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**Supplemental Appendix**

**Table 1**

*Demographic Information by Condition*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Gender | Age | Education | Political Orientation |  |  |
|  | %male | *M**(SD)* Range | %low | %medium | %high | *M**(SD)* Range | %left | %centre | %right |
| Emotional reasons | 51.6% | 48.63 (16.93) 18-84 | 16.0% | 56.0% | 38.0% | 4.05 (1.45) | 29.9% | 20.2% | 33.1% |
| Instrumental reasons | 45.7% | 48.72 (18.41) 18-89 | 15.0% | 50.9% | 34.1% | 4.24 (1.42) | 24.5% | 28.9% | 32.6% |
| Control condition | 55.4% | 51.12 (19.32) 18-87 | 8.6% | 48.7% | 42.8% | 4.13 (1.47) | 29.6% | 19.6% | 37.8% |

**Ethics Statements**

1. The experimental design did *not use deception* and also did not involve any potential harms.

 2) **Consent and debriefing participants:** The Survey company (Kantar) who carried out the research has an extensive *consent procedure* for becoming and maintaining a panel member, as well as for each of the studies that the panel members participate in. The present study was fully explained to the participating panel members and they were asked explicitly to indicate whether they did want to participate in the study and whether they agreed that their date will be used for scientific analysis. At the end there was also a *debriefing* in which it was explained that there were three versions of the questionnaire that were randomly presented to the participants. Furthermore, it was explained that a short excerpt from a fictitious interview was presented in which either emotional or instrumental reasons for naturalization were expressed or no reasons (control condition), and that in this way we wanted to examine whether immigrants’ expressed reasons matter for people’s political tolerance of immigrant-origin minorities.

 3) **Compensated for participation**: Potential respondents were selected by a survey company (Kantar) which maintains a representative panel for fieldwork in the Netherlands. The survey company compensates participation by giving ’points’ for each participation as agreed by the panel members.