Race	Frequency	Percentage
White	739	73.4%
Black or African American	134	13.3%
Other	44	4.4%
Asian	33	3.3%
White/ American Indian or Alaska Native	16	1.6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	12	1.2%
White/ Black or African American	10	1%
White/Asian	5	0.5%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	3	0.3%
White/ Other	3	0.3%
Black or African American/American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0.2%
Black or African American/ Asian	2	0.2%
American Indian or Alaska Native/ Other	1	0.1%
Asian/ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0.1%
Black or African American/ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	1	0.1%
Black or African American/ Other	1	0.1%

# Appendix 1. Demographic Characteristics of Sample

Gender	Frequency	Percentage
Female	503	50%
Male	495	49.1%
Other	9	0.9%

Age	Frequency	Percentage
18-29	333	33.1%
30-49	331	32.9%
50-69	249	24.7%
70 and over	94	9.3%

Education	Frequency	Percentage
Nursery school to 8 <sup>th</sup> grade	3	0.3%
Some high school, no diploma	34	3.4%
High school graduate	204	20.3%
Some college credit	213	21.2%
Trade/ technical/ vocational training	38	3.8%
Associate degree	112	11.1%
Bachelor's degree	254	25.2%
Advanced degree	149	14.8%

Ideology	Frequency	Percentage	
Extremely liberal	104	10.3%	
Liberal	151	15%	
Slightly liberal	88	0.9%	
Moderate	279	27.7%	
Slightly conservative	95	9.4%	
Conservative	145	14.4%	
Extremely conservative	72	7.1%	
Haven't thought much about this	73	7.2%	

Appendix 2. Comparing Covariates Among Treatment and Control Groups

	All Respor	ndents					Difference	es													
	Control	Lumping It	Family Help	Hire a Lawyer	Govt. Program	Lawsuit															
Covariates	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(1-2)	(1-3)	(1-4)	(1-5)	(1-6)	(2-3)	(2-4)	(2-5)	(2-6)	(3-4)	(3-5)	(3-6)	(4-5)	(4-6)	(5-6)
Ideology	0.34	0.29	0.39	0.36	0.33	0.30	0.05	05	02	.01	.04	10	07	04	01	.03	.06	.09	.03	.06	.03
Race	0.69	0.72	0.77	0.73	0.74	0.76	-0.03	-0.08	-0.04	-0.05	-0.07	-0.05	-0.01	-0.02	-0.04	0.04	0.03	0.01	-0.01	-0.03	-0.02
Gender	0.45	0.49	0.57	0.52	0.47	0.47	-0.04	-0.12*	-0.07	-0.02	-0.02	-0.08	-0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.05	0
Age	0.34	0.35	0.33	0.36	0.34	0.33	-0.01	0.01	-0.02	0	0.01	0.02	-0.01	0.01	0.02	-0.03	-0.01	0	0.02	0.03	0.01
Education	0.51	0.49	0.53	0.46	0.56	0.52	0.02	-0.02	0.05	-0.05	-0.01	-0.04	0.03	-0.07	-0.03	0.07	-0.03	0.01	-0.1	-0.06	0.04
N	176	164	162	168	163	174															

Notes. Appendix 2 lists demographic covariates. Columns 1-7 display the mean value for each variable, by treatment group, after the variable has been recoded to range from 0 to 1. Columns to the far right are the differences in means among the treatment groups, along with results from difference-in-mean tests (allowing for unequal variances). \*p≤.10, \*\* p≤.05, \*\*\*p≤.01

Variable Type	Variable Name	Model 1	Model 2
		(N=1,007)	(N=934)
	Lumping It	.07	.05
		(.09)	(.09)
	Family Help	.06	.03
		(.08)	(.09)
Primary	Hire a Lawyer	.25***	.22***
Treatment		(.08)	(.08)
	Government	.11	.08
	Program	(.08)	(.08)
	Lawsuit	.24**	.21**
		(.08)	(.09)
	Ideology		01
			(.02)
	Race		06
			(.06)
Covariates	Gender		.06
			(.05)
	Age		.11***
			(.03)
	Education		.04***
			(.01)

# Appendix 3. OLS Regression: Perception of Illness Severity Across All Claiming Groups (Reported with Robust Standard Errors and With and Without Covariates)

\*p≤.10, \*\* p≤.05, \*\*\*p≤.01

Notes. The Control Group is the omitted category and that the smaller N in Model 2 (N=934) reflects the exclusion of missing data on the ideology covariate. Coefficients are unstandardized.

Variable Type	Variable Name	Model 1	Model 2
		(N=1,007)	(N=934)
	Lumping It	.27	83
		(2.58)	(2.59)
	Family Help	4.81*	4.00
		(2.48)	(2.50)
Primary	Hire a Lawyer	4.44*	3.73
Treatment		(2.45)	(2.50)
	Government	1.50	.54
	Program	(2.39)	(2.40)
	Lawsuit	2.78	1.40
		(2.45)	(2.45)
	Ideology		-1.57***
			(.42)
	Race		2.62
			(1.86)
Covariates	Gender		.27
			(1.48)
	Age		5.1***
			(.78)
	Education		.87**
			(.40)

### Appendix 4. OLS Regression: Perception of Blame Share Across All Claiming Groups (Reported with Robust Standard Errors and With and Without Covariates)

\*p<.10, \*\* p<.05, \*\*\*p<.01

Notes. The Control Group is the omitted category and that the smaller N in Model 2 (N=934) reflects the exclusion of missing data on the ideology covariate. Coefficients are unstandardized

#### Appendix 5. OLS Regression With Robust Standard Errors Without and With Covariates: Attitudes Toward Claimant Across Control, Lumping It and Seeking Compensation Groups

Variable Type	Variable Name	Model 1	Model 2
		(N=1007)	(N=934)
	Lumping It	20***	25***
Treatment		(.07)	(.08)
	Seeking Compensation	06	10*
		(.06)	(.06)
	Ideology		04***
			(.01)
	Race		.14**
			(.05)
Covariates	Gender		.05
			(.04)
	Age		.11***
			(.02)
	Education		.02
			(.01)

\*p≤.10, \*\* p≤.05, \*\*\*p≤.01

Notes. The Control Group is the omitted category and that the smaller N in Model 2 (N=934) reflects the exclusion of missing data on the ideology covariate.

Variable	Variable Name	Model 1	Model 2
Туре		(N=843)	(N=777)
		(Robust Coefficients	(Robust, Standardized
		without covariates)	Coefficients with covariates)
	Family Help	07	04
		(.07)	(.07)
	Hire a Lawyer	.12	.04
Claiming		(.08)	(.08)
Group	Government	07	04
	Program	(.07)	(.07)
	Lawsuit	23***	12***
		(.07)	(.07)
	Ideology		12***
			(.01)
	Race		.06**
			(.06)
Covariates	Gender		.03
			(.05)
	Age		.12***
	_		(.03)
	Education		.05**
			(.01)

# Appendix 6. OLS Regression With Robust Standard Errors: Attitudes Towards Claimants Across Claiming Groups

**\***p≤.10, **\*\*** p≤.05, **\*\*\***p≤.01

Notes. The Control Group is the omitted category, and the smaller N in Model 1 (N=843) reflects the exclusion of the Lumping It group in the regression, whereas the smaller N in Model 2 (N=777) reflects the exclusion of the Lumping It Group as well as missing data on the ideology covariate.