Appendix A Online Appendix

Appendix A.1 Response Distributions

Question wording and distributions of key co-variates and coding decisions:

1. Economic Optimism: How does the financial situation of your household now compare with what it was 12 months ago? Has it:

Response	US	GB
Gotten a lot better	5.1%	1.9%
Gotten a little better	19.1	10.1
Stayed the same	35.9	36.5
Gotten a little worse	23.5	36.4
Gotten a lot worse	15.0	13.3
Don't know	1.5	1.8

5pt Note: Those responding 'Gotten a lot better' or 'Gotten a little better' coded '1' for the multivariate estimations and '0' otherwise.

2. Redistribution: Distribution of respondents on a 7-point scale where 1 equals "Government should get out of the business of promoting income equality" and 7 equals "Government should do more to reduce income equality"

Response	US	GB
Government Should Get Out	33.1%	10.1%
2	7.9	7.6
3	5.8	11.2
4	17.2	24.6
5	10.4	13.1
6	9.2	11.9
Government Should Do More	16.4	21.5

5pt Note: For the dichotomous variable used in the multivariate analyses, a respondent's score is '1' on the Redistribution variable if they provide a response to this question that is above the sample median, which in both countries is "4", and '0' otherwise.

3. Instrumentalism: Two questions combine to determine whether a respondent holds instrumentalist views concerning providing aid. The two questions are a) US/UK aid to developing countries strengthens our political influence in the world; and b) US/UK aid to developing countries helps to prevent international terrorism. Response distributions are as follows:

Response	US		GB	
Question:	Strengthens	Prevents	Strengthens	Prevents
	Influence	Terrorism	Influence	Terrorism
Strongly Agree	7.0%	2.9%	5.5%	2.5%
Agree	31.1	24.2	20.4	17.7
Neither or Don't Know	32.5	34.5	33.2	33.3
Disagree	17.2	24.8	22.0	28.2
Strongly Disagree	12.2	13.6	18.9	18.3

5pt Note: In both nations, the two items scale together well (US: $\alpha = 0.82$; GB: $\alpha = 0.81$), and an additive index of responses to the two variables yields a scale ranging from 2-10. In the multivariate analyses, "Instrumentalists" are those who score above the combined median index score of 6, and receive a score of '1'. Non-instrumentalists receive a score of '0'.

4. Ideology and Partisanship: In the multivariate analyses, an 11-point ideology scale captures respondents' left to right self placement (with higher scores indicating a more rightward self-placement). The mean and median ideology value in the US is 5.6 and 5, respectively. In GB, it is 5.0 and 5. 33.2% and 28.1% of the US sample thinks of themselves as Democrats and Republicans, respectively. In Britain, the distribution of partisan identification is as follows: 31.4% Labour, 25.4% Conservative; 8.0% Liberal Democrat; 5.6% UKIP; 4.2% Green; 2.7% Nationalist (SNP/Plaid Cyrmu).

5. Other Demographics: For GB, 52.9% of the sample is female and the mean age is 52.7 (median (54)). For GB, 53.5% of the sample is female and the mean age is 53.0 (median (54)). In Britain, 47.6% of those sampled identifies with a religious denomination. The comparable number in the US is 71.7%.

Appendix A.2 Full Probit Analyses

Table A1: Probit Coefficients

Predictor	US A	US B	GB A	GB B
Money Treatment	0.05	-0.01	-0.25^{+}	-0.25
Percent Treatment	(0.09) 0.74**	(0.14) 0.52^{***}	(0.14) 0.38^{**}	(0.21) 0.41^*
	(0.09)	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.19)
Money & Pct. Treat	0.31*** (0.09)	0.24^{+} (0.13)	(0.01) (0.13)	(0.05) (0.19)
Economic Optimism	0.21**	0.12	0.03	-0.26
Favour Redistribution	(0.08) 0.28^{***}	(0.15) 0.07	(0.14) 0.12	(0.31) 0.15
Instrumentalism	(0.08) 0.79***	(0.14) 0.87^{***}	(0.10) 1.18^{***}	(0.19) 1.30^{***}
Ideology	(0.07) -0.08^{***}	$(0.14) -0.08^{***}$	(0.11) -0.17^{***}	(0.22) -0.17^{***}
Female	0.01)	(0.01) 0.05 (0.06)	(0.03) 0.11 (0.00)	(0.03) 0.12 (0.00)
Religious	0.08	-0.11	0.10	(0.09) 0.07 (0.10)
Age	(0.10) -0.01^{***} (0.002)	(0.08) -0.01^{***} (0.002)	(0.09) -0.02^{***} (0.003)	(0.10) -0.02^{***} (0.003)
Democrat	(0.002) 0.30^{***} (0.08)	(0.002) 0.31^{***} (0.08)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Republican	-0.08	-0.09		
Labour	(0.00)	(0.00)	0.04	0.04 (0.13)
Conservative			-0.24 (0.15)	-0.24 (0.15)
Lib. Dem.			0.18	0.22
Green			0.04	0.03
Nationalist			-0.44 (0.28)	-0.43 (0.28)
UKIP			-0.80**	-0.80**
			(0.27)	(0.27)
Interaction Effects				
Economic Optimism with Money		-0.12		0.12
with Percent		(0.22) 0.38^+ (0.22)		(0.43) 0.25 (0.20)
with Money & Pct.		(0.22) 0.15 0.20		(0.39) 0.80^+ (0.43)
Redistribution with Money		0.31		0.02
with Percent		(0.20) 0.52^{*} (0.20)		(0.27) -0.05 (0.26)
with Money & Pct.		(0.15) (0.19)		-0.20 (0.26)
Instrumentalism with Money		-0.06		-0.07
with Percent		(0.20) -0.12		(0.31) -0.31
with Money & Pct.		(0.20) -0.08 (0.18)		(0.30) -0.11 (0.20)
Fit Statistics		(0.18)		(0.30)
2	F40.0 (10. 70)	F44.0 (16.00)	000.0 (10. 10)	202.0 (16.05)
χ McFadden R^2	0.238 0.238	0.242 (df=22)	283.0 (df=16) 0.269	0.274 (df=25)

Notes: * * * = p < 0.001; ** = p < 0.01; * = p < 0.05; + = p < 0.10

Appendix A.3 Robustness Checks for Multivariate Analyses

Predictor	US A	US B	UK A	UK B
Money Treatment	0.02	-0.02	-0.05	-0.05
Percent Treatment	0.27***	0.17^{***}	0.15^{**}	0.15^{*}
Money & Pct. Treat	0.13***	0.10^{*}	0.04	0.04
Economic Optimism	0.12^{**}	0.08	-0.08	-0.08
Favour Redistribution	0.16^{***}	0.10^{+}	0.09^{*}	0.12^{+}
Ideology	-0.04^{***}	-0.03^{***}	-0.07^{***}	-0.08^{***}
Female	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
Religious	-0.03^+	-0.03	0.04	0.03
Age	-0.01^{***}	-0.01^{***}	-0.01^{***}	-0.01^{***}
Democrat	0.17^{***}	0.17^{***}		
Republican	-0.03	-0.03		
Labour			0.05	0.05
Conservative			-0.04	-0.04
Lib. Dem.			0.13^{+}	0.14^{*}
Green			0.03	0.01
Nationalist			-0.11	-0.11
UKIP			-0.26^{***}	-0.26^{***}
Interaction Effects				
Economic Optimism				
with Money		-0.03		0.12
with Percent		0.15^+		0.11
with Money & Pct.		-0.03		0.38^{**}
Redistribution				
with Money		0.10		-0.04
with Percent		0.17*		-0.01
with Money & Pct.		0.03		-0.09

Table A2: Marginal Effects–No Control for Instrumentalism

*** = p < 0.001; ** = p < 0.01; * = p < 0.05; + = p < 0.10