Supplementary Material Periods of Missing Data



Figure 6. Missing data periods at each of the ten hydrological gauging stations. Missing data periods are highlighted in red, and complete data periods are highlighted in blue.



Figure 7. A detailed depiction of the TFT architecture. The TFT architecture involves inputting static metadata, past inputs that vary with time, and future inputs that are known beforehand and also vary with time. Variable Selection is employed to choose the most important features based on the input data. The architecture utilizes Gated Residual Network blocks with skip connections and gating layers to ensure efficient information flow. LSTMs perform local processing, while multi-head attention is used to integrate information from any time step for time-dependent processing (Lim et al., 2021).

Performance Evaluation

We measure the quality of the imputation using Kling-Gupta Efficiency (KGE) (Gupta et al., 2009) and Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970).

The KGE metric provides a diagnostically informative decomposition of the NSE and RMSE. It facilitates the analysis of the relative importance of its different components (correlation, bias and variability) in the context of hydrological modelling. The NSE measures the ability to predict variables different from the mean and gives the proportion of the initial variance accounted for by the model. The RMSE is frequently used to evaluate how closely the predicted values match the observed values based on the relative range of the data.

$$KGE = 1 - \sqrt{(r-1) + \left(\frac{\bar{x}_{t}}{\bar{x}_{t}} - 1\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{\bar{x}_{t}}}{\sigma_{x_{t}}} - 1\right)^{2}}$$
(10)

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_t - \hat{x_t})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_t - \bar{x_t})^2}$$
(11)

$$R^{2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{t} - \hat{x_{t}})^{2}}$$
(12)

In the above equations, x_t represents the observed discharge at a time t, \hat{x}_t represents the imputed discharge at a time t, \bar{x}_t is the mean of the observed discharge, \bar{x}_t is the mean of the imputed discharge, $\sigma_{\hat{x}_t}$ is the variance of the simulated discharge, $\sigma_{\hat{x}_t}$ is the variance of the observed discharge, r is the Pearson correlation coefficient, and n is the number of observations. The KGE values range from $-\infty$ to 1, with values close to 1 indicating good agreement. Similarly to the KGE, the NSE values also range from $-\infty$ to 1, with the perfect model having the value 1. As this is a regression setting case the NSE is equivalent to the coefficient of determination \mathbb{R}^2 .

Details on variables

Except for the precipitation, maximum temperature, minimum temperature and discharge variables that are temporal datasets, all the other variables are static characteristics of catchments.

| Variable name | Data source | Description |
|-------------------------|--------------|---|
| pr | METEO-BENIN | Daily precipitation in mm/day |
| tmax | METEO-BENIN | Daily maximum temperature in $^{\circ}C$ |
| tmin | METEO-BENIN | Daily minimum temperature in $^{\circ}C$ |
| hydro | DG-Eau | Daily river discharge in m^3/s |
| | | |
| area | CGIAR-CSI | Area in km^2 |
| perimeter | CGIAR-CSI | Perimeter in <i>km</i> |
| elev_mean | CGIAR-CSI | Mean elevation in <i>m</i> |
| slope_mean | CGIAR-CSI | Mean slope in m/km |
| brown | ORSTOM | Ratio of brown areas |
| ferralitic | ORSTOM | Ratio of ferralitic areas |
| ferruginous | ORSTOM | Ratio of ferruginous areas |
| hydromorphic | ORSTOM | Ratio of hydromorphic areas |
| river | ORSTOM | Ratio of river areas |
| slightly d. | ORSTOM | Ratio of slightly developed areas |
| vertisols | ORSTOM | Ratio of vertisols areas |
| agriculture | CENATEL | Ratio of agriculture areas |
| barren | CENATEL | Ratio of barren areas |
| built-up | CENATEL | Ratio of built-up areas |
| forest | CENATEL | Ratio of forest areas |
| grassland | CENATEL | Ratio of grassland areas |
| water | CENATEL | Ratio of water areas |
| wetland | CENATEL | Ratio of wetland areas |
| gneiss | OBEMINES | Ratio of gneiss areas |
| granite | OBEMINES | Ratio of granite areas |
| quartzite | OBEMINES | Ratio of quartzite areas |
| sandstone | OBEMINES | Ratio of sandstone areas |
| schist | OBEMINES | Ratio of schist areas |
| | METEO DENINI | Maria (C.1.1) and the data |
| pr_mean | METEO DENIN | Mean of daily precipitation |
| low nr frog | METEO DENIN | Frequency of high precipitation days (>=5 times mean daily precipitation) |
| tmax_maan | METEO DENIN | Mean of maximum temperature |
| tmin meen | METEO DENIN | Mean of minimum temperature |
| a mean | DG Fau | Mean of river discharge in m^3/s |
| y_inean rupoff ratio | DG Eau | Ratio of mean daily discharge to mean daily precipitation |
| Ω_{5} | DG Eau | S% discharge quantile (low discharge) |
| Q5 095 | DG Eau | 05% discharge quantile (low discharge) |
| V ^{3J} | DG Eau | Frequency of high discharge days (>0 times the mean daily discharge) |
| low a free | DG Eau | Frequency of law discharge days (>9 times the mean daily discharge) |
| iow_q_iieq | DO-Eau | requency of low discharge days (<0.2 times the mean daily discharge) |

Table 3. A description of the variables and data sources used in this work.

Additional Results

| Method | NSE % Missing Data | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--|
| | 5% | 10% | 20% | 30% | 50% | |
| KNN | 0.950 | 0.892 | 0.739 | 0.596 | 0.389 | |
| RF | 0.947 | 0.888 | 0.736 | 0.593 | 0.372 | |
| Gaussian Process | 0.973 | 0.938 | 0.840 | 0.751 | 0.623 | |
| GESS | 0.799 | 0.655 | 0.447 | 0.121 | -0.378 | |
| Elastic Net | 0.973 | 0.948 | 0.872 | 0.804 | 0.706 | |
| Ouantile Mapping | 0.966 | 0.938 | 0.859 | 0.787 | 0.671 | |

Table 4. Mean NSE of each imputation method at varying levels of missingness across the ten stations.



Figure 8. An illustrative plot of the forecasts in the testing period for the Yankin station. The orange bands indicate the range between the 35th percentile and 65th percentile of the full TFT with the median full TFT prediction given by a solid orange line .

| Reference | Model | Station | Performance |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|---|
| Essou and Brissette (2013) | HMETS | Bonou | NSE between 0.823 and 0.937 |
| Leroux et al. (2016) | DHSVM | Bétérou | R^2 between 0.82 and 0.87 |
| Giertz et al. (2006) | UHP-HRU | Bétérou | R ² of 0.75 |
| Bossa et al. (2012) | SWAT | Domè | R^2 of 0.7 for weekly discharge |
| Hounkpè and | WaSiM | Domè | KGE between 0.5 and 0.85 |
| Diekkrüger (2018) | | | |
| Ahouansou et al. | J2000 | Porga | \mathbb{R}^2 of 0.82 and NSE of 0.82 |
| (2015) | | | |
| Sintondji, Dossou- | SWAT | Kaboua | R^2 of 0.89 |
| Yovo, and Agbossou | | | |
| (2013) | | | |
| NOUNANGNONHO | UGR2M | Kaboua | R^2 greater than 0.75 and NSE greater |
| et al. (2019) | | | than 0.70 |
| Biao et al. (2021) | ModHyPMA, GR4J, HBV and AWBM | Athiémé | NSE averaged over models between 0.5 and 0.76 |

 Table 5. Additional performance of classical hydrological models on target stations

Hydrological Model Name Lookup.

- AWBM: Australian Water Balance Model,
- DHSVM: Distributed Hydrology Soil Vegetation Model,
- GR2M: Groupe de Recherche en Microélectronique et Microsystèmes,
- GR4J: Génie Rural à 4 paramètres Journalier,
- HyMoLAP: Hydrological Model based on the Least Action Principle,
- HMETS: Hydrology Model-EST and
- WaSiM: Water balance Simulation Model



(a) Averaged Importance of Static Variables of the TFT-Lite model



(b) Averaged Importance of Static Variables of the TFT-Full model

Figure 9. Average attention profiles of the TFT models in the testing period .