Asian American Racial Threat and Support for Racially

Discriminatory Policy

Table of Contents

Appendix 1 – Study 1 Sample Demographics	3
Appendix 2 – Study 1 Instrument	4
Appendix 2.1 – Study 1, Regression Tables, Power Analysis, Balance Test	6
Appendix 3 – Study 1 Issue Salience1.	1
Appendix 4 – Study 1 Mediation Analyses1	2
Appendix 5 – Study 2 Instrument1	3
Appendix 5.1 – Study 2, Regression Tables, Power Analysis, Balance Test1	5

San	nple	Northbrook, Illinois Population	
Gender	60% female 39% male 1% other	52.2% female 47.8% male	
Ethnicity/Race	94% White 0% African American 4% Asian American 1% Latino 0.5% Notive American	86.1% White 0.6 % African American 11.7% Asian 0% Latino 0% Native American	
Age	0.5% Other 6% 18-24	0.1% Other 8% 18-24	
	7% 25-34 23% 35-50 32% 51-65 32% Over 65	5.4% 25-34 19.5% 35-50 24.3% 51-65 22.4% Over 65	
Income	1% < \$30,000 13% \$30,000-\$69,999 15% \$70,000-\$99,999 36% \$100,000-\$200,000 35% Over \$200,000	N/A	
Education	 1% Less than high school 3% High School 11% Some College 32% 4 Year College Degree 53% Advanced Degree 	N/A	
Party Identification (n = 306)	 49% Strong Democrat 7% Weak Democrat 16% Independent leans Democrat 12% Independent 7% Independent leans Republican 4.6% Weak Republican 4.4% Strong Republican 	N/A	

Appendix 2 – Study 1 Instrument

Which immigrant	population	do you think has	s been the fas	stest growing in the U.S	S. over the	e past six years?
Latin American	Asian	European	African	Northern American	Ocea	nian Other
-						
<u>Treatment</u>						
Interestingly, th census report. T in 2040.	e correct ar hat report d	nswer to the pr also projects th	ior question pat Asian im	is Asian – this was c migrants will be the l	onfirmea largest in	l by a recent U.S. nmigrant population
Do feel cold or w and 100 is very w	arm toward t arm. You ca	the following gr n use any numb	oups? Use a er between 0	scale of 0 to 100 where to 100.	0 is very	cold, 50 is neutral,
Blacks:	Whites:	Latinos:	Asians:	Immigrants:		
[Cultural threat]T be clear what it m	o what exter neans to be A	nt do you agree " merican"?	with the follo	owing statement, "I fear	that in 40	0 years' time, it won't
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Definitely			Not Sure			Definitely
DISagree		1	.4.4.6	11	· ,·	Agree
negative financia	l impact on r	tent do you agre nany Americans	e with the fo	llowing statement, "Im	imigratior	i is likely to have a
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Definitely			Not Sure			Definitely
DISagree	1	. 1		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Agree
[Political threat]] negative impact of	o what exter on my politic	al party"?	with the follo	owing statement, "Imm	igration is	s likely to have a
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Definitely			Not Sure	2		Definitely
DISagree						Agree
You may have he the schools discri you think some e	ard of ongoi minate again lite schools h	ng lawsuits agai st Asian Americ ave discriminat	nst some elit cans by holdi ed against As	e colleges (e.g., Harvar ng them to higher stand sian Americans?	rd, Yale). dards. Fro	The suits claim that m what you know, do
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Definitely			Not Sur	re		Definitely
NOT						Discriminated
<i>Discriminated</i>	1 11 1	, .	.1 1	C A · · · ·		10
Should colleges	be allowed	to put a limit	on the numb	ber of Asian America	ns accept	ted?
1	2	3	4	5 6	5	7

Definitely do	Not Sure	Definitely
NOT allow		Allow
limits		Limits

Appendix 2.1 – Study 1, Regression Tables, Power Analysis, Balance Test

Table 2.1 -- H1

		Dependent variable:	
	econthreat	polthreat	cultthreat
	(1)	(2)	(3)
Treatment	0.078**	-0.035	-0.054
	(0.031)	(0.032)	(0.043)
POLLSTER	0.019	-0.002	0.019
	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.019)
district	-0.033	0.012	0.094
	(0.042)	(0.043)	(0.058)
pid	0.060***	0.083***	0.028**
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.013)
gender	-0.001	-0.012	-0.056
	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.045)
age	0.006	0.007	0.052**
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.022)
income	0.006	0.025	-0.068***
	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.025)
owneduc	0.007	-0.053**	-0.032
	(0.023)	(0.024)	(0.033)
Constant	0.233	0.047	-0.456

------214 Observations 211 212 R2 0.214 0.316 0.135 Adjusted R2 0.183 0.289 0.101 Residual Std. Error 0.225 (df = 205) 0.226 (df = 202) 0.310 (df = 203) F Statistic 6.975*** (df = 8; 205) 11.651*** (df = 8; 202) 3.963*** (df = 8; 203) _____ Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 2.2 -- H2

=======================================	=======================================	=======================================			
	Dependent variable:				
	AsianAmtherm	Immigranttherm			
	(1)	(2)			
Treatment	-0.087***	-0.094***			
	(0.030)	(0.032)			
district	0.009	0.0004			
	(0.040)	(0.043)			
POLLSTER	0.006	-0.004			
	(0.013)	(0.014)			
pid	-0.032***	-0.028***			
	(0.009)	(0.010)			
gender	0.034	0.030			
	(0.032)	(0.034)			

age	-0.048***	-0.053***
	(0.016)	(0.017)
income	-0.007	-0.012
	(0.018)	(0.019)
owneduc	0.018	0.031
	(0.024)	(0.025)
Constant	0.943**	1.011**
	(0.410)	(0.437)
Observations	194	193
R2	0.162	0.149
Adjusted R2	0.125	0.112
Residual Std. Error	0.207 (df =	185) 0.220 (df = 184)
F Statistic	4.458*** (df =	8; 185) 4.023*** (df = 8; 184)
======================================		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 2.3 -- H3-4

	=======================================	
	Dependent	variable:
	aadiscrim (1)	aalimit (2)
Treatment	-0.065** (0.032)	0.083** (0.036)

district	0.142***	-0.159***
	(0.043)	(0.049)
POLLSTER	0.002	0.027*
	(0.014)	(0.015)
nid	-0 002	0 020*
	(0.010)	(0.011)
gondor	0.021	0.004
gender	(0.034)	(0.038)
age	0.017 (0.016)	-0.004 (0.018)
income	-0.010	0.016
	(0.018)	(0.020)
owneduc	-0.026	-0.003
	(0.024)	(0.027)
Constant	-0.753*	1.675***
	(0.439)	(0.493)
Observations	211	212
R2	0.077	0.102
Adjusted R2	0.040	0.066
Residual Std. Erro	r 0.230 (df = 202)	0.258 (df = 203)
F Statistic	2.101** (df = 8; 202)	2.874*** (df = 8; 203)
Note:	*p<0	

Table 2.4

Summary of B	alance for All Dat	a:				
M	eans Treated Means	Control S	td. Mean Diff.	Var. Ratio	eCDF Mean	eCDF Max
distance	0.5542	0.3982	0.8458	1.0886	0.2409	0.4123
POLLSTER	1.0746	0.8133	0.2159	1.8243	0.0600	0.1471
district	9.8507	9.7733	0.2156	0.7256	0.0387	0.0774
pid	2.2687	2.4467	-0.1063	0.9555	0.0337	0.0786
gender	0.5970	0.6800	-0.1692		0.0830	0.0830
age	3.6716	3.9600	-0.2639	1.2823	0.0577	0.1097
income	4.0597	4.1067	-0.0520	0.8021	0.0358	0.0951
owneduc	4.4478	4.5200	-0.1061	0.9333	0.0344	0.0878
cultthreat	0.2786	0.2911	-0.0369	1.0539	0.0206	0.0482
polthreat	0.2114	0.2067	0.0189	0.9872	0.0266	0.0603
econthreat	0.2463	0.1200	0.4457	3.0067	0.1082	0.2014

Power Analysis, Ability to detect moderate effect

Two-sample t test power calculation

```
n = 99.08032
d = 0.4
sig.level = 0.05
power = 0.8
alternative = two.sided
```

NOTE: n is number in *each* group

Appendix 3 – Study 1 Issue Salience

According to Google searches nationally (Figure 1) and within the state of Illinois (Figure 2), the issue was at its most salient around the same point the experiment was fielded—the y-axis represents popularity based upon the number of Google searches.¹ Similarly, "Asian lawsuit" and "Harvard university Asian lawsuit" all spike in popularity within the same week. Given these results, interest in this case spiked at the exact same time this study was fielded. As such, it is safe to say the salience of the issue, among the general public and within the state of Illinois was at its height when this study was conducted.

Figure 1

100			1	
75		Oct 14 - 20. 2018		
50		"harvard lawsuit" 100		
			- 1.	

Figure 2



¹ Google searches are a more helpful measure of both salience of an issue and interest in it than the frequency of it in media (Epstein and Segal 2000) because these searches identify information-seeking behavior. These data provide insight into how often the public searches information, rather than how often they are provided with the information (which is what frequency in media demonstrates).

Appendix 4 – Study 1 Mediation Analyses





Appendix 5 – Study 2 Instrument

Which immigrant p	opulation d	o you think has	been the fas	test growing in the U.S	. over the past s	six years?
Latin American	Asian	European	African	Northern American	Oceanian	Other
Г <u> </u>						
Treatment						
Interestingly, the census report. The in 2040.	correct ans at report a	swer to the pri lso projects th	or question at Asian im	is Asian – this was co migrants will be the l	onfirmed by a argest immigr	recent U.S. ant population
To what extent do	you belie	ve that each of	the follow	ing groups is culturall	ly threatening	to Americans
like yourself? Wit	th 0 being i	not threatening	g at all and	100 being very threat	ening	
Blacks: W	hites:	Latinos:	Asians:	Immigrants:		
To what extent do	you belie	ve that each of	the follow	ing groups is econom	ically threaten	ing to
Americans like yo	ourself? W	ith 0 being not	threatening	g at all and 100 being	very threateni	ng
Blacks: W	hites:	Latinos:	Asians:	Immigrants:		
To what extent do	you belie	ve that each of	the follow	ing groups is political	ly threatening	to Americans
like yourself? Wit	th 0 being i	not threatening	g at all and	100 being very threat	ening	
Blacks: W	hites:	Latinos:	Asians:	Immigrants.		
You may have he	ard of ongo	oing lawsuits a	gainst som	e elite colleges (e.g., 1	Harvard, Yale). The suits
claim that the sch	ools discrin	minate against	Asian Ame	ericans by holding the	em to higher st	andards. From
what you know, d	o you thin	k some elite sc	hools have	discriminated against	t Asian Ameri	cans?
1	2	2				
_			4	5	6	7
Definitely	-	3	4 Not Sur	5 e	6	7 Definitely
Definitely NOT	-	3	4 Not Sur	5 e	6 Dis	7 Definitely scriminated
Definitely NOT Discriminated		3	4 Not Sur	5 e	6 Dia	7 Definitely scriminated
Definitely NOT Discriminated Should colleges b	e allowed	3 to put a limit o	4 Not Sur	5 er of Asian American	6 Dia ns accepted?	7 Definitely scriminated
Definitely NOT Discriminated Should colleges b	e allowed t	3 to put a limit o 3	4 Not Sur n the numb 4	5 Der of Asian American 5 6	6 Di: ns accepted? 7	7 Definitely scriminated
Definitely NOT Discriminated Should colleges b 1 Definitely do	e allowed t	to put a limit o 3	4 Not Sur n the numb 4 Not Sure	5 Per of Asian American 5 6	6 Dis ns accepted? 7 Defini	7 Definitely scriminated itely
Definitely NOT Discriminated Should colleges b 1 Definitely do NOT allow	e allowed t	to put a limit o	4 Not Sur n the numb 4 Not Sure	5 Der of Asian American 5 6	6 Dia ns accepted? 7 Defina Allo	7 Definitely scriminated itely w
Definitely NOT Discriminated Should colleges b 1 Definitely do NOT allow limits	e allowed r	to put a limit o 3	4 Not Sur n the numb 4 Not Sure	5 ver of Asian American 5 6	6 Dis ns accepted? 7 Define Allo Lime	7 Definitely scriminated itely w its
Definitely NOT Discriminated Should colleges b 1 Definitely do NOT allow limits To what extent do	e allowed t 2 you agree	to put a limit o 3 That the follow	4 Not Sur n the numb 4 Not Sure ving policy	5 Per of Asian American 5 6 <i>proposals should be</i>	6 Dis ns accepted? 7 Define Allo Lime implemented:	7 Definitely scriminated itely w its
Definitely NOT Discriminated Should colleges b 1 Definitely do NOT allow limits To what extent do Forcibly testing A	e allowed a 2 you agree sian Amer	to put a limit o 3 • that the follow icans for COV	4 Not Sur n the numb 4 Not Sure wing policy TD-19	5 per of Asian American 5 6 proposals should be	6 Dis ns accepted? 7 Define Allo Lim implemented:	7 Definitely scriminated itely w its

Strongly		Not Sure				Strongly			
disagree						agree			
To what extent do you agree that the following policy proposals should be implemented:									
Forcibly testing A	lsian immig	grants for C	OVID-19						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
Strongly		Not Sure				Strongly			
disagree						age			

Appendix 5.1 – Study 2, Regression Tables, Power Analysis, Balance

Test

Table 5.2 -- H1, Economic threat

	Dependent variable:					
	econ_asian	econ_immigrants	econ_white			
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
Treatment	0.045*	0.043* (0.025)	0.017 (0.023)			
age	-0.018**	-0.017**	-0.037***			
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.007)			
gender	0.013	-0.023	0.068***			
	(0.025)	(0.027)	(0.024)			
region	-0.027**	-0.018	-0.026**			
	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.012)			
pid	0.001	0.019***	-0.012*			
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)			
ideo	0.017*	0.017*	-0.018**			
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)			
Constant	0.343***	0.354*** (0.052)	0.569***			

_____ 732 732 Observations 732 0.031 0.050 0.108 R2 Adjusted R2 0.043 0.023 0.100 Residual Std. Error (df = 725) 0.311 0.335 0.306 F Statistic (df = 6; 725) 3.856*** 6.425*** 14.588*** ______ *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 Note:

Table 5.3 -- H1, Political Threat

	Dependent variable:						
	pol_asian	pol_immigrants	pol_white				
	(1)	(2)	(3)				
Treatment	0.045**	0.022	-0.004				
	(0.022)	(0.025)	(0.023)				
age	-0.011	-0.014*	-0.040***				
	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.008)				
gender	0.005	0.007	0.040				
	(0.024)	(0.027)	(0.025)				
region	-0 073**	- 0 009	-0.011				
r cg ron	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.012)				
	0.007	0.016**	0.016**				
חומ	0.007	0.016**	-0.016**				
ideo	0.009	0.021**	-0.017*				

Table 5.4 -- H1, Cultural Threat

	Dependent variable:						
	cult asian cu	lt immigrants	cult white				
	(1)	(2)	(3)				
Trootmont	0.047**	0 020	0.010				
Treatment	(0.023)	(0.025)	(0.023)				
age	-0.016**	-0.008	-0.039***				
	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.007)				
gender	0.004	0.000	0 070***				
gender	-0.004	0.006	0.0/8***				
	(0.024)	(0.027)	(0.025)				
region	-0.023**	-0.024*	-0.015				
	(0.012)	(0.013)	(0.012)				
p1d	0.001	0.013*	-0.018***				

	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.007)
ideo	0.011	0.024**	-0.018**
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Constant	0.359***	0.275***	0.565***
	(0.047)	(0.052)	(0.048)
Observations	732	732	732
R2	0.025	0.045	0.118
Adjusted R2	0.017	0.037	0.111
Residual Std. Error (df = 725)	0.305	0.333	0.308
F Statistic (df = 6; 725)	3.115***	5.718***	16.223***
			========
Note:		*p<0.1; **p<0.05;	***p<0.01

Table 5.5 -- H3-4, Replication

	=======================================	==============			
	Dependent variable:				
	AA_discrim	AA_limit			
	(1)	(2)			
Treatment	-0.041**	0.049**			
	(0.019)	(0.025)			
age	0.003	-0.005			
	(0.006)	(0.008)			
gender	0.058***	0.059**			
	(0.021)	(0.027)			
ragion	0.007	0.074*			
1 48 1011	(0.010)	-0.024**			

pid	-0.014**	0.009
	(0.006)	(0.007)
ideo	0.015**	0.008
	(0.007)	(0.009)
Constant	0.436***	0.294***
	(0.041)	(0.052)
Observations	732	732
R2	0.030	0.024
Adjusted R2	0.022	0.016
Residual Std. Error (df = 725)	0.262	0.334
F Statistic (df = 6; 725)	3.678***	2.977***
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0	.05; ***p<0.01

Table 5.6 -- H4, Forcibly Test

_____ Dependent variable: ----forcibly_test_asian_americans forcibly_test_asian_immigrants (1) (2) _____ 0.074*** 0.057** Treatment (0.026) (0.026) -0.031*** -0.023*** age (0.008) (0.009) 0.069** 0.033 gender (0.028) (0.028)

region	-0.014	-0.002
	(0.013)	(0.014)
pid	-0.002	0.005
	(0.008)	(0.008)
idee	0.010	0.017*
Tdeo	0.010	0.01/*
	(0.010)	(0.010)
Constant	0.428***	0.417***
	(0.054)	(0.055)
Observations	732	732
R2	0.040	0.027
Adjusted R2	0.032	0.019
Residual Std. Error (df = 725)	0.348	0.353
F Statistic (df = 6; 725)	5.060***	3.388***
Note:		*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 5.7 -- Feeling Thermometers

		Dep	pendent variable	:	
	feelings_asians	feelings_immigrants	feelings_whites	feelings_blacks	feelings_hispanics
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Treatment	-0.051**	-0.068***	-0.005	-0.029	-0.032
	(0.020)	(0.021)	(0.016)	(0.020)	(0.020)
age	0.019***	0.008	0.016***	0.005	0.015**
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.007)
gender	0.004	0.018	-0.004	-0.048**	-0.003
	(0.022)	(0.023)	(0.017)	(0.022)	(0.022)

region	0.002	0.007	0.006	0.015	0.010
	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.010)
pid	-0.004	-0.018***	-0.002	-0.013**	-0.006
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)
ideo	-0.023***	-0.034***	0.002	-0.024***	-0.023***
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Constant	0.725***	0.780***	0.703***	0.868***	0.714***
	(0.042)	(0.045)	(0.033)	(0.043)	(0.043)
Observations	732	732	732	732	732
R2	0.047	0.113	0.021	0.074	0.041
Adjusted R2	0.039	0.106	0.013	0.067	0.033
Residual Std. Error (df = 725)	0.271	0.290	0.213	0.274	0.274
F Statistic (df = 6; 725)	6.002***	15.383***	2.645**	9.698***	5.132***
				*p<0.1; *	*p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Power Analysis, Ability to detect small effect

Two-sample t test power calculation

n = 175.3847 d = 0.3 sig.level = 0.05 power = 0.8 alternative = two.sided

NOTE: n is number in *each* group

22

Table 5.8 -- Balance test

Summary of Balance for All Data:

Means	Treated	Means	Control	Std.	Mean	Diff.	Var.	Ratio	eCDF	Mean	eCDF	Max	

distance	0.5064	0.4856	0.2903	1.0196	0.0863	0.1446
age	4.9421	5.0623	-0.0680	1.0599	0.0161	0.0693
gender	1.5262	1.4905	0.0713	0.9977	0.0178	0.0357
region	2.6777	2.6531	0.0222	1.0689	0.0162	0.0234
pid	4.4683	4.2900	0.0748	0.9359	0.0288	0.0428
ideo	4.3140	4.3442	-0.0161	0.9111	0.0170	0.0407
pol_asian	0.3106	0.2636	0.1497	1.1410	0.0473	0.0925
econ_asian	0.3226	0.2764	0.1429	1.1257	0.0463	0.0819
cult_asian	0.3104	0.2628	0.1512	1.1058	0.0475	0.1198
AA_discrim	0.5165	0.5583	-0.1535	1.1343	0.0334	0.0624
AA_limit	0.4132	0.3611	0.1534	1.0402	0.0417	0.0774