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## 1 Methodology

The MKM was executed from reaction energetics of the elementary steps for the ethanol NODH reaction on the stepped sitesof the transition metal catalysts viz. $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Ru}$ and Re. The MKM was based on the previous study on the non-oxidative ethanol dehydrogenation (NODH) of ethanol on transition metal catalysts and Cu and Ni alloys [1]. The stepped surfaces of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ bimetallics with AA and AB surface termination have been studied herein; Pt being alloyed with transition metals viz. Au, Ag, Cu, Pd, Rh, Ni, Co, Zn, Hf, Nb, Sc, Ta, Ti, V, Y, Zr; and p-block elements viz. $\mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Ga}$, Ge, Al, Pb, Sb, As, Tl, La.
Steady state kinetics of the elementary reaction steps were determined using the mean field approach, with no assumptions of a rate determining step. The steady-state solutions of the governing differential equations were found using a multi-dimensional Newton's root finding method from the python mpmath library in CatMAP. The model used frozen adsorbate approximation [2, 3], neglecting any entropy change in the reactions taking place on the surface. The model used two separate sites, one for all the adsorbates and the other for hydrogen, owing to the as hydrogen was unlikely to compete with the other adsorbates owing to its small size [4]. The number of hydrogen sites were considered to be equal to the step sites. The microkinetic model was solved for reaction conditions $\mathrm{T}=423 \mathrm{~K}$, with $0.01 \%$ conversion of ethanol and 1 bar of ethanol.
Binding energies of the adsorbed species, transition state and gas phase species used in the model were obtained from CatApp [5] and previous studies [1, 4, 6-9] based on DFT calculations and microkinetic models of ethanol over the transition metals.

## 2 Selectivity

The NODH of ethanol yields acetaldehyde as the major product, and ethylene as a byproduct. All the alloys screened in the MKM showed absolute selectivity towards the formation of acetaldehyde as shown in Figure SI-1.


Figure SI-1: Selectivity for acetaldehyde over $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ alloys (blue circle and blue diamond represent the AA and AB termination of p-block element based Pt alloys, and green circle and green diamond represent the AA and AB termination of transition metal based Pt alloys)

## 3 Coverage

In order to gain insights into the activity trends of NODH reaction, we have studied the coverages of various adsorbate species on the Pt alloys. Figure SI-2 shows the coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ on all the alloys of Pt studied herein. The coverage of this species is depicted in Figure SI-2(a). Of the AA termination p-block element alloys, $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ge}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ exhibited complete and partial coverage of ethoxy species, respectively. All the AB termination p-block element alloys of Pt show coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ except $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{La}$. $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{La}$ has the lowest turnover of acetaldehyde of these alloys. This implies that the species exhibiting a high coverage of ethoxy have a high turnover of acetaldehyde, implying that this is an important intermediate towards the aldehyde production. The AA terminal of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$ and AB of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}$, are the transition metal based alloys that show partial coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The coverage of the species $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ is shown in Figure $\mathrm{SI}-2(\mathrm{~b})$. None of AA termination p-block element based alloys lied in this zone. $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{La}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ are the only AB termination of p-block element transition metal based Pt alloys that show slight coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$. Amongst the transition metal based alloys, the AA terminal of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Nb}$, and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ta}$ are completely covered by $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$. AA surface terminal of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ti}$ has a partial coverage of this adsorbed species. The AB termination transition metal based Pt alloys that were covered by this species are $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Hf}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ta}$, and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Nb}$. All of these show complete coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$. However, these metals have a turnover of less than $10^{-3} s^{-1}$ for the production of acetaldehyde. From this observation, it can be concluded that alloys showing high coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ do not have high aldehyde production rate. The -H abstraction of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ produced $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$, the coverage of which is shown in Figure SI-2(c). The AA surface terminal Pt alloys with p-block elements that show $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ coverage are $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{La}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cd}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Tl}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{In}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}$, $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ga}$.

All of these have absolute coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ except $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ga}$. $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{La}$ is the only AB termination of p-block element alloys that shows coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$. Of the AA termination


Figure SI-2: Coverages of (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$, (c) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$, (d) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ over $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ alloys (blue circle and blue diamond represent the AA and AB termination of p-block element based Pt alloys, and green circle and green diamond represent the AA and AB termination of transition metal based Pt alloys)
of transition metal alloys, $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Au}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}$ exhibited complete coverage of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ whereas $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sc}$ had partial coverage of this species. The AB surface terminal of the transition metal alloys $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}$ are completely covered by $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ and that of $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ag}$, and $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Au}$ show partial coverage. These alloys lie to the left of the top of the acetaldehyde volcano plot. None of the alloys screened are covered by $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ [Figure SI-2(d)], which can explain the fact that the turnover of ethylene is very low on these, as ethylene is formed of the dehydrogenation of this specie. From the coverage plots shown in Figure SI-2, it can be concluded that the alloys that have a high coverage of ethoxy species have a high acetaldehyde turnover whereas those that have $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$ coverage do not have high aldehyde production.

## 4 CatMAP Input File

A sample input file for NODH of alcohols is shown underneath. *_s represents a free step site (211), * h represents the hydrogen site. Not all the reactions are listed in the sample.

```
rxn_expressions = [
'CH3CH2OH_g + *_s + *_h <-> H-CH3CHOH_s + *_h }->\mathrm{ - CH3CHOH_s + H_h',
'CH3CHOH_s + *_h -> CH3CHO-H_s + *_h -> CH3CHO_s + H_h',
'CH3CH2OH_g + *_s + *_h <-> CH3CH2O-H_s + *_h -> CH3CH2O_s + H_h',
'CH3CH2O_s + *_\h <-> \overline{H}-CH3CH0_s + *_h ' }->\mathrm{ - CH3
'CH3CHO_s ->> CH3CHO_g + *ss',
    ]
surface_names = ['Pt', 'Ag', 'Au', 'Co', 'Ni', 'Cu', 'Ru', 'Rh', 'Pd', 'Re']
descriptor_names = ['C_s','0_s']
descriptor_ranges = [[0.0, 6.0],[-3.0, 3.0]]
temperature = 423
resolution = 20
species_definitions = {}
species_definitions['CH3CH2OH_g'] = {'pressure':1}
species_definitions['CH4_g'] = {'pressure':0}
species_definitions['C0_g'] = {'pressure':0}
species_definitions['C02_g']={'pressure':0}
species_definitions['H2_g'] = {'pressure':0}
species_definitions['H20_g'] = {'pressure':0}
species__definitions['CH2\overline{CH2_g'] = {'pressure':0}}
species_definitions['CH3CH0_g'] = {'pressure':0.0001}
species_definitions['s'] = {'site_names': ['211'], 'total':1.0}
species_definitions['h'] = {'site_names': ['211'], 'total':1.0}
scaling_constraint_dict = {
    'C_s':['+',0,None],
    '0_s':[0,'+',None],
    'H-CH3CHOH_s':'final_state',
    'CH3CH0-H_s':'final_state',
    'CH3CH20-H':'final_state'
    'H-CH3CHO_s':'final_state',
#Define default fixed entropy gas entropies
fixed_entropy_dict = {'H2_g':0.00135,
                                    'H20_g':0.0018884,
            'CH3CH2OH_g':0.0028162,
            'CH2CH2_g':0.00227392134,
            'CH4_g':0.0018637,
            'C0_g':0.0019766,
            'C02_g':0.0021379,
            'CH3CHO_g':0.0027356497,
            'other':0.002,
            } |
data_file = 'MKM.pkl'
input_file = 'energies.txt'
gas_thermo_mode = "fixed_entropy_gas"
adsorbate_thermo_mode = "frozen_adsorbate"
decimal_precision = 120
tolerance = 1e-100
max rootfinding_iterationss = 100
```


## 5 Descriptor Energies and Alloy Prices

The binding energies of cabron and oxygen on the Pt-based alloys used in the model are listed in Table SI-1. The alloy prices are also given in Table SI-1, which have been calculated considering 3:1 ratio of Pt with the alloying metal. The prices of the each metal and the binding energies are taken from previous work conducted on the in-silico screening for methane steam reforming process [10]. The formula for calculation of alloy price as used herein is:

Price of $P t_{3} B$ Alloy $=[0.75 *$ Price of $\mathrm{Pt}(\$ / \mathrm{kg}) *$ Molar mass of Pt $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{kmol})]+[0.25 *$ Price of B (\$/kg) * Molar mass of B (kg/kmol)]

Table SI-1: Descriptor energies and alloy prices over the Pt based alloys

| Alloy | Surface Termination | C [ eV ] | C [ eV ] | Price [\$/kmol] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Au}$ | AB | 2.2 | 1.8 | 21740950 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Au}$ | AA | 1.8 | 1.3 | 21740950 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ag}$ | AB | 2.4 | 1.9 | 19044900 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ag}$ | AA | 1.8 | 1.3 | 19044900 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}$ | AB | 2.6 | 1.5 | 19013810 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}$ | AA | 2.2 | 1.4 | 19013810 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}$ | AB | 2.0 | 1.6 | 20558245 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Pd}$ | AA | 1.9 | 1.3 | 20558245 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}$ | AB | 1.7 | 0.7 | 22360000 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}$ | AA | 1.9 | 1.4 | 22360000 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$ | AB | 1.9 | 1.0 | 19013636 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ni}$ | AA | 2.3 | 1.5 | 19013636 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Co}$ | AB | 2.2 | 0.8 | 19015598 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Co}$ | AA | 2.4 | 1.4 | 19015598 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Zn}$ | AB | 3.2 | 1.3 | 19030163 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Zn}$ | AA | 2.2 | 1.4 | 19030163 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Hf}$ | AB | 3.3 | -0.6 | 19066200 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Hf}$ | AA | 2.5 | 0.4 | 19066200 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Nb}$ | AB | 2.4 | -1.5 | 19016685 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Nb}$ | AA | 3.0 | -1.0 | 19016685 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sc}$ | AB | 2.8 | -0.3 | 19170000 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sc}$ | AA | 1.9 | 0.7 | 19170000 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ta}$ | AB | 2.4 | -1.7 | 19216125 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ta}$ | AA | 3.1 | -1.1 | 19216125 |


| Alloy | Surface Termination | C [ eV$]$ | C [eV] | Price [\$/kmol] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ti}$ | AB | 2.7 | -0.9 | 19091820 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ti}$ | AA | 2.6 | 0.0 | 19091820 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~V}$ | AB | 2.2 | -1.3 | 19040550 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~V}$ | AA | 2.7 | -0.8 | 19040550 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Y}$ | AB | 2.5 | -0.2 | 19108175 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Y}$ | AA | 3.2 | -0.7 | 19108175 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Zr}$ | AB | 3.2 | -0.7 | 19030163 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Zr}$ | AA | 2.5 | 0.4 | 19030163 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ | AB | 4.2 | 1.7 | 19019640 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ | AA | 2.5 | 1.4 | 19019640 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ga}$ | AB | 2.9 | 2.3 | 19051000 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ga}$ | AA | 2.2 | 1.4 | 19051000 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ge}$ | AB | 3.4 | 1.1 | 19078200 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ge}$ | AA | 2.7 | 1.4 | 19078200 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{In}$ | AB | 2.8 | 1.7 | 19290800 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{In}$ | AA | 2.0 | 1.3 | 19290800 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ | AB | 3.0 | 0.6 | 19013560 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ | AA | 2.0 | 1.0 | 19013560 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{La}$ | AB | 2.0 | -0.2 | 19290500 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{La}$ | AA | 1.2 | 0.7 | 19290500 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cd}$ | AB | 3.5 | 1.7 | 19025380 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cd}$ | AA | 1.8 | 1.3 | 19025380 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}$ | AB | 3.1 | 1.9 | 19013768 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}$ | AA | 2.2 | 1.3 | 19013768 |


| Alloy | Surface Termination | $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{eV}]$ | $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{eV}]$ | Price $[\$ / \mathrm{kmol}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ | AB | 3.2 | 1.3 | 19013861 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ | AA | 2.3 | 1.5 | 19013861 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | AB | 3.0 | 1.2 | 19072500 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{As}$ | AA | 1.8 | 1.2 | 19072500 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Tl}$ | AB | 2.7 | 2.0 | 19036980 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Tl}$ | AA | 1.9 | 1.3 | 19036980 |

## 6 Alloy Stability

The stability filters as applied in the work on steam reforming MKM by Xu et al. [10] have been used in this study. The thermodynamic stability of alloys has been accessed by the formation energy of the $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ bimetallic alloy. The energy of alloy formation is given in Table SI-2.

Table SI-2: Alloy Formation Energy and Gibbs Energy of Oxidation

| Alloy | Formation Energy $[\mathrm{eV}]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}$ | -0.49 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Zn}$ | -1.35 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ga}$ | -1.81 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Ge}$ | -1.03 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{~Pb}$ | -0.53 |
| $\mathrm{Pt}_{3} \mathrm{Sb}$ | -0.75 |
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