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## Online Appendix A Survey Instrument

This appendix provides screenshots of the online survey put into field in October 2020 through the third-party commercial survey panel provider, Pureprofile. Pureprofile sent invitations to potentially suitable panel members by email. On clicking a link, participants were invited to join the survey. The panel provider filled pre-set gender and age group quotas that matched Australian population characteristics. 21 To ensure that we were collecting data from people who were genuinely interested in home loans, we chose participants from among Australian adults, aged between 25 years and 64 years (inclusive) and who earned over $\$ 52,000$ per year. We also screened out otherwise eligible participants who, at the time of the survey, had never taken a mortgage and did not plan to take a mortgage in the future. Pureprofile compen- sated participants who completed the survey for their time (approximately $\$ 4$ ). The majority of participants completed the survey in under 15 minutes, and the entire data collection process took around two weeks.

Due to the nature of this survey you will be asked personal information such as your income and your housing situation. Your answers to these questions are confidential and cannot be used to identify you personally. They will only be used to compare different types of people, such as younger and older people, males and females, high and low-income people, etc

Please note that you may terminate participation in the survey at any time. However, only completed surveys will be given full compensation for participation.

Your involvement in this study is detailed in the Participation Information Statement (a new tab will open)

Will you participate in this survey?YesNo

Thank you for your interest in this survey
The survey will take about 20 to 30 minutes to complete.
Take as much time as you need to answer the questions. Most questions only require you to tick a box. A few questions ask you to type in a response. All your answers to the questions are strictly anonymous. Please do not use a calculator while answering the survey or browse the internet for answers. No one will contact you after the survey, and no sales solicitations is involved. Your answers will be used for research purposes only.

## Are you?

( Male
$\bigcirc$ Female
$\bigcirc$ Prefer not to say

## To which age group do you belong?

Please select your answer $\vee$

## Which of the following categories best describes your weekly (annual) gross household income (before tax)?

Household income is the TOTAL income earned by you and your spouse or partner (if you have one)

Please select your answer

## What is the postcode where you live?

Please type the four-digit postcode number in the box below.
$\qquad$

## When did you last apply for a mortgage?



In the past year


In the past 2-10 years
$\bigcirc$
Over ten years ago
O
I have never taken a mortgage

## Approximately how much did you borrow when you last applied for a mortgage?

$\qquad$

## Approximately how much do you owe on that mortgage now?

$\qquad$In the past year
$\bigcirc$
In the past 2-10 years
$\bigcirc$
Over ten years agoI have never taken a mortgage

## Do you intend to apply for a mortgage?

$\bigcirc$
In the next year


In the next ten yearsTen years or more from nowI don't ever intend to take a mortgage

## Approximately how much do you think you would borrow when you next apply for a mortgage?

Next, we will ask you questions about mortgages (home loans), mortgage providers and financial products. The purpose of these questions is to help us learn more about your understanding and use of financial products.

## [HIDDEN] TREATMENT ALLOCATION

Treatment 1Treatment 2
## [HIDDEN] TREATMENT 1 CONDITION ORDER

Condition 1 (Debt) > Condition 2 (Repayment)

Condition 2 (Repayment) > Condition 1 (Debt)

## [HIDDEN] RANDOM DEBT VALUE SELECTION

$\$ 365,000$$\bigcirc$ \$664,000
-
\$1,211,000$\$ 2,206,000$

## [HIDDEN] CORRESPONDING REPAYMENT VALUE

\$1,700\$3,100\$5,700$\$ 10,350$Suppose

- you are buying a new house and taking out a new residential mortgage,
- this is the only residential mortgage you have;
- the loan must be fully repaid after 25 years;
- a $20 \%$ deposit has already been paid.

We will show you a monthly debt repayment for this mortgage. Please indicate how comfortable you would be with the given monthly mortgage debt repayment. Please remember there are no right or wrong answers; these questions are only about your mortgage preferences

Suppose your monthly mortgage repayment is $\$ 5,700$ and you do not have to repay any more beyond this amount. Please rate how comfortable or uncomfortable you would be with a total new monthly mortgage repayment of $\$ 5,700$.

| Very uncomfortable | Uncomfortable | Slightly <br> uncomfortable | Neither comfortable <br> nor uncomfortable | Slightly comfortable | Comfortable |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- you are buying a new house and taking out a new residential mortgage;
- this is the only residential mortgage you have;
- the loan must be fully repaid after 25 years;
- a $20 \%$ deposit has already been paid

We will show you a total debt amount for this mortgage. Please indicate how comfortable you would be with the given total mortgage debt amount. Please remember there are no right or wrong answers; these questions are only about your mortgage preferences.

Suppose your total debt is $\$ 1,211,000$ and you do not have to borrow any more beyond this amount. Please rate how comfortable or uncomfortable you would be with a total new mortgage debt of $\$ 1,211,000$

| Very uncomfortable | Uncomfortable | Slightly uncomfortable | Neither comfortable not uncomfortable | Slightly comfortable | Comfortable | Very comfortable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Which of the following best describes your dwelling?

Free standing houseSemi-detached, row or terrace house, townhouse, etcFlat, unit or apartmentOther dwelling (e.g. Caravan, cabin, houseboat, or improvised home)
## Is your dwelling...?

Owned outrightOwned with a mortgageBeing purchased under rent/buy schemeBeing rentedBeing occupied rent freeBeing occupied under a life tenure schemeOther

The next set of questions ask you what you think home prices are likely to be in the future

On a scale from 0 percent to 100 percent where 0 means that you think there is no chance and 100 means that you think the event is absolutely sure to happen, what do you think are the chances that by this time next year your home will be worth more than it is today?

|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| No <br> chance | Absolutely <br> sure to <br> happen |  |

## Check the following box to confirm your answer:

I think there is a $10 \%$ chance that by this time next year my home will be worth more than today

What are the chances that over the next 5 years your home will be worth more than it is today?

## 15\%

| $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| No <br> chance | Absolutely |
|  | sure to |
| happen |  |

## Check the following box to confirm your answer:

I think there is a $15 \%$ chance that over the next 5 years my home will be worth more than today

What are the chances that 5 years from now the value of your home will have gone up by more than 10 percent?
20\%

| No |
| :--- |
| chance |

## Check the following box to confirm your answer:

I think there is a $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ chance that $\mathbf{5}$ years from now the value of my home will have gone up by more than 10 percent.

## What are the chances that 5 years from now the value of your home will have gone up by more than 20 percent?



Check the following box to confirm your answer:I think there is a $\mathbf{2 5} \%$ chance that $\mathbf{5}$ years from now the value of my home will have gone up by more than 20 percent.

What are the chances that 5 years from now the value of your home will have gone down by more than 10 percent?


Check the following box to confirm your answer:I think there is a $30 \%$ chance that 5 years from now the value of my home will have gone down by more than 10 percent.

What are the chances that 5 years from now the value of your home will have gone down by more than 20 percent?

## 35\%

$0 \%$

| No |
| :--- |
| chance |


| Absolutely |
| ---: |
| sure to |
| happen |

## Check the following box to confirm your answer:

I think there is a $35 \%$ chance that 5 years from now the value of my home will have gone down by more than 20 percent.The next set of questions ask you what you think home loan interest rates are likely to be in the future. Some of these questions use the term "percentage point", meaning that if interest rates were now $2 \%$ and they were to go up by "1 percentage point" they would change from $2 \%$ to $3 \%$.On a scale from 0 percent to 100 percent where 0 means that you think there is no chance and 100 means that you think the event is absolutely sure to happen, what do you think are the chances that by this time next year home loan interest rates will be higher than they are today?


Check the following box to confirm your answer:I think there is a $5 \%$ chance that by this time next year home loan interest rates will be higher than they are today

What are the chances that in 5 years from now home loan interest rates will be higher than they are today?
$10 \%$

| No |
| :--- |
| chance | | $100 \%$ |
| ---: |
| Absolutely |
| sure to |
| happen |

Check the following box to confirm your answer:
I think there is a $10 \%$ chance that in 5 years from now home loan interest rates will be higher than they are today.

What are the chances that in 5 years from now home loan interest rates will have gone up by more than 1 percentage point? 15\%
$15 \%$

| No |
| :--- |
| chance |

Check the following box to confirm your answer:I think there is a $15 \%$ chance that in 5 years from now home loan interest rates will have gone up by more than 1 percentage point.

What are the chances that in 5 years from now home loan interest rates will have gone up by more than 2 percentage points?

## 20\%



Check the following box to confirm your answer:
I think there is a $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ chance that in $\mathbf{5}$ years from now home loan interest rates will have gone up by more than 2 percentage points

What are the chances that 5 years from now home loan interest rates will have gone down by more than 1 percentage point?


Check the following box to confirm your answer:
I think there is a $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ chance that in $\mathbf{5}$ years from now home loan interest rates will have gone down by more than 1 percentage point.

What are the chances that 5 years from now home loan interest rates will have gone down by more than 2 percentage points?


Check the following box to confirm your answer:
I think there is a $30 \%$ chance that in 5 years from now home loan interest rates will have gone down by more than 2 percentage points.

## Have you ever consulted a mortgage broker?

YesNo

## Will you consult a broker when you take out your next mortgage?

$\bigcirc$ Yes
$\bigcirc N$Do not know

## Before you applied for a mortgage, did you take steps to save for a deposit faster?

Select all that apply.Prepared a budgetSaved into a high-interest saving accountUsed an automatic saving system such as a direct transfer from your payInvested in shares, managed funds or other higher-earning securitiesOther (Please specify)I did not take any special steps

## Before you applied for a mortgage, where did you look for information about mortgages?

Select all that apply.Lender websites/brochuresComparison sitesMoneySmart, CHOICE or other consumer advice servicesLending OfficerMortgage brokerFinancial Planner, Accountant, or other financial professionalFriends and familyOther calculatorsOther (Please specify)I did not look for information

## Have you ever made purchases using any of the following?

Select all that apply.
Credit card/store cardPersonal loan (for other than housing) from bank or major lenderHousing loan (residential or investment mortgage)Vehicle (car, caravan) loan/leasing arrangementShort-term personal loan (such as Nimble, MoneyMe; Wallet Wizard etc.)Buy now; Pay later services (such as AfterPay; zipMoney)Online lending platform (such as SocietyOne)None of the above

## Do you currently have outstanding debts in any of these categories?

Select all that apply.Credit card/store cardBuy now; Pay later services (such as AfterPay; zipMoney)None of the above

## Have you ever been overdue or made a late loan repayment on a loan in any of these categories?

## Select all that apply

## Credit card/store card

Buy now; Pay later services (such as AfterPay; zipMoney)None of the above
## Have you ever had an application for a loan rejected in any of these categories?

## Select all that apply

Credit card/store cardPersonal loan (for other than housing) from bank or major lenderHousing loan (residential or investment mortgage)Vehicle (car, caravan) loan/leasing arrangementShort-term personal Ioan (such as Nimble, MoneyMe; Wallet Wizard etc.)Buy now; Pay later services (such as AfterPay; zipMoney)Online lending platform (such as SocietyOne)None of the aboveThe questions in this section are similar to those in the ABS Census of Population and Housing. We ask them so that we can compare the answers of different types of. Your answers to all questions in this survey are confidential and no one associated with the project can identify you personally.
## What is your marital status?

Never married and not living in a long term (de facto) relationship

WidowedDivorcedSeparated but not divorcedMarriedLiving in a long term relationship (de facto)

## Who is most responsible for the major financial decisions in your household?

YouSomeone elseYou and someone else are equally responsible
## How many people in your household do you fully or partially support financially?

1 (yourself only)○ 34 or more

## What is the highest level of school you have completed?

Year 12 or equivalentYear 11 or equivalentYear 10 or equivalentYear 9 or equivalentYear 8 or equivalentYear 7 or equivalentYear 6 or belowDid not go to school

## What is the highest post school qualification you have?

PhD$\bigcirc$
Master Degree or equivalentGraduate Diploma or Graduate Certificate from university of equivalentBachelor DegreeAdvanced Diploma or Diploma from university/TAFE or equivalent
$\bigcirc$
Certificate or equivalent from TAFE or equivalentNone of the above

## Generally speaking, which political party do you align more closely with?



Liberal Party
$\bigcirc$
Labor PartyThe NationalsThe GreensOne Nation
$\bigcirc$ Other (Please specify)I don't feel aligned to any political party

## How strongly do you support this party?

Not very strongFairly strongVery strongIn politics, people sometimes refer to the 'left' and the 'right'. Where would you place yourself on a scale from 0 to 10, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

| Left |
| :--- |
| \begin{tabular}{\|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
| 0 |

## Which of the following best describes your current work status?

Employed full timeEmployed part time$\bigcirc$
UnemployedNot in the labour force - Stay-at-home parent of caregiverNot in the labour force - Full-time studentNot in the labour force - RetiredNot in the labour force - Other

Thinking about the past year, how does your income compare to your expenses?


My income was far less than my expensesMy income was slightly less than my expensesMy income and expenses were equalMy income was slightly greater than my expensesMy income was far greater than my expensesThis section asks questions about financial risk attitudes and some COVID-19 risk attitudes

How do you see yourself: Are you generally a person who is fully prepared to take risks in financial matters or do you try to avoid taking risks?
Please select a box on the scale, where the value 0 means: 'Unwilling to take risks in financial matters' and the value 10 means: 'Fully prepared to take risks in financial matters'
Unwilling to task risks in financial matters Fully prepared to take risk in financial matters

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Are you generally an impatient person, or someone who always shows great patience?

Please select one box on the scale where 0 means 'very impatient' and 10 means 'very patient'.


## Please indicate whether you agree or disagree with the following statements:

I cannot be trusted with money
I do not consider how my behaviour affects other people
I try to spend my money wisely
When faced with a physically demanding chore I always tried to
put off doing it
I would have a hard time sticking with a special, healthy diet
I have always felt like my hard work would pay off in the end
I cannot motivate myself to accomplish long-term goals
I have always tried to eat healthy because it pays off in the long
run
I try to consider how my actions will affect other people in the
long-term
I have given up physical pleasure or comfort to reach my goals
agree nor
disagree

## How effective do you believe masks are in slowing the transmission of Covid-19?

## They are effective

They are not effective
$\qquad$ 2
3
4
5

During the pandemic, how often do you wear a mask in public when you are not required to wear one?


I am always required to wear a mask in public

During the pandemic, how often do you wear a mask in public when you are required to wear one?
I have not been required to wear one
}

Do you consider you or your immediate family members to be at a higher risk for serious complications from Covid-19 than other people?
$\bigcirc$ Yes
$\bigcirc$ No
i) This section includes questions to measure your general financial and numeracy skills. Please answer the questions without using a calculator.

On a scale of 1 to 7, where 1 means 'very low' and 7 means 'very high', how would you assess your understanding of finance?

| Very low |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | Very high |

Suppose you had $\$ 100$ in a savings account and the interest rate was $\mathbf{2 \%}$ per year. After 5 years, how much do you think you would have in the account if you left the money to grow?More than \$102Exactly \$102Less than \$102Do not know

Imagine that the interest rate on your savings account was 1\% per year and inflation was 2\% per year. After 1 year, how much would you be able to buy with the money in this account?More than todayExactly the sameLess than todayDo not know

Please evaluate whether this statement is true or false.
'Buying shares in a single company usually provides a safer return than buying units in a managed share fund'.


TrueFalseDo not know

Imagine that we rolled a fair, six-sided die 1,000 times. Out of 1,000 rolls, how many times do you think the die would come up even?
Please enter a number between 0 to 1000 in the box.
$\qquad$ times

In a lottery, the chance of winning a $\$ 500$ prize is $1 \%$. What is your best guess of how many people would win the prize if 1,000 people each buy a single ticket in the lottery?
Please enter a number between 0 to 1000 in the box.
$\qquad$ people

In a raffle, the chance of winning a car is 1 in 1,000 . What per cent of tickets in the raffle win a car?
$\qquad$ \%

Before you submit the survey, if you have any opinions regarding this survey, please type in the box below. This will help us to improve our future surveys.

## Online Appendix B First Stage Results of the IV-GMM Estimation

In the following we report the results from the first stage regression of the GMM models reported in Table 5 and Table 7, respectively. We employed two instruments that are correlated with broker use but unlikely to be related to comfort with mortgages or absolute deviation between comfort-equivalent debt or repayments after conditioning on the other explanatory variables. These instruments are the number of financial advisers in the postcode of the participant, as registered by the regulator, ASIC. We reason that (pre-determined) ease of access to local advisers and advice firms that typically offer mortgage brokerage services is likely to be positively related to broker use, but independent of the errors in the comfort equation. We also compute an instrument from a separate survey on mortgage choice that collected responses from a new, different sample of 1,601 past, current or future Australian mortgage borrowers in April 2021 (see Online Appendix D for more details). This instrument is the number of broker users, from the separate data collection, who reside in the same postcode as the participants we study here. We reason that the number of broker users in the postcode of a participant is likely to be positively related to the participant's broker use but independent of their conditional comfort level with mortgage debt.

Table B. 1 reports the logit results of the first stage regressions for participants in task 1 and 2, respectively. The dependent variable in both logit models is whether the respondent used a mortgage broker in the past. We find that both instruments are highly predictive ( $\mathrm{p}<.01$ ) of broker usage. While a higher financial adviser count in the postcode is associated with a higher likelihood of having used a broker, the number of other mortgage broker users has - in contrast to our expectations - a negative association with participants reporting that they have used a mortgage broker. The results in table B. 1 further show that across both treatments being older and being partnered is associated with a higher likelihood of having used a mortgage broker, risk aversion however with a lower likelihood of having used a mortgage broker. Naturally, participants with mortgage experience are also more likely to have used a mortgage broker in both tasks, yet we do not find any other common pattern in the two logit models.

Table B.1: First Stage Regressions for Task 1 and Task 2

| Dependent Variable: Used Mortgage Broker (de-meaned) | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task 1 | Task 2 |
| Mortgage Broker User Count | $-0.007^{* * *}$ | $-0.009^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.002)$ | $(0.002)$ |
| Financial Adviser Count | $0.000^{* * *}$ | $0.0003^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.000)$ | $(0.0001)$ |
| Log(size) (de-meaned) | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  | $(0.005)$ | $(0.188)$ |
| Log(size) ${ }^{2}$ (de-meaned) |  | 0.000 |
|  |  | $(0.007)$ |
| Increasing debt condition (de-meaned) | $0.029^{* *}$ |  |
|  | $-0.022^{*}$ | $(0.009)$ |
| Lump sum (de-meaned) | $(0.009)$ | 0.0001 |
|  | -0.000 | $(0.009)$ |
| Monotonic Responses (de-meaned) | $(0.009)$ | $(0.008)$ |
|  | $-0.0263^{*}$ | $(0.012)$ |
| Mortgage Experience (de-meaned) | $(0.012)$ | $0.346^{* * *}$ |
|  | $0.482^{* * *}$ | $(0.013)$ |
| Financial literacy (de-meaned) | $(0.015)$ | 0.002 |
|  | $0.0683^{* * *}$ | $(0.011)$ |
|  | $(0.010)$ | Continued |

Table B. 1 - Continued

| Dependent Variable: Used Mortgage Broker (de-meaned) | (1) | (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numeracy (de-meaned) | 0.0214* | 0.019 |
|  | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Female | -0.0390*** | 0.011 |
|  | (0.010) | (0.011) |
| Age (25-29 excluded) |  |  |
| 30-34 | -0.000 | $0.114^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.018) | (0.016) |
| 35-39 | 0.022 | 0.178*** |
|  | (0.019) | (0.018) |
| 40-44 | 0.035 | 0.125*** |
|  | (0.019) | (0.020) |
| 45-49 | -0.0919*** | 0.143*** |
|  | (0.020) | (0.019) |
| 50-54 | -0.215*** | -0.0491* |
|  | (0.021) | (0.023) |
| 55-59 | -0.271*** | -0.0925*** |
|  | (0.021) | (0.021) |
| 60-64 | -0.360*** | -0.124*** |
|  | (0.023) | (0.022) |
| Household weekly income (\$1,000-\$1,249 excluded) |  |  |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | $-0.125^{* * *}$ | 0.036 |
|  | (0.018) | (0.018) |
| \$1,500-\$1,999 | -0.0519** | -0.0457** |
|  | (0.016) | (0.017) |
| \$2,000-\$2,499 | (0.010) | (0.015) |
|  | (0.018) | (0.017) |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | -0.0977*** | 0.028 |
|  | (0.019) | (0.020) |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | (0.013) | -0.0480* |
|  | (0.020) | (0.021) |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 0.0699** | 0.019 |
|  | (0.022) | (0.024) |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 0.114*** | 0.281*** |
|  | (0.025) | (0.020) |
| \$5,000+ | -0.162*** | (0.022) |
|  | (0.026) | (0.032) |
| Partnered | 0.0559*** | 0.100*** |
|  | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Bachelor degree or higher | 0.0330** | 0.002 |
|  | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Risk aversion above average | -0.0454*** | -0.0448*** |
|  | (0.010) | (0.011) |
| Patience above average | 0.0295** | 0.011 |
|  | (0.010) | (0.010) |
| Employed | -0.0393* | 0.140*** |
|  | (0.015) | (0.015) |
| Constant | 0.139*** | $-0.226^{* * *}$ |
|  | (0.026) | (0.028) |
| Observations | 10,000 | 9,980 |

This table reports the first stage regressions in our IV estimation. The dependent variable is the indicator of having used mortgage brokers in the sample with Task $1(\mathrm{n}=500)$ and with Task $2(\mathrm{n}=499)$. Since each participant gave a rating for one of ten lump sum debt or monthly repayment levels in a series of 20 questions, the total number of observations is $20^{*} 500=10,000$ and $20 * 499=9,980$, respectively. Explanatory variables are experiment indicators and participant characteristics. Variable definitions are shown in Table 3 in the main text. Robust standard errors in parentheses. * $\mathrm{p}<0.10,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

Table B. 2 reports the tests for instrument strength and validity. We report several results for instrument strength: For task 1 all tests provide support that the instruments are relevant and strong. For task 2, the tests paint a more nuanced picture. The weak identification test in this task is only just above the Stock-Yogo critical value that defines instruments as weak when the bias from 2SLS is greater than $25 \%$ of the bias of OLS.

## Table B.2: First Stage Regression Tests for Task 1 and Task 2

This table shows the statistical tests for the first stage regressions in our IV estimation for Task 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=500$ ) and Task 2 ( $\mathrm{n}=499$ ). Since each participant gave a rating for one of ten lump sum debt or monthly repayment levels in a series of 20 questions, the total number of observations is $20 * 500=10,000$ and $20 * 499=9,980$, respectively. Table 3 describes the variables. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.10,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

| Test Statistic | Task 1 | Task 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| F test of excluded instruments | $\mathrm{F}(2,9969)=39.07$ | $\mathrm{~F}(2,9948)=7.93$ |
| P-value | 0.0000 | 0.0004 |
| Sanderson-Windmeijer multivariate F test of excluded instruments |  |  |
| for Used Mortgage Broker (de-meaned) | $\mathrm{F}(2,9969)=39.07$ | $\mathrm{~F}(2,9948)=7.93$ |
| P-value | 0.0000 | 0.0004 |
| Sanderson-Windmeijer chi-square test of underidentification |  |  |
| for Used Mortgage Broker (de-meaned) | Chi-sq(2) $=78.39$ | Chi-sq(2) $=15.90$ |
| P-value | 0.000 | 0.0004 |
| Underidentification test |  |  |
| Ho: matrix of reduced form coefficients has rank=K1-1 (underidentified) |  |  |
| Ha: matrix has rank=K1 (identified) | 0.0000 | Chi-sq(2) $=16.02$ |
| Kleibergen-Paap rk LM statistic | 22.61 | 0.0003 |
| P-value | 39.07 | 7.33 |
| Weak identification test |  | 7.93 |
| Cragg-Donald Wald F statistic |  |  |
| Kleibergen-Paap Wald rk F statistic | $10 \%$ maximal IV size $=19.93$ |  |
| For reference, the Stock-Yogo weak ID F test critical values | $15 \%$ maximal IV size $=11.59$ |  |
| for K1=1 and L1=2 are: | $20 \%$ maximal IV size $=8.75$ |  |
|  | $25 \%$ maximal IV size $=7.25$ |  |


| Weak-instrument-robust inference |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tests of joint significance of endogenous regressors B1 in main equation |  |  |
| Ho: B1=0 and orthogonality conditions are valid | $\mathrm{F}(2,9969)=2.25$ | $\mathrm{~F}(2,9948)=5.48$ |
| Anderson-Rubin Wald test | 0.1055 | 0.0042 |
| P-value | $\mathrm{Chi}-\mathrm{sq}(2)=4.51$ | $\mathrm{Chi}-\mathrm{sq}(2)=11.00$ |
| Anderson-Rubin Wald test | 0.1047 | 0.0041 |
| P-value | $\mathrm{Chi}-\mathrm{sq}(2)=4.51$ | $\mathrm{Chi}-\mathrm{sq}(2)=13.68$ |
| Stock-Wright LM S statistic | 0.1048 | 0.0011 |
| P-value | 10,000 | 9,980 |
| Observations | 30 | 31 |
| Number of regressors (K) | 1 | 1 |
| Number of endogenous regressors (K1) | 31 | 32 |
| Number of instruments (L) | 2 | 2 |
| Number of excluded instruments (L1) |  |  |

Online Appendix C Logit estimation: Broker users and non-broker users

## Table C.1: Logit Estimation of Broker use type

Table reports analysis of mortgage broker use. The model in column 1 is estimated on the full sample ( $\mathrm{n}=999$ ). It shows estimated coefficients from logit regression of the indicator for previously consulting a mortgage broker on participant characteristics. The model in column 2 is estimated on the sub-sample of participants who have not previously consulted a mortgage broker ( $\mathrm{n}=446$ ). It shows estimated coefficients from a logit regression of an indicator that the participant says that they intend to consult a mortgage broker in the future on participant characteristics. Variable definitions are shown in Table 3. Standard errors in parentheses. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.10,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

|  | Logit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Have used mortgage broker | Have not but will use broker |
| Financial Literacy | $\begin{gathered} 0.191 \\ (0.161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.005 \\ (0.312) \end{gathered}$ |
| Numeracy | $\begin{gathered} 0.066 \\ (0.168) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.463 \\ & (0.351) \end{aligned}$ |
| Female | $\begin{aligned} & -0.001 \\ & (0.153) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.095 \\ & (0.320) \end{aligned}$ |
| Young Age | $\begin{gathered} 0.793^{* * *} \\ (0.169) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.412 \\ (0.408) \end{gathered}$ |
| Weekly income $<\$ 2000$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.250^{*} \\ (0.145) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.077 \\ (0.296) \end{gathered}$ |
| Partnered | $\begin{gathered} 0.375 * * \\ (0.161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.489 \\ (0.304) \end{gathered}$ |
| Bachelor degree or higher | $\begin{gathered} 0.135 \\ (0.158) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.075 \\ & (0.336) \end{aligned}$ |
| Risk aversion above average | $\begin{aligned} & -0.221 \\ & (0.158) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.605^{*} \\ & (0.313) \end{aligned}$ |
| Patience above average | $\begin{gathered} 0.080 \\ (0.148) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.159 \\ (0.311) \end{gathered}$ |
| Employed | $\begin{aligned} & 0.367^{*} \\ & (0.202) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.458 \\ (0.412) \end{gathered}$ |
| Monotonic task responses | $\begin{gathered} 0.074 \\ (0.146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.407 \\ & (0.279) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mortgage experience | $\begin{gathered} 1.260^{* * *} \\ (0.433) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.391^{* * *} \\ (0.674) \end{gathered}$ |
| Upside house price risk | $\begin{aligned} & -0.330^{*} \\ & (0.171) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.303^{*} \\ & (0.180) \end{aligned}$ |
| Downside house price risk | $\begin{gathered} 0.230 \\ (0.162) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.247 \\ & (0.190) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mortgage experience *Upside price risk | $\begin{aligned} & 0.342^{*} \\ & (0.180) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.265 \\ & (0.232) \end{aligned}$ |
| Mortgage experience *Downside price risk | $\begin{aligned} & -0.100 \\ & (0.173) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.485^{* *} \\ (0.229) \end{gathered}$ |
| Constant | $\begin{gathered} -1.908^{* * *} \\ (0.523) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.315 \\ & (0.869) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pseudo R-squared | 0.118 | 0.278 |
| Observations | 999 | 446 |

## Online Appendix D Between-Subjects Robustness Checks: Survey 2

We conducted an additional survey to test the robustness of our findings. In the additional survey, we used an entirely between-subjects design: in task 1 of this round, each participant ( $\mathrm{n}=798$ ) rated their comfort or discomfort with either one lump sum debt amount or one monthly repayment amount, randomly drawn from a shortened set of four possible values. Table D. 1 shows the reduced list of lump sums and monthly repayment amounts. This design removes within-subject comfort and borrowing intention comparisons but is arguably less realistic than allowing participants to compare a series of mortgage debts. Most borrowers would review a range of loan amounts to get a sense of the impacts on their household budget and capacity to pay, before deciding on finance. For this reason, we treat this survey as a weaker test of sensitivity to framing than the main survey.
Second, instead of asking for equivalent lump sum or repayment amount as in task 2 of the main survey, the task 2 in this survey ( $\mathrm{n}=803$ ) showed participants a lump sum or repayment value and asked if they would increase, maintain or decrease the size of their loan. We then repeated this task in the alternative format. The goal of the second task was to see if stated borrowing intentions were significantly affected by the framing condition and other characteristics of the participants.

## Table D.1: Lump sum loan and monthly repayment values

This table shows the four lump sum loan sizes and equivalent monthly repayment amounts used in the additional survey. Values were approximately calibrated around average new loan sizes for owner-occupied dwellings in Australia in 2020 with each set increasing the loan amount at a constant log linear rate of approximately $35 \%$. Monthly principal and interest payments repayments are calculated for a 25 year loan term at an interest rate of $2.9 \%$ p.a.

| Set | Total loan size (\$) | Monthly repayments (\$) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 365,000 | 1,700 |
| 2 | 664,000 | 3,100 |
| 3 | $1,211,000$ | 5,700 |
| 4 | $2,206,000$ | 10,350 |

We put the second online experiment to field in April $2021(\mathrm{n}=1601)^{1}$. We collected a new sample of Pureprofile panel members and screened using the same filters as in experiment 1. Pureprofile again compensated participants who completed the survey for their time (approximately $\$ \mathrm{~A} 4$ ). The majority of participants completed this survey in under 12 minutes, and the entire data collection process took around two weeks. Table D. 2 reports summary statistics for this sample.

[^0]
## Table D.2: Descriptive Statistics

This table presents descriptive statistics for survey participants. First Survey: October 2020, n=999;
Second survey: April 2021, $\mathrm{n}=1601$. Population statistics are from the 2021 Australian census. ${ }^{a}$ Source: ?; ${ }^{b}$ Source: ?. .

|  | \% Main Survey | \% Second Survey | \% 25-64 yrs pop'n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Respondents | 999 | 1601 |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |
| Female | 50.0 | 50.0 | 50.9 |
| Partnered |  |  |  |
| Married or Living in long-term partnership | 73.0 | 86.9 | 61.9 |
| Age group |  |  |  |
| 25-29 years | 14.2 | 10.4 | 13.3 |
| 30-34 years | 14.6 | 16.6 | 13.9 |
| 35-39 years | 13.5 | 18.4 | 13.8 |
| 40-44 years | 12.3 | 19.1 | 12.3 |
| 45-49 years | 12.6 | 12.9 | 12.2 |
| 50-54 years | 10.4 | 8.6 | 12.0 |
| 55-59 years | 11.7 | 6.6 | 11.5 |
| 60-64 years | 10.6 | 7.3 | 11.0 |
| Household weekly income group |  |  |  |
| \$1,000-\$1,249 | 13.4 | 8.1 | 21.0 |
| \$1,250-\$1,499 | 12.9 | 10.9 | 16.9 |
| \$1,500-\$1,999 | 23.5 | 20.0 | 15.5 |
| \$2,000-\$2,499 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 11.9 |
| \$2,500-\$2,999 | 12.2 | 18.3 | 21.1 |
| \$3,000-\$3,499 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 4.9 |
| \$3,500-\$3,999 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.6 (\$3,500+) |
| \$4,000-\$4,999 | 3.7 | 5.4 | - |
| \$5,000+ | 2.8 | 4.6 | - |
| Education level |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's degree or higher | 63.0 | 69.5 | 33.4 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |
| Employed full time or part time | 85.0 | 89.3 | 72.8 |
| Risk aversion |  |  |  |
| Average or higher risk aversion | 55.2 | 43.8 | - |
| Patience |  |  |  |
| Average or higher patience | 61.6 | 68.8 | - |
| Financial literacy |  |  |  |
| Low (zero correct) | 10.9 | 20.1 | $8.1{ }^{a}$ |
| Low (interest \& inflation correct) | 59.3 | 44.6 | $63.7^{a}$ |
| High (three correct) | 47.0 | 33.5 | $42.9^{a}$ |
| Numeracy |  |  |  |
| Low (zero correct) | 21.6 | 34.8 | - |
| Low (one correct) | 23.7 | 23.9 | - |
| High (three correct) | 54.6 | 41.2 | - |
| Mortgage experience |  |  |  |
| Current or past mortgage | 81.8 | 90.3 | - |
| Have previously consulted mortgage broker |  |  |  |
| Yes | 55.4 | 64.8 | $55.7{ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Will consult mortgage broker in future |  |  |  |
| Yes | 45.0 | 54.4 | - |
| Have previously and will consult in future | 34.2 | 46.9 | - |
| Have not previously but will consult in future | 10.8 | 7.5 | - |

After joining the survey and passing the filters, participants were randomly assigned to task 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=798$ ) or task $2(\mathrm{n}=803)$. Participants in task 1 saw a text box and rating scale as in the main survey task 1 (Figure 1 panel (a)). This task asked them to rate their relative comfort or discomfort as before, but for only one of four lump sum or repayment levels. That is they answered the question "Suppose your monthly mortgage repayment is $\$ 1,700$ (total debt is $\$ 365,000$ ) and you do not have to repay any more beyond this amount. Please rate how comfortable or uncomfortable you would be with a total new monthly mortgage repayment of $\$ 1,700$ (total new mortgage debt of $\$ 365,000$ ) " The experiment randomized the order of the lump sum - repayment presentation and randomized the levels of debt.

Table D. 3 summarizes results from this task by participant group. Results confirm that participants with high financial literacy or numeracy are generally less comfortable with mortgage debt and that participants who either have consulted or intend to consult mortgage brokers rate themselves as more comfortable with mortgage debt. The mean comfort ratings are again lower for the lump sum than for repayment frame, confirming that on average, participants perceive lump sums as "larger".

## Table D.3: Summary statistics: Between-subjects comfort level

This table shows mean values of ratings from $1=$ "Very uncomfortable" to $7=$ "Very comfortable" on debt/repayment levels by participant subgroup. Each participant gave a rating for one of four lump sum debt or monthly repayment levels. We exclude participants in the lowest and highest $5 \%$ by survey completion time as a check on attention. *p $<0.10,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

| Comfort level | Mean | Standard error | t-test |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 4.029 | 2.362 |  |
| Framing: Repayments | 3.867 | 0.119 |  |
| Framing: Lump sum | 4.190 | 0.117 | $-1.936^{*}$ |
| No mortgage experience | 4.071 | 0.238 |  |
| Mortgage experience | 4.024 | 0.089 | 0.188 |
| Financial literacy (low) | 4.474 | 0.100 |  |
| Financial literacy (high) | 3.162 | 0.136 | $7.756^{* * *}$ |
| Numeracy (low) | 4.200 | 0.093 |  |
| Numeracy (high) | 3.387 | 0.183 | $3.962^{* * *}$ |
| Has not used mortgage broker | 3.374 | 0.129 |  |
| Has used mortgage broker | 4.385 | 0.105 | $-6.077^{* * *}$ |
| Will not use mortgage broker | 3.214 | 0.112 |  |
| Will use mortgage broker | 4.762 | 0.112 | $-9.801^{* * *}$ |
| Observations |  |  | 798 |

In task 2 of this survey, participants answered the following question: "Suppose your monthly mortgage repayment amount is $\$ 1,700$ (total debt is $\$ 365,000$ ). Would you increase the amount you have borrowed, keep the amount the same, or decrease the amount you have borrowed?". Participants assigned to this task chose a response from a five point scale from "Decrease it a lot" to "Increase it a lot". This final task aimed to measure borrowing intentions. As for task 1, we randomly assigned participants to one of four loan amounts from Table D. 1 framed either as lump sum or monthly repayment in a between-subjects design. Each of the participants in task 2 thus stated whether they would change their borrowing amount in response to one lump sum or repayment stream value.

Table D. 4 summarizes results from this task. On average, participants' stated intentions to change the amount borrowed do not show any sensitivity to frame. But participants with high financial literacy or numeracy generally intend to reduce loan amounts more than participants with low financial literacy and numeracy. And again, participants who either have consulted or intend to consult mortgage
brokers state the reverse intention - preferring to adjust borrowing up more than down at significantly higher rates than participants who have not consulted brokers or who do not intend to.

## Table D.4: Summary statistics: Between-subjects debt adjustment decisions

This table shows mean values of ratings from $1=$ "Decrease a lot" to $5=$ "Increase a lot" on debt/repayment levels by participant subgroup. Each participant gave a rating for one of four lump sum debt or monthly repayment levels. We exclude participants in the lowest and highest $5 \%$ by survey completion time as a check on attention. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.10,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}$ $<0.05,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.01$

| Intention to change amount borrowed | Mean | Standard error | t-test |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 2.781 | 1.389 |  |
| Framing: Repayments | 2.851 | 0.069 |  |
| Framing: Lump sum | 2.713 | 0.069 | 1.414 |
| No mortgage experience | 2.720 | 0.147 |  |
| Mortgage experience | 2.787 | 0.052 | -0.432 |
| Financial literacy (low) | 3.114 | 0.060 |  |
| Financial literacy (high) | 2.109 | 0.069 | $10.980^{* * *}$ |
| Numeracy (low) | 2.955 | 0.055 |  |
| Numeracy (high) | 2.104 | 0.087 | $8.239^{* * *}$ |
| Has not used mortgage broker | 2.502 | 0.076 |  |
| Has used mortgage broker | 2.933 | 0.062 | $-4.381^{* * *}$ |
| Will not use mortgage broker | 2.489 | 0.067 |  |
| Will use mortgage broker | 3.009 | 0.068 | $-5.451^{* * *}$ |
| Observations |  |  | 803 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The full second survey is available at https://survey.us.confirmit.com/wix/3/p999990868183.aspx

