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**Supplementary Figure S1**

**AUC of procedures without analgesia**. The course of cortisol concentration (nmol/L) over time (h). Treatments: control handling (H; *n* = 30); ear tagging without pain medication (ET; *n* = 30); tail docking without pain medication (TD; *n* = 30); and castration without pain medication (C; *n* = 30).

**Supplementary Figure S2**
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**AUC of procedures with analgesia**. The course of cortisol concentration (nmol/L) over time (h). A) Treatments: castration without analgesia (C; *n* = 30); castration with analgesia with meloxicam (CM; *n* = 30). B) Treatments: ear tagging without analgesia (ET; *n* = 30); ear tagging with analgesia with meloxicam (ETM; *n* = 30). C) Treatments: tail docking without analgesia (TD; *n* = 30); tail docking with analgesia with meloxicam (TDM; *n* = 30).