

Supplementary Information for
**PREJUDICE AND POLITICS RE-EXAMINED:
THE POLITICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF IMPLICIT RACIAL BIAS**

Table of Contents

Full Regression Models	2
Models Substituting Stereotyping for Racial Resentment	11

Full Regression Models

Table 2A – The Effect of Prejudice on White Voting Against Obama in the 2008 Presidential Election (X-Section Results)

	(1)	(2)	(3)
AMP	0.117 (0.245)		-0.123 (0.240)
Resentment		0.987** (0.170)	1.016** (0.181)
Party ID (Rep. = 1)	3.910** (0.277)	3.687** (0.264)	3.753** (0.284)
Female	0.020 (0.143)	0.049 (0.140)	0.064 (0.144)
Age	0.711* (0.313)	0.842** (0.285)	0.669* (0.308)
Midwest	0.122 (0.229)	0.044 (0.230)	0.035 (0.240)
South	0.282 (0.229)	0.177 (0.237)	0.077 (0.250)
West	-0.186 (0.220)	-0.169 (0.237)	-0.220 (0.248)
Diploma	0.432 (0.375)	0.492 (0.283)	0.391 (0.358)
Some college	0.467 (0.371)	0.475 (0.293)	0.447 (0.365)
BA	0.524 (0.386)	0.767* (0.321)	0.738 (0.391)
Grad. degree	0.447 (0.422)	0.631 (0.351)	0.567 (0.416)
Constant	-2.761** (0.460)	-2.998** (0.400)	-2.880** (0.449)
Observations	827	881	821

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 2A – The Effect of Prejudice on White Voting Against Obama in the 2008 Presidential Election (Panel Results)

	(1)	(2)	(3)
IAT	0.090 (0.146)		-0.002 (0.150)
Resentment		0.930** (0.165)	0.955** (0.171)
Party ID (Rep. = 1)	3.905** (0.223)	3.689** (0.217)	3.675** (0.227)
Female	-0.006 (0.133)	0.042 (0.135)	0.060 (0.140)
Age	0.312 (0.318)	0.404 (0.309)	0.502 (0.321)
Midwest	-0.035 (0.204)	-0.062 (0.198)	-0.109 (0.204)
South	0.305 (0.206)	0.292 (0.208)	0.215 (0.212)
West	-0.086 (0.206)	-0.064 (0.208)	-0.097 (0.217)
Diploma	0.308 (0.339)	0.261 (0.312)	0.103 (0.344)
Some college	-0.112 (0.316)	-0.171 (0.291)	-0.327 (0.324)
BA	-0.450 (0.313)	-0.327 (0.291)	-0.520 (0.323)
Grad. degree	-0.313 (0.319)	-0.051 (0.295)	-0.296 (0.330)
Constant	-1.834** (0.382)	-2.026** (0.373)	-1.844** (0.390)
Observations	1,253	1,332	1,248

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 3A – The Effect of Prejudice on White Voting Against Obama in the 2008 Democratic Nomination Contest (X-Section Results)

	(1)	(2)	(3)
AMP	0.097 (0.374)		-0.125 (0.383)
Resentment		0.873** (0.217)	0.818** (0.225)
dempidstr	-0.541 (0.332)	-0.426 (0.330)	-0.448 (0.335)
Female	-0.034 (0.206)	-0.040 (0.200)	0.004 (0.208)
Age	0.036 (0.499)	-0.045 (0.491)	-0.033 (0.513)
Midwest	-0.789* (0.360)	-0.936** (0.350)	-0.856* (0.362)
South	-0.349 (0.350)	-0.605 (0.340)	-0.513 (0.359)
West	-1.107** (0.358)	-1.164** (0.351)	-1.105** (0.364)
Diploma	-0.883 (0.504)	-0.655 (0.458)	-0.835 (0.511)
Some college	-0.953 (0.507)	-0.510 (0.474)	-0.714 (0.511)
BA	-1.129* (0.518)	-0.505 (0.488)	-0.718 (0.527)
Grad. degree	-1.298* (0.558)	-0.519 (0.523)	-0.741 (0.571)
Constant	2.273** (0.660)	1.922** (0.626)	2.024** (0.648)
Observations	206	220	206

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 3A – The Effect of Prejudice on White Voting Against Obama in the 2008 Democratic Nomination Contest (Panel Results)

	(1)	(2)	(3)
IAT	0.048 (0.184)		-0.008 (0.189)
Resentment		0.507* (0.200)	0.511* (0.208)
Democratic Party ID Strength	-0.129 (0.242)	-0.047 (0.255)	-0.066 (0.252)
Female	-0.078 (0.175)	-0.126 (0.173)	-0.053 (0.177)
Age	-0.160 (0.453)	0.535 (0.464)	-0.032 (0.455)
Midwest	-0.132 (0.233)	-0.080 (0.238)	-0.179 (0.236)
South	0.201 (0.261)	0.242 (0.256)	0.197 (0.259)
West	-0.464 (0.265)	-0.419 (0.259)	-0.431 (0.260)
Diploma	0.266 (0.255)	-0.460 (0.567)	0.089 (0.266)
Some college	0.425 (0.218)	-0.297 (0.566)	0.243 (0.230)
BA	-0.129 (0.196)	-0.668 (0.573)	-0.201 (0.197)
Constant	0.524 (0.363)	0.803 (0.697)	0.546 (0.359)
Observations	418	448	417

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 4A – The Effect of Prejudice on White Opposition to Policies in the Domain of Race (X-Section Results)

	Government Assistance			Hire blacks		
AMP	0.087** (0.030)		0.011 (0.028)	0.086** (0.033)		0.027 (0.031)
Resentment		0.290** (0.017)	0.285** (0.018)		0.236** (0.022)	0.227** (0.022)
Party ID (Rep. = 1)	0.252** (0.032)	0.118** (0.028)	0.121** (0.030)	0.169** (0.030)	0.097** (0.029)	0.077** (0.029)
Female	-0.033 (0.020)	-0.027 (0.017)	-0.028 (0.018)	-0.009 (0.019)	-0.006 (0.017)	-0.006 (0.017)
Age	-0.039 (0.045)	-0.030 (0.040)	-0.047 (0.042)	0.085 (0.046)	0.037 (0.042)	0.072 (0.043)
Midwest	0.012 (0.036)	0.007 (0.031)	0.004 (0.032)	0.056 (0.039)	0.050 (0.037)	0.047 (0.037)
South	0.046 (0.031)	0.019 (0.025)	0.013 (0.026)	0.080* (0.034)	0.028 (0.032)	0.047 (0.033)
West	-0.059 (0.033)	-0.039 (0.026)	-0.047 (0.028)	0.028 (0.038)	0.022 (0.033)	0.031 (0.034)
Diploma	0.035 (0.059)	0.012 (0.052)	0.038 (0.057)	0.083 (0.059)	0.103 (0.054)	0.089 (0.058)
Some college	-0.012 (0.059)	-0.019 (0.052)	0.011 (0.057)	0.128* (0.059)	0.162** (0.054)	0.145* (0.058)
BA	-0.022 (0.060)	0.025 (0.053)	0.060 (0.058)	0.094 (0.062)	0.175** (0.057)	0.155* (0.061)
Grad. degree	-0.055 (0.061)	0.008 (0.054)	0.025 (0.059)	0.087 (0.066)	0.174** (0.059)	0.144* (0.063)
Constant	0.567** (0.062)	0.561** (0.054)	0.543** (0.058)	0.567** (0.076)	0.544** (0.069)	0.550** (0.073)
Observations	938	996	932	998	1,062	991
R-squared	0.176	0.355	0.355	0.103	0.228	0.223

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 4A – The Effect of Prejudice on White Opposition to Policies in the Domain of Race (X-Section Results)

	Government Assistance			Hire blacks		
IAT	0.066*		0.037	0.032		0.009
	(0.027)		(0.026)	(0.019)		(0.019)
Resentment		0.217**	0.219**		0.165**	0.177**
		(0.030)	(0.031)		(0.027)	(0.023)
Party ID (Rep. = 1)	0.201**	0.102**	0.096*	0.181**	0.118**	0.096**
	(0.035)	(0.037)	(0.038)	(0.029)	(0.033)	(0.030)
Female	-0.048	-0.036	-0.034	-0.051*	-0.045*	-0.039*
	(0.025)	(0.024)	(0.025)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.020)
Age	-0.063	-0.040	-0.050	0.009	0.042	0.018
	(0.064)	(0.061)	(0.064)	(0.049)	(0.047)	(0.049)
Midwest	-0.013	-0.013	-0.016	0.012	0.011	0.008
	(0.034)	(0.032)	(0.033)	(0.027)	(0.026)	(0.027)
South	-0.018	-0.043	-0.035	0.046	0.018	0.031
	(0.034)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.026)	(0.027)	(0.027)
West	0.002	0.004	0.011	-0.041	-0.030	-0.034
	(0.036)	(0.034)	(0.035)	(0.034)	(0.031)	(0.033)
Diploma	0.028	0.050	-0.001	0.030	0.051	0.007
	(0.085)	(0.070)	(0.079)	(0.053)	(0.054)	(0.048)
Some college	0.055	0.069	0.025	0.067	0.077	0.043
	(0.083)	(0.067)	(0.076)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.045)
BA	0.043	0.088	0.041	0.065	0.099	0.062
	(0.084)	(0.068)	(0.077)	(0.051)	(0.051)	(0.046)
Grad. degree	-0.013	0.052	0.012	0.036	0.080	0.056
	(0.085)	(0.067)	(0.077)	(0.052)	(0.050)	(0.047)
Constant	0.304**	0.279**	0.323**	0.636**	0.605**	0.652**
	(0.087)	(0.078)	(0.085)	(0.057)	(0.059)	(0.053)
Observations	1,423	1,504	1,417	1,424	1,504	1,417
R-squared	0.067	0.134	0.135	0.093	0.161	0.162

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

**Table 5A - The Effect of Prejudice on White Opposition to Health Care Reform
(Early in 2008 versus Late in 2008)**

	Health Care (January 2008)			Health Care (October 2008)		
IAT	-0.002 (0.040)		0.001 (0.040)	0.017 (0.040)		0.002 (0.039)
Resentment		-0.001 (0.041)	-0.001 (0.042)		0.107* (0.042)	0.103* (0.043)
Party ID (Rep. = 1)	0.465** (0.048)	0.467** (0.053)	0.463** (0.056)	0.552** (0.049)	0.482** (0.056)	0.499** (0.057)
Female	-0.079* (0.039)	-0.077* (0.038)	-0.081* (0.039)	-0.050 (0.036)	-0.055 (0.035)	-0.048 (0.036)
Age	0.338** (0.096)	0.350** (0.089)	0.335** (0.097)	0.192* (0.090)	0.218** (0.084)	0.195* (0.090)
Midwest	0.077 (0.052)	0.087 (0.051)	0.079 (0.052)	0.023 (0.053)	0.019 (0.048)	0.021 (0.051)
South	0.059 (0.056)	0.056 (0.054)	0.060 (0.055)	0.033 (0.053)	0.017 (0.051)	0.027 (0.053)
West	0.085 (0.057)	0.070 (0.055)	0.083 (0.058)	0.055 (0.053)	0.047 (0.051)	0.056 (0.052)
Diploma	-0.100 (0.103)	-0.060 (0.090)	-0.099 (0.103)	-0.125 (0.117)	-0.126 (0.094)	-0.144 (0.112)
Some college	-0.001 (0.097)	0.025 (0.084)	-0.001 (0.097)	-0.074 (0.114)	-0.072 (0.090)	-0.096 (0.109)
BA	0.053 (0.100)	0.093 (0.088)	0.055 (0.100)	0.017 (0.114)	0.035 (0.092)	0.009 (0.110)
Grad. degree	0.109 (0.100)	0.131 (0.089)	0.110 (0.100)	0.086 (0.111)	0.090 (0.090)	0.084 (0.107)
Constant	0.070 (0.130)	0.038 (0.119)	0.073 (0.128)	0.202 (0.121)	0.216* (0.102)	0.227 (0.116)
Observations	788	836	784	721	765	720
R-squared	0.241	0.248	0.239	0.298	0.302	0.309

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 6A - Prejudice and Disapproval of Obama's Performance as President

	(1)	(2)	(3)
IAT	-0.012 (0.016)		-0.020 (0.016)
Resentment		0.062** (0.020)	0.068** (0.019)
Prior support	-0.541** (0.034)	-0.515** (0.034)	-0.518** (0.035)
Party ID (Rep. = 1)	0.300** (0.032)	0.288** (0.031)	0.281** (0.031)
Female	-0.018 (0.015)	-0.011 (0.015)	-0.015 (0.015)
Age	-0.017 (0.039)	-0.021 (0.038)	-0.014 (0.039)
Midwest	0.049* (0.023)	0.047* (0.022)	0.047* (0.023)
South	0.017 (0.023)	0.008 (0.023)	0.012 (0.023)
West	0.037 (0.024)	0.035 (0.023)	0.039 (0.024)
Diploma	-0.089* (0.040)	-0.081* (0.040)	-0.097* (0.039)
Some college	-0.098** (0.038)	-0.092* (0.038)	-0.109** (0.037)
BA	-0.075 (0.038)	-0.063 (0.038)	-0.077* (0.037)
Grad. degree	-0.083* (0.039)	-0.060 (0.038)	-0.079* (0.037)
Constant	0.717** (0.055)	0.685** (0.053)	0.705** (0.054)
Observations	1,424	1,504	1,417
R-squared	0.621	0.624	0.626

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 7A - Prejudice and Belief That Obama is a Muslim

	(1)	(2)	(3)
IAT	0.152 (0.115)		0.103 (0.117)
Resentment		0.358** (0.128)	0.388** (0.132)
Pol. Knowledge	-1.701** (0.256)	-1.628** (0.250)	-1.665** (0.258)
Party ID (Rep. = 1)	0.720** (0.159)	0.598** (0.163)	0.548** (0.169)
Female	-0.196 (0.115)	-0.177 (0.114)	-0.171 (0.118)
Age	-0.229 (0.292)	-0.228 (0.283)	-0.222 (0.299)
Midwest	-0.068 (0.164)	-0.085 (0.161)	-0.080 (0.166)
South	-0.248 (0.162)	-0.284 (0.159)	-0.286 (0.163)
West	-0.353 (0.195)	-0.337 (0.186)	-0.345 (0.199)
Diploma	0.334 (0.294)	0.357 (0.272)	0.311 (0.297)
Some college	0.130 (0.286)	0.148 (0.262)	0.095 (0.288)
BA	-0.041 (0.294)	0.025 (0.272)	-0.046 (0.297)
Grad. degree	-0.367 (0.304)	-0.277 (0.282)	-0.338 (0.307)
Constant	0.239 (0.366)	0.147 (0.344)	0.230 (0.366)
Observations	1,333	1,411	1,328

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Models Substituting Stereotyping for Racial Resentment

The analyses in this section replicate the tables in the paper, but substitute a stereotype difference measure for the racial resentment measure. For the X-Section, the stereotype difference measure is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{Assessment of blacks' laziness} - \text{Assessment of whites' laziness}) + \\ &(\text{Assessment of blacks' intelligence} - \text{Assessment of whites' intelligence}) \end{aligned}$$

where the intelligence measures are reverse coded and the resulting variable is scaled to run from -1 to +1 (high scores signifying prejudice against blacks). The underlying measures are V083207a, V083207b, V083208a, and V083208b.

In the Panel Study, subjects were asked how well seven traits describe both blacks and whites. Three traits were positive (determined to succeed, hard working, and intelligent at school). Four were negative (boastful, complaining, lazy, and violent). We create positive and negative trait scales that run from 0 to 1, for both whites and blacks. Then, the stereotyping difference measure we use for analysis is:

$$(\text{Black negative stereotypes} - \text{Black positive stereotypes}) - (\text{White negative stereotypes} - \text{white positive stereotypes}).$$

This difference measure is coded to run from -1 to 1. The underlying measures are M2-M15 in Wave 20.

Table 2S – The Effect of Prejudice on White Voting Against Obama in the 2008 Presidential Election

	<i>ANES X-Section</i>			<i>ANES Panel</i>		
AMP	0.117 (0.244)		-0.163 (0.250)			
Stereotyping		0.931* (0.397)	1.173** (0.414)		0.950 (0.536)	1.163* (0.585)
IAT				0.090 (0.145)		0.028 (0.147)
PID (Rep. = 1)	3.910** (0.277)	3.910** (0.263)	3.987** (0.287)	3.905** (0.223)	3.909** (0.216)	3.895** (0.227)
Observations	827	857	797	1,253	1,328	1,245

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 3S – The Effect of Prejudice on White Voting Against Obama in the 2008 Democratic Nomination Contest

	<i>ANES X-Section</i>			<i>ANES Panel</i>		
AMP Score	0.097 (0.374)		-0.013 (0.410)	0.048 (0.184)		
Stereotyping		0.307 (0.587)	-0.053 (0.740)		1.227 (0.642)	1.547* (0.647)
IAT						-0.035 (0.187)
Dem PID Strength	-0.541 (0.332)	-0.535 (0.316)	-0.593 (0.341)	-0.129 (0.242)	-0.229 (0.240)	-0.228 (0.239)
Observations	206	229	198	418	447	416

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 4S – The Effect of Prejudice on White Opposition to Policies in the Domain of Race

	<i>ANES X-Section</i>						<i>ANES Panel</i>					
	Gov. Assist			Hire blacks			Gov. Assist			Hire blacks		
AMP Score	0.087**		0.061	0.086*		0.087*						
	(0.030)		(0.032)	(0.033)		(0.034)						
Stereotyping		0.191**	0.180**		0.103*	0.042	0.420**	0.390**		0.261**	0.258**	
		(0.044)	(0.051)		(0.042)	(0.044)	(0.082)	(0.085)		(0.065)	(0.067)	
IAT							0.066*	0.047		0.032	0.017	
							(0.027)	(0.026)		(0.019)	(0.019)	
PID (Rep. = 1)	0.252**	0.241**	0.241**	0.169**	0.186**	0.160**	0.201**	0.176**	0.171**	0.181**	0.177**	0.160**
	(0.032)	(0.044)	(0.032)	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.035)	(0.034)	(0.036)	(0.029)	(0.028)	(0.029)
Observations	938	970	908	998	1,031	962	1,423	1,498	1,411	1,424	1,498	1,411

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

**Table 5S – The Effect of Prejudice on White Opposition to Health Care Reform
(Early in 2008 versus Late in 2008)**

	Health Care (January 2008)			Health Care (October 2008)		
IAT	-0.002 (0.040)		-0.004 (0.039)	0.017 (0.040)		0.005 (0.040)
Stereotyping		0.002 (0.122)	0.030 (0.131)		0.191 (0.098)	0.163 (0.098)
PID	0.465** (0.048)	0.467** (0.047)	0.462** (0.050)	0.552** (0.049)	0.523** (0.050)	0.538** (0.051)
Obs.	788	833	781	721	763	718

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 6S – Prejudice and Disapproval of Obama's Performance as President

IAT	-0.012 (0.016)		-0.018 (0.015)
Stereotyping		0.058 (0.051)	0.078 (0.055)
PID (Rep. = 1)	0.300** (0.032)	0.301** (0.031)	0.296** (0.032)
Prior support	-0.541** (0.034)	-0.536** (0.033)	-0.539** (0.034)
Observations	1,424	1,498	1,411

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05

Table 7S – Prejudice and Belief that Obama is Muslim

IAT	0.152 (0.115)		0.123 (0.117)
Stereotyping		0.765* (0.369)	0.903* (0.395)
PID (Rep. = 1)	0.720** (0.159)	0.678** (0.153)	0.626** (0.160)
Political Knowledge	-1.701** (0.256)	-1.606** (0.250)	-1.629** (0.257)
Observations	1,333	1,404	1,321

Standard errors in parentheses

** p<0.01, * p<0.05