**Demographic Context, Mass Deportation, and Latino Linked Fate, Appendix**

Table A1. Descriptive Statistics

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable Name | Observations | Mean | Median | S. D. | Min. | Max. |
| Linked Fate | 2739 | 0.58 | 2 | 0.49 | 0 | 1 |
| Positive Linked Fate | 2739 | 2.42 | 2 | 0.64 | 1 | 3 |
| L3 Removal Rate | 2739 | 3.71 | 2.64 | 4.90 | 0 | 43.11 |
| Proportion Foreign-Born | 2739 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.003 | 0.52 |
| Native-Born Latino | 2739 | 0.74 | 1 | 0.44 | 0 | 1 |
| Age | 2739 | 36.84 | 34 | 13.65 | 18 | 98 |
| Democrat | 2739 | 0.58 | 1 | 0.49 | 0 | 1 |
| Independent | 2739 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 1 |
| Female | 2739 | 0.68 | 1 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 |
| Education | 2739 | 3.97 | 4 | 1.15 | 1 | 6 |
| Skin Color | 2739 | 3.25 | 3 | 1.31 | 1 | 10 |
| Puerto Rican | 2739 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.38 | 0 | 1 |
| Cuban | 2739 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.23 | 0 | 1 |
| Dominican Republican | 2739 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.19 | 0 | 1 |
| Other National Origin | 2739 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.35 | 0 | 1 |
| Midwest | 2739 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.28 | 0 | 1 |
| South | 2739 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.49 | 0 | 1 |
| West | 2739 | 0.36 | 0 | 0.48 | 0 | 1 |
| Noncitizen | 2739 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.34 | 0 | 1 |
| Time in US | 821 | 23.02 | 20 | 15.97 | 0 | 75 |
| Border County | 2739 | 0.69 | 0 | 0.25 | 0 | 1 |
| Survey in Spanish | 2739 | 0.12 | 0 | 0.33 | 0 | 1 |
| Sanctuary County | 2739 | 0.29 | 0 | 0.45 | 0 | 1 |

Note. Table shows descriptive statistics only for observations used in the models.

Table A2. Base Models Immigration Enforcement, Immigrant Presence, and Latino Linked Fate

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | **Positive Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| L3 Removal Rate | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | -0.01 |
|  | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) |
| Proportion Foreign-Born | 0.13 | 1.02 | -0.65 | 1.48\*\*\* |
|  | (0.50) | (0.66) | (0.40) | (0.48) |
| Age | -0.03\*\*\* | -0.03\*\*\* | -0.01\*\* | -0.01 |
|  | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) |
| Democrat | 0.82\*\*\* | 0.80\*\*\* | 0.55\*\*\* | 0.32\* |
|  | (0.11) | (0.17) | (0.10) | (0.19) |
| Independent | 0.06 | -0.07 | -0.12 | 0.21 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.22) | (0.11) | (0.17) |
| Female | -0.06 | -0.30\* | -0.07 | 0.19 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.14) | (0.10) | (0.15) |
| Education | 0.12\*\* | -0.05 | 0.22\*\*\* | 0.15\*\* |
|  | (0.04) | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.06) |
| Skin Color | 0.10\*\* | 0.13\* | 0.06 | 0.03 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.06) | (0.04) | (0.05) |
| Puerto Rican | -0.13 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 13.98\*\*\* |
|  | (0.11) | (0.28) | (0.12) | (1.11) |
| Cuban | 0.14 | -0.25 | -0.08 | 0.06 |
|  | (0.28) | (0.24) | (0.26) | (0.27) |
| Dominican Republican | -0.14 | -0.04 | 0.11 | -0.23 |
|  | (0.28) | (0.32) | (0.36) | (0.34) |
| Other National Origin | -0.28\* | -0.34+ | -0.12 | -0.53\*\* |
|  | (0.14) | (0.19) | (0.14) | (0.22) |
| Midwest | 0.25 | 0.47 | 0.01 | 0.26 |
|  | (0.20) | (0.40) | (0.17) | (0.29) |
| South | 0.32+ | -0.09 | 0.17 | -0.05 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.23) | (0.14) | (0.22) |
| West | 0.42\* | 0.20 | 0.12 | -0.05 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.27) | (0.15) | (0.26) |
| Border County | -0.20\* | 0.45 | -0.04 | 0.97\*\* |
|  | (0.10) | (0.34) | (0.21) | (0.43) |
| Survey in Spanish | -0.40 | -0.47\* | -0.05 | 0.21 |
|  | (0.25) | (0.19) | (0.23) | (0.24) |
| Sanctuary County | -0.00 | 0.08 | 0.16 | -0.22 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.21) | (0.11) | (0.19) |
| Noncitizen |  | 0.31+ |  | -0.18 |
|  |  | (0.18) |  | (0.21) |
| Time in the U.S. |  | -0.00 |  | 0.01 |
|  |  | (0.01) |  | (0.01) |

Table A2 continued on next page

Table A2. Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | **Positive Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| Cut 1 Constant | 0.13 | -0.47 | -1.40\*\*\* | -1.59\*\* |
|  | (0.30) | (0.58) | (0.30) | (0.67) |
| Cut 2 Constant | 0.38 | -0.22 | 1.12\*\*\* | 0.89 |
|  | (0.31) | (0.58) | (0.29) | (0.68) |
| Cut 3 Constant | 2.19\*\*\* | 1.32\* |  |  |
|  | (0.31) | (0.62) |  |  |
| Observations | 2036 | 692 | 2036 | 692 |

Note. Models estimated using ordered logit. Standard errors are clustered by county. \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

Table A3. Immigration Enforcement, Immigrant Presence, and Group Linked Fate

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Immigrant**  **Linked Fate** | | **Minority**  **Linked Fate** | | **National Origin**  **Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| L3 Removal Rate | -0.01 | 0.07 | -0.03 | 0.08 | -0.02 | 0.05 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.06) | (0.03) | (0.06) | (0.02) | (0.05) |
| Proportion Foreign-Born | 0.34 | 2.10\*\* | -0.29 | 1.91\* | 0.47 | 0.82 |
|  | (0.65) | (0.77) | (0.56) | (0.83) | (0.39) | (0.64) |
| L3 Removal Rate X Proportion Foreign-Born | 0.14 | -0.18 | 0.19 | -0.24 | 0.04 | -0.04 |
| (0.12) | (0.26) | (0.13) | (0.25) | (0.09) | (0.22) |
| Age | -0.03\*\*\* | -0.02\*\*\* | -0.02\*\*\* | -0.02\*\*\* | -0.02\*\*\* | -0.03\*\*\* |
|  | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) |
| Democrat | 0.59\*\*\* | 0.74\*\*\* | 0.84\*\*\* | 0.82\*\*\* | 0.73\*\*\* | 0.60\*\*\* |
|  | (0.12) | (0.18) | (0.12) | (0.18) | (0.11) | (0.18) |
| Independent | -0.07 | -0.13 | 0.10 | -0.07 | 0.08 | -0.30+ |
|  | (0.09) | (0.22) | (0.11) | (0.19) | (0.11) | (0.17) |
| Female | -0.09 | -0.11 | 0.02 | -0.17 | -0.01 | 0.18 |
|  | (0.10) | (0.16) | (0.10) | (0.15) | (0.10) | (0.15) |
| Education | 0.14\*\*\* | 0.01 | 0.19\*\*\* | 0.06 | 0.15\*\*\* | 0.05 |
|  | (0.04) | (0.07) | (0.04) | (0.06) | (0.04) | (0.06) |
| Skin Color | 0.10\*\* | 0.11+ | 0.08\* | 0.15\* | 0.09\*\* | 0.17\*\* |
|  | (0.03) | (0.06) | (0.03) | (0.06) | (0.03) | (0.05) |
| Puerto Rican | -0.29\* | 1.30\*\*\* | -0.07 | 0.95\*\* | -0.01 | 1.70\*\*\* |
|  | (0.11) | (0.33) | (0.11) | (0.33) | (0.12) | (0.34) |
| Cuban | -0.17 | -0.55+ | -0.14 | -0.74\* | -0.15 | 0.21 |
|  | (0.21) | (0.32) | (0.25) | (0.31) | (0.19) | (0.31) |
| Dominican Republican | -0.14 | -0.29 | -0.37 | -0.18 | -0.57+ | -0.38 |
| (0.32) | (0.49) | (0.30) | (0.47) | (0.30) | (0.38) |
| Other National Origin | -0.10 | -0.63\*\* | -0.08 | -0.43+ | -0.65\*\*\* | -0.67\*\* |
| (0.16) | (0.20) | (0.14) | (0.25) | (0.14) | (0.21) |
| Midwest | 0.39 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.41\* | 0.18 |
|  | (0.24) | (0.36) | (0.18) | (0.49) | (0.21) | (0.31) |
| South | 0.16 | -0.13 | -0.06 | -0.01 | 0.16 | 0.10 |
|  | (0.18) | (0.26) | (0.15) | (0.26) | (0.13) | (0.24) |
| West | 0.28 | -0.01 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.32+ | -0.07 |
|  | (0.18) | (0.30) | (0.15) | (0.32) | (0.16) | (0.29) |
| Border County | -0.45\*\*\* | -0.68+ | -0.36+ | -0.13 | -0.23 | -0.76\*\* |
|  | (0.17) | (0.38) | (0.19) | (0.42) | (0.18) | (0.28) |
| Survey in Spanish | 0.39 | -0.16 | -0.23 | -0.17 | -0.08 | 0.09 |
|  | (0.31) | (0.20) | (0.27) | (0.23) | (0.26) | (0.22) |
| Table A3 continued on next page | | | | | | |

Table A3. Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Immigrant**  **Linked Fate** | | **Minority**  **Linked Fate** | | **National Origin**  **Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| Sanctuary County | -0.00 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.26 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.23) | (0.11) | (0.24) | (0.13) | (0.20) |
| Noncitizen |  | 0.30+ |  | 0.37\* |  | 0.24 |
|  |  | (0.18) |  | (0.19) |  | (0.18) |
| Time in the U.S. |  | -0.01 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.00 |
|  |  | (0.01) |  | (0.01) |  | (0.01) |
| Cut 1 Constant | -0.91\*\* | -1.26\* | -1.09\*\*\* | -0.78 | -1.38\*\*\* | -1.60\*\* |
|  | (0.31) | (0.52) | (0.31) | (0.52) | (0.28) | (0.54) |
| Cut 2 Constant | 0.34 | -0.11 | 0.21 | 0.57 | -0.53\* | -0.68 |
|  | (0.30) | (0.51) | (0.31) | (0.53) | (0.27) | (0.54) |
| Cut 3 Constant | 2.11\*\*\* | 1.62\*\* | 2.05\*\*\* | 2.41\*\*\* | 1.14\*\*\* | 0.70 |
|  | (0.31) | (0.51) | (0.32) | (0.54) | (0.28) | (0.51) |
| Cut 4 Constant |  |  |  |  | 2.69\*\*\* | 2.27\*\*\* |
|  |  |  |  |  | (0.29) | (0.51) |
| Observations | 1,854 | 644 | 1,847 | 642 | 2,036 | 692 |

Note. Models estimated using ordered logit. Standard errors are clustered by county. Immigrant Linked Fate measured using the question: “What happens generally to immigrants in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life? A lot, Some, Not much, Not at all.” Minority Linked Fate measured using the question: “What happens generally to racial and ethnic minorities in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life. A lot, Some, Not much, Not at all.” National Origin Linked Fate measured using the question: “What happens generally to [national origin group] people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life? Strongly Agree, Somewhat Agree, Neither Disagree or Agree, Somewhat Disagree, Strongly Disagree.” \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

Table A3 shows the results of models that examine the effect of policy and immigrant presence on linked fate with immigrants, racial and ethnic minorities more broadly, and respondents’ national origin group. The size of the foreign-born population does lead to stronger feelings of linked fate with immigrants and minorities for Latinos born outside the United States. However, in general, residential context and immigration enforcement do not affect feelings of linked fate with these groups alone or jointly.

Table A4. 3-Way Interaction Models Immigration Enforcement, Immigrant Presence, and Latino Linked Fate

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Linked Fate Amount | Positive Linked Fate |
| L3 Removal Rate | -0.04+ | -0.03 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.03) |
| Proportion Foreign-Born | -0.33 | -0.89\* |
|  | (0.71) | (0.45) |
| L3 Removal Rate X Proportion Foreign-Born | 0.21+ | 0.17+ |
|  | (0.11) | (0.10) |
| Foreign-Born | -0.45 | -0.54\* |
|  | (0.28) | (0.25) |
| L3 Removal Rate X Foreign-Born | 0.08 | 0.11+ |
|  | (0.07) | (0.05) |
| Proportion Foreign-Born X Foreign-Born | 1.16 | 2.98\*\*\* |
|  | (1.02) | (0.71) |
| L3 Removal Rate X Proportion Foreign-Born X Foreign-Born | -0.25 | -0.44+ |
| (0.28) | (0.22) |
| Age | -0.03\*\*\* | -0.01\*\* |
|  | (0.00) | (0.00) |
| Democrat | 0.83\*\*\* | 0.52\*\*\* |
|  | (0.09) | (0.08) |
| Independent | 0.02 | -0.04 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.10) |
| Female | -0.11 | -0.04 |
|  | (0.07) | (0.09) |
| Education | 0.07\* | 0.20\*\* |
|  | (0.03) | (0.04) |
| Skin Color | 0.11\*\*\* | 0.06+ |
|  | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| Puerto Rican | -0.14 | 0.07 |
|  | (0.13) | (0.11) |
| Cuban | -0.04 | 0.01 |
|  | (0.22) | (0.22) |
| Dominican Republican | -0.08 | 0.00 |
|  | (0.22) | (0.28) |
| Other National Origin | -0.32\*\* | -0.28\* |
|  | (0.11) | (0.11) |
| Midwest | 0.26 | 0.07 |
|  | (0.20) | (0.15) |
| South | 0.19 | 0.13 |
|  | (0.14) | (0.12) |
| West | 0.38\*\* | 0.12 |
|  | (0.14) | (0.13) |
| Table A4 continued on next page | | |

Table A4. Continued

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Linked Fate | Positive Linked Fate |
| Border County | -0.12 | 0.11 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.15) |
| Survey in Spanish | -0.45\* | 0.04 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.15) |
| Sanctuary County | 0.01 | 0.08 |
|  | (0.11) | (0.08) |
| Noncitizen | 0.37\* | -0.12 |
|  | (0.16) | (0.17) |
| Cut 1 Constant | -0.24 | -1.59\*\*\* |
|  | (0.28) | (0.26) |
| Cut 2 Constant | 0.01 | 0.91\*\*\* |
|  | (0.28) | (0.26) |
| Cut 3 Constant | 1.75\*\*\* |  |
|  | (0.28) |  |
| Observations | 2,739 | 2,739 |

Note. Models estimated using ordered logit. Standard errors are clustered by county. \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

Table A4 shows the results of models that interact the removal rate, proportion foreign-born in a county, and respondents’ nativity status. Because three-way interaction models are difficult to interpret, we include a series of predicted probability figures for native- and foreign-born Latinos in areas with low and intense immigration enforcement across a range of immigrant population sizes. The figures match our findings reported in the text. Native-born Latinos are less likely to report feeling any linked fate and more likely to feel positive about this connection as the immigrant population increases, but only when there are high levels of immigration enforcement (see Figures A1 and A3). However, the interaction of immigration enforcement and residential context does not affect foreign-born Latinos’ sense of linked fate (Figure A2). But, these factors may jointly affect foreign-born Latinos’ perceptions that shared ethnic fate is beneficial. As Figure A4 shows, when immigration enforcement is low, a growing immigrant population has a positive effect on foreign-born Latinos’ reporting that ethnic linked fate is positive. However, this trend is reversed in areas with high levels of immigration enforcement.

Figure A1. 3-Way Interaction Models. Predicted Probability of Feeling a Lot of Linked Fate for Native-Born Latinos



Note. The figure shows the predicted probability of responding “a lot” to the ordinal linked fate amount scale, indicating that the respondent thinks that what happens generally to Hispanic or Latino people in this country will have a lot to do with what happens in their life for native-born Latino respondents across a range of proportions of the county that are foreign-born. For the low enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 0 (the minimum value observed in the data). For the high enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 18, a value at which 99% of all cases are at or below. This was selected to adjust for a few outlying observations. Dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure A2. 3-Way Interaction Models. Predicted Probability of Feeling a Lot of Linked Fate for Foreign-Born Latinos



Note. The figure shows the predicted probability of responding “a lot” to the ordinal linked fate amount scale, indicating that the respondent thinks that what happens generally to Hispanic or Latino people in this country will have a lot to do with what happens in their life for foreign-born Latino respondents across a range of proportions of the county that are foreign-born. For the low enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 0 (the minimum value observed in the data). For the high enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 18, a value at which 99% of all cases are at or below. This was selected to adjust for a few outlying observations. Dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure A3. 3-Way Interaction Model. Predicted Probability of Responding Linked Fate is Positive for Native-Born Latinos



Note. The figure shows the predicted probability of responding “I feel positively about this link with my racial or ethnic group” to the question “Some people feel positively about the link they have with their racial or ethnic group members, while others feel negatively about the idea that their lives may be influenced by how well the larger group is doing. Which comes closer to your feelings?” for native-born Latino respondents across a variety of percentages of the county that are foreign-born. For the low enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 0 (the minimum value observed in the data). For the high enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 18, a value at which 99% of all cases are at or below. This was selected to adjust for a few outlying observations. Dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Figure A4. 3-Way Interaction Model. Predicted Probability of Responding Linked Fate is Positive for Foreign-Born Latinos



Note. The figure shows the predicted probability of responding “I feel positively about this link with my racial or ethnic group” to the question “Some people feel positively about the link they have with their racial or ethnic group members, while others feel negatively about the idea that their lives may be influenced by how well the larger group is doing. Which comes closer to your feelings?” for foreign-born Latino respondents across a variety of percentages of the county that are foreign-born. For the low enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 0 (the minimum value observed in the data). For the high enforcement probabilities, the L3 removal rate is set at 18, a value at which 99% of all cases are at or below. This was selected to adjust for a few outlying observations. Dashed lines represent 95% confidence intervals.

Table A5. Immigration Enforcement, Unauthorized Presence, and Latino Linked Fate

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | **Positive Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| L3 Unauthorized Removal Rate | -0.02\* | 0.03\* | -0.02\* | 0.03+ |
| (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) |
| Proportion Unauthorized | -4.81+ | 8.36+ | -5.04+ | 9.28+ |
|  | (2.50) | (4.60) | (2.65) | (4.78) |
| Removal Rate X Proportion Unauthorized | 0.27\*\* | -0.37+ | 0.27\*\* | -0.55\* |
| (0.10) | (0.20) | (0.09) | (0.22) |
| Age | -0.02\*\*\* | -0.02\*\* | -0.01+ | -0.01 |
|  | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) |
| Democrat | 0.84\*\*\* | 0.85\*\*\* | 0.53\*\*\* | 0.12 |
|  | (0.13) | (0.19) | (0.13) | (0.20) |
| Independent | 0.10 | -0.02 | -0.05 | 0.04 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.26) | (0.13) | (0.19) |
| Female | -0.03 | -0.20 | -0.06 | 0.21 |
|  | (0.11) | (0.14) | (0.12) | (0.16) |
| Education | 0.15\*\* | -0.09+ | 0.23\*\*\* | 0.12+ |
|  | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.07) |
| Skin Color | 0.13\*\*\* | 0.16\* | 0.07 | 0.00 |
|  | (0.04) | (0.07) | (0.04) | (0.05) |
| Puerto Rican | -0.28\* | 0.19 | -0.02 | 12.72\*\*\* |
|  | (0.14) | (0.30) | (0.13) | (1.17) |
| Cuban | -0.09 | -0.07 | -0.40 | -0.06 |
|  | (0.18) | (0.24) | (0.27) | (0.27) |
| Dominican Republican | -0.38 | -0.02 | -0.09 | -0.20 |
|  | (0.25) | (0.38) | (0.47) | (0.38) |
| Other National Origin | -0.25 | -0.40+ | 0.00 | -0.59\* |
|  | (0.15) | (0.22) | (0.16) | (0.26) |
| Midwest | 0.52\* | 0.76+ | 0.16 | 0.40 |
|  | (0.23) | (0.41) | (0.28) | (0.44) |
| South | 0.18 | -0.22 | 0.05 | -0.02 |
|  | (0.19) | (0.26) | (0.21) | (0.27) |
| West | 0.43\* | -0.07 | 0.18 | -0.14 |
|  | (0.20) | (0.29) | (0.20) | (0.31) |
| Border County | -0.31\* | 0.75\* | -0.07 | 1.85\*\*\* |
|  | (0.12) | (0.35) | (0.26) | (0.44) |
| Survey in Spanish | -0.22 | -0.61\*\* | 0.03 | 0.25 |
|  | (0.28) | (0.23) | (0.28) | (0.29) |
| Sanctuary County | -0.20 | -0.01 | -0.01 | -0.34 |
|  | (0.14) | (0.22) | (0.13) | (0.24) |
| Noncitizen |  | 0.28 |  | -0.11 |
|  |  | (0.19) |  | (0.23) |
| Time in the U.S. |  | -0.00 |  | 0.01 |
|  |  | (0.01) |  | (0.01) |

Table A5 continued on next page

Table A5. Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | **Positive Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| Cut 1 Constant | -0.07 | -0.41 | -1.49\*\*\* | -1.65\* |
|  | (0.43) | (0.65) | (0.39) | (0.76) |
| Cut 2 Constant | 0.18 | -0.13 | 0.94\* | 0.74 |
|  | (0.44) | (0.64) | (0.38) | (0.77) |
| Cut 3 Constant | 2.04\*\*\* | 1.48\* |  |  |
|  | (0.42) | (0.69) |  |  |
| Observations | 1495 | 562 | 1495 | 562 |

Note. Models estimated using ordered logit. Standard errors are clustered by county. Proportion unauthorized data comes from the Migration Policy Institute’s county-level estimates of the unauthorized population. L3 Unauthorized Removal Rate calculated by taking the number of L3 removals divided by the total number of unauthorized individuals in a county, multiplied by 1000. \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

Table A5 shows the results of models that use the size of the unauthorized population in a county in place of the size of the total foreign-born population. County-level estimates of the size of the unauthorized population come from the Migration Policy Institute (<https://www.migrationpolicy.org/programs/us-immigration-policy-program-data-hub/unauthorized-immigrant-population-profiles>). Estimates of the unauthorized population are not available for every county, and only 75% of Latino respondents in the CMPS reside in counties for which estimates exist. The results are consistent with models that use the size of the total foreign-born population.

Table A6. Immigration Enforcement, Immigrant Presence, and Latino Linked Fate by Nativity and Citizenship Status

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | | **Positive Linked Fate** | | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born Citizen | Noncitizen | Native-Born | Foreign-Born Citizen | Noncitizen |
| L3 Removal Rate | -0.05\* | 0.04 | 0.13\* | -0.03 | 0.03 | 0.11 |
|  | (0.02) | (0.14) | (0.06) | (0.03) | (0.15) | (0.07) |
| Proportion Foreign-Born | -0.40 | 0.58 | 3.24\*\* | -1.10\* | 0.96 | 4.15\*\*\* |
| (0.63) | (1.27) | (1.04) | (0.44) | (1.11) | (1.10) |
| Removal Rate X Proportion FB | 0.25\* | -0.07 | -0.74\*\* | 0.21\* | -0.19 | -0.59\* |
| (0.10) | (0.60) | (0.26) | (0.11) | (0.52) | (0.27) |
| Age | -0.03\*\*\* | -0.01 | -0.04\*\*\* | -0.01\* | -0.02 | -0.01 |
|  | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.02) | (0.01) |
| Democrat | 0.82\*\*\* | 1.07\*\*\* | 0.67\*\* | 0.55\*\*\* | 0.54\* | 0.10 |
|  | (0.11) | (0.29) | (0.22) | (0.10) | (0.25) | (0.29) |
| Independent | 0.06 | 0.25 | -0.41 | -0.11 | 0.34 | -0.04 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.32) | (0.30) | (0.11) | (0.31) | (0.27) |
| Female | -0.06 | -0.63\* | -0.05 | -0.08 | 0.17 | 0.21 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.26) | (0.20) | (0.10) | (0.22) | (0.25) |
| Education | 0.12\*\* | -0.00 | -0.01 | 0.22\*\*\* | 0.38\*\*\* | 0.02 |
|  | (0.04) | (0.10) | (0.09) | (0.05) | (0.10) | (0.08) |
| Skin Color | 0.10\*\* | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.06 | -0.02 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.09) | (0.08) | (0.04) | (0.08) | (0.09) |
| Puerto Rican | -0.12 | 0.03 |  | 0.10 | 14.18\*\*\* |  |
|  | (0.11) | (0.42) |  | (0.12) | (1.17) |  |
| Cuban | -0.11 | 0.08 | -0.20 | 0.14 | -0.53 | 0.04 |
|  | (0.16) | (0.44) | (0.31) | (0.25) | (0.39) | (0.30) |
| Dominican Republican | -0.11 | 0.08 | -0.20 | 0.14 | -0.53 | 0.04 |
| (0.28) | (0.40) | (0.56) | (0.36) | (0.49) | (0.48) |
| Other National Origin | -0.26+ | -0.20 | -0.60\* | -0.09 | -0.60+ | -0.44+ |
| (0.14) | (0.31) | (0.25) | (0.14) | (0.31) | (0.27) |
| Midwest | 0.26 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 0.01 | 0.50 | 0.39 |
|  | (0.21) | (0.56) | (0.46) | (0.17) | (0.53) | (0.48) |
| South | 0.30+ | -0.09 | -0.09 | 0.16 | -0.15 | 0.08 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.38) | (0.28) | (0.14) | (0.36) | (0.44) |
| West | 0.44\*\* | -0.08 | 0.37 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.08 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.46) | (0.36) | (0.14) | (0.40) | (0.44) |
| Border County | -0.32\*\*\* | 0.49 | 0.84 | -0.13 | 1.28+ | 1.15\* |
|  | (0.10) | (0.64) | (0.66) | (0.19) | (0.77) | (0.47) |
| Survey in Spanish | -0.38 | -0.04 | -0.60\* | -0.05 | 0.30 | 0.20 |
|  | (0.25) | (0.38) | (0.30) | (0.23) | (0.41) | (0.32) |
| Sanctuary County | -0.03 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.14 | -0.22 | -0.31 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.30) | (0.27) | (0.11) | (0.29) | (0.30) |

Table A6 continued on next page

Table A6. Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | | **Positive Linked Fate** | | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born Citizen | Noncitizen | Native-Born | Foreign-Born Citizen | Noncitizen |
| Time in the US |  | -0.01 | 0.02 |  | 0.00 | 0.02 |
|  |  | (0.01) | (0.02) |  | (0.01) | (0.02) |
| Cut 1 Constant | -0.01 | -0.06 | -0.60 | -1.51\*\*\* | -0.73 | -1.51\* |
|  | (0.32) | (0.94) | (0.61) | (0.31) | (0.91) | (0.70) |
| Cut 2 Constant | 0.24 | 0.22 | -0.37 | 1.01\*\*\* | 1.46 | 1.36+ |
|  | (0.32) | (0.94) | (0.61) | (0.30) | (0.96) | (0.72) |
| Cut 3 Constant | 2.05\*\*\* | 1.84+ | 1.20+ |  |  |  |
|  | (0.32) | (0.95) | (0.64) |  |  |  |
| Observations | 2036 | 330 | 362 | 2036 | 330 | 362 |

Note. Models estimated using ordered logit. Standard errors are clustered by county. \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

Table A6 shows the results of models split by both nativity and citizenship status.

Table A7. Immigration Enforcement, Immigrant Presence, and Positive Linked Fate among Respondents with Linked Fate

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| L3 Removal Rate | -0.09\*\* | 0.09 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.08) |
| Proportion Foreign-Born | -1.75\*\* | 2.62\* |
|  | (0.55) | (1.26) |
| Removal Rate X Proportion Foreign-Born | 0.45\*\*\* | -0.40 |
|  | (0.13) | (0.34) |
| Age | -0.00 | -0.00 |
|  | (0.00) | (0.01) |
| Democrat | 0.27\* | 0.27 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.28) |
| Independent | -0.23+ | 0.49+ |
|  | (0.13) | (0.29) |
| Female | 0.01 | 0.55+ |
| (0.14) | (0.30) |
| Education | 0.24\*\*\* | 0.23\*\*\* |
|  | (0.06) | (0.08) |
| Skin Color | 0.06 | -0.05 |
|  | (0.05) | (0.09) |
| Puerto Rican | 0.05 | 13.12\*\*\* |
|  | (0.16) | (1.16) |
| Cuban | -0.22 | 0.15 |
|  | (0.26) | (0.43) |
| Dominican Republican | 0.32 | -0.35 |
|  | (0.48) | (0.51) |
| Other National Origin | -0.07 | -0.54+ |
|  | (0.19) | (0.32) |
| Midwest | 0.13 | -0.16 |
|  | (0.24) | (0.53) |
| South | 0.06 | -0.46 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.40) |
| West | 0.21 | -0.34 |
|  | (0.19) | (0.50) |
| Border County | -0.09 | 1.25\* |
|  | (0.35) | (0.36) |
| Sanctuary County | -0.02 | -0.42 |
|  | (0.13) | (0.36) |
| Noncitizen |  | 0.01 |
|  |  | (0.01) |
| Time in the US |  | 0.01 |
|  |  | (0.01) |

Table A7 continued on next page

Table A7. Continued

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| Cut 1 Constant | -1.71\*\*\* | -0.90 |
|  | (0.50) | (0.86) |
| Cut 2 Constant | 0.44 | 1.19 |
|  | (0.46) | (0.84) |
| Observations | 1218 | 372 |

Note. Models estimated using ordered logit. Standard errors are clustered by county. Models include only respondents who responded “yes” to the question “Do you think what happens generally to Hispanic or Latino people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life? Yes; No.” \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

Table A7 shows the results of positive linked fate models for respondents who reported feeling a sense of linked fate. In other words, only respondents who said “yes” to the question “Do you think what happens generally to Hispanic or Latino people in this country will have something to do with what happens in your life? Yes; No” were included. The results are consistent with models that also include respondents who reported feeling no sense of ethnic shared fate.

Table A8. Immigration Enforcement, Latino Immigrant Presence, and Latino Linked Fate

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | **Positive Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| L3 Latino Removal Rate | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.01+\_ | 0.01 |
|  | (0.00) | (0.02) | (0.00) | (0.02) |
| Proportion Latino Foreign-Born | 0.29 | 1.46+ | -1.31\* | 1.73\*\* |
| (0.78) | (0.75) | (0.58) | (0.63) |
| Removal Rate X Proportion Latino FB | 0.09\* | -0.01 | 0.09\* | -0.15 |
| (0.04) | (0.11) | (0.04) | (0.11) |
| Age | -0.03\*\*\* | -0.03\*\*\* | -0.01\* | -0.01 |
|  | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) |
| Democrat | 0.82\*\*\* | 0.80\*\*\* | 0.54\*\*\* | 0.34+ |
|  | (0.11) | (0.23) | (0.10) | (0.19) |
| Independent | 0.06 | -0.08 | -0.11 | 0.19 |
|  | (0.10) | (0.23) | (0.11) | (0.17) |
| Female | -0.05 | -0.31\* | -0.08 | 0.17 |
|  | (0.09) | (0.14) | (0.10) | (0.15) |
| Education | 0.12\*\* | -0.05 | 0.22\*\*\* | 0.15\* |
|  | (0.04) | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.06) |
| Skin Color | 0.10\*\* | 0.14\* | 0.06 | 0.03 |
|  | (0.03) | (0.07) | (0.04) | (0.05) |
| Puerto Rican | -0.11 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 13.96\*\*\* |
|  | (0.11) | (0.28) | (0.11) | (1.11) |
| Cuban | -0.02 | -0.30 | -0.07 | 0.07 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.25) | (0.24) | (0.28) |
| Dominican Republican | -0.13 | 0.06 | 0.10 | -0.22 |
|  | (0.28) | (0.33) | (0.35) | (0.35) |
| Other National Origin | -0.26+ | -0.33+ | -0.11 | -0.53\* |
|  | (0.14) | (0.20) | (0.14) | (0.22) |
| Midwest | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
|  | (0.19) | (0.40) | (0.18) | (0.29) |
| South | 0.29+ | -0.15 | 0.25+ | -0.17 |
|  | (0.16) | (0.24) | (0.15) | (0.25) |
| West | 0.41\* | 0.18 | 0.20 | -0.02 |
|  | (0.17) | (0.29) | (0.16) | (0.28) |
| Border County | -0.33\*\* | 0.52 | -0.00 | 1.07\* |
|  | (0.10) | (0.37) | (0.20) | (0.43) |
| Survey in Spanish | -0.40+ | -0.47\* | -0.04 | 0.24 |
|  | (0.24) | (0.19) | (0.23) | (0.24) |
| Sanctuary County | -0.01 | 0.08 | 0.12 | -0.18 |
|  | (0.12) | (0.21) | (0.10) | (0.20) |
| Noncitizen |  | 0.32+ |  | -0.19 |
|  |  | (0.18) |  | (0.21) |
| Time in the U.S. |  | 0.00 |  | 0.01 |
|  |  | (0.01) |  | (0.01) |

Table A8 continued on next page

Table A8. Continued

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Linked Fate Amount** | | **Positive Linked Fate** | |
|  | Native-Born | Foreign-Born | Native-Born | Foreign-Born |
| Cut 1 Constant | 0.10 | -0.57 | -1.43\*\*\* | -1.76\*\*\* |
|  | (0.29) | (0.55) | (0.30) | (0.67) |
| Cut 2 Constant | 0.35 | -0.32 | 1.09\*\*\* | 0.71 |
|  | (0.30) | (0.54) | (0.29) | (0.68) |
| Cut 3 Constant | 2.17\*\*\* | 1.21\* |  |  |
|  | (0.30) | (0.58) |  |  |
| Observations | 2035 | 692 | 2035 | 692 |

Note. Models estimated using ordered logit. Standard errors are clustered by county. Latino foreign-born proportion in a county measured by taking the total number of foreign-born individuals who are Latino in a county divided by the total population in a county. L3 Latino Removal Rate measured by dividing the number of L3 removals by the size of the Latino foreign-born population in a county, multiplied by 1,000. \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

Table A8 shows the results of models that use the size of the Latino foreign-born population in a county in place of the size of the total foreign-born population. The results are consistent with models that use the size of the total foreign-born population.

Figure A5. Distribution of Proportion Foreign-Born



Table A9. Marginal Effect of Proportion Foreign-Born on Linked Fate for Native-Born Latinos

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| L3 Removal Rate | Marginal Effect of Proportion Foreign-Born on Linked Fate Amount | Marginal Effect of Proportion Foreign-Born on Positive Linked Fate | Cumulative Percentage of Native-Born Latino Respondents |
| 0 | -0.0567 | -0.264\* | 17.78% |
| 1 | -0.022 | -0.213\* | 36.04% |
| 2 | 0.013 | -0.162+ | 47.68% |
| 3 | 0.047 | -0.111 | 66.92% |
| 4 | 0.082 | -0.060 | 75.34% |
| 5 | 0.117 | -0.008 | 79.75% |
| 6 | 0.152\* | 0.043 | 82.53% |
| 7 | 0.187\* | 0.093 | 85.53% |
| 8 | 0.222\* | 0.144 | 89.10% |
| 9 | 0.257\* | 0.195 | 93.43% |
| 10 | 0.293\* | 0.245 | 94.84% |
| 11 | 0.328\*\* | 0.295 | 94.88% |
| 12 | 0.363\*\* | 0.344 | 95.32% |
| 13 | 0.399\*\* | 0.393 | 95.37% |
| 14 | 0.434\*\* | 0.442 | 96.34% |
| 15 | 0.470\*\* | 0.490 | 98.37% |
| 16 | 0.505\*\* | 0.538 | 98.46% |
| 17 | 0.541\*\* | 0.585+ | 98.68% |
| 18 | 0.576\*\* | 0.632+ | 100% |

Note: Marginal Effect for Linked Fate Amount calculated from Model 1 in Table 1. Marginal Effect for Positive Linked Fate calculated from Model 3 in Table 1. Cumulative Percentage column shows the cumulative percentage of native-born Latino respondents who live in counties at the specified removal rate level. \*\*\* *p* < .001; \*\* *p* < .01; \* *p* < .05; + *p* < .10

For Linked Fate Amount, the marginal effect of the proportion foreign-born in a county is positive and statistically significant in counties with removal rates of 6 or higher. Roughly 20% of native-born Latino respondents live in such counties. For Positive Linked Fate, the marginal effect of the size of the immigrant population is statistically significant and negative at the 0.05 level when the removal rate is between 0 and 1 and positive and statistically significant at very high levels of enforcement (when removal rates reach 27 or greater). Over a third (31%) of native-born Latino respondents live in areas where the marginal effect of the proportion foreign-born is statistically significant.