Supplement to

Perceived Need and Barriers to Care in Adolescents: Agreement Between Adolescents and Their Parents

Running head: Adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need and barriers

Schnyder, N.,^{1,2} Lawrence, D.,³ Panczak, R.,⁴ Sawyer, M.G.,^{5,6} Whiteford, H.A.,^{1,2,7} Burgess, P.M.,^{1,2} Harris, M.G.,^{1,2}

¹ School of Public Health, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia;

² Policy and Epidemiology Group, Queensland Centre for Mental Health Research, Brisbane, Australia;

³ Graduate School of Education, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia;

⁴ Queensland Centre for Population Research, School of Earth and Environmental Science, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia;

⁵ School of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, SA, Australia;

⁶ Research and Evaluation Unit, Women's and Children's Health Network, Adelaide, SA, Australia;

⁷ Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA.

Content

eTable 1 Classification of adolescent-parent agreement on overall perceived need (N=2,310).

eTable 2 Sample characteristics of adolescents aged 13-17.

eMaterial 1 Additional measures, how they were classified and used.

eTable 3 Distribution and comparison of past 12 months overall perceived need and types of help needed among sub-sample of adolescents (aged 13-17) with a probable disorder and their parents.

eTable 4 Details of agreement on overall perceived need and each type of help needed.

eTable 5 Adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need among sub-sample of adolescents with a probable disorder.

- **eTable 6** All results of multinomial logistic regressions of variables associated with patterns of agreement on perceived need (n=1,119).
- **eTable 7** Multinomial logistic regressions of variables associated with patterns of agreement on perceived need among those with a probable disorder (n=325).

eTable 8 All results of multinomial logistic regressions of variables associated with patterns of agreement on perceived need; internalising and externalising disorder separately (n=1,119).

- eTable 9 Barriers to care among sub-sample where either the adolescent or parent identified an unmet or partially met need.
- eMaterial 2 Stata and R scripts.

eTable 1 Classification of adolescent-parent agreement on overall perceived need (N=2,310).

		Adolescents						
		Fully met need	Partially met need	Unmet need	No need			
arents	Fully need	А	С	С	D			
	Partially met need	D	В	С	D			
	Unmet need	D	D	В	D			
<u> </u>	No need	С	С	С	E			

Note N/n: unweighted number of respondents with 'N' representing total population and 'n' representing sub-population.

The categories of the dependent variables are (see also table below A, B, C, D):

- A: Adolescents and parents agree on fully met need (reference group, n=131),
- B: Adolescents and parents agree on either partially met or unmet need (n=93),
- C: Adolescents perceived a greater level of need than their parents (n=488),
- D: Parents perceived a greater level of need than their child (n=407),
- E: Adolescents and parents agree on having no need (n=1,191).

What was measured	Measure	How we used the measure (categories of measures are	Regression
	(questionnaire or questions)	indicated in <i>italic</i>)	used as:
Adolescents' probable disorder	Sum score of four subscales of the 'Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire' (SDQ) (Goodman 1997, 2001) for total difficulties: emotional symptoms, hyperactivity, conduct problems, and peer problems. Total difficulties score ranges from 0-40 with higher scores indicating more problems and with 80% of adolescents in the community having normal levels of difficulties and 10% either borderline or abnormal levels. Abnormal levels are referred to as an indication for having a probable disorder (Goodman 2001). For	We defined the <i>presence</i> of a probable disorder as either adolescents or parents reporting total difficulties on an abnormal level, while we defined the <i>absence</i> of probable disorder as both adolescents and parents reporting total difficulties on a normal or borderline level. This allowed us to consider both adolescents' and parents' perceptions about adolescent mental health problems because their agreement on symptoms	Independent variable
	total difficulties in this study of adolescents aged 13-17: Cronbach's $\alpha_{(parent report)}=0.72$; Cronbach's $\alpha_{(adolescent report)}=0.70$.	is typically modest (Rescorla <i>et al.</i> 2013).	
Adolescents' probable internalising disorder or probable externalising disorder	SDQ subscales can be summarised into internalising (emotional and peer subscale) and externalising (conduct and hyperactivity subscales) problems (Goodman <i>et al.</i> 2010). Each of the subscale ranges from 0-10 with higher scores indicating more problems and with 80% of adolescents in the community having normal levels of problems in respective subscale and 10% either borderline or abnormal levels. Abnormal levels are used as an indication for having a probable emotional, conduct or hyperactivity disorder (Goodman 2001). For probable internalising disorder in this study: Cronbach's $\alpha_{(parent report)}=0.62$; Cronbach's $\alpha_{(adolescent report)}=0.60$. For probable externalising disorder in this study Cronbach's $\alpha_{(parent report)}=0.68$.	First, separately for adolescents and parents, probable internalising disorders were defined as reporting abnormal levels on the subscales emotional and/or peer problems; probable externalising disorders as reporting abnormal levels on conduct and/or hyperactivity problems. Second, we defined the <i>presence</i> of a probable internalising disorder as either adolescents <i>or</i> parents reporting it on an abnormal level, while we defined the <i>absence</i> of probable internalising disorder as both adolescents and parents reporting internalising disorders on a normal or borderline level. We proceeded accordingly for the presence and absence of externalising disorders. This was done before by (Downs <i>et al.</i> 2013).	Independent variable

eMaterial 1 Additional measures, how they were used in this study.

eMaterial 1 continued			
What was measured	Measure (questionnaire or questions)	How we used the measure (categories of measures are indicated in <i>italic</i>)	Regression used as:
Parents' knowledge about adolescents' feelings	Question: 'How much do your parents know about how you are feeling?' Answered on a 4-point likert scale from 'a lot' to 'not at all'	We collapsed four categories into two (' <i>a lot/some</i> ' and ' <i>a little/not at all</i> ').	Independent variable
General family functioning	Reliable and valid six items version (Boterhoven De Haan <i>et al.</i> 1985) of the McMaster Family Functioning scale (Epstein <i>et al.</i> 1983). Items are rated on a 4-point likert scale, answers are summed up and divided by the number of items to receive a score between 1-6 (Miller <i>et al.</i> 1985). In this study including parents with adolescents aged 13-17, Cronbach's α =0.87.	Used as proposed by Miller and colleagues (1985): score of >2 indicate <i>healthy</i> and ≤ 2 <i>unhealthy family</i> functioning.	Control variable
Parental psychopathology	 (1) Parents levels of psychological distress in the past four weeks was assessed with the 10-item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale (Kessler <i>et al.</i> 2003). In this study including parents with adolescents aged 13-17, Cronbach's α=0.90. (2) Question for lifetime disorder: 'Have you ever been told by a doctor or mental health professional that you have any of these problems?': Panic attacks Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) Any other anxiety problems Depression Attention Deficit Disorder (ADD) / Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Schizophrenia Bipolar disorder or any other psychosis Alcohol or drug dependence 	Based on an earlier YMM study (Johnson <i>et al.</i> 2018), parental psychopathology was considered to be <i>present</i> if parents reported a lifetime diagnosis of a mental disorder and/or (very) high levels of psychological distress in the past four weeks according to the 10-item Kessler Psychological Distress Scale. Otherwise, parental psychopathology was considered to be <i>absent</i> .	Control variable

What was measured	Measure	How we used the measure (categories of measures are	Regression
	(questionnaire or questions)	indicated in <i>italic</i>)	used as:
Family type	The Young Minds Matter (YMM) survey assessed family type according to the Australian Bureau of Statistic's (ABS) definition of <u>family blending</u> as living with: (1) two biological parents (nuclear family), (2) other two parent family, (3) sole parent family), (4) other.	We collapsed these four categories into two: (1) <i>family</i> with two biological parents or (2) other <i>family type</i> .	Control variable
Remoteness	YMM assessed <u>remoteness</u> according to the Australian Statistical Geography Standard-Remoteness Area (ASGS-RA) provided by the ABS. It is a geographical classification which defines place of residence/location in terms of remoteness. Remoteness is categorised as: (1) Major cities of Australia, (2) inner regional Australia, (3) outer regional Australia, (4) remote Australia.	We collapsed remoteness into the two categories ' <i>major cities</i> ' (1) and ' <i>regional and remote areas</i> ' (2-4).	Control variable
Socio-economic advantage and disadvantage (IRSAD)	YMM assessed socio-economic advantage and disadvantage according to the Socio-Economic Index for Areas (<u>SEIFA index</u>) of the ABS. The SEIFA index defines socio-economic advantage and disadvantage according to the place of residence rather than to the individuals' actual status of socio-economic advantage and disadvantage. The SEIFA index contains the 'index of relative socio-economic advantage and disadvantage' (IRSAD) which was used in this study. IRSAD can be divided into quintiles with lowest quintile (most disadvantaged) to second quintile, to third quintile, to fourth quintile and to highest quintile (most advantaged).	We collapsed IRSAD quintiles into <i>advantaged</i> (highest and fourth quintile) and <i>disadvantaged</i> (lowest, second and third quintile).	Control variable
Parental education	 Parent/primary carer was asked: 'What is the level of the highest post-school qualification that you have completed?': Postgraduate degree, graduate diploma or graduate certificate Bachelor degree, advanced diploma or diploma Certificate III/IV, certificate I/II Certificate not further defined No non-school qualification Level not determined 	Highest level of parental education was collapsed in two categories ' <i>bachelor degree or higher</i> ' and ' <i>diploma or certificate III/IV or lower</i> '.	Control variable
Adolescents' sex	Female or male	Female or male	Control variable

	Total sample	Sub-sample ^a
	(N=2,310)	(n=1,119)
	%(SE)	%(SE)
Sex ^b	, <i>i</i> ,	
Female	48.7(1.16)	46.2(1.68)
Male	51.3(1.16)	53.8(1.68)
Index of relative socio-economic disadvantage (IRSAD) ^b		
Advantaged	45.4(2.58)	43.7(2.78)
Disadvantaged	54.6(2.58)	56.3(2.78)
Remoteness ^b		
Major cities of Australia	64.0(2.51)	65.3(2.72)
Regional or remote areas in Australia	36.0(2.51)	34.7(2.72)
Family type ^b		
Family with two biological parents	59.9(1.27)	52.6(1.76)
Other family type	40.1(1.27)	47.4(1.76)
Parental education ^b		
Bachelor degree or higher	38.0(1.41)	39.1(1.77)
Diploma or certificate III/IV or lower	62.0(1.41)	60.9(1.77)
Parental psychopathology ^b		
Lifetime diagnosis or current high/very high psychological distress	41.6(1.17)	49.3(1.78)
None	58.4(1.17)	50.7(1.78)
Family functioning ^b		
Healthy level of functioning	95.9(0.45)	93.6(0.83)
Unhealthy level of functioning	4.1(0.45)	6.4(0.83)
Adolescents' probable disorder ^b		
Present	16.7(0.93)	29.4(1.61)
Absent	83.3(0.93)	70.6(1.61)
Adolescents' probable externalising disorder ^b		
Present	<mark>27.0(1.10)</mark>	<mark>39.4(1.73)</mark>
Absent	<mark>73.0(1.10)</mark>	<mark>60.6(1.73)</mark>
Adolescents' probable internalising disorder ^b		
Present	31.4(1.11)	<mark>46.9(1.68)</mark>
Absent	<mark>68.6</mark> (1.11)	53.1(1.68
Parental knowledge about adolescents' feelings ^b		
A Lot/some	68.7(1.11)	58.7(1.67)
Little/not at all	31.3(1.11)	41.4(1.67)

eTable 2 Sample characteristics of adolescents aged 13-17.

^a *either* adolescent *or* parent identified a perceived need for any type of care; ^b for details on measures and their use see eMaterial 1.

Note N/n: unweighted number of participants; SE: standard error; %: weighted percent.

	Total sample with	a probable disorder	Sub-samples,	either adolescent or
Level of perceived	(<i>n</i> :	=390)	parent identifi	ed a perceived need
need, by type of help	Adolescents,	Parents,	Adolescents,	Parents,
	%(SE)	%(SE)	%(SE)	%(SE)
Any type of help			n=325 (82	.2%(SE=2.11)) ^a
No need	39.9(2.85)	31.6(2.52)	27.0(2.98)	16.8(2.21)
Fully met need	20.9(2.15)	24.6(2.36)	25.4(2.55)	29.9(2.78)
Partially met need	27.4(2.44)	28.6(2.46)	33.3(2.87)	34.8(2.89)
Unmet need	11.8(1.82)	15.2(2.05)	14.3(2.17)	18.5(2.42)
	$F_{(8.69,4761.31)}=7.01;$	V=0.25	F _(8.67,4752.12) =8.23;	V=0.30
Counselling			n=290 (73	.6%(SE=2.44)) ^a
No need	53.7(2.83)	37.3(2.68)	37.2(3.24)	14.8(2.23)
Fully met need	21.9(2.62)	25.7(2.49)	29.7(2.91)	34.9(3.17)
Partially met need	14.9(1.96)	18.9(2.18)	20.2(2.61)	25.7(2.81)
Unmet need	9.5(1.57)	18.1(2.16)	12.9(2.07)	24.6(2.83)
	$F_{(8.51,4664.31)}=9.68;$	V=0.28	F(8.50,4657.53)=10.45	5; V=0.34
Medication			n=158 (37	.3%(SE=2.69)) ^a
No need	69.8(2.48)	76.0(2.36)	19.0(3.29)	35.6(4.20)
Fully met need	11.3(1.60)	12.9(1.88)	30.4(3.72)	34.7(4.30)
Partially met need	6.6(1.29)	5.1(1.2)	17.6(3.18)	13.7(3.15)
Unmet need	12.3(1.80)	5.9(1.23)	33.1(4.28)	16.0(3.01)
	$F_{(8.46,4634.30)}=20.28$; V=0.44	F(8.37,4586.47)=11.99	9; V=0.55
Information			n=248 (62	.1%(SE=2.63)) ^a
No need	60.4(2.83)	55.4(2.82)	36.3(3.57)	28.2(3.11)
Fully met need	19.1(2.15)	19.1(2.29)	30.8(3.26)	30.8(3.38)
Partially met need	10.0(1.59)	10.8(1.73)	16.1(2.41)	17.4(2.68)
Unmet need	10.5(2.83)	14.6(1.97)	16.8(2.70)	23.6(2.98)
	F _(8.30,4549.50) =5.35;	V=0.21	F(8.26,4527.60)=9.32;	V=0.35
Skill training			n=209 (52	.9%(SE=2.77)) ^a
No need	70.8(2.33)	65.4(2.53)	44.8(3.50)	34.6(3.35)
Fully met need	10.0(1.64)	7.3(1.40)	19.0(2.95)	13.8(2.56)
Partially met need	7.1(1.29)	5.1(1.31)	13.4(2.43)	9.6(2.42)
Unmet need	12.1(1.68)	22.2(2.22)	22.8(2.89)	42.0(3.55)
	$F_{(8,28,4538,78)} = 3.31;$	V=0.16	$F_{(8,33,4567,03)} = 13.37$	7; V=0.43

eTable 3 Distribution and comparison of past 12 months overall perceived need and types of help needed among sub-sample of adolescents (aged 13-17) with a probable disorder and their parents.

^a of total sample with a probable disorder.

Note N/n: unweighted number of respondents; SE: standard error; %: weighted percent; V: Cramer's V of 0.1, 0.3, and 0.5 represent small, medium, and large strength of association.

15-17) and then paren								
			Parents						
				Overall perceived need, n (%)					
			Fully met need	Partially met need	Unmet need	No need			
	Overall	Fully need	131 (5.7)	65 (2.8)	25 (1.1)	196 (8.5)			
	Dverall	Partially met need	59 (2.5)	70 (3.0)	17 (0.7)	83 (3.6)			
	Need n (%)	Unmet need	25 (1.1)	13 (0.6)	23 (1.0)	112 (4.8)			
	Need, <i>n</i> (70)	No need	125 (5.4)	62 (2.7)	113 (4.9)	1,191 (51.6)			
				Need for counselling, <i>n</i> (%)					
			Fully met need	Partially met need	Unmet need	No need			
	Needfor	Fully need	113 (4.9)	46 (2.0)	17 (3.5)	81 (3.5)			
	Need for	Partially met need	44 (1.9)	27 (1.2)	9 (0.4)	32 (1.4)			
	counselling,	Unmet need	16 (0.7)	11 (0.5)	22 (1.0)	104 (4.5)			
	n (70)	No need	112 (4.9)	56 (2.4)	131 (5.7)	1,489 (64.5)			
				Need for med	lication, n (%)				
			Fully met need	Partially met need	Unmet need	No need			
nts	Need for medication, $n \binom{9}{2}$	Fully need	47 (2.0)	9 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	18 (0.8)			
sce		Partially met need	17 (0.7)	10 (0.4)	0 (0.0)	6 (0.3)			
ole		Unmet need	4 (0.2)	1 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	83 (3.6)			
ΡQ	n (>0)	No need	18 (0.8)	5 (0.2)	24 (1.0)	2,063 (89.3)			
·				Need for information, n (%)					
			Fully met need	Partially met need	Unmet need	No need			
	Need for	Fully need	50 (2.2)	14 (0.6)	17 (0.7)	208 (9.0)			
	information	Partially met need	20 (0.9)	11 (0.5)	6 (0.2)	50 (2.1)			
	$n(\frac{9}{2})$	Unmet need	5 (0.2)	7 (0.4)	9 (0.4)	81 (3.5)			
	n (>0)	No need	101 (4.4)	36 (1.5)	74 (3.2)	1,621 (70.2)			
				Need for skill	training, n (%)				
			Fully met need	Partially met need	Unmet need	No need			
	Need for shill	Fully need	19 (0.8)	2 (0.1)	18 (0.8)	109 (4.7)			
	training	Partially met need	2 (0.1)	5 (0.2)	18 (0.8)	31 (1.3)			
	$n_{\alpha}(2/2)$	Unmet need	9 (0.4)	4 (0.2)	19 (0.8)	126 (5.5)			
	n (%)	No need	67 (2.9)	22 (0.9)	132 (5.7)	1.729 (74.8)			

eTable 4 Details of agreement on overall perceived need and each type of help needed between adolescents (aged 13-17) and their parents

Note n: unweighted number of respondents; %: unweighted percent; cells shaded in blue mark those adolescents and parents that agree, cell frequencies in **bold** mark the ones with the largest agreement for overall perceived need and for each of the types of help.

eTable 5. Adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need among sub-sample of adolescents with a probable disorder.

	Agreement on perceived need (all levels) (n=390) %, Cohen's kappa(SE)	Agreement on perceived need (excluding 'no need') (n=325) %, <i>Cohen's kappa(SE)</i>
Any type of help	41.8, 0.20(0.03)	30.2, 0.05(0.03)
Counselling	44.9, 0.22(0.03)	25.9, 0.01(0.03)
Medication	71.3, 0.39(0.03)	29.1, 0.05(0.04)
Information	48.7, 0.16(0.03)	19.4, -0.10(0.04)
Skill training	51.5, 0.05(0.03)	9.6, -0.27(0.04)

Note unweighted %; kappa of <0.00, 0.00-0.20, 0.21-0.40, 0.41-0.60, 0.61-0.80, and 0.81-1.00 are considered to represent poor, slight, fair, moderate, substantial, and almost perfect agreement (Landis & Koch 1977).

	Agreed that needs were fully met		Agreed that needs were partially met or unmet		Adolescent reported greater level of need than their parent		Parent reported greater level of need than the adolescent	
	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a
	RRR	RRR	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)
Adolescents' probable disorder								
Present	1.00	1.00	3.77(2.02-7.04)	2.86(1.46-5.61)	0.61(0.38-0.96)	0.50(0.30-0.82)	1.01(0.64-1.60)	0.77(0.47-1.24)
Parental knowledge about								
adolescents' feelings								
Little/not at all	1.00	1.00	5.36(2.85-10.09)	4.69(2.38-9.28)	3.12(1.98-4.90)	3.45(2.16-5.51)	1.83(1.16-2.90)	1.91(1.19-3.04)
Sex								
Female	1.00	1.00	1.93(1.04-3.58)	1.87(1.00-3.48)	1.28(0.84-1.95)	1.23(0.80-1.89)	0.61(0.40-0.95)	0.60(0.38-0.93)
Index of relative socio-economic								
advantage and disadvantage								
(IRSAD)	1.00	1.00		0.40/0.25.0.04				
Disadvantaged	1.00	1.00	0.57(0.32-1.03)	0.48(0.25-0.94)	0./1(0.4/-1.0/)	0.72(0.46-1.13)	0.96(0.62-1.49)	0.78(0.49-1.25)
Remoteness	1.00	1.00	0.01/0.50.1.55	0.0000 50 1.05	0.01/0.57.1.44	0.05(0.50.1.54)	1 25(0 05 2 12)	1 00(0 5 (1 00)
Regional or remote area	1.00	1.00	0.91(0.50-1.66)	0.96(0.50-1.85)	0.91(0.57-1.44)	0.95(0.58-1.54)	1.35(0.85-2.13)	1.23(0.76-1.99)
Family type	1.00	1.00	1 (2(0.04.2.02)	212(116200)	1.00(1.05.0.05)	1 01/1 15 0 00	1 10(0 76 1 05)	1 20/0 0 6 2 1 4)
Living with two biological parents	1.00	1.00	1.63(0.94-2.83)	2.13(1.16-3.90)	1.92(1.25-2.95)	1.81(1.15-2.82)	1.18(0./6-1.85)	1.39(0.86-2.14)
Parental education	1.00	1.00	1 21(0 72 2 27)	1 22(0 70 2 55)	1.06(0.70, 1.60)	1 26(0 00 0 11)	1 07/1 07 2 04)	0 10/1 05 0 00
Diploma or certificate III/IV or	1.00	1.00	1.31(0.73-2.37)	1.33(0.70-2.55)	1.06(0.70-1.60)	1.36(0.88-2.11)	1.97(1.27-3.04)	2.13(1.35-3.38)
lower								
Parental psychopathology	1.00	1.00	1 26(0 72 2 22)	1 20(0 79 2 49)	0.(1.0.40.0.02)	0.75(0.40, 1.14)	1 15(0.75 1.77)	1 17(0 70 1 07)
disorder	1.00	1.00	1.20(0.72-2.22)	1.39(0.78-2.48)	0.01 0.40-0.95)	0.73(0.49-1.14)	1.13(0.73-1.77)	1.17(0.78-1.82)
Equily functioning								
Unhealthy level of functioning	1.00	1.00	5 19(1 28-21 03)	3 81(0 94-15 40)	2 13(0 56-8 11)	2 63(0 70-9 93)	3.26(0.90-11.78)	2 94(0 80-10 81)
O meaning level of functioning	1.00	1.00	5.17(1.20-21.03)	5.01(0.94-15.40)	2.13(0.30-0.11)	2.03(0.70-9.95)	5.20(0.90-11.70)	2.7+(0.00-10.01)

eTable 6 All results of multinomial	l logistic regressions of	variables associated with	patterns of agreement on	perceived need $(n=1 119)$
Clabic O I in results of multinonna		variables associated with	patterns of agreement on	percerved need $(n=1,11)$

^a All variables are added simultaneously to the model.

Reference categories of independent/control variables are: male sex, advantaged IRSAD, major cities (remoteness), living in another family constellation (family type), bachelor degree or higher (parental education), normal family functioning, adolescent probable disorder absent, parent has 'a lot/some' knowledge of adolescent feelings.

Note RRR: relative risk ratio; CI: confidence interval; F_(27,522)=6.76.

	Agreed that needs were		Agreed that needs were partially met or		Adolescent reported greater level of need		Parent reported greater level of need than	
	fully met		unmet		than their parent		the adolescent	
	Unadjusted	Fully	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a
		adjusted ^a						
	RRR	RRR	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)
Parental knowledge about adolescents' feelings								
Little/not at all	1.00	1.00	3.76 (1.86-7.63)	2.90 (1.19-7.12)	3.75 (2.13-6.61)	3.88 (1.74-8.68)	1.93 (1.12-3.34)	2.39 (1.14-5.03)
Sex								
Female	1.00	1.00	1.89 (0.76-4.69)	0.63 (0.25-4.45)	1.60 (0.76-3.39)	1.50 (0.72-3.15)	0.53 (0.24-1.16)	0.53 (0.24-1.13)
Index of relative socio-economic								
advantage and disadvantage								
(IRSAD)								
Disadvantaged	1.00	1.00	0.71 (0.30-1.69)	0.65 (0.31-1.59)	0.88 (0.41-1.92)	0.76 (0.33-1.77)	1.98 (0.91-4.28)	1.47 (0.65-3.34)
Remoteness								
Regional or remote area	1.00	1.00	1.32 (0.55-3.16)	1.18 (0.46-3.06)	1.20 (0.52-2.76)	1.10 (0.45-2.67)	1.52 (0.71-3.23)	1.23 (0.54-2.77)
Family type								
Family with two biological	1.00	1.00	1.89 (0.80-4.45)	2.25 (0.89-5.70)	1.32 (0.60-2.90)	1.39 (0.55-3.51)	0.89 (0.41-1.91)	1.17 (0.50-2.71)
parents								
Parental education								
Diploma or certificate III/IV or	1.00	1.00	1.19 (0.45-3.18)	1.69 (0.58-4.99)	1.66 (0.73-3.76)	1.86 (0.75-4.56)	2.48 (1.06-5.84)	2.24 (0.88-5.75)
lower								
Parental psychopathology								
Current symptoms or lifetime	1.00	1.00	1.31 (0.54-3.19)	1.69 (0.68-4.20)	0.59 (0.26-1.33)	0.68 (0.30-1.54)	1.49 (0.65-3.38)	1.51 (0.65-3.49)
disorder								
Family functioning								
Unhealthy level of functioning	1.00	1.00	2.50 (0.44-14.25)	2.51 (0.43-14.58)	2.09 (0.30-11.05)	2.63 (0.47-14.60)	2.49 (0.49-12.65)	2.49 (0.42-14.87)

eTable 7 Multinomial logistic regressions of variables associated with patterns of agreement on perceived need among those with a probable disorder (n=325).

^a All variables are added simultaneously to the model.

Reference categories of independent/control variables are: male sex, advantaged IRSAD, major cities (remoteness), living in another family constellation (family type), bachelor degree or higher (parental education), normal family functioning, parent has 'a lot/some' knowledge of adolescent feelings. The presence of a probable disorder was defined as either adolescents or parents reporting total difficulties (SDQ) on an abnormal level.

Note RRR: relative risk ratio; CI: confidence interval; F_(24, 525)=2.43.

e Table 8 All results of multinomial logistic regressions of variables associated with patterns of agreement on perceived need; internalising and externalising disorder separately (n=1,119).								
	Agreed that	needs were	Agreed that needs	were partially met or	Adolescent reported greater level of need		Parent reported greater level of need than	
	fully met		unmet		than their parent		the adolescent	
	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a	Unadjusted	Fully adjusted ^a
	RRR	RRR	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)	RRR (95%-CI)
Adolescents' probable externalising disorder								
Present	1.00	1.00	1.99(1.07-3.71)	1.67(0.84-3.29)	0.63(0.41-0.97)	0.68(0.43-1.08)	1.23(0.79-1.92)	1.16(0.73-1.84)
Adolescents' probable internalising disorder								
Present	1.00	1.00	3.03(1.59-5.78)	2.07(1.06-4.03)	0.50(0.33-0.77)	0.45(0.29-0.72)	0.67(0.44-1.03)	0.57(0.36-0.91)
Parental knowledge about adolescents' feelings								
<i>Little/not at all</i>	1.00	1.00	5.36(2.85-10.09)	4.76(2.48-9.15)	3.12(1.98-4.90)	3.61(2.26-5.79)	1.83(1.16-2.90)	1.91(1.19-3.04)
Sex Female	1.00	1.00	1.93(1.04-3.58)	1.84(0.99-3.43)	1.28(0.84-1.95)	1.31(0.86-2.02)	0.61(0.40-0.95)	0.66(0.43-1.03)
Index of relative socio-economic advantage and disadvantage (IRSAD)								
Disadvantaged	1.00	1.00	0.57(0.32-1.03)	0.47(0.25-0.91)	0.71(0.47-1.07)	0.74(0.48-1.16)	0.96(0.62-1.49)	0.79(0.50-1.26)
Remoteness Regional or remote area	1.00	1.00	0.91(0.50-1.66)	0.97(0.51-1.84)	0.91(0.57-1.44)	0.95(0.59-1.55)	1.35(0.85-2.13)	1.21(0.75-1.96)
Family type Living with two biological parents	1.00	1.00	1.63(0.94-2.83)	1.97(1.09-3.54)	1.92(1.25-2.95)	1.69(1.08-2.64)	1.18(0.76-1.85)	1.32(0.84-2.07)
Parental education Diploma or certificate III/IV or lower	1.00	1.00	1.31(0.73-2.37)	1.40(0.74-2.66)	1.06(0.70-1.60)	1.37(0.89-2.11)	1.97(1.27-3.04)	2.18(1.38-3.44)
Parental psychopathology Current symptoms or lifetime disorder	1.00	1.00	1.26(0.72-2.22)	1.36(0.76-2.43)	0.61 0.40-0.93)	0.78(0.51-1.19)	1.15(0.75-1.77)	1.21(0.78-1.87)
Family functioning Unhealthy level of functioning	1.00	1.00	5.19(1.28-21.03)	4.00(0.96-16.66)	2.13(0.56-8.11)	2.87(0.77-10.77)	3.26(0.90-11.78)	3.09(0.85-11.25)

^a All variables are added simultaneously to the model. Reference categories of independent/control variables are: male sex, advantaged IRSAD, major cities (remoteness), living in another family constellation (family type), bachelor degree or higher (parental education), normal family functioning, adolescent probable disorder absent, parent has 'a lot/some' knowledge of adolescent feelings. *Note* RRR: relative risk ratio; CI: confidence interval; $F_{(30,519)}=5.78$.

	Subsamples ^a	•	Both adolescents and parents have either a fully or partially unmet need (n=123)			
	Barriers endorsed by adolescents (n=515), % (SE)	Barriers endorsed by parents (n=402), % (SE)	Barriers endorsed by adolescents, % (SE)	Barriers endorsed by parents, % (SE)	Adolescent-parent agreement, %, Cohen's kappa (SE)	
Any attitudinal barriers ^b	86.8 (1.75)	85.0 (1.82)	88.2 (3.37)	85.7 (3.15)	56.2, -0.03 (0.06)	
afraid what others might think	48.5 (2.49)	3.3 (1.02)	50.3 (5.26)	3.3 (2.04)	-	
self-reliance	55.1 (2.39)	32.5 (2.78)	52.2 (4.97)	27.5 (5.17)	-	
unsure if help needed	54.8 (2.34)	24.9 (2.48)	53.4 (4.79)	20.8 (4.10)	-	
unsure where to get help	21.2 (1.87)	27.6 (2.42)	25.5 (4.57)	27.7 (4.30)	-	
problem will get better by itself	52.2 (2.43)	23.8 (2.48)	63.4 (4.77)	26.2 (4.44)	-	
child refused	-	38.7 (2.69)	-	42.3 (5.11)	-	
Any structural barriers ^c	25.3 (1.91)	33.0 (2.60)	40.3 (4.88)	36.6 (4.56)	53.3, 0.02 (0.09)	
problem finding service that could	3.4 (0.87)	15.0 (1.97)	9.7 (3.10)	19.1 (4.00)	-	
help						
couldn't afford it	16.4 (1.63)	20.0 (2.27)	23.5 (4.18)	19.9 (3.84)	-	
couldn't get appointment	4.2 (0.94)	16.7 (2.09)	7.7 (2.56)	23.6 (4.04)	-	
asked for help at school but didn't	9.1 (1.33)		15.2 (3.25)		-	
get any		-		-		

Table 9 Barriers to care among sub-sample where either the adolescent or parent identified an unmet or partially met need

^a adolescents *or* parents reported barriers to care; ^b at least one attitudinal barrier was endorsed; ^c at least one structural barrier was endorsed. *Note* n: unweighted number of respondents in sub-population; %: weighted percent; SE: standard error; percent in cells do not add up to 100% because naming multiple barriers was possible; kappa of <0.00, 0.00-0.20, 0.21-0.40, 0.41-0.60, 0.61-0.80, and 0.81-1.00 are considered to represent poor, slight, fair, moderate, substantial, and almost perfect agreement (Landis & Koch 1977).

Additional References

Boterhoven De Haan K, Hafekost J, Lawrence D, Sawyer MG, Zubrick SR (1985). Reliability and Validity of a Short Version of the General Functioning Subscale of the McMaster Family Assessment Device. *Family Process* **54**, 116–123.

Downs JM, Cullen AE, Barragan M, Laurens KR (2013). Persisting psychotic-like experiences are associated with both externalising and internalising psychopathology in a longitudinal general population child cohort. Elsevier B.V. *Schizophrenia Research* **144**, 99–104.

Epstein NB, Baldwin LM, Bishop DS (1983). The McMaster Family Assessment Device. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy* **9**, 171–180.

Goodman A, Lamping DL, Ploubidis GB (2010). When to use broader internalising and externalising subscales instead of the hypothesised five subscales on the strengths and difficulties questionnaire (SDQ): Data from british parents, teachers and children. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology* **38**, 1179–1191. **Goodman R** (1997). The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: A Research Note. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **38**, 581–586.

Goodman R (2001). Psychometric properties of the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in 3-year-old preschoolers. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* **54**, 282–291.

Johnson SE, Lawrence D, Sawyer M, Zubrick SR (2018). Mental disorders in Australian 4- to 17- year olds: Parent-reported need for help. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 52, 149–162.

Kessler R. C, Barker PR, Colpe LJ, Epstein JF, Gfroerer JC, Hiripi E, Howes MJ, Normand S-LT, Manderscheid RW, Walters EE, Zaslavsky AM (2003). Screening for Serious Mental Illness in the General Population. *Archives of general psychiatry* **60**, 184–189.

Landis JR, Koch GG (1977). The Measurement of Observer Agreement for Categorical Data. *Biometrics* 33, 159–174.

Miller IW, Epstein NB, Bishop DS, Keitner GI (1985). The McMaster Family Assessment Device: Reliability and Validity. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy* **11**, 345–356.

Rescorla LA, Ginzburg S, Achenbach TM, Ivanova MY, Almqvist F, Begovac I, Bilenberg N, Bird H, Chahed M, Dobrean A, Döpfner M, Erol N, Hannesdottir H, Kanbayashi Y, Lambert MC, Leung PWL, Minaei A, Novik TS, Oh KJ, Petot D, Petot JM, Pomalima R, Rudan V, Sawyer M, Simsek Z, Steinhausen HC, Valverde J, van der Ende J, Weintraub S, Metzke CW, Wolanczyk T, Zhang EY, Zukauskiene R, Verhulst FC (2013). Cross-Informant Agreement Between Parent-Reported and Adolescent Self-Reported Problems in 25 Societies. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* **42**, 262–273. eMaterial 2 Stata and R scripts.

```
1
  2
3
  * Young Minds Matter 01
4
  * Analysis for perceived needs of adolescents and their parents
5
  and relationship between the two
6
  * Author: Nina
7
  * Date: September/October 2018
8
9
  10
  11
12
  /* explanation to data set
13
  1. Not needed variables were deleted from both data sets (youth
14
  and parent).
     Youth and parent YMM data sets were merged 1:1 according to
  2.
15
  variable householdID.
     Not all adolescents participated in self report. Variable
  3.
16
  yint11 was used to identify responders and delete all
  non-responders from data set.
  --> non-responders: 13-17 year olds n=290, 11-12 year olds n=77
17
  (total n=367)
     In surveys where weights and clustering refer to youth >= 11
  4.
18
  years, 11–12 year olds can not just be deleted from data set.
  --> Define subpopulation 'adolescents' for analyses.
19
  */
20
21
  di in red c(os)
22
23
  if "`c(os)'" == "MacOSX" {
24
25
        cd
26
  "/Users/admin/research/publications/08 YMM PerceivedNeedAndBarrier
  sToCare/"
27
  }
28
29
  * data set that will be used for analyses (excluding
30
  non-responder adolescents n=290)
        Data/YMM2/AdolescentsParents complete, clear
  use
31
32
  numlabel, add
33
34
35
  36
  37
  * eFigure 1 response rate
  * use variable yint11 to determine response rate of adolescents
38
  aged 13-17
        Data/YMM2/cleaned merged/YouthParents merged, clear
39
  use
40
41
  preserve
```

```
keep if Age >= 13
42
43
         tab Age, m
         tab yint11, m
44
   restore
45
46
47
   48
   49
   50
   51
   * declare survey design for dataset
52
   * one-stage clustered design (with youth weight)
53
   use
         Data/YMM2/AdolescentsParents complete, clear
54
55
   svyset cluster [pweight=YouthWeightC]
56
57
   * generate subgroup identifying variable
58
         adolescents = (Age >= 13) if !missing(Age)
59
   gen
         adolescents
   tab
60
   * correctly identified subpopulation. No one has missing Age!!
61
   numlabel, add
62
63
   /* subpopulation: adolescents with any perceived need
64
         ado_PN = (Age >= 13) if !missing(Age) & (needanyy == 1)
   gen
65
   tab
         ado PN
66
         Data/YMM2/AdolescentsParents complete, replace*/
   * save
67
68
   69
   70
   * Missing Data: exclude cases with missing data
71
   preserve
72
      keep if adolescents == 1
73
      misstable summarize sex IRSAD dich Remoteness dich
74
   family_type_dich par_education par_psychopathology ///
                      fadbi par feelings totdiff adoORpar
75
   externalising_adoORpar internalising_adoORpar
   restore /* totally 2 missings in par psychopathology and
76
   par feelings */
77
78
   preserve
      keep if adolescents == 1
79
      tab par_feelings par_psychopathology, m
80
   restore /* not same person has missing */
81
82
   * percent missing
83
   display (4/2314)*100
84
85
   * generate subgroup adolescents complete (adoelscents comp) to
86
   use for svy analyses
         adolescents_comp = (Age >= 13) if !missing(Age) & !
87
   gen
   missing(par psychopathology) & !missing(par feelings)
         adolescents comp
   tab
88
```

```
89
    * Reviewer 2: systematic differences in dropped and retained
90
    participants?
    * parental psychopathology and parental feelings not included
91
    because that's where the missings are
    preserve
92
        keep if adolescents == 1
93
        tab adolescents comp, m
94
    restore
95
96
    recode adolescents_comp (1=1) (.=0), pre(new_)
97
98
99
    preserve
        keep if adolescents == 1
100
        foreach systematic in
                               sex IRSAD_dich Remoteness_dich
101
    family type dich par education ///
                               fadbi totdiff adoORpar {
102
                               logit `systematic' i.
103
    new adolescents comp, or
                               }
104
               agree_need_outcome i.new_adolescents_comp
        mlogit
105
        regress Age i.new_adolescents_comp
106
    restore
107
108
109
    * cross-tab to see where problem lies
    preserve
110
        keep if adolescents == 1
111
        foreach systematic in
                               IRSAD dich fadbi {
112
                               tab `systematic' new_adolescents_comp
113
    , chi2 exact expected
                               }
114
    restore
115
    * zero cell frequencies. Expected cell frequencies <5 which
116
    violates assumptions of chi-square test. Fisher's exact test is
    an option.
117
    118
    119
    * eTable 2 Sample characteristics
120
121
    * total sample
    foreach character in
                          sex IRSAD Quintile IRSAD dich
122
    Remoteness_dich family_type_dich par_education
    par_psychopathology ///
                           fadbi totdiff adoORpar
123
    externalising adoORpar internalising adoORpar par feelings {
                           svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): tab
124
    `character', percent cell se
125
    }
    svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): mean Age
126
    estat sd
127
128
    * results export to word
129
```

```
tabout
                 sex IRSAD dich Remoteness dich family type dich
130
    par_education par_psychopathology ///
                 fadbi totdiff_adoORpar par_feelings using
131
    "Article/Tables Figures/Results Excel/Table1.txt", ///
                 c(col se) f(3 3) clab(Row % 95% CI) svy npos(lab)
132
    percent ///
                 replace ///
133
                 style(txt) bt font(bold) cl1(2-6) /* this is
134
    complete rubbish */
135
    * subsample excluding pairs that agreed on having no need
136
    foreach character in
                             sex IRSAD Quintile IRSAD dich
137
    Remoteness_dich family_type_dich par_education
    par psychopathology ///
                             fadbi totdiff_adoORpar
138
    externalising adoORpar internalising adoORpar par feelings {
                             svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 &
139
    agree_need_outcome != 4): tab `character', percent cell se
140
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome != 4):
141
    mean Age
    estat sd
142
143
144
145
    * ***********************
    * characteristics of those with any overall perceived need,
146
    adolescent report
    foreach character in
                             sex IRSAD Quintile IRSAD dich
147
    Remoteness_dich family_type_dich par_education
    par psychopathology ///
                                 fadbi totdiff adoORpar par feelings {
148
                                 svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1
149
    & needanyy == 1): ///
                                 tab `character', percent cell se
150
151
        ł
    svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & needanyy == 1): mean Age
152
    estat sd
153
154
    * **********
155
    * characteristics of those with any overall perceived need,
156
    parent report
    foreach character in
                             sex IRSAD Quintile IRSAD dich
157
    Remoteness_dich family_type_dich par_education
    par psychopathology ///
                                 fadbi totdiff adoORpar par feelings {
158
                                 svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1
159
    & needany == 1): ///
                                 tab `character', percent cell se
160
161
    svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & needany == 1): mean Age
162
163
    estat sd
164
```

```
* *****
165
    * comparing adolescent and parent any overall perceived need
166
    svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): tab needanyy needany, percent cell
167
     se
168
169
    170
    * Table 1 Overall Perceived Need and Types of Help Needed: group
171
    comparisons, agreement and kappa
    * for reporting use design-based analysis (e.g. F statistic)
172
    because it accounts for survey design (weighting, clustering (&
    stratification))
173
    * comparing perceived needs of adolescents and parents
174
    * overall
175
    svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab pneedby pneedb, percent cell
176
    pearson se
    * effect size Cramer's V for svy:
177
    local denom = e(r)-1
178
    if e(c) < e(r)
179
    local denom = e(c)-1
180
    }
181
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun_Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
182
183
184
    * counselling
    svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab pneed counsy pneed couns,
185
    percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
186
    if e(c) < e(r)
187
    local denom = e(c)-1
188
    }
189
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun_Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
190
191
    * medication
192
    svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab pneed medsy pneed meds,
193
    percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
194
    if e(c) < e(r)
195
    local denom = e(c)-1
196
197
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
198
199
    * information
200
    svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab pneed infoy pneed info,
201
    percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
202
203
    if e(c) < e(r)
    local denom = e(c)-1
204
205
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
206
207
    * skill training
208
```

```
svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab pneed skillsy pneed skills,
209
    percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
210
    if e(c) < e(r)
211
    local denom = e(c)-1
212
213
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun_Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
214
215
    * for right two columns in new Table 1 (by 13 March 2019) see at
216
    the end of this file
217
    * ****
218
    * Table 2 kappa correlation – agreement (svy command does not
219
    support kappa statistics)
    * total agreement (four categories: unmet, partially met, fully
220
    met, no need)
    preserve
221
        keep if adolescents_comp == 1
222
                 pneedby pneedb, tab
223
        kap
                 pneed counsy pneed couns, tab
224
        kap
                 pneed_medsy pneed_meds, tab
225
        kap
                 pneed_infoy pneed_info, tab
        kap
226
                 pneed skillsy pneed skills, tab
227
        kap
    restore
228
229
    * agreement on any need (two categories: yes/no)
230
    preserve
231
        keep if adolescents comp == 1
232
        kap
                 needany needanyy, tab
233
    restore
234
235
    * ****************************
236
    * comparing and kappa agreement of any need excluding no need
237
    * overall need
238
239
    preserve
240
        keep if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_NO_overall == 0
                 pneedby pneedb, tab
241
        kap
    restore
242
243
    * need for counselling
244
245
    preserve
        keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO counselling == 0
246
                 pneed_counsy pneed_couns, tab
247
        kap
    restore
248
249
    * need for medication
250
251
    preserve
        keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO medication == 0
252
                 pneed_medsy pneed_meds, tab
253
        kap
    restore
254
255
    * need for information
256
```

```
257
    preserve
        keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO info == 0
258
                pneed infoy pneed info, tab
259
        kap
    restore
260
261
    * need for skill training
262
    preserve
263
        keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO skill == 0
264
                pneed skillsy pneed skills, tab
265
        kap
    restore
266
267
268
    269
    * David: same as above but exclude the ones where both
270
    adolescents and parents report SDQs in normal range (agreement
    higher?)
271
    * eTable 3
272
    * comparing perceived needs of adolescents and parents
273
    * overall
274
    svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & totdiff_adoORpar == 1):
275
    tab pneedby pneedb, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
276
    if e(c) < e(r)
277
    local denom = e(c)-1
278
    }
279
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
280
281
    * counselling
282
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & totdiff adoORpar == 1):
283
    tab pneed counsy pneed couns, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
284
    if e(c) < e(r)
285
    local denom = e(c)-1
286
287
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun_Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
288
289
    * medication
290
    svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & totdiff_adoORpar == 1):
291
    tab pneed medsy pneed meds, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
292
    if e(c) < e(r)
293
    local denom = e(c)-1
294
295
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
296
297
298
    * information
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & totdiff adoORpar == 1):
299
    tab pneed_infoy pneed_info, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
300
    if e(c) < e(r)
301
    local denom = e(c)-1
302
```

```
303
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
304
305
    * skill training
306
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & totdiff adoORpar == 1):
307
    tab pneed_skillsy pneed_skills, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
308
    if e(c) < e(r)
309
    local denom = e(c)-1
310
    }
311
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
312
313
    * for right two columns in new eTable 3 (by 13 March 2019) see
314
    at the end of this file
315
    * *****
316
317
    * eTable 5
    * kappa correlation - agreement
318
    * total agreement (four categories: unmet, partially met, fully
319
    met, no need)
    preserve
320
        keep if adolescents_comp == 1 & totdiff_adoORpar == 1
321
                 pneedby pneedb, tab
322
        kap
        kap
                 pneed_counsy pneed_couns, tab
323
                 pneed_medsy pneed_meds, tab
        kap
324
                 pneed infoy pneed info, tab
325
        kap
                 pneed_skillsy pneed_skills, tab
        kap
326
    restore
327
328
    * comparing and kappa agreement of any need excluding no need
329
    * overall need
330
    preserve
331
        keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO overall == 0 &
332
    totdiff adoORpar == 1
                 pneedby pneedb, tab
        kap
333
334
    restore
335
    * need for counselling
336
337
    preserve
        keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO counselling == 0 &
338
    totdiff adoORpar == 1
                 pneed_counsy pneed_couns, tab
        kap
339
    restore
340
341
    * need for medication
342
343
    preserve
        keep if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_N0_medication == 0 &
344
    totdiff_adoORpar == 1
                 pneed_medsy pneed_meds, tab
        kap
345
    restore
346
347
    * need for information
348
```

```
349
   preserve
       keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO info == 0 &
350
   totdiff adoORpar == 1
             pneed infoy pneed info, tab
351
      kap
   restore
352
353
   * need for skill training
354
   preserve
355
       keep if adolescents comp == 1 & agree NO skill == 0 &
356
   totdiff adoORpar == 1
             pneed skillsy pneed skills, tab
357
       kap
   restore
358
359
360
   361
   * eTable 1 classification of patterns of agreement (N/n per
362
   category, without svy just unweighted numbers)
   preserve
363
      keep if adolescents comp == 1
364
             agree need outcome, m
365
      tab
366
   restore
367
   368
   369
   * eTable 4 cell frequencies of agreement on overall perceived
370
   need (cross-tab)
   preserve
371
      keep if adolescents comp == 1
372
      tab
             pneedby pneedb, m
373
             pneed counsy pneed couns, m
      tab
374
             pneed_medsy pneed_meds, m
      tab
375
      tab
             pneed_infoy pneed_info, m
376
      tab
             pneed skillsy pneed skills, m
377
   restore
378
379
380
   381
   * there will be five options of outcome:
382
   * 1 -
          four groups of agreement: agree on fully met (reference
383
   category); agree on either partially met or unmet; ado need >
   parent; parent need > ado
          three groups of agreement: agree on either fully met,
   * 2 -
384
   partially met or unmet; ado need > parent; parent need > ado
          two groups of agreement: agree on either fully met,
   * 3 -
385
   partially met or unmet; disagreement
          three groups of agreement: agree on fully met (reference
   * 4 -
386
   category); agree on partially met or unmet; disagree
          two groups of agreement: agree on fully met need
   * 5 -
387
   (reference group, received what was needed); not received what
   was needed (incl.
388
          agree on partially met or unmet, and disagreement)
   *
   389
```

```
390
    391
392
    * Option 1 (decision on 18 December 2018: we go with the first
393
    option)
394
395
    * *****
    * *****
396
397
    * four category outcome (agree need outcome) --> refernce
    category: agree on fully met need
    * first: simple multinomial logistic regressions
398
    * second: fully adjusted model
399
400
    * Table 3 & eTable 6
401
402
    * ****
403
    * first
404
    * unadjusted: socio, family and clinical characteristics (ado or
405
    parent identified a problem)
    * additionally according to reviewer 1 comment (split up
406
    probable disorder into internalising and externalising) (eTable 7)
    foreach unadjusted in
                          i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i.Remoteness_dich ib1.
407
    family type dich i.par education i.par psychopathology ///
                           i.fadbi i.totdiff_adoORpar i.
408
    externalising_adoORpar i.internalising_adoORpar i.par_feelings {
        svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome !=
409
    4): mlogit agree_need_outcome `unadjusted', base(0) rrr
        ł
410
411
    * ****
412
    * second
413
    * fully adjusted (all socio, family and clinical variables in)
414
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome != 4):
415
    111
    mlogit agree need outcome
                              i.sex i.IRSAD dich i.Remoteness dich
416
    ib1.family_type_dich i.par_education i.par_psychopathology ///
                              i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar i.
417
    par feelings, base(0) rrr
    mlogitgof, table
418
    /* if mlogitgof is specified after this version of subgroup
419
    specification, it does not take subgroup into account
    but computes it on basis of hole sample. Use estimates from
420
    subpop() command and mlogitgof from version bellow. SE slightly
    differs */
421
    * use: other option to specify subpopulation
422
423
    svv:
           mlogit agree need outcome
                                    i.sex i.IRSAD dich i.
    Remoteness_dich ib1.family_type_dich i.par_education i.
    par_psychopathology ///
                                      i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar i.
424
    par feelings ///
           if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_need_outcome != 4, base(
425
```

0) rrr

- 426 mlogitgof, table
- 427 /* indicates lack of model fit (p=0.009)
- 428 Clyde Schechter says this on https://www.statalist.org/forums/forum/general-stata-discussion/ge neral/389818-goodness-of-fit-test-for-logistic-regression-on-surve y-data:
- 429 "The problem with the Hosmer-Lemeshow test is precisely that it is a goodness of fit test,
- 430 and goodness of fit is really only minimally relevant for most practical purposes. The logistic model is almost always a mismatch to a
- 431 real-life data generating process. If you have a sufficiently large sample, that misfit will be detected, even if the model is doing a pretty
- 432 good job of matching predicted to observed probabilities. If you have a sufficiently small sample (it looks like you have around 80 observations),
- 433 when you divide them into deciles, as H-L does, you will have, optimally (if there are no ties), 8 in each group--so your power to detect even
- 434 substantive deviations between predicted and observed is going to be fairly low, and almost any model will pass muster on the p < 0.05 criterion."</p>
- 435 --> use the -,table- to see whether option the model's predicted probabilities are close to observed probabilities and yes they look quite good.
- 436 */
- 437
- 438 * discrete change: how do Predicted Probabilites change as IV changes (e.g. from 0 to 1)
- 439 * dydx after margins computes difference change between e.g. 0
 and 1
- 440 margins /* reports predicted value of the DV for each observation. I.e. disagreement cat. are quite well predicted (ca. 40%) and agreement ones not (ca. 10%) */
- 441 margins totdiff_adoORpar sex family_type_dich fadbi /* predicted probabilities for significant variables on outcome holding rest constant */
- 442 margins, dydx(totdiff_adoORpar sex family_type_dich fadbi) /*
 average marginal effect if categories change holding rest
 constant */
- 443 marginsplot
- 444 coefplot, drop(_cons) xline(0) keep(*:)
- 445

446 * ****

447 * second part deux: with reviewer's 1 variable

```
448 * fully adjusted (all socio, family and clinical variables in)
449 svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_need_outcome != 4):
```

- ///
- 450 mlogit agree_need_outcome i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i.Remoteness_dich ib1.family_type_dich i.par_education i.par_psychopathology ///

451 i.fadbi i.externalising adoORpar i. internalising adoORpar i.par feelings, base(0) rrr * use: other option to specify subpopulation 452 mlogit agree need outcome i.sex i.IRSAD dich i. svv: 453 Remoteness dich ib1.family type dich i.par education i. par psychopathology /// i.fadbi i. 454 externalising adoORpar i.internalising adoORpar i.par feelings /// if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome != 4, base(455 0) rrr mlogitgof, table 456 457 * ***** 458 * sensitivity analysis 1: take variable 'parental knowledge of 459 adolescents' feelings' from model and see what changes svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome != 4): 460 /// mlogit agree_need_outcome i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i.Remoteness_dich 461 ib1.family type dich i.par education i.par psychopathology /// i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar, base(0) 462 rrr 463 mlogit agree_need_outcome i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i. svv: 464 Remoteness_dich ib1.family_type_dich i.par_education i. par_psychopathology /// i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar /// 465 if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome != 4, base(466 0) rrr mlogitgof, table 467 coefplot, drop(cons) xline(0) keep(*:) 468 469 470 * **** * sensitivity analysis 2: same model subsample with a mental 471 health problem (n=648) (eTable 8) * first: unadjusted 472 foreach unadjusted in 473 i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i.Remoteness_dich ib1. family_type_dich i.par_education i.par_psychopathology /// i.fadbi i.par_feelings { 474 svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_need_outcome != 475 4 & totdiff adoORpar == 1): mlogit agree need outcome `unadjusted', base(0) rrr } 476 477 * second: fully adjusted 478 svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome != 4 &479 totdiff_adoORpar == 1): /// 480 mlogit agree need outcome i.sex i.IRSAD dich i.Remoteness dich ib1.family type dich i.par education i.par psychopathology /// i.fadbi i.par_feelings, base(0) rrr 481 482 mlogit agree_need_outcome i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i. 483 svv: Remoteness_dich ib1.family_type_dich i.par_education ///

```
i.par psychopathology i.fadbi
484
     i.par_feelings ///
           if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_need_outcome != 4 &
485
    totdiff adoORpar == 1, base(0) rrr
    mlogitgof, table
486
487
488
    /* Option 2
489
490
491
    * ******
    * *****
492
    * three category outcome (agree need outcome tri) --> reference
493
    category: agree on fully met, partially met or unmet need
    * first: simple multinomial logistic regressions
494
    * second: fully adjusted model
495
    * third: only those categories that were sign in simple logistic
496
    regression
497
    * ****
498
    * first
499
    * unadjusted: socio, family and clinical characteristics (ado or
500
    parent identified a problem)
    foreach unadjusted in
                          i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i.Remoteness_dich
501
    i.family_type_dich i.par_education i.par_psychopathology ///
                          i.fadbi i.totdiff_adoORpar
502
    i.par feelings {
        svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
503
    agree_need_outcome_tri !=3): mlogit agree_need_outcome_tri
    `unadjusted', base(0) rrr
       }
504
505
    * ******
506
507
    * second
           mlogit agree need outcome tri i.sex i.IRSAD dich
    svy:
508
    i.Remoteness_dich i.family_type_dich i.par_education
    i.par_psychopathology ///
                                         i.fadbi
509
    i.totdiff adoORpar i.par feelings ///
           if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_need_outcome_tri != 3,
510
    base(0) rrr
    mlogitgof, table /* indicates ok model fit (p=0.145) */
511
512
513
    * Option 3
514
515
516
    * ******
517
    * ******
    * binary outcome agree/disagree on perceived need
518
    (agree_need_outcome_dich)
    * Logistic Regression
519
    * pseudo R2 not reported because of cluster (pseudo R2 is
520
    computed using log likelihoods and they assume that cases are
```

```
independent of each other)
521
522
    * *******
523
    * first
    * unadjusted: socio, family and clinical characteristics (ado or
524
    parent identified a problem)
    foreach unadjusted in
                            i.sex i.IRSAD dich i.Remoteness dich
525
    i.family type dich i.par education i.par psychopathology ///
                             i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar
526
    i.par feelings {
        svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
527
    agree need outcome dich != 2): logistic agree need outcome dich
    `unadjusted'
        }
528
529
530
    * *****
    * second
531
    * fully adjusted model based on earlier studies (all socio,
532
    family and clinical variables in)
    svy: logistic
                    agree need outcome dich i.sex i.IRSAD dich
533
    i.Remoteness_dich i.family_type_dich i.par_education
    i.par_psychopathology ///
                     i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar i.par feelings ///
534
                    if adolescents_comp == 1 &
535
    agree_need_outcome_dich != 2
    estat gof /* that works, model fit ok */
536
    mlogitgof, table /* should be same as estat gof as logistic
537
    regression but not same result?! */
    linktest /* if linktest not significant, there should not be a
538
    specification error.
    * See:
539
    https://stats.idre.ucla.edu/stata/webbooks/logistic/chapter3/lesso
    n-3-logistic-regression-diagnostics-2/ */
540
    * predicted probabilities (only for sign. variables)
541
542
    margins
    margins totdiff adoORpar sex par education
543
    margins, dydx(totdiff_adoORpar sex par_education) /* we expect
544
    that on average the probability of disagreement is 11% lower
    among those with a mh problem */
    marginsplot, noci
545
    margins, dydx(totdiff adoORpar) at(sex=(0 1)) /* tests if
546
    margins for mh problems differ by sex (not really) */
    margins, dydx(totdiff adoORpar) at(par education=(0 1)) /* tests
547
    if margins for mh problems differ by parental education (not
    really) */
548
    coefplot, drop( cons) xline(0) keep(*:)
549
550
    * ******
551
    * ********
552
    * test assumptions for logistic regression
    * 1: independence of observation --> ok
553
```

```
* 2: data must not show multicollinearity --> only problematic
554
    when main iv is highly correlated with a control variable
    (problem for interpretation)
    preserve
555
        keep if agree need outcome dich !=2
556
        regress agree need outcome dich i.sex i.Remoteness dich
557
    i.family_type_dich i.par_psychopathology ///
            i.par education i.IRSAD dich i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar
558
    /* estat vif only works after regress not logit*/
        estat vif /* checks for multicollinearity betwenn dv --> no
559
    multicoll because VIF always only bit above 1.0 */
    * 3: specification problem? see above linktest --> ok
560
    * 4: linear relation between continuous iv and logit
561
    transformation of dv with boxtid (we don't have continous ivs...)
    restore
562
563
564
    565
    * Option 4
566
567
568
    * *******
569
    * ******
    * three category outcome (agree need outcome tri) --> reference
570
    category: agree on fully met, partially met or unmet need
    * first: simple multinomial logistic regressions
571
    * second: fully adjusted model
572
    * third: only those categories that were sign in simple logistic
573
    regression
574
    * ****
575
    * first
576
    * unadjusted: socio, family and clinical characteristics (ado or
577
    parent identified a problem)
    foreach unadjusted in
                           i.sex i.IRSAD dich i.Remoteness dich
578
    i.family type dich i.par education i.par psychopathology ///
579
                           i.fadbi i.totdiff_adoORpar
    i.par feelings {
        svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 &
580
    agree_need_outcome_tri2 !=3): mlogit agree need outcome tri2
    `unadjusted', base(0) rrr
        }
581
582
    * ******
583
    * second: fully adjusted (analysis for estimates)
584
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome tri2
585
    != 3): ///
    mlogit agree_need_outcome_tri2 i.sex i.IRSAD dich
586
    i.Remoteness dich ib1.family type dich i.par education
    i.par psychopathology ///
                                i.fadbi i.totdiff adoORpar
587
    i.par feelings, base(0) rrr
588
```

```
* other specification of model for gof
589
            mlogit agree need outcome tri2 i.sex i.IRSAD dich
590
    SVV:
    i.Remoteness_dich i.family_type_dich i.par_education
    i.par psychopathology ///
                                           i.fadbi
591
    i.totdiff adoORpar i.par feelings ///
            if adolescents comp == 1 & agree need outcome tri2 != 3,
592
    base(0) rrr
    mlogitgof, table /* indicates ok model fit (p=0.583) */
593
594
595
    * Option 5
596
597
598
    * ********
599
    * ******
    * binary outcome agree/disagree on perceived need
600
    (agree need outcome dich)
    * Logistic Regression
601
    * pseudo R2 not reported because of cluster (pseudo R2 is
602
    computed using log likelihoods and they assume that cases are
    independent of each other)
603
    * ****
604
    * first
605
    * unadjusted: socio, family and clinical characteristics (ado or
606
    parent identified a problem)
    foreach unadjusted in
                           i.sex i.IRSAD_dich i.Remoteness_dich
607
    i.family_type_dich i.par_education i.par_psychopathology ///
                           i.fadbi i.totdiff_adoORpar
608
    i.par feelings {
        svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
609
    agree need outcome dich2 != 2): logistic
    agree need outcome dich2 `unadjusted'
        }
610
611
612
    * ******
    * second
613
    * fully adjusted model (for estimates)
614
    svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_need_outcome_dich2
615
    != 2): logistic agree need outcome dich2 ///
                    i.sex i.IRSAD dich i.Remoteness dich
616
    i.family_type_dich i.par_education i.par_psychopathology ///
                    i.fadbi i.totdiff_adoORpar i.par_feelings
617
618
    * for gof
619
    svy: logistic
                    agree_need_outcome_dich2 i.sex i.IRSAD_dich
620
    i.Remoteness dich i.family type dich i.par education
    i.par psychopathology ///
                    i.fadbi i.totdiff_adoORpar i.par_feelings ///
621
                    if adolescents_comp == 1 &
622
    agree_need_outcome_dich2 != 2
    estat gof */
623
```

```
624
625
    626
    * eTable 9
627
    * Any barriers to care separately for adolescents and parents
628
    (see also plot in R, Figure)
    preserve
629
        keep if adolescents comp == 1
630
        tab agree need barrier, m
631
    restore
632
633
    * total subsample where either adolescent or parent identified
634
    fully or partially unmet need
    foreach barrier0 in AnyAttitAdo AnyStructAdo AnyAttitPar
635
    AnyStructPar {
               svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab `barrier0',
636
    percent cell se
        }
637
638
    * adolescents and parents have fully or partially unmet need
639
    (agree_need_barrier == 1)
    foreach barrier1 in AnyAttitAdo AnyStructAdo AnyAttitPar
640
    AnyStructPar {
                svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
641
    agree_need_barrier == 1): tab `barrier1', percent cell se
        }
642
643
        * cross-tab for this sub-sample (ado vs. parent)
644
        svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & agree_need_barrier ==
645
    1): tab AnyAttitAdo AnyAttitPar, percent cell pearson se
        local denom = e(r)-1
646
647
        if e(c) < e(r)
        local denom = e(c)-1
648
        }
649
        di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
650
651
652
653
    * adolescents only have fully or partially unmet need
654
    (agree need barrier == 2)
    foreach barrier2 in AnyAttitAdo AnyStructAdo {
655
                svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
656
    agree_need_barrier == 2): tab `barrier2', percent cell se
        }
657
658
    * parents only have fully or partially unmet need
659
    (agree need barrier == 3)
    foreach barrier3 in AnyAttitPar AnyStructPar {
660
                svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
661
    agree_need_barrier == 3): tab `barrier3', percent cell se
662
        }
663
```

```
* *******
664
    * eTable 9 continued
665
    * Single barriers to care
666
667
    * adolescent identified unmet or partially met need
668
    foreach barrier1 in
                            ado stigma ado selfrel ado unsneed
669
    ado_unswhere ado_bettself ado_getserv ado_cost ado_appoint
    ado school {
                svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): tab `barrier1',
670
    percent cell se
        }
671
672
    * parent identified unmet or partially met need
673
    foreach barrier1 in par stigma par selfrel par unsneed
674
    par_unswhere par_bettself par_refuse par_getserv par_cost
    par appoint {
                svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab `barrier1',
675
    percent cell se
        }
676
677
    * adolescents and parents have fully or partially unmet need
678
    (agree need barrier == 1)
    foreach barrier1 in par stigma par selfrel par unsneed
679
    par_unswhere par_bettself par_refuse par_getserv par_cost
    par_appoint ///
                            ado stigma ado selfrel ado unsneed
680
    ado_unswhere ado_bettself ado_getserv ado_cost ado_appoint
    ado school {
                svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
681
    agree need barrier == 1): tab `barrier1', percent cell se
        }
682
683
    * adolescents only have fully or partially unmet need
684
    (agree need barrier == 2)
    foreach barrier2 in ado stigma ado selfrel ado unsneed
685
    ado_unswhere ado_bettself ado_getserv ado_cost ado_appoint
    ado school {
                svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 &
686
    agree_need_barrier == 2): tab `barrier2', percent cell se
        }
687
688
    * parents only have fully or partially unmet need
689
    (agree need barrier == 3)
    foreach barrier3 in par stigma par selfrel par unsneed
690
    par unswhere par bettself par refuse par getserv par cost
    par appoint {
691
                svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 &
    agree need barrier == 3): tab `barrier3', percent cell se
        }
692
693
694
    * *****
    * adolescent-parent agreement on barriers among the subsample
695
```

```
where both report an either unmet or partially met need
    preserve
696
        keep if adolescents comp == 1 \& agree need barrier == 1
697
        kap AnyAttitAdo AnyAttitPar, tab
698
        kap AnyStructAdo AnyStructPar, tab
699
700
    restore
701
    702
703
    * comment Michael regarding that 1/3 of either ados or parents
704
    report a perceived need for care and this likely relating to
    different individuals
    * how frequent is any need, partially met need etc. if
705
    adolescents or parents report it?
    * Table 1 addition
706
707
708
    * *****
    * any type of help
709
           pneed adoORpar = .
710
    aen
    replace pneed adoORpar = 0 if agree need outcome == 4
711
    replace pneed_adoORpar = 1 if agree_need_outcome == 0 |
712
    agree_need_outcome == 1 | agree_need_outcome == 2 |
    agree need outcome == 3
    la de
           PNadoORpar 0 "ado and parent no perceived need" 1 "ado
713
    or parent any perceived need"
    la val pneed adoORpar PNadoORpar
714
           pneed adoORpar "Either adolescent or parent perceive a
715
    la var
    need for any type of care"
    tab
           pneed adoORpar, m
716
    * missings = ados that did not respond to self-report
717
           pneed adoORpar
    tab
718
719
    * % of total population where either ado or parent reported a
720
    need for any type of care
    svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): tab pneed_adoORpar, percent cell se
721
722
    * how many % of adolescents or parents have fully met, partially
723
    met, unmet need among those where either one of them has a PN
    for any type of care
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & pneed adoORpar == 1): tab
724
    pneedby pneedb, percent cell pearson se
    * Cramer's V:
725
    local denom = e(r)-1
726
    if e(c) < e(r)
727
    local denom = e(c)-1
728
    }
729
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
730
731
    * ******
732
    * counselling
733
734
    aen
           pneedcouns adoORoar = .
    replace pneedcouns_adoORoar = 0 if pneed_couns == 4 &
735
```

```
pneed counsy == 4
    replace pneedcouns adoORoar = 1 if pneed couns == 1 &
736
    pneed_counsy == 1 | ///
                                         pneed couns == 2 \&
737
    pneed counsy == 2 | pneed couns == 3 & pneed counsy == 3 | ///
                                         pneed counsy == 3 \&
738
    pneed_couns == 2 | pneed_counsy == 3 & pneed_couns == 1 |
    pneed counsy == 3 & pneed couns == 4 | ///
                                         pneed counsy == 2 \&
739
    pneed couns == 1 | pneed counsy == 2 & pneed couns == 4 | ///
                                         pneed counsy == 1 \&
740
    pneed couns == 4 \mid ///
                                         pneed couns == 3 \&
741
    pneed counsy == 2 | pneed couns == 3 & pneed counsy == 1 |
    pneed_couns == 3 & pneed_counsy == 4 | ///
                                         pneed couns == 2 \&
742
    pneed counsy == 1 | pneed couns == 2 & pneed counsy == 4 | ///
                                         pneed couns == 1 \&
743
    pneed_counsy == 4
    la val pneedcouns adoORoar PNadoORpar
744
    la var pneedcouns_adoORoar "Either adolescent or parent
745
    perceive a need for counselling"
            pneedcouns adoORoar, m
    tab
746
747
    * % of total population where either ado or parent reported a
748
    need for counselling
    svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): tab pneedcouns_adoORoar, percent
749
    cell se
750
    * how many % of adolescents or parents have fully met, partially
751
    met, unmet need among those where either one of them has a PN
    for counselling
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & pneedcouns adoORoar == 1):
752
     tab pneed_counsy pneed_couns, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
753
    if e(c) < e(r)
754
    local denom = e(c)-1
755
756
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
757
758
759
    * *******
    * medication
760
            pneedmeds_adoORoar = .
761
    gen
    replace pneedmeds adoORoar = 0 if
                                         pneed meds == 4 \& pneed medsy
762
     == 4
    replace pneedmeds_adoORoar = 1 if
                                         pneed_meds == 1 & pneed_medsy
763
     == 1 | ///
                                         pneed meds == 2 \& pneed medsy
764
     == 2 | pneed_meds == 3 & pneed_medsy == 3 | ///
                                         pneed_medsy == 3 & pneed_meds
765
     == 2 | pneed medsy == 3 & pneed meds == 1 | pneed medsy == 3 &
    pneed meds == 4 \mid ///
```

pneed medsy == 2 & pneed meds 766 == 1 | pneed_medsy == 2 & pneed meds == 4 | ///pneed_medsy == 1 & pneed_meds 767 == 4 | /// pneed meds == 3 & pneed medsy768 == 2 | pneed meds == 3 & pneed medsy == 1 | pneed meds == 3 & pneed medsy == $4 \mid ///$ pneed meds == 2 & pneed medsy769 $== 1 \mid \text{pneed meds} == 2 \& \text{pneed medsy} == 4 \mid ///$ pneed meds == 1 & pneed medsy770 == 4 la val pneedmeds adoORoar PNadoORpar 771 la var pneedmeds adoORoar "Either adolescent or parent perceive 772 a need for medication" tab pneedmeds_adoORoar, m 773 774 * % of total population where either ado or parent reported a 775 need for medication svy, subpop(adolescents comp): tab pneedmeds adoORoar, percent 776 cell se 777 * how many % of adolescents or parents have fully met, partially 778 met, unmet need among those where either one of them has a PN for medication svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & pneedmeds_adoORoar == 1): 779 tab pneed_medsy pneed_meds, percent cell pearson se local denom = e(r)-1780 if e(c) < e(r)781 local denom = e(c)-1782 } 783 di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom')) 784 785 * ******** 786 * information 787 pneedinfo_adoORoar = . 788 gen 789 replace pneedinfo_adoORoar = 0 if pneed_info == 4 & pneed_infoy == 4 replace pneedinfo adoORoar = 1 if pneed info == 1 & pneed infoy 790 == 1 | /// pneed info == 2 & pneed infoy791 == 2 | pneed info == 3 & pneed infoy == 3 | ///pneed infoy == 3 & pneed info 792 == 2 | pneed_infoy == 3 & pneed_info == 1 | pneed_infoy == 3 & pneed info == $4 \mid ///$ pneed infoy == 2 & pneed info 793 == 1 | pneed_infoy == 2 & pneed_info == 4 | /// 794 pneed infoy == 1 & pneed info == 4 | /// pneed_info == 3 & pneed_infoy 795 == 2 | pneed_info == 3 & pneed_infoy == 1 | pneed info == 3 & pneed infoy == 4 | /// pneed_info == 2 & pneed_infoy 796

 $== 1 \mid \text{pneed info} == 2 \& \text{pneed infoy} == 4 \mid ///$ 797 pneed info == 1 & pneed infov == 4 pneedinfo adoORoar PNadoORpar la val 798 la var pneedinfo adoORoar "Either adolescent or parent perceive 799 a need for information" pneedinfo adoORoar, m tab 800 801 * % of total population where either ado or parent reported a 802 need for information svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): tab pneedinfo_adoORoar, percent 803 cell se 804 * how many % of adolescents or parents have fully met, partially 805 met, unmet need among those where either one of them has a PN for information svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & pneedinfo adoORoar == 1): 806 tab pneed_infoy pneed_info, percent cell pearson se local denom = e(r)-1807 if e(c) < e(r)808 local denom = e(c)-1809 } 810 di "Cramer's V: " sgrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom')) 811 812 813 * ************* * skill training 814 pneedskills_adoORoar = . 815 aen replace pneedskills_adoORoar = 0 if pneed_skills == 4 & 816 pneed skillsy == 4replace pneedskills adoORoar = 1 if pneed skills == 1 & 817 pneed skillsy == $1 \mid ///$ pneed skills == 2 &818 pneed skillsy == 2 | pneed skills == 3 & pneed skillsy == 3 | /// pneed skillsy == 3 &819 pneed_skills == 2 | pneed_skillsy == 3 & pneed_skills == 1 | pneed_skillsy == 3 & pneed_skills == 4 | /// pneed skillsy == 2 &820 pneed skills == 1 | pneed skillsy == 2 & pneed skills == 4 | /// pneed_skillsy == 1 & 821 pneed skills == 4 | /// pneed skills == 3 &822 pneed_skillsy == 2 | pneed_skills == 3 & pneed_skillsy == 1 | pneed_skills == 3 & pneed_skillsy == 4 | /// pneed skills == 2 &823 pneed skillsy == 1 | pneed_skills == 2 & pneed_skillsy == 4 | /// pneed skills == 1 &824 pneed skillsy == 4la val pneedskills adoORoar PNadoORpar 825 la var pneedskills adoORoar "Either adolescent or parent 826 perceive a need for skill training" pneedskills adoORoar, m 827 tab 828

```
* % of total population where either ado or parent reported a
829
    need for skill training
    svy, subpop(adolescents_comp): tab pneedskills_adoORoar, percent
830
    cell se
831
    * how many % of adolescents or parents have fully met, partially
832
    met, unmet need among those where either one of them has a PN
    for skill training
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & pneedskills adoORoar == 1
833
    ): tab pneed skillsy pneed skills, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
834
    if e(c) < e(r)
835
    local denom = e(c)-1
836
837
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun_Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
838
839
840
    * ***********
841
    * **********
    * eTable 3 addition
842
    * comparing perceived needs of adolescents and parents
843
844
    * overall
    svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & totdiff_adoORpar == 1 &
845
    pneed adoORpar == 1): tab pneedby pneedb, percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
846
    if e(c) < e(r)
847
    local denom = e(c)-1
848
    }
849
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun_Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
850
851
852
    * counselling
    svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 & totdiff_adoORpar == 1 &
853
    pneedcouns adoORoar == 1): tab pneed counsy pneed couns, percent
    cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
854
    if e(c) < e(r)
855
856
    local denom = e(c)-1
    }
857
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
858
859
    * medication
860
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & totdiff adoORpar == 1 &
861
    pneedmeds adoORoar == 1): tab pneed medsy pneed meds, percent
    cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
862
    if e(c) < e(r)
863
    local denom = e(c)-1
864
865
    }
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
866
867
    * information
868
869
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & totdiff adoORpar == 1 &
    pneedinfo_adoORoar == 1): tab pneed_infoy pneed_info, percent
```

```
cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
870
    if e(c) < e(r)
871
    local denom = e(c)-1
872
    }
873
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
874
875
    * skill training
876
    svy, subpop(if adolescents comp == 1 & totdiff adoORpar == 1 &
877
    pneedskills adoORoar == 1): tab pneed skillsy pneed skills,
    percent cell pearson se
    local denom = e(r)-1
878
    if e(c) < e(r)
879
    local denom = e(c)-1
880
    }
881
    di "Cramer's V: " sqrt(e(cun Pear)/(e(N)*`denom'))
882
883
    * % of total sample with probable disorder where either ado aor
884
    parent reported a need for any and each type of help
    foreach oftotal in
                           pneed adoORpar pneedcouns adoORoar
885
    pneedmeds_adoORoar pneedinfo_adoORoar pneedskills_adoORoar {
                           svy, subpop(if adolescents_comp == 1 &
886
    totdiff adoORpar == 1): tab `oftotal', percent cell se
    }
887
888
    889
    890
    * Reviewer 2 Cronbach's alpha for SDQ (total difficulties) (from
891
    scale scores)
    use
            Data/YMM2/cleaned merged/YouthParents merged, clear
892
893
894
    * parents
895
    preserve
        keep if Age >= 13
896
               ppeer phyper pemotion pconduct, std item
897
        alpha
    restore
898
899
    * adolescents
900
    alpha
           Ypeer Yhyper Yemotion Yconduct, std item
901
902
    * Cronbach's alpha for SDQ (probable internalising or
903
    externalising disorder)
    * parents internalising
904
    preserve
905
        keep if Age >= 13
906
                ppeer pemotion, std item
        alpha
907
908
    restore
909
    * parents externalising
910
    preserve
911
912
        keep if Age >= 13
        alpha
                phyper pconduct, std item
913
```

```
restore
914
915
     * adolescents internalising
916
             Ypeer Yemotion, std item
     alpha
917
918
     * adolescents externalising
919
             Yhyper Yconduct, std item
     alpha
920
921
922
     * *******
     * Cronbach's alpha for K10 (parents) and for McMaster Family
923
     Functioning Scale
             Data/YMM2/OriginalData/parents
924
     use
925
926
     preserve
         keep if Age >= 13
927
         alpha
                  PFI1-PFI10, std item
928
                  PFI22B-PFI22L, std item
929
         alpha
     restore
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
```

Survey Kappa

Specify comlex survey design and subpopulation

Stata's svy command does not support kappa statistics. R has this option in the package 'survey'.

Survey estimates

We compared the survey estimates of Stata (command svy: tab) with those of R (command svytable).

Note that the `echo = FALSE` parameter in code chunk above would prevent printing of the R code that
(tbl_PNO <- svytable(~pneedb+pneedby, YMM_surv_ado)) # returns population count, not proportions</pre>

##	I	oneedby				
##	pneedb	Fully met need	Partially met need	Unmet need		
##	Fully met need	76638.066	33545.025	16836.717		
##	Partially met need	37166.779	39026.063	7707.256		
##	Unmet need	12917.666	8916.073	14117.845		
##	No need	113796.348	48683.640	59421.803		
##	I	oneedby				
##	pneedb	No need				
##	Fully met need	78417.113				
##	Partially met need	44260.377				
##	Unmet need	72181.154				
##	No need	759581.630				
plot(tbl PNO) # funny plot						

tbl_PN0



pneedb

svychisq(~pneedb+pneedby, YMM_surv_ado) # chi-square with F statistic: same results as in stata

```
##
## Pearson's X^2: Rao & Scott adjustment
##
## data: svychisq(~pneedb + pneedby, YMM_surv_ado)
## F = 37.801, ndf = 8.8023, ddf = 4709.2321, p-value < 2.2e-16
prop <- svymean(~pneedb, YMM_surv_ado) # gives proportion for each category of variable pneedb
prop</pre>
```

```
## mean SE
## pneedbFully met need 0.144347 0.0081
## pneedbPartially met need 0.090050 0.0064
## pneedbUnmet need 0.075978 0.0067
## pneedbNo need 0.689625 0.0111
```

Survey kappa

How does survey kappa estimated in R compare with kappa estimated in stat?

```
kapOvPN <-
svykappa(~pneedb+pneedby, YMM_surv_ado)
kapOvPN # leads to the same estimate as just using 'normal' kappa. SE 0.02 (rounded) bit different.
## nlcon SE
## kappa 0.24928 0.0178
# kappa for overall perceived need (PN) excluding those with agreement on no need ####
YMM_surv_ado_excl <- subset(YMM_surv_ado, agree_NO_overall == "no")
svytable(~agree_NO_overall, YMM_surv_ado_excl)
## agree_NO_overall
## no yes</pre>
```

```
## 663631.9
                 0.0
svytable(~pneedb+pneedby, YMM_surv_ado_excl)
##
                       pneedby
                        Fully met need Partially met need Unmet need
## pneedb
##
     Fully met need
                             76638.066
                                                33545.025 16836.717
##
     Partially met need
                             37166.779
                                                39026.063
                                                            7707.256
     Unmet need
##
                             12917.666
                                                 8916.073 14117.845
##
     No need
                                                48683.640 59421.803
                            113796.348
##
                       pneedby
## pneedb
                           No need
##
    Fully met need
                         78417.113
##
    Partially met need 44260.377
     Unmet need
                         72181.154
##
##
    No need
                             0.000
kapOvPNex <-
  svykappa(~pneedb+pneedby, YMM_surv_ado_excl)
kapOvPNex # leads to same estimate and SE as using 'normal' kappa.
##
            nlcon
                      SE
```

kappa -0.10551 0.0192

Survey kappa in R leads to same estimates and SE as kappa estimated in stata without survey prefix.

R script for graphs

Perceived Need

Plot for overall perceived need for care (any type of care) and for perceived need for four different types of care (information, medication, counselling, skill-training)

Same plot as above but only including subsample of adolescents with a mental health problem.

Agreement on overall perceived need

Circos https://jokergoo.github.io/circlize_book/book/the-chorddiagram-function.html to plot agreement and disagreement of adolescents and parents on overall perceived need (for any type of care).

Subsetting and preparation

```
library(circlize)
library(janitor) # used to clean names
```

Overall <- tab(YMM_pn, pneedb, pneedby)

##							
##	pr	needb	pne	eedby	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
##							
##	Fully met	need	Fully met	need	131	5.66	5.66
##	Fully met	need	Partially met	need	59	2.55	8.21
##	Fully met	need	Unmet	need	25	1.08	9.29
##	Fully met	need	No	need	125	5.40	14.69
##							
##	Partially met	need	Fully met	need	65	2.81	17.50
##	Partially met	need	Partially met	need	70	3.03	20.53
##	Partially met	need	Unmet	need	13	0.56	21.09
##	Partially met	need	No	need	63	2.72	23.81
##							
##	Unmet	need	Fully met	need	25	1.08	24.89
##	Unmet	need	Partially met	need	17	0.73	25.63
##	Unmet	need	Unmet	need	23	0.99	26.62
##	Unmet	need	No	need	113	4.88	31.50
##							
##	No	need	Fully met	need	197	8.51	40.02
##	No	need	Partially met	need	84	3.63	43.65
##	No	need	Unmet	need	112	4.84	48.49
##	No	need	No	need	1192	51.51	100.00
<pre>Overall <- as.data.frame(Overall[, c(1:3)])</pre>							

```
Overall_agg <- Overall %>%
    clean_names()
rm(Overall)
```

Circos Plot

total sample

```
# Values for adults & kids cannot be the same, otherwise we get rubbish :/
Overall_agg$overall_need_parents <- paste("P:", Overall_agg$overall_need_parents)
Overall_agg$overall_need_adolescents <- paste("A:", Overall_agg$overall_need_adolescents)</pre>
```

circos.clear() chordDiagram(Overall_agg)



```
need
                     100 200 300 400 500 600
                                         UNE BASE
              Noneed
                      600
                                  200
                                       Q.
                        500 400 300
# ... and to specify grid colours: same categories have same colours
grid.col = c("P: Fully met need" = "#7b3294", "P: Partially met need" = "#c2a5cf",
             "P: Unmet need" = "#80cdc1", "P: No need" = "#018571",
             "A: Fully met need" = "#7b3294", "A: Partially met need" = "#c2a5cf",
             "A: Unmet need" = "#80cdc1", "A: No need" = "#018571")
# ... link borders: add border/frame to agreement on each category
border_df = data.frame(c("P: Fully met need", "P: Partially met need", "P: Unmet need", "P: No need"),
                       c("A: Fully met need", "A: Partially met need", "A: Unmet need", "A: No need"),
                       c(1, 1, 1, 1))
# ... thickness of borders
lwd_df = data.frame(c("P: Fully met need", "P: Partially met need", "P: Unmet need", "P: No need"),
                    c("A: Fully met need", "A: Partially met need", "A: Unmet need", "A: No need"),
                    c(2, 2, 2, 2))
circos.clear()
chordOverall <- chordDiagram(Overall_agg,</pre>
                order = c("P: Fully met need", "P: Partially met need", "P: Unmet need", "P: No need",
                          "A: Fully met need", "A: Partially met need", "A: Unmet need", "A: No need"),
                grid.col = grid.col,
                transparency = 0.2,
                link.border = border_df,
                link.lwd = lwd df)
title("Overall Perceived Need")
```



Barriers to care

Next, we will have a look at the distribution of adolescents and parents barriers to care (first without then second with survey weighted including confidence intervals).

```
# work with very long data and facet_wrap ####
YMM_barrier <- select(YMM_ado, householdID, par_selfrel:ado_school)</pre>
names(YMM_barrier)
    [1] "householdID"
                        "par_selfrel"
                                       "par_unsneed"
                                                       "par_unswhere"
##
##
   [5] "par_bettself" "par_getserv"
                                       "par_cost"
                                                       "par_appoint"
##
  [9] "par_stigma"
                        "par_refuse"
                                        "ado_selfrel"
                                                       "ado_unsneed"
## [13] "ado_unswhere" "ado_bettself" "ado_getserv"
                                                       "ado_cost"
## [17] "ado_appoint"
                        "ado_stigma"
                                       "ado_school"
YMM_barrier[, c(2:19)] <-</pre>
  lapply(YMM_barrier[, c(2:19)], factor,
         labels = c("no", "yes"))
table(YMM_barrier$par_selfrel)
##
## no yes
## 284 119
#### first: long data sets for adolescents and parents separately ####
# parents
YMM_barrier_parents <- select(YMM_barrier, par_selfrel:par_refuse)</pre>
YMM_barrier_parents$par_school <- NA
YMM_barrier_parents <- plyr::rename(YMM_barrier_parents, c("par_selfrel" = "self-reliance (A)",
```

```
"par_unsneed" = "unsure if help needed (A)",
                                                      "par_unswhere" = "unsure where to get help (A)",
                                                      "par_bettself" = "problem will get better by itsel
                                                      "par_getserv" = "problem finding service that coul
                                                      "par_cost" = "couldn't afford it (S)",
                                                      "par_appoint" = "couldn't get appointment (S)",
                                                      "par_stigma" = "stigma (A)",
                                                      "par refuse" = "child refused",
                                                      "par_school" = "asked for help at school but didn'
YMM_barrier_long_parents <- YMM_barrier_parents %>%
  gather("self-reliance (A)", "unsure if help needed (A)",
         "unsure where to get help (A)", "problem will get better by itself (A)",
         "problem finding service that could help (S)", "couldn't afford it (S)",
         "couldn't get appointment (S)", "stigma (A)",
         "child refused", "asked for help at school but didn't get it (S)",
         key = "question", value = "noyes")
## Warning: attributes are not identical across measure variables;
## they will be dropped
YMM_barrier_long_parents$source <- "parents"</pre>
# adolescents
YMM_barrier_adolescents <- select(YMM_barrier, ado_selfrel:ado_school)</pre>
YMM_barrier_adolescents$ado_refuse <- NA
YMM_barrier_adolescents <- plyr::rename(YMM_barrier_adolescents, c("ado_selfrel" = "self-reliance (A)",
                                                              "ado_unsneed" = "unsure if help needed (A)
                                                              "ado unswhere" = "unsure where to get help
                                                              "ado_bettself" = "problem will get better "
                                                              "ado_getserv" = "problem finding service t
                                                              "ado_cost" = "couldn't afford it (S)",
                                                              "ado_appoint" = "couldn't get appointment
                                                              "ado_stigma" = "stigma (A)",
                                                              "ado_refuse" = "child refused",
                                                              "ado_school" = "asked for help at school b
YMM_barrier_long_adolescents <- YMM_barrier_adolescents %>%
  gather("self-reliance (A)", "unsure if help needed (A)",
         "unsure where to get help (A)", "problem will get better by itself (A)",
         "problem finding service that could help (S)", "couldn't afford it (S)",
         "couldn't get appointment (S)", "stigma (A)",
         "child refused", "asked for help at school but didn't get it (S)",
         key = "question", value = "noyes")
## Warning: attributes are not identical across measure variables;
## they will be dropped
YMM_barrier_long_adolescents$source <- "adolescents"</pre>
#### second: merge two long data sets into one very long ####
YMM_barrier_long <- rbind(YMM_barrier_long_parents, YMM_barrier_long_adolescents)</pre>
#### third: plot with facet_wrap ####
ggplot(na.omit(YMM_barrier_long), mapping = aes(x= source, fill = noyes)) +
```

```
5
```



Barriers to care of adolescents and parents

without numbers before labels (01_, 02_, 03_) order not as i want it to be #### solution
YMM_barrier_long\$question_f = factor(YMM_barrier_long\$question,

```
levels = c("stigma (A)", "self-reliance (A)",
    "unsure if help needed (A)","unsure where to get help (
```

```
"problem will get better by itself (A)",
```

```
"problem finding service that could help (S)", "couldn'
```

```
"couldn't get appointment (S)",
```

```
"child refused", "asked for help at school but didn't g
```

```
# plot with new order ####
ggplot(na.omit(YMM_barrier_long), mapping = aes(x= source, fill = noyes)) +
geom_bar(position = "fill") +
facet_wrap(~question_f, nrow = 5, ncol = 2) + coord_flip() + xlab("") + ylab("proportion") +
ggtitle("Barriers to care of adolescents and parents") +
scale_fill_manual("", values = c("#c2a5cf", "#7b3294")) +
theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_line(colour = "grey"), panel.background = element_blank(),
axis.line = element_line(colour = "grey"))
```



Barriers to care of adolescents and parents

plot with new order and grey bars for publication, no title, and percent instead of proportion
library(dplyr)

```
YMM_barrier_long_perc <- YMM_barrier_long %>%
group_by(source,question_f,noyes) %>%
summarise(count=n()) %>%
mutate(perc=count/sum(count))
```

```
brks <- c(0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1)
```



```
par_unswhere + par_bettself + par_getserv +
                      par_cost + par_appoint + par_refuse,
                      YMM_surv_ado, na.rm = TRUE)
barriers_CI_par <- confint(svymean(~par_stigma + par_selfrel + par_unsneed +</pre>
                      par_unswhere + par_bettself + par_getserv +
                      par_cost + par_appoint + par_refuse,
                      YMM_surv_ado, na.rm = TRUE)) # 95% confidence intervalls instead of SE
barriers_par <- cbind(barriers_par, barriers_CI_par)</pre>
barriers_par <- as_tibble(barriers_par)</pre>
barriers_par <- barriers_par[c(2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18), ] # chose only "yes" answers
par_school <- c(0, 0, 0) # add row to parent df for adolescent barrier "asked at school but didn't get
barriers_par <- rbind(barriers_par, par_school)</pre>
barriers_par$question <- c("Stigma (A)", "Handle problem on my own (A)", "Unsure if help needed (A)",
                       "Unsure where to get help (A)", "Problem will get better by itself (A)",
                       "Problem finding service that could help (S)",
                       "Couldn't afford it (S)", "Couldn't get appointment (S)", "Child refused",
                       "Asked for help at school but didn't get it (S)")
barriers_par$group <- "Parent"</pre>
# rename couple of variables
barriers_par <- plyr::rename(barriers_par, c("barriers_par"="estimate", "2.5 %"="lower", "97.5 %"="uppe
# adolescents
barriers_ado <- svymean(~ado_stigma + ado_selfrel + ado_unsneed +</pre>
                         ado_unswhere + ado_bettself + ado_getserv +
                         ado_cost + ado_appoint + ado_school,
                        YMM_surv_ado, na.rm = TRUE)
barriers_CI_ado <- confint(svymean(~ado_stigma + ado_selfrel + ado_unsneed +</pre>
                         ado_unswhere + ado_bettself + ado_getserv +
                         ado_cost + ado_appoint + ado_school,
                        YMM surv ado, na.rm = TRUE))
barriers_ado <- cbind(barriers_ado, barriers_CI_ado)</pre>
barriers_ado <- as_tibble(barriers_ado)</pre>
barriers_ado <- barriers_ado[c(2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18), ]
ado_refuse <- c(0, 0, 0) # add row to adolescent df for parent barrier "child refused"
barriers_ado <- rbind(barriers_ado, ado_refuse)</pre>
barriers_ado$question <- c("Stigma (A)", "Handle problem on my own (A)", "Unsure if help needed (A)",
                        "Unsure where to get help (A)", "Problem will get better by itself (A)",
                       "Problem finding service that could help (S)",
                       "Couldn't afford it (S)", "Couldn't get appointment (S)",
```

```
"Asked for help at school but didn't get it (S)", "Child refused")
barriers_ado$question = factor(barriers_ado$question,
                               levels = c("Stigma (A)", "Handle problem on my own (A)", "Unsure if help
                                        "Unsure where to get help (A)", "Problem will get better by its
                                        "Problem finding service that could help (S)",
                                        "Couldn't afford it (S)", "Couldn't get appointment (S)", "Chil
                                        "Asked for help at school but didn't get it (S)")) # same order
barriers_ado$group <- "Adolescent"</pre>
# rename couple of variables
barriers ado <- plyr::rename(barriers ado, c("barriers ado"="estimate", "2.5 %"="lower", "97.5 %"="uppe
# merge ado and parent data sets by question
barriers_both <- rbind(barriers_par, barriers_ado)</pre>
# order of factors messy again (doesn't inherit order specified above). Display will be from bottom to
barriers_both$question <- factor(barriers_both$question,</pre>
                                 levels = c("Asked for help at school but didn't get it (S)", "Child re
                                             "Couldn't afford it (S)", "Problem finding service that cou
                                            "Problem will get better by itself (A)", "Unsure where to g
                                            "Unsure if help needed (A)", "Handle problem on my own (A)"
# graph
brks <- c(0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6) # specified in original values
dodge <- position dodge (width=0.9) # to make the error bars narrower
ggplot(barriers_both, mapping = aes(x = question, y = estimate, fill = group)) +
  geom_col(position = "dodge") +
  geom_errorbar(aes(x=question, ymin = lower, ymax = upper), colour = "grey",
                position = dodge, width = 0.25) +
  coord_flip() + xlab("") + ylab("") +
  scale_y_continuous(breaks = brks, labels = scales::percent(brks, accuracy = 1)) +
  scale_fill_manual("", values = c("#969696", "#252525")) +
  theme(panel.grid.major.x = element_line(colour = "grey"), panel.background = element_blank(),
        axis.line = element_line(colour = "grey"))
```

