Microstrip Lines Loaded with Metamaterial-Inspired Resonators for Microwave Sensors/Comparators with Optimized Sensitivity
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Abstract — This paper presents a sensitive comparator/sensor useful to detect small differences between a reference sample and a sample under test (SUT). The device, a four-port structure, is based on a pair of identical microstrip lines each one loaded with an open split ring resonator (OSRR), the sensitive part of the device. The working principle of the comparator/sensor is mode conversion, caused when the OSRRs are asymetrically loaded, and the output variable is the cross-mode transmission coefficient. The structure is very sensitive to changes in the complex dielectric constant between the reference sample and the SUT, and it is useful as comparator and sensor in applications such as defect detection, dielectric characterization of solids and liquids, and solute concentration measurements in liquids. The application examples reported in the paper confirm the high sensitivity of the structure to the complex dielectric constant of the SUT, caused by the specific shape of the OSRRs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Transmission lines loaded with metamaterial-inspired resonant elements have been exhaustively used for sensing purposes. In such sensors, frequency and notch (or peak) magnitude variation [1]-[5], coupling modulation [6]-[12], and frequency splitting [13]-[17], caused by the variable to be sensed, have been the main considered sensing principles. In most of the previously cited works, sensor performance (i.e., dynamic range, sensitivity, resolution, etc.) has been proven to be enough for the intended application. However, there are applications demanding extremely sensitive passive sensors, able to detect small variations in the measurand. Examples are defect detection in solid samples, solute concentration measurement in highly diluted solutions (e.g., electrolytes or glucose content in water, blood, or urine), or monitoring changes in the composition of certain substances (of potential interest, e.g., in wine fermentation processes), among others.

In [18], highly sensitive sensors based on a pair of symmetric transmission lines loaded with mirrored open complementary split ring resonators (OCSRRs) were reported. In that work, the structure was applied to the dielectric characterization of liquids (i.e., mixtures of DI water and ethanol), as well as to the measurement of diluted concentrations of NaCl in DI water. The working principle in the sensors of [18] is mode conversion, caused by disruption of symmetry. Namely, when the mirrored OCSRRs are identically loaded or unloaded (hence keeping symmetry unaltered), mode conversion is prevented, and the cross-mode transmission coefficient, the output variable, is (ideally) null. Conversely, when one of the OCSRRs is loaded with a sample (the reference –REF), and the other one is loaded with a different sample (the sample under test –SUT), mode conversion arises, and the magnitude of the cross mode transmission coefficient is determined by the level of asymmetry. Thus, these devices are similar to differential sensors, which can be used as comparators (e.g., to detect defects or to monitor changes in the composition of a substance, as compared to a reference), or as sensors useful to determine the properties of the SUT.

Key performance parameters of these sensors based on symmetry truncation are resolution and sensitivity. The former provides the minimum level of the input variable (measurand) that can be detected (or resolved), and it is intimately related to the “quality” of symmetry in the unloaded sensing structure. Sensitivity is defined as the variation of the output variable (magnitude of the cross-mode transmission coefficient in our case) caused by the variation in the input variable (dielectric constant, solute concentration, etc.). For resolution optimization, it is fundamental: (i) to be as much precise as possible in sensor manufacturing, and (ii) to simplify the fabrication process of the sensor as much as possible, circumventing stages that might cause undesired symmetry disruption. Particularly, the use of vias should be avoided (if possible), since these elements may be the origin of potential deviations from (perfect) symmetry.

Contrary to the sensor reported in [18], where vias associated to the OCSRRs were necessary, a novel vialess sensor/comparator based on a pair of microstrip lines, each one loaded with an open split ring resonator (OSRR), is reported in this paper. The sensor exhibits good resolution and high sensitivity, since it has been designed on the basis of an analysis aimed to optimize sensitivity (note that resolution mainly depends on the accuracy in the fabrication process). In
obtained by circuit simulation. The agreement in the region of interest is good, pointing out the validity of the model.

Fig. 2. Transmission coefficient (magnitude and phase) of the sensor (one half) inferred from circuit and electromagnetic simulation. The considered substrate is Rogers RO4003C with dielectric constant \( \varepsilon_r = 3.38 \), thickness \( h = 1.524 \) mm, and loss tangent \( \tan \delta = 0.0027 \). In reference to Fig. 1, dimensions are: \( l = 40 \) mm, \( w = 3.4 \) mm, \( h = 9.4 \) mm, \( c = 0.2 \) mm, \( d = 2 \) mm, \( l_{\text{ext}} = 18.4 \) mm, \( h_{\text{ext}} = 3 \) mm, \( l_{\text{int}} = 13.4 \) mm, \( h_{\text{int}} = 1.4 \) mm, \( w = 44 \) mm and \( h_w = 26.8 \) mm. Circuit parameters are: \( L = 32.6 \) nH, \( C = 0.714 \) pF, \( G = 0.079 \) mS; line parameters are \( \beta = 83^\circ \) and \( Z_0 = 50 \) \( \Omega \).

III. Sensitivity Analysis

According to the port designation in Fig. 1, and taking into account that the lines are uncoupled, the cross-mode transmission coefficient is given by

\[
S_{21}^{\text{DC}} = \frac{1}{2} (S_{21} - S_{43})
\]

(1)

Let us now consider that the characteristic impedance of the lines is identical to the port impedance, \( Z_0 \) (typically 50 \( \Omega \)). Under these conditions, the effect of the lines is simply a phase shift in the cross-mode transmission coefficient. Since we consider that the output variable is the modulus of that coefficient, the effects of the line can be neglected in the analysis. In other words, the modulus of the cross-mode transmission coefficient is identical to the one of the cross-mode transmission coefficient of the structure by excluding the lines. Such transmission coefficient is thus given by

\[
|S_{21}^{\text{DC}}| = \frac{1}{2} |S_{21}^{\text{OSRR}} - S_{43}^{\text{OSRR}}| = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{Z_{\text{REF}}^2 Z_0^2} - \frac{1}{1 + Z_{\text{SUT}}^2 Z_0^2}}
\]

(2)

where \( S_{21}^{\text{OSRR}} \) and \( S_{43}^{\text{OSRR}} \) are the transmission coefficients of the individual OSRR-loaded lines that result by excluding the line contribution, and \( Z_{\text{REF}} \) and \( Z_{\text{SUT}} \) are the impedances of the series branches of the individual lines.

Let us now assume that substrate losses are small, that the reference (REF) sample and the SUT are dielectric (i.e. intrinsically low-loss) materials, and that the dielectric constant of both samples (REF and SUT) are similar (a situation of interest in many applications). Let us also evaluate expression (2) at the frequency of maximum transmission for the REF line, given by

\[
\omega_{\text{ref}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{L(C + C_{\text{REF}})}}
\]

(3)

At such frequency, \( Z_{\text{REF}} (\omega_0) \ll Z_0 \) since losses are small and the effects of the inductive (\( L \)) and capacitive (\( C + C_{\text{REF}} \)) reactances are cancelled at that frequency. Moreover, \( Z_{\text{REF}} \approx Z_{\text{SUT}} \) provided it is assumed that the dielectric constant of both samples are similar (and consequently \( C_{\text{REF}} \approx C_{\text{SUT}} \)). Therefore, the cross-mode transmission coefficient can be approximated by
\[ |S_{21}^{DC}|_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{Z_0} |Z_{\text{SUT}}(\omega_{\text{ref}}) - Z_{\text{REF}}(\omega_{\text{ref}})| \]  

(4)

Finally, after some tedious (but straightforward) algebra, the real and the imaginary parts of the cross-mode transmission coefficient are found to be:

\[ \text{Re}\left[ S_{21}^{DC}(\omega_{\text{ref}}) \right] = \frac{\omega_{\text{ref}}^2}{4Z_0} \left[ G_{\text{SUT}} \left( \frac{C \circ C_{\text{SUT}}}{C + C_{\text{SUT}}} \right) - G_{\text{REF}} \right] \]  

(5a)

\[ \text{Im}\left[ S_{21}^{DC}(\omega_{\text{ref}}) \right] = \frac{\omega_{\text{ref}}^2}{4Z_0} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{C \circ C_{\text{SUT}}}{C + C_{\text{SUT}}} \right)^2 - G_{\text{REF}}^2 - \frac{(C_{\text{REF}} - C_{\text{SUT}})(C + C_{\text{SUT}})}{L(C + C_{\text{SUT}})} \right] \]  

(5b)

where \( G_{\text{SUT}} = G + G_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( G_{\text{REF}}^* = G + G_{\text{REF}} \).

From (5), it can be concluded that the sensitivity of the modulus of the cross-mode transmission coefficient with complex dielectric constant variations between the REF sample and the SUT (manifested by different values of \( G_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( G_{\text{REF}} \), and/or different values of \( C_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( C_{\text{REF}} \)) increases with \( L \) and \( \alpha_{\text{ref}} \). If we consider that \( \alpha_{\text{ref}} \) is not a design parameter (working at high frequencies may represent a penalty in terms of equipment costs), it follows that to increase sensitivity \( L \) must be large and, consequently, \( C \) must be small.

In Fig. 3, the effects of \( L \) on \( |S_{21}^{DC}|_{\text{max}} \) for changes in both the capacitance and conductance of the SUT with regard to the REF sample, are depicted. It can be seen that the sensitivity (given by the slope of the curves) increases significantly with \( L \), as expected.

\( |S_{21}^{DC}|_{\text{max}} \) vs \( G_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( C_{\text{SUT}} / C_{\text{REF}} \) for different inductances.

IV. DESIGN AND EXPERIMENTAL VALIDATION

The OSRRs of the sensor have been designed on the basis of the previous sensitivity analysis. Thus, the objective has been to implement an OSRR with small capacitance and large inductance, exhibiting a resonance frequency (unloaded OSRR) of 1.05 GHz. The result is the sensor layout depicted in Fig. 1(a). Note that the topology of the OSRRs presents narrow and separated strips, as corresponds to a large inductance and small capacitance.

A. Functionality as Comparator

The first experimental validation (as comparator) has been carried out by considering a slab of Rogers RO3010 with \( \varepsilon_r = 10.2, \ h = 1.27 \ \text{mm} \) and \( \tan\delta = 0.0022 \) as REF sample. It has been positioned on top of the OSRR of the REF line, and it has been tightened by screws against the substrate in order to eliminate the effects of the air gap. Then, different SUTs have been considered: (i) a SUT identical to the REF sample, (ii) a SUT consisting of a slab of Rogers RO4003C with \( \varepsilon_r = 3.38, \ h = 1.524 \ \text{mm} \) and \( \tan\delta = 0.0027 \), (iii) a SUT consisting of a slab of FR4 with \( \varepsilon_r = 4.4, \ h = 1.6 \ \text{mm} \) and \( \tan\delta = 0.02 \), and (iv) SUTs identical to the REF sample, with periodic arrays of holes across the slab to generate a smaller effective dielectric constant, and different density of holes. In all cases, the SUT has been also screwed against the substrate and the Cu metal layers of all the samples have been previously eliminated. The measured cross-mode transmission coefficients, depicted in Fig. 4 for the considered cases, reveal that a minimum level of ~36.75 dB is achieved for the symmetric case. By contrast, the maximum cross-mode transmission coefficient increases to ~9.43 dB for case (ii), whereas for case (iii) the maximum value is found to be ~12.08 dB. Finally, for case (iv), the maximum value is found to be ~10.83 dB for the sample with higher density of holes (i.e., the difference in the composition of the REF sample and SUT can be perfectly detected).

\[ |S_{21}^{DC}|_{\text{max}} \] vs \( C_{\text{SUT}} / C_{\text{REF}} \) for different inductances.

B. Solute concentration measurements

The second experimental validation has been focused on the determination of NaCl concentration in DI water. Thus, the REF sample is pure DI water, whereas the SUT (or liquid under test –LUT– in this case) is the solution of NaCl in DI water. Obviously, it has been necessary to add fluidic channels with the corresponding accessories to fix the channel to the substrate and to inject the liquids (by means of a syringe) in the corresponding channels. In order to avoid liquid absorption by the substrate, a dry film (\( h = 50 \ \text{nm} \) and \( \varepsilon_r = 3.5 \)) has been deposited on top of the structure. The picture of the device is shown in Fig. 5, where channel dimensions and other details of the structure are provided (see caption).

Fig. 4. Cross-mode transmission coefficient that results by loading the REF and the SUT lines with different solid samples.

Fig. 3. Effects of \( L \) on the cross-mode transmission coefficient for variations in the conductance (a) and capacitance (b) of the SUT as compared to the REF sample. In (a), \( C_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( C_{\text{REF}} \) are identical and \( \alpha_{\text{ref}} = \alpha_{\text{SUT}}/2 \) and \( G'_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( G_{\text{SUT}} \) are fixed to 1 GHz and 0.4 mS respectively. In (b), \( G'_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( G_{\text{SUT}} \) are identical and equal to 0.4 mS and \( \alpha_{\text{SUT}} \) is still fixed to 1 GHz. Note that when \( G'_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( G_{\text{SUT}} \) are equal in (a) and \( C_{\text{SUT}} \) and \( C_{\text{REF}} \) are equal in (b), the modulus of the cross-mode transmission coefficient is zero (perfect symmetry case).
The measured cross-mode transmission coefficient (magnitude) for different NaCl concentrations is depicted in Fig. 6, whereas the maximum value as a function of the solute content can be seen in Fig. 7. The minimum level of NaCl concentration that can be resolved is 0.25 g/L, similar to [18]. The sensitivity increases as the concentration of NaCl decreases, and the maximum value is found to be 0.0216 (g/L)^{-1}, superior to the one obtained in [18] (0.0092 (g/L)^{-1}). From the results of Fig. 7, a calibration curve, providing a correlation coefficient of R^2 = 0.9934, has been obtained:

\[
[\text{Na}](\text{g/L}) = 0.43337e^{0.037677} + 0.00998e^{0.01177} - 0.17264e^{-0.001466} - 0.35567
\]

This curve can be obtained to determine the concentration of NaCl in DI water from the measurement of the cross-mode transmission coefficient.

![Cross-mode transmission coefficient](image)

**Fig. 6. Cross-mode transmission coefficient for different NaCl concentrations.**

![Maximum cross-mode transmission coefficient](image)

**Fig. 7. Maximum cross-mode transmission coefficient with NaCl content.**

V. CONCLUSION

A novel differential sensor based on the measurement of the cross-mode transmission coefficient in a pair of OSRR-loaded lines has been presented. From a simple analysis, based on the equivalent circuit model, it has been concluded that sensitivity increases with the inductance of the OSRR. Consequently, the fabricated sensor has been designed with a large inductance and low capacitance. The functionality of the sensor as comparator and for the measurement of small concentrations of NaCl in DI water has been demonstrated.
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