Supplementary information

Introducing Diinamic, a flexible and robust method for clustering analysis in single-molecule localization microscopy

Anne-Lise Paupiah, Xavier Marques, Zaha Merlaud, Marion Russeau, Sabine Lévi, Marianne Renner.



Supplementary Figure S1: Performance analysis of Diinamic-R against Scenarios 2-10 from Nieves and coll. (2023)²³ in absence (Ground truth, A and B) or presence (Multiple blinking, C and D) of multiple detections per original simulated detection. Results were scored using ARI (A,C) and IoU (B,D). Parameters were optimized for the first simulation and then applied for all the simulations (n = 50) from each Scenario. Median and IQR range (25-75%). Open black squares indicate the mean score.



Supplementary Figure S2: Performance analysis of Diinamic-V against Scenarios 2-10 from Nieves and coll. (2023)²³ in absence (Ground truth, A and B) or presence (Multiple blinking, C and D) of multiple detections per original simulated detection. Results were scored using ARI (A,C) and IoU (B,D). Parameters were optimized for the first simulation and then applied for all the simulations (n = 50) from each Scenario. Median and IQR range (25-75%). Open black squares indicate the mean score.

	ARI – Ground truth			
Algorithm	>~0.8	~0.6-0.8		
Diinamic-R	5	2		
Diinamic-V	4	3		
DBSCAN	4	3		
ΤοΜΑΤο	4	2		
KDE	3	2		
CAML	2	3		
FOCAL	1	3		
SRTesseler	1	3		
ClusterVISU	1	3		

	ARI – Multiple blinking			
Algorithm	>~0.8	~0.6-0.8		
Diinamic-R	5	3		
Diinamic-V	5	4		
DBSCAN	3	2		
ΤοΜΑΤο	0	5		
KDE	0	4		
CAML	0	5		
FOCAL	0	1		
SRTesseler	0	3		
ClusterVISU	0	4		

	IoU – Ground truth					IoU – Multiple blinking	
Algorithm	> ~0.8	~0.6-0.8	Algor	ithm	>~0.8	~0.6-0.8	
Diinamic-R	2	6	Diinar	nic-R	2	6	
Diinamic-V	0	8	Diinar	Diinamic-V		7	
DBSCAN	1	5	DBS	DBSCAN		7	
ΤοΜΑΤο	0	6	ТоМ	АТо	0	5	
KDE	0	4	KC	KDE		3	
CAML	0	6	CAI	CAML		0	
FOCAL	0	4	FOC	FOCAL		1	
SRTesseler	0	1	SRTes	SRTesseler		2	
ClusterVISU	0	0	Cluste	rVISU	0	0	

Supplementary Tables S1: Number of scenarios with scores over ~ 0.8 or between ~0.6 and ~0.8 for ARI and IoU scores, for the indicated algorithms and datasets (score values from Supplementary Fig. S1 and 2 and from Nieves et al, 2023²³).