**Latina/o Gender & Immigration Attitudes – Methodological Appendix**

**Variable Coding (CMPS 2020)**

**Independent Variables (in order of appearance on Tables 2-4):**

* Race:
	+ using “race” variable: White (0,1); Black (0,1); Latinx (0,1); Asian (0,1)
* Female:
	+ using “S3b” variable: 1=Females; 0=else
* Latino Immigrant Commonality: “Thinking about issues like job opportunities, income and educational attainment, how much do you have in common with each of the following groups? Immigrants”
	+ Using Q616\_Q618r3 variable: 0 = “nothing”; 1 = “a little”; 2= “some”; 3 = “a lot”
* National Origin:
	+ Using S10 variable: 1=Mexican ancestry; 2=Puerto Rican ancestry; 3=Cuban ancestry; 4=Dominican ancestry; 5=Central American ancestry (Costa Rican, Salvadoran, Guatemalan, Honduran, Nicaraguan, Panamanian); 6=South American (Argentinian, Bolivian, Chilean, Colombian, Ecuadoran, Paraguayan, Peruvian, Uruguayan, Venezuelan, Brazil); 7=Spain & “Other” country.
* Generational Status:
	+ Using S7 & Q809 variables: 1=First Generation (respondent born outside of U.S. or island of Puerto Rico; 2=2nd Generation (U.S. born respondent + both or at least one parent born outside of U.S.) 3=3rd Generation (U.S. born respondent + both parents U.S. born).
* College Graduate:
	+ Using S13 variable: 1= “Bachelors, 4-year degree” / “Post-graduate degree”; 0=else
* Income:
	+ Using Q813 variable 0= “did not give an answer”; 1=Less than $20K; 2= $20K-29,999; 3=$30K-39,999; 4=$40K-49,999; 5=$50K-59,999; 6=$60K-69,999; 7=$70K-79,999; 8=$80K-89,999; 9=$90K-99,999; 10=$100K-149,999; 11=$150K-199,999; 12=$200K+
* Income Undisclosed:
	+ Using Q813 variable: 1= “did not give an answer”; 0=else
* Age:
	+ Using “S5\_age” variable: 1=18-29; 2=30-39; 3=40-49; 4=50-59; 5=60-69; 6=70+
* Ideology:
	+ Using Q43 variable: 0= “none of these”; 1= “very liberal”; 2= “somewhat liberal”; 3= “moderate”; 4= “somewhat conservative” 5= “very conservative”
* Ideology Undisclosed:
	+ Using Q43 variable: 1= “none of these”; 0 = else
* PID7:
	+ Using variables Q21, Q22, Q23: 0 = “other party” / “Don’t Know” (Q23); 1 = “strong Democrat”; 2= “not strong Democrat”; 3= “Democrat” (Q23); 4 = “Independent” (Q23); 5 = “Republican” (Q23); 6 = “not strong Republican”; 7 = “strong Republican”
* PID Undisclosed:
	+ 1 = “other party” / “Don’t Know” (Q23); 0 = else
* Born-Again:
	+ Using Q60r2 variable: 1= “born-again identifier”; 0=else

**Dependent Variables:**

“Support Police Immigration”:

* Using variable Q541: 1= “Local police should take an active role in identifying undocumented or illegal immigrants”; 0= “Immigration enforcement should be left mainly to the federal authorities”

“Support Border Wall”

* Using variable Q543: 1= “Support government increasing spending by $25 billion on border security, including building a wall between the U.S. and Mexico” 0= “Oppose government increasing spending by $25 billion on border security, including building a wall between the U.S. and Mexico

“Support Trump Immigration Policies”:

* Using Q614 variable: “This year there has been a lot of discussion President Trump’s immigration policies, including deportation, detention and how the US treats people seeking asylum. Which best describes your position?”
	+ 1= “I support Trump’s immigration policies”; 0= “I oppose Trump’s immigration policies”

“Oppose Birthright Citizenship”:

* Using variable Q187r1: “Children born in the United States to non-citizen parents should not have automatic birthright citizenship.”
	+ 1 = “somewhat” / “strongly agree”; 0 = else

“Oppose Amnesty”

* Using Q131r4 variable: “We should establish a path to citizenship for undocumented immigrants who come forward, are up to date on their taxes, and pass a background check.”
	+ 1 = “somewhat” / “strongly oppose”; 0 = else

|  |
| --- |
| **Table A1. Gender Gaps in Restrictive Immigration Attitudes among Latina/os in the 2020 CES (%)** |
|  | Latino Males | Latinas | N |
| **CES 2020** |  |  |  |
| Oppose Amnesty | 21.74 | 14.89\* | 5177 |
| Support Border Spending | 54.68 | 46.88\* | 5178 |
| Support Anti-Sanctuary City | 44.56 | 33.33\* | 5176 |
| Support Reducing Legal Immigration | 36.54 | 29.3\* | 5177 |
| Support Border Wall | 35.55 | 27.55\* | 5178 |
| Oppose DREAM Act | 22.43 | 12.45\* | 5166 |
| Immigration Restriction Index | 2.15 | 1.64\* | 5157 |
| Note: \* p < .05. Cell entries represent percentages of respondents with the exception of the “Immigration Restriction Index” which is a cumulative score of all six CES immigration questions. All percentages derived using weights (CES: “commonweight”).  |

|  |
| --- |
| **Table A2. Predicting Restrictive Immigration Attitudes among Latina/o Voters, CES 2020** |
|  | Oppose Amnesty | Support Border Spending | Support Anti-Sanctuary City | Support Reducing Legal Imm. | Support Border Wall | Oppose DREAM Act | Imm. Restriction Index |
| Female | 0.64\*\*\*(0.08) | 0.76\*(0.08) | 0.61\*\*\*(0.07) | 0.73\*\*(0.09) | 0.70\*\*(0.09) | 0.47\*\*\*(0.07) | 0.78\*\*\*(0.03) |
| College Graduate | 1.38\*\*(0.17) | 0.87(0.10) | 0.85(0.09) | 0.98(0.11) | 1.02(0.13) | 0.91(0.12) | 0.96(0.04) |
| Income | 1.08(0.07) | 1.07(0.06) | 0.95(0.05) | 0.85\*\*(0.05) | 0.96(0.06) | 1.00(0.08) | 0.98(0.02) |
| Income Undisclosed | 1.88\*(0.56) | 1.14(0.29) | 1.09(0.28) | 0.67(0.18) | 1.02(0.32) | 0.86(0.28) | 0.99(0.10) |
| Age  | 1.02(0.07) | 1.55\*\*\*(0.10) | 1.07(0.07) | 1.22\*\*(0.08) | 1.19\*(0.08) | 1.14#(0.09) | 1.09\*\*\*(0.02) |
| Ideology (L-C) | 1.53\*\*\*(0.12) | 1.41\*\*\*(0.10) | 1.50\*\*\*(0.10) | 1.42\*\*\*(0.10) | 1.51\*\*\*(0.12) | 1.25\*(0.13) | 1.22\*\*\*(0.03) |
| Ideology Undisclosed | 2.69\*\*(0.94) | 1.45(0.41) | 4.32\*\*\*(1.30) | 1.92\*(0.56) | 1.51(0.51) | 2.57\*(1.20) | 1.57\*\*\*(0.18) |
| PID7 | 1.29\*\*\*(0.05) | 1.35\*\*\*(0.05) | 1.26\*\*\*(0.04) | 1.28\*\*\*(0.04) | 1.51\*\*\*(0.05) | 1.33\*\*\*(0.06) | 1.16\*\*\*(0.01) |
| PID Undisclosed | 3.59\*\*\*(1.12) | 3.60\*\*\*(0.97) | 1.16(0.33) | 2.62\*\*\*(0.69) | 5.37\*\*\*(1.61) | 3.37\*\*(1.30) | 1.78\*\*\*(0.17) |
| Born-Again | 1.35\*(0.21) | 1.49\*\*(0.20) | 1.29#(0.17) | 1.25#(0.16) | 1.50\*\*(0.23) | 1.57\*\*(0.27) | 1.22\*\*\*(0.06) |
| Cuban | 0.77(0.17) | 1.15(0.28) | 0.83(0.16) | 0.57\*(0.13) | 1.02(0.22) | 0.64#(0.16) | 0.90(0.06) |
| Foreign-born | 0.72#(0.14) | 1.11(0.17) | 1.17(0.18) | 1.00(0.16) | 1.34#(0.22) | 1.22(0.27) | 1.05(0.05) |
| Obs. | 4,803 | 4,804 | 4,803 | 4,803 | 4,804 | 4,792 | 4,784 |
| Exponentiated coefficients; Standard errors in parentheses. All models estimated using logistic regression except for Immigration Restriction (negative binomial regression). Analysis weighted using pre-election weight (“commonweight”). Observations correspond to subpopulation number of observations.# *p* < .10, \* *p* < .05, \*\* *p* < .01, \*\*\* *p* < .001 |