**Supporting information for:**

**Preferences for Consensus and Majoritarian Democracy: Long- and Short-term Influences**

**Table A1: Hierarchical logistic regression models (main results)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | -0.152\*\* | -0.152\*\* | -0.151\*\* | -0.151\*\* |
|  | (0.036) | (0.036) | (0.036) | (0.036) |
| Age | 0.003\* | 0.003\* | 0.003\* | 0.003\* |
|  | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Education | 0.099\*\* | 0.099\*\* | 0.096\*\* | 0.096\*\* |
|  | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Citizen of the country | 0.239 | 0.238 | 0.252 | 0.248 |
|  | (0.279) | (0.279) | (0.278) | (0.279) |
| Belongs to ethnic minority | 0.262\*\* | 0.264\*\* | 0.245\* | 0.249\* |
|  | (0.101) | (0.101) | (0.102) | (0.102) |
| Close to a party | -0.013 | -0.014 | -0.016 | -0.017 |
|  | (0.039) | (0.039) | (0.040) | (0.040) |
| Trust in parties | 0.029\*\* | 0.029\*\* | 0.030\*\* | 0.030\*\* |
|  | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) |
| Voted for cabinet party | 0.160\*\* | 0.162\*\* | 0.209\*\* | 0.210\*\* |
|  | (0.044) | (0.044) | (0.045) | (0.045) |
| Vote share party voted for | -0.019\*\* | -0.020\*\* | -0.016\* | -0.014 |
|  | (0.002) | (0.002) | (0.008) | (0.008) |
| Lijphart first dimension | 0.691\*\* | -0.231 | 0.649\*\* | -0.232 |
|  | (0.154) | (0.298) | (0.149) | (0.291) |
| Historical experience of democracy | -0.002 | -0.003 | -0.002 | -0.003 |
|  | (0.006) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.005) |
| Quality of democracy | 1.015\* | 1.479\*\* | 0.949\* | 1.373\*\* |
|  | (0.478) | (0.418) | (0.466) | (0.412) |
| GDP per capita | -0.000 | -0.000\* | -0.000 | -0.000\* |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Lijphart first dimension \* Historical experience of democracy |  | 0.009\*\* |  | 0.009\*\* |
|  |  | (0.003) |  | (0.003) |
| Vote share party voted for \* Historical experience of democracy |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Constant | 0.422 | 0.316 | 0.367 | 0.260 |
|  | (0.464) | (0.419) | (0.457) | (0.416) |
| Random effects parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Variance intercept (random intercept) | 0.470\*\* | 0.316\*\* | 0.399\*\* | 0.264\*\* |
|  | (0.141) | (0.095) | (0.134) | (0.091) |
| Variance vote share party voted for (random slope) |  |  | 0.000\* | 0.000\* |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Observations | 22096 | 22096 | 22096 | 22096 |
| Countries | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |

Note: Models 1 and 2 are random intercepts logistic models. Models 3 and 4 are random intercepts and random slopes logistic models. Standard errors in parentheses. \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01

**Table A2: Hierarchical logistic regression models (additional analyses)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | -0.151\*\* | -0.152\*\* | -0.151\*\* | -0.151\*\* |
|  | (0.036) | (0.036) | (0.036) | (0.036) |
| Age | 0.003\* | 0.003\* | 0.003\* | 0.003\* |
|  | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Education | 0.096\*\* | 0.099\*\* | 0.095\*\* | 0.096\*\* |
|  | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Citizen of the country | 0.255 | 0.238 | 0.253 | 0.248 |
|  | (0.278) | (0.279) | (0.278) | (0.279) |
| Belongs to ethnic minority | 0.246\* | 0.262\*\* | 0.244\* | 0.248\* |
|  | (0.102) | (0.101) | (0.102) | (0.102) |
| Close to a party | -0.016 | -0.014 | -0.016 | -0.017 |
|  | (0.040) | (0.039) | (0.040) | (0.040) |
| Trust in parties | 0.030\*\* | 0.029\*\* | 0.030\*\* | 0.030\*\* |
|  | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) |
| Voted for cabinet party | 0.210\*\* | 0.162\*\* | 0.208\*\* | 0.208\*\* |
|  | (0.045) | (0.044) | (0.045) | (0.045) |
| Vote share party voted for | -0.013\*\* | -0.020\*\* | -0.016\* | -0.014 |
|  | (0.004) | (0.002) | (0.008) | (0.008) |
| Lijphart first dimension | 0.620\*\* | -0.323 | 0.591\*\* | -0.302 |
|  | (0.152) | (0.275) | (0.150) | (0.280) |
| Vote share party voted for \* Lijphart first dimension | 0.004 |  |  |  |
|  | (0.005) |  |  |  |
| Historical experience of democracy | -0.002 | -0.000 | 0.000 | -0.001 |
|  | (0.006) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.005) |
| Quality of democracy | 0.942\* | 1.753\*\* | 1.164\* | 1.594\*\* |
|  | (0.462) | (0.401) | (0.477) | (0.413) |
| GDP per capita | -0.000 | -0.000\* | -0.000 | -0.000\* |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Lijphart first dimension \* Historical experience of democracy |  | 0.010\*\* |  | 0.009\*\* |
|  |  | (0.003) |  | (0.003) |
| Western European country |  | -0.829\* | -0.633 | -0.656 |
|  |  | (0.372) | (0.464) | (0.381) |
| Vote share party voted for \* Historical experience of democracy |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Constant | 0.355 | 0.207 | 0.285 | 0.175 |
|  | (0.452) | (0.404) | (0.452) | (0.409) |
| Random effects parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Variance intercept (random intercept) | 0.393\*\* | 0.260\*\* | 0.370\*\* | 0.233\*\* |
|  | (0.132) | (0.078) | (0.124) | (0.080) |
| Variance vote share party voted for (random slope) | 0.000\* |  | 0.000\* | 0.000\* |
|  | (0.000) |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Observations | 22096 | 22096 | 22096 | 22096 |
| Countries | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |

Note: Models 2 is a random intercepts logistic models. Models 1, 3 and 4 are random intercepts and random slopes logistic models. Standard errors in parentheses. \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01

**Table A3: Replication of main results using hierarchical linear probability models**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | -0.021\*\* | -0.021\*\* | -0.021\*\* | -0.021\*\* |
|  | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.005) |
| Age | 0.000\* | 0.000\* | 0.000\* | 0.000\* |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Education | 0.014\*\* | 0.014\*\* | 0.013\*\* | 0.013\*\* |
|  | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Citizen of the country | 0.033 | 0.033 | 0.035 | 0.034 |
|  | (0.043) | (0.043) | (0.043) | (0.043) |
| Belongs to ethnic minority | 0.034\* | 0.034\* | 0.031\* | 0.031\* |
|  | (0.014) | (0.014) | (0.014) | (0.014) |
| Close to a party | -0.003 | -0.003 | -0.003 | -0.003 |
|  | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.005) | (0.005) |
| Trust in parties | 0.004\*\* | 0.004\*\* | 0.004\*\* | 0.004\*\* |
|  | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Voted for cabinet party | 0.019\*\* | 0.019\*\* | 0.026\*\* | 0.026\*\* |
|  | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) |
| Vote share party voted for | -0.003\*\* | -0.003\*\* | -0.003\* | -0.003\* |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Lijphart first dimension | 0.090\*\* | -0.024 | 0.075\*\* | -0.029 |
|  | (0.022) | (0.045) | (0.019) | (0.037) |
| Historical experience of democracy | -0.002\* | -0.002\*\* | -0.002\* | -0.002\*\* |
|  | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Quality of democracy | 0.150\* | 0.213\*\* | 0.128\* | 0.187\*\* |
|  | (0.069) | (0.064) | (0.060) | (0.054) |
| GDP per capita | -0.000 | -0.000 | -0.000 | -0.000 |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Lijphart first dimension \* Historical experience of democracy |  | 0.001\*\* |  | 0.001\*\* |
|  |  | (0.000) |  | (0.000) |
| Vote share party voted for \* Historical experience of democracy |  |  | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Constant | 0.658\*\* | 0.645\*\* | 0.662\*\* | 0.650\*\* |
|  | (0.070) | (0.065) | (0.064) | (0.060) |
| Random effects parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Variance intercept (random intercept) | -2.296\*\* | -2.440\*\* | -5.814\*\* | -5.825\*\* |
|  | (0.147) | (0.148) | (0.173) | (0.172) |
| Variance vote share party voted for (random slope) |  |  | -2.519\*\* | -2.726\*\* |
|  |  |  | (0.168) | (0.183) |
| Observations | 22096 | 22096 | 22096 | 22096 |
| Countries | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |

Note: Models 1 and 2 are random intercepts logistic models. Models 3 and 4 are random intercepts and random slopes logistic models. Standard errors in parentheses. \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01

**Figure A1: Average marginal effects on probability of supporting consensus democracy including and excluding the UK**

****

*Note: The marginal effects were obtained following the specification of Model 1 Table A1 and they replicate those summarized in Figure 2. Thick and thin lines are 90 and 95 percent confidence intervals, respectively.*

**Figure A2: Predicted support for consensus democracy along Lijphart’s parties-executive (first) dimension excluding the UK from the estimation sample**

****

*Note: Predicted probabilities estimated using a model that follows the specification of Model 1 Table A1 but excludes the UK from the estimation sample.*

**Figure A3: Countries’ location in Lijphart’s first dimension and proportion of citizens supporting consensus democracy.**

****

**Table A4: Hierarchical logistic regression models (excluding Bulgaria from the estimation sample)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | -0.154\*\* | -0.154\*\* | -0.152\*\* | -0.152\*\* |
|  | (0.037) | (0.037) | (0.037) | (0.037) |
| Age | 0.003\* | 0.003\* | 0.003\* | 0.003\* |
|  | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Education | 0.098\*\* | 0.098\*\* | 0.094\*\* | 0.095\*\* |
|  | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Citizen of the country | 0.240 | 0.240 | 0.258 | 0.254 |
|  | (0.280) | (0.280) | (0.279) | (0.280) |
| Belongs to ethnic minority | 0.117 | 0.119 | 0.108 | 0.110 |
|  | (0.111) | (0.111) | (0.111) | (0.111) |
| Close to a party | -0.012 | -0.013 | -0.016 | -0.017 |
|  | (0.039) | (0.039) | (0.040) | (0.040) |
| Trust in parties | 0.029\*\* | 0.030\*\* | 0.030\*\* | 0.031\*\* |
|  | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) |
| Voted for cabinet party | 0.162\*\* | 0.165\*\* | 0.208\*\* | 0.209\*\* |
|  | (0.044) | (0.044) | (0.045) | (0.045) |
| Vote share party voted for | -0.019\*\* | -0.019\*\* | -0.014 | -0.012 |
|  | (0.002) | (0.002) | (0.008) | (0.008) |
| Lijphart first dimension | 0.736\*\* | -0.140 | 0.683\*\* | -0.178 |
|  | (0.154) | (0.307) | (0.152) | (0.305) |
| Historical experience of democracy | -0.001 | -0.002 | -0.001 | -0.002 |
|  | (0.006) | (0.005) | (0.006) | (0.005) |
| Quality of democracy | 0.727 | 1.260\*\* | 0.717 | 1.224\*\* |
|  | (0.508) | (0.461) | (0.506) | (0.463) |
| GDP per capita | -0.000 | -0.000\* | -0.000 | -0.000\* |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Lijphart first dimension \* Historical experience of democracy |  | 0.009\*\* |  | 0.009\*\* |
|  |  | (0.003) |  | (0.003) |
| Vote share party voted for \* Historical experience of democracy |  |  | 0.000 | -0.000 |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Constant | 0.714 | 0.510 | 0.588 | 0.379 |
|  | (0.502) | (0.456) | (0.500) | (0.459) |
| Random effects parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Variance intercept (random intercept) | 0.448\*\* | 0.314\*\* | 0.396\*\* | 0.271\*\* |
|  | (0.138) | (0.096) | (0.136) | (0.095) |
| Variance vote share party voted for (random slope) |  |  | 0.000\* | 0.000\* |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Observations | 21395 | 21395 | 21395 | 21395 |
| Countries | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 |

Note: Models 1 and 2 are random intercepts logistic models. Models 3 and 4 are random intercepts and random slopes logistic models. Standard errors in parentheses. + *p* < 0.10, \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01

**Figure A4: Average marginal effects on probability of supporting consensus democracy including and excluding Bulgaria**

****

*Note: Based on Model 1 Table A1 and Model 1 Table A4. Thick and thin lines are 90 and 95 percent confidence intervals, respectively.*

**Table A5: Hierarchical logistic regression models substituting the objective measure of quality of democracy by respondents’ subjective assessment of the freedom and fairness of elections in their countries and of the functioning of democracy (satisfaction with democracy)**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | -0.164\*\* | -0.164\*\* | -0.164\*\* | -0.164\*\* |
|  | (0.037) | (0.037) | (0.037) | (0.037) |
| Age | 0.003\* | 0.003\* | 0.002\* | 0.002\* |
|  | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) | (0.001) |
| Education | 0.093\*\* | 0.094\*\* | 0.090\*\* | 0.090\*\* |
|  | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) | (0.011) |
| Citizen of the country | 0.230 | 0.229 | 0.246 | 0.243 |
|  | (0.279) | (0.279) | (0.278) | (0.278) |
| Belongs to ethnic minority | 0.237\* | 0.237\* | 0.223\* | 0.223\* |
|  | (0.102) | (0.102) | (0.103) | (0.103) |
| Close to a party | -0.029 | -0.030 | -0.035 | -0.035 |
|  | (0.040) | (0.040) | (0.040) | (0.040) |
| Trust in parties | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 | 0.017 |
|  | (0.010) | (0.010) | (0.010) | (0.010) |
| Voted for cabinet party | 0.122\*\* | 0.122\*\* | 0.172\*\* | 0.173\*\* |
|  | (0.044) | (0.044) | (0.046) | (0.046) |
| Vote share party voted for | -0.019\*\* | -0.019\*\* | -0.013 | -0.012 |
|  | (0.002) | (0.002) | (0.008) | (0.008) |
| Lijphart first dimension | 0.753\*\* | 0.127 | 0.713\*\* | 0.083 |
|  | (0.168) | (0.342) | (0.164) | (0.335) |
| Satisfaction with democracy | 0.021\* | 0.021\* | 0.022\* | 0.023\* |
|  | (0.010) | (0.010) | (0.010) | (0.010) |
| Assessment freedom and fairness of elections | 0.026\*\* | 0.026\*\* | 0.028\*\* | 0.029\*\* |
|  | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) | (0.009) |
| Historical experience of democracy | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 |
|  | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) | (0.006) |
| GDP per capita | 0.000 | -0.000 | -0.000 | -0.000 |
|  | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Lijphart first dimension \* Historical experience of democracy |  | 0.007\* |  | 0.007\* |
|  |  | (0.003) |  | (0.003) |
| Vote share party voted for \* Historical experience of democracy |  |  | -0.000 | -0.000 |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Constant | 0.691 | 0.751 | 0.567 | 0.614 |
|  | (0.441) | (0.424) | (0.438) | (0.422) |
| Random effects parameters |  |  |  |  |
| Variance intercept (random intercept) | 0.565\*\* | 0.480\*\* | 0.501\*\* | 0.416\*\* |
|  | (0.168) | (0.143) | (0.164) | (0.139) |
| Variance vote share party voted for (random slope) |  |  | 0.000\* | 0.000\* |
|  |  |  | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Observations | 21732 | 21732 | 21732 | 21732 |
| Countries | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |

Note: Models 1 and 2 are random intercepts logistic models. Models 3 and 4 are random intercepts and random slopes logistic models. Standard errors in parentheses. + *p* < 0.10, \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01

**Figure A5: Average marginal effects on probability of supporting consensus democracy substituting the objective measure of quality of democracy by respondents’ subjective assessment of the freedom and fairness of elections in their countries and of the functioning of democracy (satisfaction with democracy)**

****

*Note: Based on Model 1 Table A1 and Model 1 Table A5. Thick and thin lines are 90 and 95 percent confidence intervals, respectively.*