**Appendix**

**Table A.1: Effects of Radical-right Salience on Public Salience of Immigration**

**(Modules 2 and 3 Split)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Model A.1** | **Model A.2** |
|  | **Coeff.****(SE)** | **Coeff.****(SE)** |
| **RRP Salience** | **0.06****(0.04)** | **0.05****(0.04)** |
| **Left-Right Self-Placement** | 0.09\*\*\*(0.02) | 0.09\*\*\*(0.02) |
| **Age** | -0.001(0.003) | -0.003(0.001) |
| **Sex** | 0.11(0.08) | -0.08(0.04) |
| **Education Level** | -0.07(0.04) | -0.02(0.02) |
| **Marital Status** | -0.17(0.10) | 0.001(0.05) |
| **Income** | -0.02(0.04) | -0.02(0.02) |
| **Rural** | -0.01(0.10) | -0.06(0.05) |
| **Constant** | -4.01\*\*\*(0.82) | -2.62\*\*\*(0.43) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Constant** | 1.56(1.25) | 0.45(0.67) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Residual** | . | . |
| **N** | 6,061 | 15,436 |
| **Groups** | 5 | 10 |
| **ICC** | 0.32 | 0.12 |

Note: Dependent variable for models A.1 and A.2 is whether or not an individual views immigration as a most important issue. Model A.1 includes only respondents in module 2 of the CSES, Model A.2 includes only respondents in module 3 of the CSES. Importantly, there are 5 groups in module 2 and 10 groups in module 3. This means that it is difficult to include all country/party level variables for module 2 as it models out all variance. Therefore, we have removed from the models all country/party level variables other than radical-right party salience. As we are only interested in establishing that the questions used in modules 2 and 3 are comparable, what is important is that the findings in the tests using only module 2 are similar to the findings in the tests using only module 3.

\*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*p< 0.01; \*p<0.05

**Table A.2: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Public Salience of Immigration (Excluding Slovenia)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Model A.3** | **Model A.4** | **Model A.5** |
|  | **Coeff.****(SE)** | **Coeff.****(SE)** | **Coeff.****(SE)** |
| **RRP Salience** | **0.07\*\*****(0.03)** | **0.16\*\*\*****(0.03)** | **0.14\*\*\*****(0.03)** |
| **Distance between Mainstream and RRP** | **.** | **-0.72\*\*\*****(0.17)** | **-0.77\*\*****(0.30)** |
| **Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position** | . | 0.15(0.15) | 0.24(0.18) |
| **Left-Right Self-Placement** | 0.09\*\*\*(0.01) | 0.09\*\*\*(0.01) | 0.09\*\*\*(0.01) |
| **Age** | -0.002(0.001) | -0.002(0.001) | -0.002(0.001) |
| **Sex** | -0.04(0.04) | -0.04(0.04) | -0.04(0.04) |
| **Education Level** | -0.03(0.02) | -0.04(0.02) | -0.03(0.02) |
| **Marital Status** | -0.04(0.05) | -0.04(0.05) | -0.04(0.05) |
| **Income** | -0.02(0.02) | -0.02(0.02) | -0.02(0.02) |
| **Rural** | -0.05(0.05) | -0.05(0.05) | -0.05(0.05) |
| **Party System Immigration Salience** | 0.02\*(0.007) | -1.90\*\*\*(0.87) | 0.11(0.87) |
| **Non-EU** | 1.78\*\*\*(0.45) | 1.46\*\*\*(0.37) | 2.23\*\*\*(0.41) |
| **CSES Wave 2** | -1.65\*\*\*(0.37) | -1.09\*\*\*(0.31) | -1.05\*\*(0.38) |
| **Constant** | -4.15\*\*\*(0.43) | -2.46\*\*\*(0.47) | -2.53\*\*\*(0.70) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Constant** | 0.28(0.53) | 0.16(0.06) | 0.18(0.07) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Residual** | . | . | . |
| **N** | 21,151 | 21,151 | 21,151 |
| **Groups** | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| **ICC** | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.05 |

Note: Dependent variable for models A.3 through A.5 is whether or not an individual views immigration as a most important issue. Model A.4 looks at the distance between a center-right mainstream party and a radical-right party. Model A.5 looks at the distance between a center-left mainstream party and a radical-right party.

\*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*p< 0.01; \*p<0.05

**Table A.3: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Positivity towards the Radical-right Party (Excluding Slovenia)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Model A.6** | **Model A.7** |
|  | **Coeff .****(SE)** | **Coeff.****(SE)** |
| **RRP Salience** | **0.09\*\*\*****(0.03)** | **-0.01****(0.35)** |
| **Distance between Mainstream and RRP** | **-0.21****(0.16)** | **-0.16****(0.34)** |
| **Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position** | 0.98\*\*\*(0.14) | 0.81\*\*\*(0.20) |
| **Left-Right Self-Placement** | 0.54\*\*\*(0.008) | 0.54\*\*\*(0.008) |
| **Age** | -0.009\*\*\*(0.001) | -0.009\*\*\*(0.001) |
| **Sex** | 0.45\*\*\*(0.04) | 0.45\*\*\*(0.04) |
| **Education Level** | -0.45\*\*\*(0.02) | -0.45\*\*\*(0.02) |
| **Marital Status** | 0.12\*\*(0.04) | 0.12\*\*(0.04) |
| **Income** | -0.14\*\*\*(0.02) | -0.14\*\*\*(0.02) |
| **Rural** | 0.16\*\*\*(0.05) | 0.16\*\*\*(0.05) |
| **Party System Immigration Salience** | -0.58(0.84) | 1.39(0.98) |
| **Non-EU** | 2.45\*\*\*(0.34) | 1.96\*\*\*(0.42) |
| **CSES Wave 2** | -1.41\*\*\*(0.28) | -1.10\*\*(0.41) |
| **Constant** | 1.18(0.45) | 1.62(0.79) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Constant** | 0.14(0.06) | 0.23(0.09) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Residual** | 6.91(0.07) | 6.91(0.07) |
| **N** | 19,925 | 19,925 |
| **Groups** | 14 | 14 |
| **ICC** | 0.02 | 0.03 |

Note: The dependent variable for models A.6 and A.7 is individual positivity towards a radical-right party. Model A.6 looks at the distance between a center-right mainstream party and a radical-right party. Model A.7 looks at the distance between a center-left mainstream party and a radical-right party.

\*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*p< 0.01; \*p<0.05

**Table A.4: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Public Salience of Immigration (Excluding Norway and Switzerland)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Model A.8** | **Model A.9** | **Model A.10** |
|  | **Coeff.****(SE)** | **Coeff.****(SE)** | **Coeff.****(SE)** |
| **RRP Salience** | **0.09\*\*\*****(0.02)** | **0.17\*\*\*****(0.02)** | **016\*\*\*****(0.007)** |
| **Distance between Mainstream and RRP** | **.** | **-0.69\*\*\*****(0.13)** | **-1.03\*\*\*****(0.06)** |
| **Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position** | . | 0.09(0.08) | 0.30\*\*\*(0.04) |
| **Left-Right Self-Placement** | 0.04\*\*\*(0.01) | 0.04\*\*\*(0.01) | 0.04\*\*\*(0.01) |
| **Age** | -0.003\*(0.002) | -0.003\*(0.002) | -0.003\*(0.002) |
| **Sex** | 0.10(0.05) | 0.10(0.05) | 0.10(0.05) |
| **Education Level** | 0.01(0.03) | 0.008(0.03) | 0.005(0.03) |
| **Marital Status** | -0.06(0.06) | -0.05(0.06) | -0.06(0.06) |
| **Income** | 0.006(0.02) | 0.006(0.02) | 0.007(0.02) |
| **Rural** | -0.008(0.07) | -0.01(0.07) | -0.02(0.07) |
| **Party System Immigration Salience** | 0.01\*(0.006) | -1.79\*\*(0.59) | -0.82\*\*\*(0.19) |
| **Eastern Europe** | 1.10(0.84) | 0.92(0.72) | -1.14(0.58) |
| **CSES Wave 2** | -2.41\*\*\*(0.43) | -1.94\*\*\*(0.36) | -1.06\*\*\*(0.21) |
| **Constant** | -4.04\*\*\*(0.36) | -2.58\*\*\*(0.32) | -1.78\*\*\*(0.23) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Constant** | 0.15(0.38) | 0.04(0.03) | 0.00(0.00) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Residual** | . | . | . |
| **N** | 12,661 | 12,661 | 12,661 |
| **Groups** | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| **ICC** | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 |

Note: Dependent variable for models A.6 through A.8 is whether or not an individual views immigration as a most important issue.

\*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*p< 0.01; \*p<0.05

**Table A.5: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Positivity towards the Radical-right Party (Excluding Norway and Switzerland)**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Model A.11** | **Model A.12** |
|  | **Coeff .****(SE)** | **Coeff.****(SE)** |
| **RRP Salience** | **0.07\*****(0.03)** | **-0.04****(0.03)** |
| **Distance between Mainstream and RRP** | **-0.02****(0.22)** | **0.26****(0.37)** |
| **Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position** | 0.95\*\*\*(0.15) | 0.75\*\*\*(0.20) |
| **Left-Right Self-Placement** | 0.35\*\*\*(0.01) | 0.35\*\*\*(0.01) |
| **Age** | -0.01\*\*\*(0.001) | -0.01\*\*\*(0.001) |
| **Sex** | 0.33\*\*\*(0.05) | 0.33\*\*\*(0.05) |
| **Education Level** | -0.34\*\*\*(0.02) | -0.34\*\*\*(0.02) |
| **Marital Status** | 0.002(0.05) | 0.000(0.05) |
| **Income** | -0.11\*\*\*(0.02) | -0.11\*\*\*(0.02) |
| **Rural** | 0.16\*\*(0.06) | 0.16\*\*(0.06) |
| **Party System Immigration Salience** | 0.32(1.10) | 2.27\*(0.98) |
| **Eastern Europe** | 2.46\*\*\*(0.64) | 2.69\*\*(0.97) |
| **CSES Wave 2** | -1.86\*\*\*(0.44) | -1.71\*\*(0.57) |
| **Constant** | 1.69\*\*(0.56) | 1.67\*(0.85) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Constant** | 0.17(0.08) | 0.19(0.09) |
| **Random Effects Parameter Residual** | 6.46(0.08) | 6.46(0.08) |
| **N** | 12,289 | 12,289 |
| **Groups** | 10 | 10 |
| **ICC** | 0.03 | 0.03 |

Note: The dependent variable for models A.9 and A.10 is individual positivity towards a radical-right party.

\*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*p< 0.01; \*p<0.05

**Table A.6: Descriptive Statistics**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Variable** | **Observations** | **Mean** | **SD** | **Min** | **Max** |
| Public Immigration Salience | 29,386 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0 | 1 |
| Positivity towards RRP | 26,125 | 3.48 | 3.12 | 0 | 10 |
| RRP Salience | 29,386 | 12.81 | 10.96 | 1.71 | 40 |
| Distance between CR and RRP | 29,386 | 1.95 | 0.94 | -0.54 | 4.13 |
| Distance between CL and RRP | 29,386 | 2.66 | 0.80 | 0.28 | 3.97 |
| Δ CR Immigration Position | 26,156 | -0.08 | 0.80 | -2.18 | 1.35 |
| Δ CL Immigration Position | 26,156 | 0.17 | 0.91 | -2.00 | 1.36 |
| Left-Right Self-Placement | 24,343 | 5.31 | 2.31 | 0 | 10 |
| Age | 29,368 | 48.43 | 17.23 | 17 | 106 |
| Sex | 29,374 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 |
| Education Level | 28,964 | 2.48 | 1.06 | 0 | 4 |
| Marital Status | 29,211 | 0.65 | 0.48 | 0 | 1 |
| Income | 23,228 | 2.97 | 1.41 | 1 | 5 |
| Rural | 26,990 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 |
| Party System Immigration Sal. (All but RR) | 26,156 | 0.43 | 0.30 | 0.14 | 1.22 |
| Party System Immigration Sal. (All but RR & CR) | 26,156 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 1.20 |
| Party System Immigration Sal. (All but RR & CL) | 26,156 | 0.38 | 0.29 | 0.11 | 1.14 |
| Eastern Europe | 29,386 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0 | 1 |
| Non-EU | 29,386 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0 | 1 |
| CSES Wave 2 | 29,386 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0 | 1 |