth and Tanner in his own time, to re-evaluate pre-Reformation ecclesiastical history, to sympathise with Roman Catholic historians and to detest figures such as King Henry viii and John Foxe. In chapters on Hearne as ‘Bookman’ and as ‘Publisher and editor’, Harmsen details the scale of Hearne’s achievement in preparing and publishing thirty-seven volumes between 1703 and 1735. Produced to a high standard of accuracy, most of these were pioneering editions of early English texts, many of major importance, some of which were to be lost in the disastrous fire at the Cottonian Library in 1731. Harmsen gives a good account of Hearne’s efforts to solicit subscriptions and provides an interesting analysis of the seven hundred or so people, by no means only High Churchmen or Tories, who subscribed. There is also a fascinating section on Hearne’s friends, like John Murray ‘a great lover of Punch’, who made up a circle of amateurs and dealers who in spite of the condescension of the Augustan wits were among the first to appreciate early printing and the importance of manuscript scraps, ballads and ephemera. A helpful appendix provides a comprehensive listing of Hearne’s writings and textual editions.

WORCESTER COLLEGE,
OXFORD

RICHARD SHARP
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When in 1683 the attention of most central Europeans was fixed on the Turkish siege of Vienna, a Spanish Franciscan and a Protestant abbot quietly initiated a dialogue that aimed to reunite the Lutheran Church with Rome. In a revised version of her dissertation, Karin Masser investigates this important attempt at confessional reconciliation. The major Catholic protagonist was Christóbal de Gentil de Rojas y Spinola, the future bishop of Wiener Neustadt. His conversation partner was the abbot of Loccum and leader of the Lutheran Church in Hanover, Gerardus Molanus. Intensive discussions between the two contributed to a scheme of confessional reunion that was supported by the Habsburg Emperor Leopold i as well as Pope Innocent xi in Rome. In what will certainly be the definitive study of this critical ecumenical moment, Masser has carefully worked through Austrian, German and Spanish archives to present a fascinating story of the genesis, development and ultimate failure of their dialogue. Though this drama’s geographic focus was Hanover, Masser ranges from Paris to Hungary as she highlights the impact and consequences of this religious discussion which involved individuals as diverse as Louis xiv’s bishop, Jacques-Bénigne Bossuet, and Gottfried Wilhelm von Leibniz. Masser’s treatment of Leibniz is particularly insightful as she contributes to a fuller understanding of the great thinker’s theological convictions. Although this study will certainly supercede earlier scholarship on Spinola, it would have been helpful had Masser located the work of the Franciscan and Lutheran in a broader context of irenic activity. To describe these developments in north Germany as the last great attempt at reunion of the seventeenth century is imprecise and vague. The nature of ecumenism changes substantially after the Peace of Westphalia. What happens in
Hanover in the 1680s is very different from the proceedings at Torun in 1645 or at Regensburg a century earlier. The efforts of Molanus and Spinola were part of a broader pattern of irenic activity sponsored by the likes of Archbishop Johann Philipp von Schönborn, Landgrave Ernst of Hessen Rheinfels and Prince Karl-Ludwig of the Rhine Palatinate. None the less, Masser’s work is a valuable study that highlights an important but neglected chapter of central Europe’s post-Westphalian religious history.

University of Florida

Howard Louthan


This interdisciplinary collection of seventeen articles explores the shifting status of the priest within the culture of the French ancien régime through the clerical images that circulated in its classical literature. Its essays examine the impact of sacerdotal ideals on seventeenth-century Catholic reform, the persistence of anticlericalism in literature and its reciprocal relationship with the satirical and outdated literary caricatures of the corrupt priest which were used to further the controversial enlightenment agendas of rationality and nature. The sources used by the contributors display impressive range: conceptions of priesthood and the priest are garnered from didactic and apologetic texts, fiction, philosophical treatises, the records of legal processes and the relations of Jesuit missionaries. Unfortunately, the quality of the individual articles also ranges, and several of them are far from illuminating to anyone with a passing knowledge of religious culture in early modern France. While the essays by Nicolas Brucker, Yves Krumenacker and Danielle Pister deserve special mention because of their thought-provoking treatments of the contrasting images of the priest in reform and enlightenment literature, others are striking for their lack of depth and originality. In particular, the articles on François de Sales (Blandine Delahaye and Jacques Hennequin) and those on the French Oratory (Bernard Meuret) and Vincent de Paul (Bernard Koch) summarise sacerdotal doctrines competently but add little to the scholarship that one finds in standard secondary sources. In one of the most stimulating contributions to the collection, Krumenacker suggests the ways in which these reformers’ ideals were disseminated in the French seminaries that sprang up under the impetus of dévots like de Paul and Jean-Jacques Olier; he convincingly concludes that the reformers’ success in using this medium to train ordinands resulted in the loss of the dynamic sense of mission that had characterised the early years of the movement. Yet, as three further essays explain (Raymond Baustert, Michel Bouvier and Jean-Louis Vissière), even when priests failed to measure up to it, this ideal was widely known and respected within clerical circles. In fact, the unwillingness or inability of some priests to live according to its elevated principles of sacred service and morality ensured a flourishing tradition of didactic literature to the end of the Bourbon monarchy (Baustert and Pister). Of course, for eighteenth-century philosophes, this was an intrinsically flawed
vocational ideal that contributed to ecclesiastical domination and religious intolerance and they were absolutely unwilling to consider either that it might actually bear any similarity to the lives of some contemporary priests or even that it had genuine merit at all. They could, however, as Brucker describes, cleverly harness their favourite (and clichéd) image of the debauched priest to portray the qualities of the truly honourable cleric: the vicar of Rousseau’s *Emile* may have failed to practice sexual continence, but his attunement to his true human nature meant that he was a far worthier participant in society than his celibate, but greedy and intemperate, confères. In general, despite the erratic quality of its articles, the literary breadth of this collection does offer intriguing perspectives on the realities of early modern priesthood and on the presentations, negative and positive, chimeric and functional, that accompanied it.

**Univeristy of Durham**

**Alison Forrestal**
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This is a brave and interesting analysis of a still neglected subject – especially when one considers the treatment lavished upon heresy. It is interesting because it is a genuine blend of research monograph and synthesis. Whilst largely a study of France, and welcome for this, there are also allusions to the situations in England, Spain and Germany. It is brave for looking at municipal and judicial records in the provinces, eschewing the high profile metropolitan cases. The result considers patterns and trends in blasphemous activities and speech in a manner reminiscent of *Annales* school treatments of other subjects. Here blasphemy becomes the development and reformation of manners which occurs at the behest of secular authorities and processes of middling sort class formation. In an impressive section of the book Cabantous produces compelling empirical evidence of those who habitually blasphemed. Drawing upon his earlier work it is unsurprising that soldiers, sailors and petty tradesmen were habitual offenders. It will also not surprise anyone that marginal individuals feature as perpetrators of blasphemy as an adjunct to other forms of deviant behaviour. The subject also inevitably produced caustic and protracted struggle between municipal, state and ecclesiastical authorities for the regulation and control of morals. This material is always interestingly portrayed and shows that blasphemy was a more widespread form of behaviour than previous accounts accepted. An unfortunate effect of this is to marginalise the religious content of blasphemy and its counter cultural tendencies. Whilst a concentration upon blasphemy as a public order problem avoids the textual excesses of other approaches this can have its own limitations. One effect is to make blasphemy simply a mode of behaviour and Cabantous’s lack of interest in the political and cultural aspirations of antinomians, particularly in England, is unfortunate. Clearly a focus upon behaviour displays the influences that lie behind the study of blasphemy in France and Michel Foucault casts a distinct shadow across the book. Blasphemers thus become individuals striving for expressive subjectivity only to find this removed from them by forms of moral authority themselves experiencing the growing pains of modernism. Only when such subjectivity
has been eroded by the cultivation of more mannered behaviour can such moral agencies of authority relax their grip and allow blasphemy to become an unfashionable form of transgression. Whilst valuable there is a kind of condescension in viewing blasphemy as transgressive behaviour. The characters in Cabantous’s analysis do not have the presence or cultural resonance of Ginzburg’s Menocchio and this perhaps demonstrates the shortcoming of the failure to see blasphemy as interactive with ideas. The ideological link with the famous French heresies of a previous age is also never fully discussed, whilst there is only minimal discussion of the impact of the *philosophes*. Blasphemers did not give up their transgression because it became unfashionable and their role as contributors to modernism rather than victims of its relentless advance needs to be equally, if not more substantially, appreciated.

**David Nash**

Brookes University

---
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Schmal’s doctoral thesis sets out to analyse Maria Theresa’s religious views and practical devotions, which, she maintains, expressed the empress’s sense of mission concerning the spiritual welfare of her family and subjects. The author draws on the empress’s correspondence with her family, her educational prescriptions and religious instructions for her children, records of court protocol, the diaries of her Obersthofmeister, Prince Khevenhüller-Metsch, and the reports of the Prussian envoy, Count Podewils. Further evidence is cited in support of the thesis put forward by Peter Hersche and others on Maria Theresa’s Jansenist leanings. Franz Stephan’s writings on religion are likewise taken into account as a possible influence on her views. The originality of the emperor’s religious ideas is, however, asserted rather than proved, and, as the author admits, it was precisely her husband’s eclecticism and unquestioning belief which Maria Theresa held up for emulation by her children, perhaps sounding a note of self-criticism regarding her own more inquisitive nature. In the first section of the book Maria Theresa’s instructions for her children’s upbringing and their conduct as adults are dealt with in some detail. It can be inferred that her own education differed considerably in breadth and intellectual rigour from the curriculum she laid down for her daughters, and even the crown prince’s education gave disproportionate weight to instruction in his religious and filial duties (pp. 58–62). Following on from these divergent priorities, an instructive comparison might have been drawn between Maria Theresa’s views of her religious duties and those held by her father, Charles vi, and her recalcitrant son, Joseph ii. Her relations with her eldest son in particular would have merited closer attention in view of their acrimonious debates on the course of religious policy after Joseph’s accession as emperor and co-regent in 1765. However, Joseph is virtually written out of the story: apart from an outline of his educational curriculum, there are but two quotations from the empress’s letters relating to the conflict over toleration (pp. 217–18).
Schmal’s decision to treat her subject in isolation, with little or no regard for its political and historical context, results in a curiously blinkered perspective in parts II and III, which discuss Maria Theresa’s relations with other members of the dynasty and her subjects. The important issues of confessional pluralism and religious tolerance are not dealt with at all, and no mention is made of the persecution and deportation of Protestant subjects, although the term crypto-Protestantism crops up once (p. 33). A further aspect of the empress’s intolerance, her antisemitism, is likewise not touched upon. Schmal’s insistence on the undisputed popularity of Maria Theresa’s religious views is qualified by her own evidence of resistance to her enlightened decrees against ‘superstitious practices’ and her reduction of the number of feast days and pilgrimages. In general, Schmal’s approach is too narrow to allow a meaningful account of Maria Theresa’s ‘pietas’.

GERMAN HISTORICAL INSTITUTE, LONDON

The transforming power of the nuns. Women, religion and cultural change in Ireland, 1750–1900.
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This is in many ways an extremely informative, well-written and convincing book, at least so far as its central thesis is concerned. Magray persuasively argues that nuns in nineteenth-century Ireland not only helped to bring about a transformation in religious practice that amounted to a ‘devotional revolution’, but that they also assisted in the process of social change. They helped to instil in the poor habits of social virtue, encouraging cleanliness and industry and thus propagated the twin messages of social virtue and religious sanctity. The growth of women religious in Ireland is a story of phenomenal expansion. In 1800 there were 120 women religious; by 1900 there were 8,000. Virtually no aspect of Irish Catholic public life was without the involvement of these women. Convents were an instrument of reform for the Irish Church and not a consequence of reform. One problem, however, which does vitiate the work is that its interpretative stance is too informed by the presuppositions of late twentieth-century American feminism, which does not allow the evidence to be read in nineteenth-century terms. This is especially true when Magray tries to argue that lesbianism was a prominent feature of convent life. The fact that mothers superior would enjoin upon the sisters to avoid ‘particular friendships’ is not evidence that such friendships were ‘homo-social, intensely homo-emotional and at times homoerotic’ (p. 63) in the way that Magray believes. Furthermore she quotes a letter of St Augustine, quite out of context and one suspects without having consulted the original, as evidence of lesbian activity among women religious in the fifth century. She is also determined that women entered convents in nineteenth-century Ireland simply as a means of participating in the regeneration of Irish society. The idea that such women had a sense of the transcendent in their lives or that they entered religious life out of a sense of vocation as a call from God, hardly seems to have occurred to her. Similarly, in dealing with the social stratification of convent life and the distinction between ‘lay’ and ‘choir’ sisters, Magray treats this simply as a reflection of the prevailing social mores where some women had service positions in
big houses. Doubtless there is some truth in her claims but one has to also appreciate that even lay-sisters had a sense of divine calling. Some of her theological assertions are unnuanced as, for example, ‘in the Roman Catholic Church, good works had long been proclaimed the principal method of personal salvation’ (p. 35). She also thinks that the identification of religious superiors with Christ was tantamount to proclaiming women to be members of the priestly class. One has only to look at the rule of St Benedict (written for men) to see that such identification has nothing to do with priesthood. This is a provocative and important book, and takes its place among a growing body of material dealing with women’s history in nineteenth-century Ireland. It deserves to be widely read.

Oliver P. Rafferty S.J
Jesuit House of Studies, Dublin
Scotland and Catholicism in Quebec. McBride also delineates the complexities of the relationship between Presbyterian political outlook and the structure and theology of Presbyterianism in the eighteenth century. The author’s skilful and intelligent analysis indicates the various factions within Presbyterianism – Seceders, Covenanters, New Light, Old Light – but he also shows the desire on the part of many to avoid splits in ecclesiastical harmony over questions of doctrine. This led one disgruntled Presbyterian would-be Torquemada to complain of John Simson, New Light professor of theology, that he had perfected the technique of ‘teaching heresy orthodoxly’. The radicalism of the eighteenth century that forged the link between theology and political action gave way in the nineteenth century to a conservative defence of the Union of 1800. McBride is undoubtedly correct to stress that the rise of Catholic political power caused Presbyterians to abandon the political élan of their forebears. But, although, persuasive in many respects, one does not have to accept his assertion that Presbyterian radicalism represented the continuation of the war against popery by other means (p. 13) nor his conclusion that the United Irish project was bound to fail (p. 230). He is however right to stress that in Ireland sectarianism often occluded worthy political co-operation across the religious divide.

**JESUIT HOUSE OF STUDIES,** 
OLIVER P. RAFFERTY SJ

**DUBLIN**
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These two books, so very different from each other, have a point in common: St Seraphim of Sarov (1759–1833). Nicholas Fennell’s book ends with a tribute to him, mentioning the monastic community which he founded at Diveyevo, Russia, now reopened after decades of closure under the Soviets, and praising the spirit of reconciliation with the Greek Orthodox Church which is to be found there. Fr Michael Plekon characterises St Seraphim as the Russian saint most relevant to our times and uses his biography as an introduction to nine further _Living icons,_ men – and one woman – now all dead, who represented the best of Russian Orthodox spirituality in the twentieth century.

Apart from this, the books are very different from each other, though both, not uncritically, bring into focus the contribution which Russian Orthodoxy can make to the faith of the new century. Fennell’s book, addressed to a readership already versed in Russian church history, contains many pages of outstanding new research on the Holy Mountain, a subject which, as he illustrates all too clearly, is still largely obscure to the world at large. Plekon’s work speaks to a wider public, not only of the Orthodox faith, and is to some extent a re-telling of life histories available elsewhere. As the book progresses, however, the chapters become more and more original and his assessment of Russian theologians who have played a leading role on the American church stage is illuminating and challenging.

This reviewer, who has twice been to the Holy Mountain, did not begin to understand the history of the Russian presence there until reading this book. The
Greek–Russian controversy over land, economic wealth and property dominated the nineteenth century and until now the story has been told only in biased sources, whether Greek or Russian. Fennell clearly has immense sympathy for the Russians and speaks the language, but he reads Greek sources, too, and his impartiality never wavers. He is quite capable of being critical of the maladministration within the great Russian monastery of St Panteleimon, one of the twenty major foundations which together supply the council that governs Mount Athos.

The Russian Skete of the Prophet Elijah is not one of the ruling twenty and Fennell shows it to have embodied much of the best of the Russian monastic tradition. He recounts the admirable efficiency with which it handled the one-time massive influx of pilgrims and chapter vii describes life there in the brief and almost idyllic decade before the 1917 Revolution.

Fennell characterises Athos on the eve of the First World War as ‘the scene of ethnic quarrels fuelled by greed, jealousy and even violence … monastic humility and other-worldliness were being forgotten … God’s will prevailed: the Russians were humbled, made destitute and brought back to their senses’ (p. 318). Too strong, perhaps, in the light of the events of the 1990s: the ejection of the Russians from the Prophet Elijah Skete by the Greeks in 1992; the subsequent cases claiming repossession in the Greek courts, and in 1996 at the European Commission of Human Rights in Strasbourg, which the Russians lost. The controversy continues.

Living icons, too, presents plenty of evidence about disagreements and unpleasantness over theological issues in the Russian Orthodox Church. Fr Plekon’s aim is to show the relevance of Orthodoxy as a living faith in the west, as well as in Russia and in this he succeeds to an exciting degree. Here is a book which contains strong theological argument, presented in a readable and stimulating way.

After the chapter on St Seraphim of Sarov in the heyday of the Tsarist empire, Fr Plekon takes a big leap forward to the period of communist persecution. This entails a geographical shift, too, because theology became an impossible discipline in Russia (except in deeply clandestine conditions) for seventy years until the 1980s. Therefore the chief geographical focus of the activity of the next six figures portrayed is Paris, from Fr Sergius Bulgakov to Fr Nicolas Afanasiev. Then with Frs Alexander Schmemann and John Meyendorff it switches to New York, before finally returning, as the last feature of a satisfying parabola, to Russia again with Fr Alexander Men, murdered in 1990.

All these gifted proponents of Russian Orthodoxy engendered controversy in one way or another and it is not the least of the book’s virtues to represent this in an open and stimulating manner. The culmination of this, described on p. 234, was the ceremonial book burning on 5 May 1998 of several works of contemporary Orthodox theology. This occurred at Ekaterinburg, the original political patch of Boris Yeltsin, who was still Russian president at the time, under the auspices of the local bishop, Nikon, whom the Moscow patriarchate has since removed. One might have expected, perhaps, that the works of Fr Alexander Men, who has been called the ‘apostle of Russian church glasnost’, would have featured in the auto-da-fe, but so also did books by three other of Fr Plekon’s heroes, Afanasiev, Schmemann and Meyendorff.

It is doubtful, to be honest, whether any of these theologians was well understood in Russia. However, the last two were great figures of religious culture in America. At the great seminary of St Vladimir in New York Meyendorff succeeded Schmemann as dean. Both made an immense contribution by demonstrating the relevance of
Orthodoxy to American society, but their ecumenical commitment, which did not prevent Fr Meyendorff from being a critic of certain modernistic and social trends within the World Council of Churches, made them less than fully acceptable to the more tradition-bound elements in their Church. It is a fascinating story, with a strong personal element, as Fr Plekon imbibed ideals from both of these in person.

He was not able, of course, to know Fr Alexander Men in the same way, but he clearly depicts him as a theologian of immense importance and relevance for the future of the Orthodox Church in Russia, partly because of his martyr’s death, but also because of the brilliance of his writings themselves. Born of Jewish parents and educated in the heyday of repression (he was eighteen when Stalin died), Fr Men illustrated astonishing powers in being able to write about theology at all. This section, strangely, shows worse proof-reading than the rest of the book, which would, nevertheless, be worth buying for this chapter alone.

Keston Institute, Michael Bourdeaux
Oxford
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For the last four decades the history of Christianity in Australia, New Zealand and the Pacific Islands has been a flourishing area of research and writing. But unlike the field of literature, where Australian and New Zealand novelists sometimes get short-listed for the Booker Prize, with few exceptions the published work on the religious history of the region is not widely known in Britain and North America. This book, in a prestigious series on the history of the Christian Church, is therefore important. It is the first substantial synthesis of the modern religious history of the whole region and also a reference work which international scholars will consult for information on the distinctive shape and influence of the Christian Churches in Australasia. Ian Breward, who recently retired as Professor of Church History in the United Faculty of Theology in Melbourne, has gained a high reputation as a teacher and irenic interpreter of the history of Christianity to generations of students in both New Zealand and Australia. His previous survey A history of the Australian Churches (1993) prepared the way for this much larger work.

Christianity was brought to Australasia in many different forms. In the late eighteenth century Anglican chaplains were sent to the British penal colony at Botany Bay on the eastern coast of Australia. Missionaries of the London Missionary Society began a mission at Tahiti in the Pacific Islands in 1797 and the Church Missionary Society went to the Maori of New Zealand in 1814. The Catholicism that took root in Australia and New Zealand in the nineteenth century was predominantly Irish while in the Pacific Islands the majority of Catholic missionaries were French. The construction of a coherent account of the history of Christianity in this diverse region – stretching from the lush tropical islands of Polynesia to the deserts of central Australia – presents many problems. Breward has chosen a chronological approach, with each chapter based on a broad theme (such as ‘The making of Christian societies’) which integrates parallel developments in Australia, New Zealand and the
Pacific. The advantage of this is that the island churches are not relegated to a separate section but are shown to be part of the same Australasian world as the settler colonies. It is good to be reminded, for example (p. 41), that by the 1840s ‘strong Polynesian churches greatly outnumbered the small settler churches in Australia and New Zealand’. The weakness is an occasional scrambled paragraph or section, which juxtaposes information on people and events from widely different contexts and which can make for heavy reading.

The book ranges widely over the history of every major branch of Christianity in Australasia. Breward’s command of the sources is impressive. These include specialised monographs, recent theses, journal and newspaper articles, church archives and collections of private papers. He is temperate in his judgements, sometimes astringent but habitually generous. In every chapter the narrative is given colour by local case studies from urban and rural congregations, brief vignettes of church leaders and telling incidents. One learns, for example (p. 327), that Sister (Dame) Mary Leo, a Sister of Mercy in Auckland who was New Zealand’s most famous singing teacher – one of her pupils was Kiri Te Kanawa – insisted that her girls thank God for closing examiners’ ears to their mistakes. Developments in worship, music and church architecture are adequately summarised, and attention is given to Protestant bodies outside the mainstream such as the Seventh-day Adventists and the various Pentecostal Churches. In both Australia and New Zealand the latter, having expanded rapidly since the 1970s, are now an important part of the religious scene, claiming more regular churchgoers than the Anglican Church. The material on women’s public roles in the Church does not blaze a new trail on religion and gender but provides a useful synthesis of current scholarship.

In reading this book many different themes emerge. One of them is the social and cultural dominance of the broad Protestant (including Anglican) tradition in both Australia and New Zealand until the 1960s and its decline since then. Breward writes (pp. 239, 248) without condescension on the undogmatic ‘culture Protestantism’ that was the religion of a large section of the population: ‘Dismissed by church leaders as nominalism, it was much more, notably a redefinition of Christianity in lay terms.’ Another theme is the interaction, sometimes acrimonious but also creative, between the Protestant majority and the Roman Catholic minority in almost every country and island group. In its religious pluralism, Breward claims (p. 183), ‘Australasia provided the space for Christian generosity to grow out of the bitter religious enmities of Britain and Europe’. A third theme is the interaction of Christianity with the indigenous cultures of the region. Breward gives due attention to indigenous expressions of Christianity that emerged initially in the Pacific Islands and New Zealand, then, in the last few decades, among the Australian Aborigines.

This book provides a richly textured and thickly peopled survey of the history of the Christian Churches in Australasia, with many original insights. However, Breward is not inclined to make broad generalisations so that those who are looking for a dramatic new interpretation of the history of Christianity in Australasia may be disappointed. In some sections the main contours tend to get submerged in the detail. The physical environment of the region, and the ways that this is shaping Christian thinking and spirituality, is not strongly conveyed. It would be good to hear the actual words of new hymns and prayers and theological writings. The appeal at different times of unorthodox and sectarian bodies such as the Christian Scientists, Unitarians, Christadelphians and Jehovah’s Witnesses deserves some discussion. There are
numerous maps but the index is inadequate. Many subjects covered in the text have no obvious index entry, which will make it hard for readers who wish to follow up specific topics or individuals. And, inevitably, in a book that covers so much ground, there are some minor factual slips. But this is an impressive piece of work that fills a huge gap. For years to come it will be a resource for historians and a stimulus to debate and further research.

Flinders University, Adelaide

DAVID HILLIARD
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Put up your hands all ye learned readers of this journal, and admit how many of you have never heard of Friedrich Bialloblotzky! Not to worry, the Italian customs men in 1828 could only account for him as an infernal phenomenon, and for his name as Diavolobloccio; and even Dr Railton whose sprightly monograph contains everything that is ever likely to be known about his hero, has had to pad it out with a number of tangential matters to get it up to size. But his tale is rewarding as a case study of a phenomenon about which too little is known, viz. Anglo-German theological diplomacy in the early nineteenth century, and is doubtless more typical than those like Steinkopf who are remembered, because Bialloblotzky’s was a life of unrelied failure. The son of a superintendent of the Hanoverian Church who was at once a refugee from Polish persecution and a friend of the British and Foreign Bible Society, the university of Göttingen removed him for harmless Methodistical practices; some happy, more or less freelance years, plying between Germany and Cairo in the interests of the Bible Society and the Wesleyan Methodist Missionary Society, showed how much the former still had to learn (the Bible having much popularity in Greece for its usefulness in rolling cigarettes, while in Aleppo ‘one could scarcely buy butter or cheese without receiving a leaf of the Holy Scriptures’) but ended with Bialloblotzky’s being cashiered by a WMMS kangaroo court for peripheral doctrinal deviations of which they had known from the beginning. Keeping himself alive by teaching, he won golden opinions from the entire British educational establishment, but neither Cheshunt nor University College, London, would give him a proper job. Divorced by his English wife for cruelty, he further expanded his horizons. He would find the source of the Nile, and put the human race on track by means of a grand congress of all the sciences – the Evangelical Alliance much glorified and sublimated. Alas! all he showed was that there is a downside to having the world as your parish.

PETERSFIELD W. R. WARD

The history of a cathedral, like that of any living institution, is notoriously difficult to write: does one address the concerns of academic historians, of former members of the congregation or of devotees of innovations in ecclesiastical architecture? Tonkin has managed the problem well, focusing on the question of what each generation of Perth’s Anglicans thought the cathedral of this, the remotest of cities, ought to be, and how St George’s measured up in practice to that ideal. His thorough research, use of illustrations and careful reflection reveal a sparkling array of episcopal and decanal personalities whose engagement in public controversies will provoke reactions in readers of all kinds. Tonkin’s conclusion, comparing St George’s, Perth, with the English cathedral tradition, is a significant historical reflection on the supposed irrelevance of formal ritual and choral music to contemporary Australian culture.

The only serious flaw in Cathedral and community is the almost complete absence of indigenous Australians: one could be forgiven for thinking that both the community and the buildings associated with St George’s were built in a terra nullius, and this despite the precocious commitment of Perth’s first bishop to Aboriginal self-determination all too briefly acknowledged by Tonkin (p. 23). Although written by a single author in chronological sequence, this book makes a significant contribution to Australian religious history and can confidently take its place alongside the many excellent, multi-author histories of English cathedrals published in recent years.

University of Melbourne

Peter Sherlock


American liberal theology has not been treated all that well over the past century. Neo-orthodoxy mocked its complacency, liberation theology its timidity. While some scholars have dismissed it as the cautious derivative of German liberalism, others have equated it narrowly with the modernist adaptation to Darwin. In the first of an intended three volumes, Gary Dorrien explores the nineteenth-century roots of American liberal theology and argues for a more complete appreciation of its origins and character. Central to this effort is his argument that the fundamental premises and objectives of liberal theology can be traced clearly through the nineteenth, and even into the eighteenth century. He also suggests that American liberal theology was a distinct, and largely indigenous creation. Its connections to the German ‘gods’ of liberalism were generally indirect; its dependence on English sources, especially Coleridge, was far greater. And, unlike European liberalism rooted in the university, America’s path-breaking liberal thinkers were pastors and developed their positions in their pulpits. Finally, he makes a case for the intellectual vitality of American liberal theology. Neither simply derivative nor accommodationist, he argues that American liberals contributed to a tradition that ‘has been and remains the most creative and influential tradition of theological reflection since the Reformation’ (p. xv).
In advancing these arguments Dorrien takes a biographical approach, offering a series of intellectual portraits resting primarily on lengthy explorations of doctrine and theology, with secondary attention paid to intellectual, institutional and personal influences. To a certain extent, the breadth of these reviews obscures the narrative thread that Dorrien pursues through the text, but these exegetical treatments prove, nevertheless, the strength of the book. Capturing not only the nuances within individual thought, but within liberalism itself, he successfully portrays a multi-layered intellectual tradition rich in its complexity and laced with irony.

It is perhaps the ironies within American liberalism that prove most intriguing. Throughout the book Dorrien describes an intellectual movement struggling to maintain a centre once the process of reform begins. Anxious to free Christianity of anachronistic and morally offensive doctrine, liberals fought the tendency to slip into a too inclusive relativism – a relativism that may have been intellectually exciting but made poor church, and lent credibility to the old conservative argument that Unitarianism, or liberalism of any sort perhaps, was less a third way than a halfway house to infidelity. Concomitantly, liberals struggled with the problem of heresy – first as the targets of orthodox crusaders like Jedediah Morse and Jeremiah Evarts, and later as the defenders of ‘liberal orthodoxy’ against the still more liberal challenges of Theodore Parker and Arthur McGiffert. Readers may also be struck by a set of ironies rooted in the particularity of American liberalism. If theologically ambitious, American liberals, tied to congregations not universities, were often inhibited by their conservative constituencies from developing social and political philosophies as bold as their theology. Even Horace Bushnell, the figure Dorrien places at the centre of his narrative and labels the ‘most profound and spiritually uplifting American religious thinker of the nineteenth century’ (p. xxv), maintained views on race and gender that failed to rise above contemporary limitations.

Dorrien’s emphases leave certain questions unexplored. For example, the broader literary and philosophical contributions of Unitarians and Transcendentalists to American culture receive minimal treatment. In other places Dorrien’s exegetical priorities seem to prevent his exploring intriguing points raised by his own material – for example, Parker’s observation that Unitarianism struggled for an audience because its leaders were not all that religious. And while Henry Ward Beecher’s world is explored in its fleshy details, Dorrien’s analysis of the personal influences of many others is somewhat narrow and formulaic. Yet all in all this is an impressive and valuable contribution to the study of American religion. Dorrien’s intellectual portraits of these nineteenth-century thinkers are rich, and made all the more valuable for his ability to translate the opaque into language that is clear and in places eloquent. His narrative is appropriately complex and sensitively nuanced, and his conclusions regarding the distinctiveness of the American liberal tradition are convincing. We look forward to the next volume.

University of California, Berkeley

Marshall Foletta
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Newman and the Word is the published proceedings of the second Oxford International Newman Conference, held at Oriel College in 1998. All but one of the collected papers display the editors’ conviction that John Henry Newman’s work is still relevant for ‘contemporary developments in religion, theology, philosophy and literature’. The exception here is Alister McGrath, whose essay on what he sees as Newman’s woefully ill-informed and almost wilfully perverse reading of Martin Luther and his doctrine of justification, finds no contemporary import in Newman’s work. McGrath still sings Newman’s hymns, but he will not sing his praises. The Word – as God’s self-bestowal in Christ and Christ in Scripture and the experience of the Church – is sufficiently extensive for the rest of the contributors to engage with the conference theme. Terrence Merrigan contrasts John Hick’s understanding of Christ with that of Newman’s to show how pluralist theology retreats from the imaginative traditions of ‘narrative, praxis, and worship’ that alone enliven religious images. Gabriel Daly reflects on Newman’s ‘liberalism’, as espoused by George Tyrrell, and focused in Newman’s understanding of ‘revelation’, as the giving and receiving of God’s word. Ian Ker attends to those who hear and attest the Word, the ‘people of God’ who read and live the Scripture; and in Newman and the first three chapters of Lumen gentium, Ker finds resources for contesting the clericalising distinction between clergy and laity. It is the whole Church, and not any one group within it, which remains faithful to the Word. Sheridan Gilley offers a finely nuanced account of the shared ideas and sentiments of Newman and the one-time Unitarian, Richard Holt Hutton, who wrote at least thirty-six articles on Newman, and who longed to believe in Newman’s adopted Church, since it would underwrite his belief if only he could believe in its underwriting. In the last four essays of Newman and the Word, Louis Dupré and Fergus Kerr offer lucid accounts of Newman’s philosophical interests, and William Myers and Terry R. Wright bring Newman’s thought to bear on Lacanian and Derridean theory. Dupré relates Newman to the neoplatonic tradition of negative theology, especially the Cambridge Platonists, Nathaniel Culverwell and Ralph Cudworth, as well as to bishops Berkeley and Butler. But Dupré does not address contemporary issues through Newman’s thought. For that we have to turn to Kerr’s essay, which seeks to locate Newman as an Oxford philosopher, and finds him approaching certain themes in Wittgenstein, Derrida and Alasdair MacIntyre: on the temptations of metaphysics and the necessity of tradition for thought. Wright also finds Derridean themes in Newman’s reading of Scripture, in his embrace of its spiritual senses. The Bible’s multivalency frustrates those who want simple certainties but opens up a new world for those who, like Newman’s Callista, are willing to be absorbed by and in its text. Myers also turns to Newman’s eponymous Callista, not only to find intimations of later theory, but also to challenge Lacanian and Zizkian nihilism. In Myers’s able hands, the Newman of the Philosophical notebook engages and ‘redeems’ contemporary thought, thus fulfilling the editors’ aspirations for Newman and the Word.

GERARD LOUGHLIN

UNIVERSITY OF NEWCASTLE-UPON-TYNE
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A six-hundred-page volume on the history of papal–Belgian relations between the 1830s and the 1850s seems unlikely to attract a large readership. This is a shame, because Viaene’s study is a remarkable, fascinating and almost entirely novel contribution to the history of religion and religious politics in nineteenth-century Europe. Viaene’s focus may be ostensibly narrow but it is in every sense a project on a large scale. He has been remarkably enterprising in tracking down primary material in five countries, and at least as many languages, and has succeeded in reconstructing every twist and turn in the multi-faceted relations between the papacy of Gregory XVI and the first decade of Pius IX and the new Catholic nation-state of Belgium which emerged unexpectedly from the political and diplomatic events of 1830–1. In truth, Viaene’s volume is in many respects composed of two separate but interconnected studies. First, he provides a perceptive account of the dynamics of Catholic politics in Belgium during the first two decades of the state’s existence. In doing so, he makes comprehensible the way in which the unionist politics of Leopold I, liberal in name but conservative in character, was gradually eroded by the emergence of the respective poles of Liberal and Catholic movements. The dynamic element in that process was the Catholic spiritual revival which, far from being a defensive reaction to forces of secular modernisation, Viaene convincingly presents as an autonomous and modern force. Under the influence of that revival, the tenor and structure of Catholic life in Belgium underwent substantial change: the dominant cautious liberal Catholicism of the 1830s, associated with the archbishopric of Mechelen and the University of Louvain, gave way to the much more intransigent mentality of the missionary and ultramontane Catholicism of the 1850s. Secondly, Viaene provides the most detailed and documented study of which I am aware of the papacy during the pontificate of Gregory XVI and the early decisive years of Pius IX. His focus initially is on papal diplomacy and how Gregory XVI sought to guarantee Catholic interests and influence in Belgium. But, as he proceeds, the scope of Viaene’s study draws remorselessly wider as the diplomacy of the early years is overlaid with the manifold currents of intra-Catholic disputes in Belgium (notably the persistent tension between Mechelen and the Jesuits), the revolutionary upheavals of 1848–9 and, most subtly, the gradual almost imperceptible changes within the papacy itself as the Restoration Roman aristocracy lost ground to the more intransigent and essentially religious figures who surrounded Pius IX. Viaene’s thread through this complex process is the emergence of the modern Vatican which for the first time sought and accepted the leadership of European Catholicism. Thus, rather than seeing the demise of the papal states in 1859–60 as the beginning of the modern papacy, Viaene seeks to reorientate our attention towards the much less dramatic processes of change at work in Rome since the 1830s. It is a stimulating argument, and provides just one of the reasons why Viaene’s study deserves a much wider audience than those few drawn to the study of nineteenth-century Belgium.

Balliol College, Oxford

Martin Conway

In this book Mark Chapman focuses on the positive achievements of a German liberal theologian, Ernst Troeltsch (1865–1923). Troeltsch is currently experiencing ‘rehabilitation’ by his theological supporters and this book contributes to the process for the English-speaking world. According to Chapman, among Wilhelmine theologians, Troeltsch most consistently and emphatically applied history to theology. Although Chapman undoubtedly disagrees with post-war neo-orthodox critics concerning Troeltsch’s liberal theology, he is more concerned with comparing Troeltsch with his theological contemporaries. Chapman argues that Troeltsch applied history to theology more consistently than Ritschl and Ritschlians (Hermann and Kaftan) and moreover than Harnack and other members of the History of Religions School, especially Bousset. Harnack’s historical approach was ultimately dogmatic and Bousset devalued the historical as a necessary factor in any religion. In addition, Chapman highlights Troeltsch’s idea of Europeanism based upon the Enlightenment tradition and defends his controversial notion of compromise. In short, this book successfully demonstrates Troeltsch’s consistent historical approach to theology and sheds much light on the broader theological context of Troeltsch’s ideas. Its clarity of organisation and expression make it accessible to advanced undergraduates and beginning graduate students too. There is, however, a problem, for Chapman overestimates Troeltsch’s anti-relativist solution in the idea of Europeanism. While it criticises nationalism among European nations admirably, it also has isolationist tendencies with regard to other civilisations; and this Chapman fails to observe. Troeltsch’s project to preserve the universal in history, the point which Chapman notes and which separates Troeltsch from Weber, led him to limit historical validity to the west, and to deny any commonness (even in the case of mathematics) between the west and other civilisations. This civilisational discontinuity is not only contrary to historical reality, but also points to the theoretical difficulty in Troeltsch’s idea of compromise despite its rich examples in sociology and politics.

William Paterson University, Wayne, New Jersey


This volume is a history of the Cambridge Inter-Collegiate Christian Union (CICCU), first published in 1977 as Whatever happened to the Jesus Lane lot?, and now reissued with the addition of three chapters and an epilogue by Robert Horn covering the years up to 2002. Oliver Barclay’s history of CICCU from 1877 to 1977 remains valuable as a guide to a movement crucial to the survival and growth of conservative evangelicalism in Britain and beyond in the twentieth century.
He writes as one deeply committed to its ideals, but ready to recognise its failings and the way it evolved – for instance, over the matter of gender. The portrait is on occasion somewhat partisan. CICCU’s rival, the Student Christian Movement, was not as uniformly liberal as Barclay states. Barclay also passes over some uncomfortable areas, such as the anti-Catholicism of Willie Nicholson, a key figure in CICCU in the 1920s. From Cambridge to the world provides new material in the final three chapters. These show how significant conservative evangelicalism remains in British university life and how it has declined since 1980. CICCU continues to evolve, having a woman as president in the early 1990s and showing a greater warmth to the social aspects of Christianity. Horn, like Barclay, is deeply committed to CICCU’s ideals and it would have been helpful to have had more discussion of the reasons for CICCU’s decline in the last two decades. None the less From Cambridge to the world provides a valuable window onto the world of student Christianity, Cambridge and the development of conservative evangelicalism in the last 125 years.

LONDON.

From Cambridge to the world provides new material in the final three chapters. These show how significant conservative evangelicalism remains in British university life and how it has declined since 1980. CICCU continues to evolve, having a woman as president in the early 1990s and showing a greater warmth to the social aspects of Christianity. Horn, like Barclay, is deeply committed to CICCU’s ideals and it would have been helpful to have had more discussion of the reasons for CICCU’s decline in the last two decades. None the less From Cambridge to the world provides a valuable window onto the world of student Christianity, Cambridge and the development of conservative evangelicalism in the last 125 years.

Fulford, York
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The Plan of Campaign was an attempt by some leading Parnellites to meet the challenges of continued agricultural distress and evictions in the Ireland of the 1880s. If rents were too high tenants agreed to pay what they thought was a fair sum. If this were refused the monies would then be paid into a collective fund aimed at supporting evicted tenants. Not only was the enterprise illegal but many individuals, especially the landlords, thought it to be immoral. The plan was eventually condemned by the Holy Office and Pope Leo XIII in 1888. Macaulay’s meticulous research in the Vatican archives does much further to illuminate a period of Irish history which has claimed the attention of many commentators and scholars from Conor Cruise O’Brien to Emmett Larkin. Here, however, for the first time we have knowledge of what some of the main protagonists were writing to one another. This is especially true of Archbishop Persico’s mission in 1887. On the basis of his report the Plan of Campaign was condemned by the Holy See, much to the irritation of the Irish hierarchy. Persico’s letters to Cardinal Rampolla, the Vatican’s secretary of state, demonstrates that at times he lacked the detachment one expects of a diplomat on a sensitive mission. The Vatican’s political maneuverings over Ireland were played out against the background of its attempts to gain diplomatic recognition from the British government at a time when its international prestige had gone into free-fall. Further complications arose in 1889 when the Vatican was also involved in detailed negotiations with the British government over the exact status of the Catholic Church in Malta. Here was further inducement for the Holy See to read the affairs of Ireland through British eyes. This book also demonstrates the extent of the pressure brought to bear on the Vatican by British Catholic grandees, Tory to a man, to take a decidedly pro-government and anti-radical stance on Irish disaffection. Communications between individuals such as Sir John Ross and Henry Fitzalan-Howard,
15th duke of Norfolk, and the Holy See did not end with condemnation of the plan. They helped to poison the Vatican’s attitude towards Parnell when the Kitty O’Shea affair came to light. In this they had the unwitting support of the Irish bishops who also found it necessary to condemn the great Irish constitutional leader. Macaulay also has much to say on the ecclesiastic who was without doubt the leader of Catholic Ireland in his day: William Walsh, archbishop of Dublin. Walsh gradually lost the confidence of the Holy See because of his supposedly ‘advanced nationalist’ political views. For the first time, notwithstanding two biographies of Walsh, we now know the extent of the campaign waged by British Catholic ‘toffs’, on their own initiative and at the behest of Lord Salisbury, the prime minister, to prevent Walsh from being created a cardinal. Although at times the book is perhaps a bit too deferential to the workings of institutional Catholicism it is none the less a superbly lucid exposition of its subject. Based on a wide survey of original material the present volume confirms its author as one of the leading exponents of nineteenth-century Irish ecclesiastical history. His book is to be warmly commended.

ORELL P. RAFFERTY SJ

JESUIT HOUSE OF STUDIES, DUBLIN


This Encyclopedia of fundamentalism is not much concerned with the role of ‘fundamentalist’ religion in Britain or Europe. This is a very American book the central subject of which is the role of popular Protestantism in today’s United States. In America, Protestant ‘fundamentalism’ began in the nineteenth century out of sincere horror at the theological implications of liberal biblical criticism. Many people have never been clear how Christianity could survive if it were not ‘fundamentalist’. But as the twentieth century went on, and especially after the Second World War, the mood and content of the reaction changed, becoming much more irrational and as much concerned with obtaining political as religious influence. Large new Protestant movements appeared – and their newness was one of the most important things about them – which also financed new mission fields outside America. These organisations were indifferent to traditional ideas of ‘the Church’, many of them were financed with money raised through radio or television, and they combined elements of either Calvinist pessimism or Wesleyan perfectionism with an antimodernist agenda. Here ‘antimodernism’ meant hostility to almost everything outside an imagined American Protestant past – hostility to Science, to Feminism, to Socialism and Communism, to any kind of thought or theology or behaviour which might be called ‘liberal’. This subculture produced a fanatical devotion to the idea of the United States as the chosen instrument of God’s power at the end of history, whereas the United Nations, for example, could be dismissed as a Communist front for the Devil. The increasing seriousness with which premillennialism was taken implied an obsession with violence. There is a deep-rooted educational failure at work here. The Encyclopaedia recognises that antimodernist fundamentalism is not confined either to America or to Protestantism, but that similar reactions can be
found in certain sections of Roman Catholicism, Hinduism, Islam and Judaism. All these religious bodies have suffered in their own ways from the pressure of modern change and all have entries here, but Brenda Brasher and her colleagues are above all concerned with the growth of the so-called Religious Right in America and its challenge to the less Christian society which has been developing in the United States since the Revolution. The *Encyclopaedia* throws a grim light on what can emerge from the self-styled ‘religious’ mind when society changes too fast for a substantial minority of its members. Some contributors quote the sociological view that extreme fundamentalism attracts ‘literate but jobless, unmarried male youths marginalised by modernity’. The volume suffers from the lack of separate biographical entries, which means that one has to rely on the index to collect information on a key figure like John Nelson Darby (1800–82), whose Dispensationalism had more influence on Protestantism in America than it did in England. Much space is devoted to summaries of ‘orthodox’ Christian dogma, in order to clarify the ‘fundamentalist’ deviations. The bibliographies are excellent, but British readers would need more help in selecting what would be useful to them. Reading, not just consulting, this book is a salutary experience.

**Bristol**

**JOHN KENT**


*JEH* (54) 2003; DOI: 10.1017/S0022046903657982

‘Catholic frogs squat on logs’, cry the state-school kids. Australian historians have long identified the creation of a community-funded Catholic education system as a major factor in defining and isolating that community. This study sets out to investigate the distinctiveness of Catholic education in Australia. Its periodisation is Vatican-centred, ranging from the beginning of the reign of Pope Pius XI in 1922 to the end of the Second Vatican Council in 1965. Its rather circular method is to identify the distinctive aims and practices of Catholic educators in Australia, and to explain these by reference to the distinctive training and backgrounds of these educators. Four major features are found to give the Australian system its particularity. The author does not pull his punches. Authoritarianism, traced here to the dominance of the religious as teachers, resulted in rote learning, harsh corporal punishment and active anti-intellectualism – ‘Knowledge puffeth up’, said the Christian Brothers. The centrality of the religious aim – to create adherence to Catholic doctrine, and heavenly salvation – led to the constant surveillance of students liable to sin. Gender construction created particularly rigid stereotypes of masculinity and femininity. The author identifies these three factors as common to all Catholic education in this period, but sees them as intensified in Australia by the profound Irish influence within the Church and its schools. This is not a novel conclusion.

**Monash University,**

**MARIAN QUARTLY**

**Australia**
As de facto as well as faute de mieux leader of the Spanish Church at the outbreak of the Spanish Civil War, Isidro Gomá, cardinal-archbishop of Toledo, was bound to have a part to play in the ensuing conflict, a part which A. Granados’s pious biography of 1969 was at pains not to understate. From the present publication, however, it is apparent that both by Granados and, more recently, by M. L. Rodríguez Aisa less than justice has been done to the interest as well as to the complexity of Gomá’s role in the events that ensued between then and his appointment as the Holy See’s unaccredited representative to Franco in December 1936. Covering that five-month period, and comprising 344 letters in and out together with associated annexes and memoranda (mostly in Spanish, Catalan, Italian, Latin and Vatican diplomatic cipher), this volume affords a fascinating glimpse of an hermetically sealed mind haunted by masons, Moscow and Jews, exerting itself on behalf of a cause which one part of him knew to be fatally flawed. Especially when writing to his suffragans, Gomá liked to present himself as old, ill and amiably muddled. He was certainly the first two of these. But he was also as vain as he was stolid, and, while not uncraftery, as unsubtle as any man who thinks in blocks. ‘Not a single house of God [had] been respected’ by ‘the Marxist hordes’, he assured Catholic primates around the world, while fussing from afar about repairs to the dining room of his Toledo palace. From within the world of ‘good Spaniards’ and ‘bad Spaniards’ with which he was comfortable, the allegiance of Catalan and Basque daily communicants to a communist regime was incomprehensible. Although once the war had started he was willing to acknowledge that ‘we have failed by losing touch with the people’, on its eve he had been chiefly preoccupied with herbal remedies for his nephritis and recommendable spas, the only letter sent to him on the day war broke out having to do with the claims of his see to ecclesiastical primacy. But though he knew nothing in advance of the schemes of the insurgents, one of whom thought his name was ‘Don José’, by the time he went to Rome in December his stock had evidently risen sharply at their Burgos headquarters. At Rome Gomá persuaded Pacelli that the Vatican was misinformed about Spain – in other words that Pius XI (who received him in bed, ‘the only such case in the history of papal audiences’, he claimed) as well as others there had been got at by the Catalans, and in particular by Vidal i Barraquer, the antithetical cardinal-archbishop of Tarragona whose darting lawyer’s mind so far outranged his own. In his journal of those December days, a record as full of historical interest as it is of curial tittle-tattle, he recorded hearing it said that it was at Rome not in Spain that the Civil War was being fought.

One of the reasons for the rebel generals’ increasing regard for Gomá raises a question which the editors do not ask, namely whether his archive has been fumigated (and, if so, when and by whom), in particular in respect of his dealings with the bishop of Vitoria, Mateo Múgica, the killing of whose Basque clergy by Franco’s forces so seriously confused the simplistic account contained in Gomá’s earlier reports to Pacelli of insurgents scrupulously observant of the laws of war and reds thirsty for clerical blood. For, for all the fraternal compassion of his correspondence with Múgica, there is no description here of his role, attested elsewhere, in engineering the exile of the bishop whom the Nationalists were deterred from shooting
only by his declared determination, if it came to it, to go down arrayed in full pontificals. All we have are allusions to that outcome, penned as though by a remote observer of the passing scene and described, according to to whom he was writing, as ‘rather tough’, ‘gentle’, and ‘difficult’. Likewise, in his bread-and-butter letter of October 1936 to the bishop of Badajoz, there is not a word about the recent massacre of thousands rather than hundreds of Republican troops in the bullring of that place (‘De Badajoz no tengo noticias muy concretas’). Meanwhile, however, Goma had been persuaded as to Franco’s religious credentials as a practising Catholic who recited the rosary daily or, alternatively, as ‘a fervent Christian’ surrounded by others who were ‘pious even’; the initial threat of a non-confessional post-guerra state had been removed; the War was proving more efficacious for the revival of the Faith and of Christian piety than any programme of missions (even amongst Franco’s Moorish troops indeed); and he himself had been doing his bit by diverting funds collected by Irish Catholics for the relief of the Spanish Church to the provision of medical aid for the insurgent army: a negotiation unequivocally but unavailingly condemned by Rome, represented to Franco as Goma’s own idea and to the Irish as theirs, hampered by his misunderstanding of the extent of divisions at the Irish end of the operation, his conviction that Ireland’s primatial see was at Dublin rather than Armagh, and the lack of an Spanish–English dictionary at a crucial moment, and further complicated by the failure of bankers in four countries to secure clear instructions, with at one stage the London manager of the National Provincial Bank addressing the archbishop as ‘His Holiness’.

Embedded amongst a mass of trivia, there is here a wealth of revealing as well as of poignant information. In an undated list of ‘professors supposedly masons or collaborators with the Republic’ (anglice, the cream of Spain’s intellectual elite at the time), the name of Ramón Menéndez Pidal appears on account of his protest at the bombing of Madrid. Although not all the incomprehensible passages printed here can be due to Goma himself, and the editors’ index is woefully inadequate, further volumes of Archivo Goma will be eagerly awaited by all students of the tragic conflict it so brilliantly illuminates.

St John’s College, Cambridge

PETER LINEHAN


On Christmas Eve 1942 Pius XII broadcast a forty-five-minute homily on Vatican Radio, on the theme of human rights and the social order. Towards the end, he exhorted his listeners to help restore a just and God-centred society, and continued ‘humanity owes this vow to those hundreds of thousands who, without any fault of their own, sometimes only by reason of their nationality or race, are marked down for death or gradual extinction’ (quoted at p. 3). This was one of only a few occasions on which Pius referred to Nazi atrocities; neither at this point nor later did he refer directly to the Jews, or denounce the perpetrators.

Pius’ reticence has become notorious, particularly since the international furor caused by Rolf Hochhuth’s 1963 play Der Stellvertreter. More recently, John Cornwell’s
Hitler’s pope: the secret history of Pius XII (London 1999) has reopened the controversy in the mass media: ‘Hitler himself could not have wished for a more convoluted and innocuous reaction from the Vicar of Christ to the greatest crime in human history’ (quoted at p. 237). But Pius has also been stoutly defended. Margerita Marchione, for example, author of Pope Pius XII: architect for peace (New York 2000) claims that Pius was ‘a saintly man, a scholar, a man of peace, a tower of strength, and a compassionate defender and protector of all victims of war and genocide that had drowned Europe in blood for six years’ (quoted at p. 4). He needed to be prudent; to say too much too loudly would only have aggravated the situation.

The evidence is contested and contestable; moreover, the historical claims both for and against Pius are often marshalled, more or less overtly, in service of substantive and controversial claims about how the papacy should function today. Matters are not helped by the Vatican’s reluctance to make all the relevant documents freely available, or by Pius’ candidacy for canonisation.

It is against this complex background that Carol Rittner and John Roth organised a conference on Pius and the Shoah. It took place in April 2000 at King’s College, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania, representing a wide variety of opinion and religious standpoint, and the present volume contains reworked versions of the papers presented. Following the introduction and a useful, detailed chronology, the book falls into three major sections. The first group of essays report in different ways on the status quaestionis. Michael Marrus succinctly sets out ten separate issues with which the literature engages. John Pawlikowski admits serious limitations in Pius’ world-view, but points cautiously to good achieved in Slovakia, Hungary and Italy. Eugene Fisher expresses doubt that the as yet unavailable documents would change our overall assessment, while Sergio Minerbi ably states the case against Pius, and Doris Bergen situates the debate against wider theological questions.

The next six essays break new ground. Eva Fleischner reports authoritatively on Pius’ depressingly conventional spirituality, while Gershon Greenberg documents how Pius was still hidebound – despite the creativity of some Jewish thinking at the time – to an account of the Jews as the race that had crucified Christ. John F. Morley gives a richly detailed, nuanced account of steps taken to rescue the Jews in Hungary following the Nazi invasion in 1944. For his part, the eminent Shoah scholar Richard L. Rubenstein puts forward a criticism perhaps more damning than Cornwell’s. It is a mistake to see Pius as holding a defensible moral position about Jewish rights, and merely being weak-willed and excessively cautious when it came to practice. On the contrary, Pius’ world-view led him to see ‘the demographic elimination of Europe’s Jews as a benefit for European Christendom’ (p. 177). Susan Zucotti, author of a study of the Vatican’s dealings with Italian Jews during World War II, then succinctly discredits claims often made about Pius’ active intervention on their behalf. Michael Phayter offers an interesting, nuanced interpretation of the data. Pius’ silence during the war arose from poor judgement: he felt that Germany alone was able to counteract Soviet communism, and therefore was unwilling to criticise it; he was also afraid that criticisms would lead to the destruction of the Vatican, and thereby also of the faith of Catholics throughout the world. Blatant immorality set in only after the war, with Pius’ refusal to condemn pogroms in Poland, with his opposition to the establishment of the state of Israel and with his actively helping war criminals to escape justice.

At this point – though the editors place the section break misleadingly early – the focus shifts to the theological questions raised by the Shoah in general and by Pius xii’s
behaviour in particular. Properly, the writers – a Protestant (Roth), two Catholics (Rittner and James Doyle) and a Jew (Albert Friedlander) – are explicit about their own commitments, and about how these shape their reading of the data. Doyle writes rather airily about the need ‘to root out of the Catholic mentality anything that might serve as the seedbed for future prejudice and bigotry against the Jews’, and asks that pastors ‘clarify’ in their homilies the use of ‘Pharisees’ in the synoptic Gospels and ‘Jews’ in John (p. 235). But the need to which Doyle is pointing here is surely not so much one for pastoral adaptation as for wholesale transformation. The Shoah is at once a consequence and an indictment of a demonisation of Judaism present even in the Gospels. The debates regarding the papacy’s role, in all their complexity and acrimony, are part of a wider reality of shame and disorientation.

This collection of essays has its faults: it is repetitive, sometimes ponderous, worthy rather than incisive. Nevertheless, it is commendably inclusive and comprehensive. It will provide useful and handy refutations of the oversimplified accounts that have often been peddled. It will also help Christians – I am in no position to judge its appropriateness for Jews – engage more fully with the searing questions raised by the Shoah.

CAMPION HALL, OXFORD


This is a work of history, as its title suggests, but also one of social anthropology and religious studies. It represents a splendid pioneering venture, based on printed and manuscript primary sources, questionnaires and participant observation, of how the label ‘New Age’ has evolved in the course of the twentieth century. In the middle decades it was a sharply-focused apocalyptic emblem derived from esoteric Christianity and associated with small and marginalised groups of Anglo-American thinkers. In 1970s it began to transform into a diffuse humanistic idiom, centred upon personal self-realisation and powering a large and lucrative international industry. The achievement of the first half of this book is to show how the two forms actually fit together, and certain key figures connect both. The second half is devoted to considering what sort of social and religious phenomenon the contemporary idiom actually represents, and demolishing previous attempts by colleagues to put it into more strongly-delineated categories. Thus, it is not a movement, nor a network, and should not even be accorded a definite article; it is a brand of spirituality. Such an argument inevitably raises questions about how far Sutcliffe is imposing his own categories on material that, notoriously, usually refuses to define itself; but there is no doubt that, thanks to him, we can now have a much better understanding of the material itself.

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL

RONALD HUTTON

JEH (54) 2003; DOI: 10.1017/S0022046903697988

This is a brave book. Ian Bradley, who is Reader in Practical Theology at the University of St Andrews, and an ordained minister in the Church of Scotland, argues against those writers, including this reviewer, who have ignored the spiritual dimension of monarchy. This, Bradley claims lies ‘in marked contrast to its manifest importance in the life of the Queen and other members of the royal family and in the popular perception and experience of royalty’. God save the queen offers an historical account of the development of the Christian monarchy and of the coronation ceremony, intended to support Bradley’s case that the monarchy cannot be understood without consideration of its spiritual and sacramental dimension. This is a serious and scholarly work. It is beautifully written and it forms a valuable corrective to much that is written on the monarchy. Yet, ultimately, and perhaps inevitably, it fails. In the modern world, after all, constitutional monarchy, if it is to survive, must be a monarchy which rests on popular consent. Thus in Britain, it must, as the prince of Wales has recognised, become a monarchy for a multi-denominational and a multi-cultural society, a society in which only a minority are strongly believing Christians, and which, if survey evidence is to be believed, is, with the Netherlands, the most irreligious society in western Europe. Were the survival of the monarchy to depend, therefore, on a return to organised Christianity, its future might be doubtful. But the success of monarchy in Britain as in the small and on the whole agnostic democracies of north-western Europe – Belgium, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Denmark, Norway and Sweden – lies less in its religious significance than in the fact that, as Cambridge historian Jonathan Parry has suggested in the Demos symposium, Monarchies (2002), edited by Tom Bentley and James Wilsdon, ‘it has symbolised a representative constitutional political culture’. The task for the monarchy, therefore, is to come to terms with changes in British society, changes which require it to become more welfare-oriented and less ‘magical’. From this point of view, the precepts adumbrated in God save the queen, would lead the monarchy in the wrong direction, into a narrow cul-de-sac peopled solely by the devout. Nevertheless, this, is an impressive book which should be read by all those interested in the monarchy and in constitutional history. It will both provoke and stimulate even if, all too frequently, it will provoke disagreement rather than assent.

Brasenose College, Oxford

Vernon Bogdanor
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Any title including the word ‘resurrection’ referring to the Church in Albania would, at first sight, seem to belong to the realms of fantasy. Albania was the only country in world history completely to outlaw religion in all its forms – and that as long ago as 1967, a quarter of a century before communism collapsed, leaving the
country in chaos. ‘An Islamic outpost in Europe’s muddiest backwater’: such a view might have been expressed even by someone well versed in Balkan history. In truth, however, before Enver Hoxha’s murderous anti-religious campaign, there had been Roman Catholics in the north (about 10 per cent of the country’s total population) and Orthodox (some 20 per cent) in the south. The rebirth of Christianity in the last decade of the twentieth century is one of the miracles of our age, to be compared – of course, on a much smaller scale – with events in China. Jim Forest’s modest book is a lively and encouraging record of Christian endurance in the most extreme circumstances. He confines himself to the Orthodox Church (though doubtless a similar book could be written about the Albanian Catholics). It is not a consecutive narrative, but recounts a series of meetings he had with a wide variety of Orthodox believers, clerical and lay, over a period of just over three weeks. This is a work of extended journalism rather than research, but Forest’s interviews with fourteen men and women are convincingly, even movingly, recorded. What the reader experiences is the authentic voice of the martyrs, men and women who witnessed to Christ and preserved the faith under conditions at the limit of endurance, with many references, of course, to those who made the supreme sacrifice. Old attitudes which evolved during the period of persecution did not dissolve overnight and the period of rebuilding church life from the foundations upwards has been fraught with difficulties. The story of Fr Jani Trebicka, secretary of the Holy Synod (pp. 53–60) illustrates just how grave are the continuing difficulties, but the moral fibre of such people is an example and an inspiration to any reader.

Keston Institute, Oxford

Michael Bourdeaux

Oxford