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# Appendix 1: Description of Data Sets

We have 4 basic data spreadsheets, all of which have individual names coded by gender. These four sources were given dummy variables and then merged together (the Combined dataset) for the statistical analysis. Note that we began our status dataset coding in 2015, which explains the cutoff date for a number of our variables.

## 1) Baseline dataset

A baseline of American Political scientists from a 2016 coding of 334 universities—drawing from the Carnegie Foundation listings of Research Institutions with Very High research levels (RU/VH; N= 115); Research Institutions with Higher Research Levels (RU/H N= 107) and Research Institutions with Moderate Research Activity (DRU N =112). We visited the websites of political science departments[[1]](#footnote-1) (including departments with alternate titles, such as Government, Politics etc.),[[2]](#footnote-2) noting the faculty member’s name and rank (assistant, associate, professor; non-tenure categories such as research, professor of practice or lecturer; and emeritus professors).[[3]](#footnote-3) We coded gender based on pronouns in the faculty member’s bio and/or their profile picture.[[4]](#footnote-4) From the 334 institutions, we catalogued 6,696 individuals with appointments in political science departments in 2016. We sometimes draw on a smaller subset of tenure-line faculty (N=6147 excludes Non-TT individuals and N=5395 excludes Emeritus and non-tenure line categories) A list of institutions and descriptions of their classification can be found here: <http://carnegieclassifications.iu.edu/descriptions/basic.php>) (Visited December 2014), reproduced at the end of this appendix.

## 2) Status dataset

The Status dataset codes a number of high-profile positions in peak institutions within American political science. We ended up flattening time in the analysis, but our coding documented each individual’s gender and specific leadership position (e.g. editor-in-chief, president, editorial board member, etc.), and where possible the year of appointment.[[5]](#footnote-5) We categorize and weight the coding into Committee (1), Officer (2), Leader (3) and Honor (3) weighted levels (see article Table 1). We wanted a manageable but substantial time interval. The data generally spans 2003-2014, unless we could easily get comprehensive data (ISA & Honor Societies) in which case our data might go further back in time. Our Status dataset includes 5029 observations and 2648 named individuals (1873 names when excluding section leadership). The Status dataset does not capture the rank of an individual or whether the academic appointment is in the US or in a political science department.

### Journals (2000-2015)[[6]](#footnote-6)

Unless otherwise noted, we covered membership from 2000-2015 (our editorial board coding extends in time to align with the Teele-Thelen data). We drew from editorial board listings in the cover of the journal. We coded the first number (January or Winter); where necessary, we drew on webpages or information provided by editors. Our missing years indicated an inability to find covers or lists, even when we contacted the current journal editors. For the Editors category, we counted ‘Editor in Chief,’ or ‘Lead Editor’ and ‘Co Editors.’ We excluded positions such as Book review editors and managing editors. Gender breakdowns by journal reported figures 5 and 6, and Appendix 3. (\* = journals included in the Teele and Thelen data.)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. American Journal of Political Science\* 2. American Political Science Review\* 3. American Politics Research (missing 2000, 2015) 4. Comparative Politics\* 5. Comparative Political Studies\* (missing 2011, 2012, 2015) 6. International Organization\* | 1. International Security 2. Journal of Conflict Resolution\* 3. Journal of Politics\* 4. Political Behavior 5. Perspectives on Politics \* (founded 2003) 6. Political Analysis 7. Political Theory\* 8. Public Opinion Quarterly 9. World Politics\*[[7]](#footnote-7) |

Because the International Studies Association (ISA) included journal editors in the Governing Council, we gained data on journal editors that were also on the ISA Council. Our count of journal editors in Figure 7 includes editors from Foreign Policy Analysis, International Interactions, International Political Sociology, International Studies Perspectives, International Studies Quarterly, ISA Compendium, and the Journal of Global Security Studies. This added twenty journal editors to our Status Dataset.

### Leadership in Academic Societies

We thank ISA and APSA for providing comprehensive historical information. Observational numbers given below.

*Leadership (3)*

1. APSA Presidents (1980-2014) (N=54)
2. ISA President (1996-2015) (N=19)

*Officer (2)*

1. APSA Officers (Vice-Presidents, Treasurer) (2003-2013/4) (N=35)
2. ISA VP, Treasurer (1995-2014) (N=64)

*Committee (1)*

1. APSA Council- (2003-2014) (N=102)
2. APSA Section Officers (Section Chairs, vice-chair, secretary, treasurer) (1993-2015) (N=1530)
3. ISA Section/Caucus Chairs (1995-2013/2014) (N=235)
4. ISA Governing Council[[8]](#footnote-8) (1995-2014) (includes program-co-chairs) (status weighted level 1). (N=42)

**More on section leadership data:**

APSA and ISA provided comprehensive section and caucus leadership information. We only brought the information on President/Chair, Vice President/Chair, Treasurer and Secretary into our dataset. If we had limited the coding to section president/chair, we would have had fewer observations but it is unlikely to have impacted our overall findings. We ran all of the analyses with and without section leadership and report how including section leadership impacts the finding, and we often report section leadership separately. Appendix 4 provides additional statistical tests that add and subtract section leadership, demonstrating that on average women provide significantly more section leadership compared to men.

One concern we heard is that a scholar might gain status *only* through section leadership. We made sure that this was not the case; our reports on “top accrued status earners” excludes section leadership from the initial count, reporting section leadership separately (see Appendix 5 for more). Given this technique, to have given section leadership a lower weighting would not have impacted the analysis.

There are, however, scholars who are only in the Status dataset via section leadership: 775 names in all. Of the section-only members of our Status dataset, 305 (39.3%) are women and 470 (60.6%) are men. More than sixty-percent of these individuals (N= 472, 60.9%) are not in our Baseline dataset, which means that they are not based at a US PhD granting institution, or perhaps not in a political science department. This fact may explain why section leadership is particularly valuable to these faculty; namely it helps keep scholars at non-PhD granting institutions active in the academy.

We also find that certain top accrued status earners (and award winning scholars) have done quite a bit of section leadership. Kathleen Thelen, Nancy Bermeo, and Phillip Schrodt have among their many accomplishments and leadership roles five sections leadership positions, again suggesting that there is no job too small for a top scholar/leader. Appendix 5 includes further information on section leadership by top accrued status individuals.

### Honor Positions

*Honor Societies (3)*

(Political science membership as of 2015, which includes members added over many years)

1. National Academy of Science, Members (1973-2014)

2. American Philosophical Society, Fellows (1948-2014)

3. American Association for the Advancement of Science, Fellows (1957-2014)

4. American Academy of Arts and Science, Members (1959-2014)

#### Appendix 1 Figure 1: Gender breakdowns in four honor societies (Political Science Category Only)



Source: Alter et al. Status dataset N=421. Baseline indicated by dashed line, set at 23% to reflect full professor level.

*Named Lectures for APSA & ISA (3)*

1. John Gaus Lecture (APSA, 1997-2015)
2. Ithiel del Sola Pool Lecture (APSA, 1995-2013, APSA, triennial award)
3. James Madison Lecture (APSA, 1999-2014, APSA triennial award)
4. Lippincott Award (APSA, 1975-2015, APSA biennial award)
5. Merriam Award (APSA, 1983-2015, APSA biennial award)
6. Karl Deutsch Award (ISA, 1985-2016)
7. Susan Strange Award (ISA, 1999-2016)
8. Johan Skytte Prize in Political Science (1995-2016)

#### Appendix 1 Figure 2: Gender breakdown for named lectures and prizes

Alter et al. Status dataset N=151. Baseline indicated by dashed line, set at 23% to reflect full professor level.

## 3) Gender in the Journals

Dawn Teele and Kathleen Thelen generously shared their coding, which included 7915 articles, with authors coded by name and gender. We merged Teele and Thelen’s dataset with our data, converting it from article-based to author-based observations and eliminating duplicate names. We found 5848 unique authors who published in ten top political science journals between ~2000-2015 (we intentionally included the same journals and years in our editorial board coding, which explains why our editorial board data extends to 2015). Appendix 3 compares our data on editorial board composition and the Teele and Thelen’s gender publication data. Figure 8 in the paper brings the Teele and Thelen data in as a control variable. Appendix 4 incorporates the Teele and Thelen data as we extend our statistical analysis.

## 4) Citation Counts: Kim/Grofman Dataset

As we were finishing this study, we learned of Hannah Kim and Bernard Grofman’s data on citations, which like our data includes scholarly names and gender. Kim and Grofman coded 133 PhD granting institutions; a subset of the 334 institutions in our Baseline dataset. Future scholars may also be interested to know that the KG data includes details on degree institution and year. Given that our dataset is significantly larger, we could not usefully incorporate this information.

We first added Kim and Grofman’s top 400 most cited scholars Appendix (Kim and Grofman 2019a). Their appendix includes only active faculty, and it excludes political scientists based at policy schools. Our statistical analysis draws on the top 400 most cited active faculty. Later we were given their entire dataset, which includes Emeritus faculty. We drew on their full dataset when we compared accrued status and citation levels in Figure 10, and in Appendix 5. We discovered that there is not 100% congruence between the published appendix and the dataset; the published Kim Grofman work has approximately five names that the dataset lacks.

The figures below report some gender dimensions Kim and Grofman did not report in their published work. Our Baseline dataset finds that women comprise 29 percent of tenure-line + Emeritus faculty at PhD granting institutions. Women comprise 27.7 percent of the KG dataset; of the scholars with at least one citation, 26 percent are women. Appendix 1 Figure 3 shows that women capture 17.4 % of all citations in the KG dataset, and that the average citation level for women with at least one citation is significantly lower than the average citation level for men with at least one citation. Together this figure suggests what all studies also find; citations are an extremely gendered metric (Maliniak, Powers, and Walter 2013, Kim and Grofman 2019a, Dion, Sumner, and Mitchell 2018, Tatalovich and Frendreis 2018, 8, Kim and Grofman 2019b).

Appendix 1 Figure 4 reports on the findings of “top citation earnings” for active faculty, breaking down the top category by top 100, top 200, top 300 and top 400. This figure demonstrates to “top citation-getter” lists perpetuate a male status hierarchy, generating results that are even more gender skewed than our “Leader” and “Honor” categories (Alter et al. 2020, Table 2).

#### Appendix 1 Figure 3: Kim/Grofman citation data by gender

Source: Kim/Grofman dataset supplied to authors.

#### Appendix 1 Figure 4: Kim/Grofman top citation earners by gender (active faculty only) (2017)

Source: Kim/Grofman dataset supplied to authors.

## 5) Baseline dataset institutions coded

The 334 American PhD granting institutions we consulted were selected based on the Carnegie classification system (<http://carnegieclassifications.iu.edu/descriptions/basic.php>) (Visited December 2014)).

Appendix 1 Table 1: Research Institutions with Very High research levels (RU/VH; n= 115)  
Note: Some of these institutions differ from those in the R1 list from the Center for Postsecondary Research)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| name | city | state |
| Arizona State University-Tempe | Tempe | AZ |
| Boston College | Chestnut Hill | MA |
| Boston University | Boston | MA |
| Brandeis University | Waltham | MA |
| Brown University | Providence | RI |
| California Institute of Technology | Pasadena | CA |
| Carnegie Mellon University | Pittsburgh | PA |
| Case Western Reserve University | Cleveland | OH |
| Clemson University | Clemson | SC |
| Colorado State University-Fort Collins | Fort Collins | CO |
| Columbia University in the City of New York | New York | NY |
| Cornell University | Ithaca | NY |
| CUNY Graduate School and University Center | New York | NY |
| Duke University | Durham | NC |
| Emory University | Atlanta | GA |
| Florida International University | Miami | FL |
| Florida State University | Tallahassee | FL |
| George Mason University | Fairfax | VA |
| George Washington University | Washington | DC |
| Georgetown University | Washington | DC |
| Georgia Institute of Technology-Main Campus | Atlanta | GA |
| Georgia State University | Atlanta | GA |
| Harvard University | Cambridge | MA |
| Indiana University-Bloomington | Bloomington | IN |
| Iowa State University | Ames | IA |
| Johns Hopkins University | Baltimore | MD |
| Kansas State University | Manhattan | KS |
| Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College | Baton Rouge | LA |
| Massachusetts Institute of Technology | Cambridge | MA |
| Michigan State University | East Lansing | MI |
| New York University | New York | NY |
| North Carolina State University at Raleigh | Raleigh | NC |
| Northeastern University | Boston | MA |
| Northwestern University | Evanston | IL |
| Ohio State University-Main Campus | Columbus | OH |
| Oregon State University | Corvallis | OR |
| Pennsylvania State University-Main Campus | University Park | PA |
| Princeton University | Princeton | NJ |
| Purdue University-Main Campus | West Lafayette | IN |
| Rice University | Houston | TX |
| Rutgers University-New Brunswick | New Brunswick | NJ |
| Stanford University | Stanford | CA |
| Stony Brook University | Stony Brook | NY |
| SUNY at Albany | Albany | NY |
| Syracuse University | Syracuse | NY |
| Temple University | Philadelphia | PA |
| Texas A & M University-College Station | College Station | TX |
| Texas Tech University | Lubbock | TX |
| The University of Tennessee-Knoxville | Knoxville | TN |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | Arlington | TX |
| The University of Texas at Austin | Austin | TX |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | Richardson | TX |
| Tufts University | Medford | MA |
| Tulane University of Louisiana | New Orleans | LA |
| University at Buffalo | Buffalo | NY |
| University of Alabama at Birmingham | Birmingham | AL |
| University of Arizona | Tucson | AZ |
| University of Arkansas | Fayetteville | AR |
| University of California-Berkeley | Berkeley | CA |
| University of California-Davis | Davis | CA |
| University of California-Irvine | Irvine | CA |
| University of California-Los Angeles | Los Angeles | CA |
| University of California-Riverside | Riverside | CA |
| University of California-San Diego | La Jolla | CA |
| University of California-Santa Barbara | Santa Barbara | CA |
| University of California-Santa Cruz | Santa Cruz | CA |
| University of Central Florida | Orlando | FL |
| University of Chicago | Chicago | IL |
| University of Cincinnati-Main Campus | Cincinnati | OH |
| University of Colorado Boulder | Boulder | CO |
| University of Connecticut | Storrs | CT |
| University of Delaware | Newark | DE |
| University of Florida | Gainesville | FL |
| University of Georgia | Athens | GA |
| University of Hawaii at Manoa | Honolulu | HI |
| University of Houston | Houston | TX |
| University of Illinois at Chicago | Chicago | IL |
| University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign | Champaign | IL |
| University of Iowa | Iowa City | IA |
| University of Kansas | Lawrence | KS |
| University of Kentucky | Lexington | KY |
| University of Louisville | Louisville | KY |
| University of Maryland-College Park | College Park | MD |
| University of Massachusetts-Amherst | Amherst | MA |
| University of Miami | Coral Gables | FL |
| University of Michigan-Ann Arbor | Ann Arbor | MI |
| University of Minnesota-Twin Cities | Minneapolis | MN |
| University of Mississippi | University | MS |
| University of Missouri-Columbia | Columbia | MO |
| University of Nebraska-Lincoln | Lincoln | NE |
| University of New Mexico-Main Campus | Albuquerque | NM |
| University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill | Chapel Hill | NC |
| University of North Texas | Denton | TX |
| University of Notre Dame | Notre Dame | IN |
| University of Oklahoma-Norman Campus | Norman | OK |
| University of Oregon | Eugene | OR |
| University of Pennsylvania | Philadelphia | PA |
| University of Pittsburgh-Pittsburgh Campus | Pittsburgh | PA |
| University of Rochester | Rochester | NY |
| University of South Carolina-Columbia | Columbia | SC |
| University of South Florida-Main Campus | Tampa | FL |
| University of Southern California | Los Angeles | CA |
| University of Utah | Salt Lake City | UT |
| University of Virginia-Main Campus | Charlottesville | VA |
| University of Washington-Seattle Campus | Seattle | WA |
| University of Wisconsin-Madison | Madison | WI |
| University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee | Milwaukee | WI |
| Vanderbilt University | Nashville | TN |
| Virginia Commonwealth University | Richmond | VA |
| Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University | Blacksburg | VA |
| Washington State University | Pullman | WA |
| Washington University in St Louis | Saint Louis | MO |
| Wayne State University | Detroit | MI |
| West Virginia University | Morgantown | WV |
| Yale University | New Haven | CT |

#### Appendix 1 Table 2:Research Institutions with Higher Research Levels (RU/H N= 107)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| name | city | state |
| American University | Washington | DC |
| Auburn University | Auburn University | AL |
| Augusta University | Augusta | GA |
| Ball State University | Muncie | IN |
| Baylor University | Waco | TX |
| Bowling Green State University-Main Campus | Bowling Green | OH |
| Brigham Young University-Provo | Provo | UT |
| Catholic University of America | Washington | DC |
| Central Michigan University | Mount Pleasant | MI |
| Claremont Graduate University | Claremont | CA |
| Clark Atlanta University | Atlanta | GA |
| Cleveland State University | Cleveland | OH |
| College of William and Mary | Williamsburg | VA |
| Colorado School of Mines | Golden | CO |
| Dartmouth College | Hanover | NH |
| Drexel University | Philadelphia | PA |
| Duquesne University | Pittsburgh | PA |
| East Carolina University | Greenville | NC |
| Florida Agricultural and Mechanical University | Tallahassee | FL |
| Florida Atlantic University | Boca Raton | FL |
| Florida Institute of Technology | Melbourne | FL |
| Fordham University | Bronx | NY |
| Howard University | Washington | DC |
| Illinois Institute of Technology | Chicago | IL |
| Illinois State University | Normal | IL |
| Indiana University-Purdue University-Indianapolis | Indianapolis | IN |
| Jackson State University | Jackson | MS |
| Kent State University at Kent | Kent | OH |
| Lehigh University | Bethlehem | PA |
| Loyola University Chicago | Chicago | IL |
| Marquette University | Milwaukee | WI |
| Miami University-Oxford | Oxford | OH |
| Michigan Technological University | Houghton | MI |
| Mississippi State University | Mississippi State | MS |
| Missouri University of Science and Technology | Rolla | MO |
| Montana State University | Bozeman | MT |
| Naval Postgraduate School | Monterey | CA |
| New Jersey Institute of Technology | Newark | NJ |
| New Mexico State University-Main Campus | Las Cruces | NM |
| North Carolina A & T State University | Greensboro | NC |
| North Dakota State University-Main Campus | Fargo | ND |
| Northern Arizona University | Flagstaff | AZ |
| Northern Illinois University | Dekalb | IL |
| Nova Southeastern University | Fort Lauderdale | FL |
| Ohio University-Main Campus | Athens | OH |
| Oklahoma State University-Main Campus | Stillwater | OK |
| Old Dominion University | Norfolk | VA |
| Portland State University | Portland | OR |
| Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute | Troy | NY |
| Rockefeller University | New York | NY |
| Rutgers University-Newark | Newark | NJ |
| Saint Louis University | Saint Louis | MO |
| San Diego State University | San Diego | CA |
| South Dakota State University | Brookings | SD |
| Southern Illinois University-Carbondale | Carbondale | IL |
| Southern Methodist University | Dallas | TX |
| Stevens Institute of Technology | Hoboken | NJ |
| SUNY at Binghamton | Vestal | NY |
| Teachers College at Columbia University | New York | NY |
| Texas A & M University-Commerce | Commerce | TX |
| Texas Christian University | Fort Worth | TX |
| Texas State University | San Marcos | TX |
| The New School | New York | NY |
| The University of Alabama | Tuscaloosa | AL |
| The University of Montana | Missoula | MT |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | El Paso | TX |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | San Antonio | TX |
| University of Akron Main Campus | Akron | OH |
| University of Alabama in Huntsville | Huntsville | AL |
| University of Alaska Fairbanks | Fairbanks | AK |
| University of California-Merced | Merced | CA |
| University of Colorado Denver | Denver | CO |
| University of Dayton | Dayton | OH |
| University of Denver | Denver | CO |
| University of Idaho | Moscow | ID |
| University of Louisiana at Lafayette | Lafayette | LA |
| University of Maine | Orono | ME |
| University of Maryland-Baltimore County | Baltimore | MD |
| University of Massachusetts-Boston | Boston | MA |
| University of Massachusetts-Dartmouth | North Dartmouth | MA |
| University of Massachusetts-Lowell | Lowell | MA |
| University of Memphis | Memphis | TN |
| University of Missouri-Kansas City | Kansas City | MO |
| University of Missouri-St Louis | Saint Louis | MO |
| University of Nevada-Las Vegas | Las Vegas | NV |
| University of Nevada-Reno | Reno | NV |
| University of New Hampshire-Main Campus | Durham | NH |
| University of New Orleans | New Orleans | LA |
| University of North Carolina at Charlotte | Charlotte | NC |
| University of North Carolina at Greensboro | Greensboro | NC |
| University of North Dakota | Grand Forks | ND |
| University of Northern Colorado | Greeley | CO |
| University of Puerto Rico-Rio Piedras | San Juan | PR |
| University of Rhode Island | Kingston | RI |
| University of South Alabama | Mobile | AL |
| University of South Dakota | Vermillion | SD |
| University of Southern Mississippi | Hattiesburg | MS |
| University of Toledo | Toledo | OH |
| University of Tulsa | Tulsa | OK |
| University of Vermont | Burlington | VT |
| University of Wyoming | Laramie | WY |
| Utah State University | Logan | UT |
| Wake Forest University | Winston Salem | NC |
| Western Michigan University | Kalamazoo | MI |
| Wichita State University | Wichita | KS |
| Worcester Polytechnic Institute | Worcester | MA |
| Yeshiva University | New York | NY |

#### Appendix 1 Table 3: Research Institutions with Moderate Research Activity (DRU) Category (N=112)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| name | city | state |
| Adelphi University | Garden City | NY |
| Air Force Institute of Technology-Graduate School of Engineering & Management | Wright-Patterson AFB | OH |
| Alliant International University-San Diego | San Diego | CA |
| American International College | Springfield | MA |
| Andrews University | Berrien Springs | MI |
| Argosy University-Atlanta | Atlanta | GA |
| Argosy University-Chicago | Chicago | IL |
| Argosy University-Denver | Denver | CO |
| Argosy University-Inland Empire | Ontario | CA |
| Argosy University-Orange County | Orange | CA |
| Argosy University-Phoenix Online Division | Phoenix | AZ |
| Argosy University-Sarasota | Sarasota | FL |
| Argosy University-Tampa | Tampa | FL |
| Arizona State University-Downtown Phoenix | Phoenix | AZ |
| Arizona State University-Skysong | Scottsdale | AZ |
| Ashland University | Ashland | OH |
| Aspen University | Denver | CO |
| Azusa Pacific University | Azusa | CA |
| Barry University | Miami | FL |
| Benedictine University | Lisle | IL |
| Biola University | La Mirada | CA |
| Boise State University | Boise | ID |
| California Institute of Integral Studies | San Francisco | CA |
| California State University-Fresno | Fresno | CA |
| California State University-Fullerton | Fullerton | CA |
| Capella University | Minneapolis | MN |
| Cardinal Stritch University | Milwaukee | WI |
| Clark University | Worcester | MA |
| Clarkson University | Potsdam | NY |
| Dallas Baptist University | Dallas | TX |
| DePaul University | Chicago | IL |
| East Tennessee State University | Johnson City | TN |
| Eastern Michigan University | Ypsilanti | MI |
| Edgewood College | Madison | WI |
| Fielding Graduate University | Santa Barbara | CA |
| Gardner-Webb University | Boiling Springs | NC |
| Georgia Southern University | Statesboro | GA |
| Grand Canyon University | Phoenix | AZ |
| Hofstra University | Hempstead | NY |
| Idaho State University | Pocatello | ID |
| Immaculata University | Immaculata | PA |
| Indiana State University | Terre Haute | IN |
| Indiana University of Pennsylvania-Main Campus | Indiana | PA |
| Inter American University of Puerto Rico-Metro | San Juan | PR |
| Kennesaw State University | Kennesaw | GA |
| Lamar University | Beaumont | TX |
| Lesley University | Cambridge | MA |
| Liberty University | Lynchburg | VA |
| Lindenwood University | Saint Charles | MO |
| Lipscomb University | Nashville | TN |
| Louisiana Tech University | Ruston | LA |
| Maryville University of Saint Louis | Saint Louis | MO |
| Mayo Graduate School | Rochester | MN |
| Mercer University | Macon | GA |
| Middle Tennessee State University | Murfreesboro | TN |
| Montclair State University | Montclair | NJ |
| Morgan State University | Baltimore | MD |
| National Louis University | Chicago | IL |
| Northcentral University | Prescott Valley | AZ |
| Oakland University | Rochester Hills | MI |
| Pace University-New York | New York | NY |
| Pepperdine University | Malibu | CA |
| Pontifical Catholic University of Puerto Rico-Ponce | Ponce | PR |
| Prairie View A & M University | Prairie View | TX |
| Regent University | Virginia Beach | VA |
| Robert Morris University | Moon Township | PA |
| Rochester Institute of Technology | Rochester | NY |
| Rowan University | Glassboro | NJ |
| Saint John Fisher College | Rochester | NY |
| Sam Houston State University | Huntsville | TX |
| San Francisco State University | San Francisco | CA |
| Seattle Pacific University | Seattle | WA |
| Seton Hall University | South Orange | NJ |
| Shenandoah University | Winchester | VA |
| Spalding University | Louisville | KY |
| St John's University-New York | Queens | NY |
| Suffolk University | Boston | MA |
| SUNY College of Environmental Science and Forestry | Syracuse | NY |
| Tennessee State University | Nashville | TN |
| Tennessee Technological University | Cookeville | TN |
| Texas A & M University-Corpus Christi | Corpus Christi | TX |
| Texas A & M University-Kingsville | Kingsville | TX |
| Texas Southern University | Houston | TX |
| Texas Woman's University | Denton | TX |
| The University of Texas - Rio Grande Valley | Edinburg | TX |
| The University of West Florida | Pensacola | FL |
| Trevecca Nazarene University | Nashville | TN |
| Trident University International | Cypress | CA |
| Trinity International University-Illinois | Deerfield | IL |
| Union Institute & University | Cincinnati | OH |
| Union University | Jackson | TN |
| Universidad Del Turabo | Gurabo | PR |
| University of Arkansas at Little Rock | Little Rock | AR |
| University of Hartford | West Hartford | CT |
| University of La Verne | La Verne | CA |
| University of Louisiana at Monroe | Monroe | LA |
| University of Management and Technology | Arlington | VA |
| University of Maryland Eastern Shore | Princess Anne | MD |
| University of Nebraska at Omaha | Omaha | NE |
| University of Phoenix-Arizona | Tempe | AZ |
| University of San Diego | San Diego | CA |
| University of San Francisco | San Francisco | CA |
| University of St Thomas-Saint Paul | Saint Paul | MN |
| University of the Cumberlands | Williamsburg | KY |
| University of the Pacific | Stockton | CA |
| University of West Georgia | Carrollton | GA |
| Valdosta State University | Valdosta | GA |
| Villanova University | Villanova | PA |
| Walden University | Minneapolis | MN |
| Widener University-Main Campus | Chester | PA |
| Wilmington University | New Castle | DE |
| Wright State University-Main Campus | Dayton | OH |

# Appendix 2: APSA and Baseline Datasets Compared

Aggregated data of APSA membership is from 2014, whereas our Baseline data is from 2016. If we include only active plus emeritus faculty, the APSA data is slightly smaller (n=6002) compared to the Baseline dataset (n=6696), and the APSA data includes more assistant professors and fewer associate, full and emeritus professors. Presumably this difference is because tenured faculty stop attending the annual meeting, and thus they do not renew their APSA membership. PhD granting institutions have more female junior faculty, but overall gender balances do not differ significantly.

#### Appendix 2 Figure 1: APSA (2014) and Baseline (2016) data compared by rank

Source: APSA Membership Data N=6002; Alter et al. Baseline Dataset N=6696

Appendix 2 Figure 2: APSA (2014) and Baseline (2016) data compared by rank and gender

Source: APSA Membership Data N=6002; Alter et al. Baseline Dataset N=6696

# Appendix 3: Gender in the Journals, Deeper Analysis

To save space, we truncated our findings in our research on gender and journals. This appendix discusses our deeper investigations into gender representation in political science journals. We drew on the published data from Teele and Thelen (2017) regarding publication rates of female authors, and interviewed by email or Skype recent or past editors of ten journals, asking how they selected editorial board members, how they used their editorial boards, and what sources of accountability exist for their journal.[[9]](#footnote-9) We also investigated how the focus on gender in the journals has affected editorial board composition.

### Before a focus on gender in the journals, how gender balanced were editorial boards?

Our first question was whether editorial teams (editors + associate editors + boards) reflected the gender balances of faculty publishing in a journal (drawn from Teele and Thelen’s published data). Appendix 3 Table 1 reports this finding. Yellow shading suggests that women are underrepresented on the editorial board compared to their publishing levels, which implies a failure to draw leadership from the contributors to the journals (for journals lacking publication data, we relied on our Baseline dataset for the gender baseline estimate). Orange shading suggests that women are overrepresented compared to their publishing levels, which may imply an effort to boost female presence in the journal. No shading suggests that women are not appreciably over or underrepresented. The figure suggests that certain journals (*Comparative Politics, Comparative Political Studies, Journal of Conflict Resolution)* underrepresented women on editorial boards compared to the overall professorate, and in comparison to the publication rates for female scholars in the journal. For *APSR, Journal of Politics, Perspective on Politics, and Political Theory* the data might suggest an overrepresentation of women in comparison to the baseline and the publication rates.

#### Appendix 3 Table 1: Share of women on editorial teams compared to share of articles published (2000-2015)

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Journals | articles (#)  (Teele & Thelen) | % women authors  (Teele & Thelen) | % women on editorial team  (Status dataset) |
| AJPS | 909 | 18.02% | 28.41% |
| APSR | 634 | 23.43% | 38.51% |
| CP | 318 | 31.46% | 17.95% |
| CPS | 823 | 32.17% | 12.72% |
| IO | 349 | 23.64% | 28.60% |
| JCR | 372 | 23.60% | 18.41% |
| JOP | 1053 | 22.91% | 37.22% |
| POP | 611 | 33.55% | 40.74% |
| PT | 629 | 33.74% | 45.12% |
| WP | 250 | 24.41% | 29.48% |
| POQ | NA | NA | 25.32% |
| APR | NA | NA | 28.96% |
| PA | NA | NA | 18.13% |
| IS | NA | NA | 16.57% |
| PB | NA | NA | 31.67% |

AJPS=*American Journal of Political Science,* APR=*American Political Research,* APSR=*American Political Science Review,* CP=*Comparative Politics*, CPS=*Comparative Political Studies*, IO=*International Organization,* IS=*International Security*, JCR=*Journal of Conflict Resolution,* JOP=*Journal of Politics,* PA=*Political Analysis*, POP=*Perspective on Politics*, POQ=*Public Opinion Quarterly*, PT=*Political Theory,* WP=*World Politics.* \*CPS: Missing data from 2011, 2012, 2015; POP journal founded in 2003; APR: Missing data from 2000, 2015.

Yellow = women underrepresented in proportion to publishing; Orange = women overrepresented on board in proportion to publishing. No shading represents no appreciable over or under representation of women.

### Did a focus on gender in the journals affect gender balances?

We were also interested in whether the focus on gender in the journals has affected the composition of editorial teams (editors + associate editors + boards). Our coding of editorial boards revealed that some boards rarely change, while others may change significantly when editors change (The number of observations reported in the article Figure 5 reflects this reality. For example, coding fifteen years of editorial boards generated 333 observations for APSR, compared to 11 observations for CP and 57 observations for IS.)

Appendix 3 Table 2 compares the longitudinal data with a single updated year (2017). With the exception of IS, all of the journals increased female representation in editorial teams (editors + associate editors + boards). *International Security* and the *Journal of Conflict Resolution* remain male dominated, but for the orange boxes, the 2017 increase suggests an over-compensation where female representation is greater than our baseline expectation. If the journals were super-sizing female representation to change the composition of submissions to the journal, this change makes sense. Otherwise, one might see overrepresentation as a form of over-servicing.

#### Appendix 3 Table 2: Share of women on editorial teams compared across time

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Journals | % women on editorial team (2000-2015) | # editorial team (2017) | % women editorial team (2017) |
| AJPS | 28.41% | 82 | 30.49% |
| APSR | 38.51% | 83 | 62.65% |
| CP | 17.95% | 10 | 30.00% |
| CPS | 12.72% | 73 | 43.24% |
| IO | 28.60% | 40 | 42.50% |
| JCR | 18.41% | 31 | 22.58% |
| JOP | 37.22% | 93 | 38.71% |
| POP | 40.74% | 34 | 44.12% |
| PT | 45.12% | 14 | 57.14% |
| WP | 29.48% | 35 | 31.43% |
| POQ | 25.32% | 43 | 25.59% |
| APR | 28.96% | 43 | 29.23% |
| PA | 18.13% | 40 | 30% |
| IS | 16.57% | 52 | 15.38% |
| PB | 31.67% | 63 | 50.79% |

Source: Alter et al. Status dataset. 2017 data was collected for this table. Yellow = women underrepresented in proportion to baseline; Orange = women overrepresented on board in proportion to baseline. No shading represents no appreciable over or under representation of women.

### How do Editors and Editorial Boards contribute to journal leadership? Is there accountability?

Some reviewers of our article questioned whether editorial boards provide any meaningful leadership, noting that for some editorial boards, faculty serve on the masthead and do little more. To get at this question, we interviewed ten editors of the journals we coded (see Note 9 for more). We asked very open-ended questions, in the hopes that Editors would offer their own thoughts on Editorial board leadership.

The short answer is that with a few exceptions (where by-laws or institutional oversight create guidelines), Editors determine how they draw on their boards. The Editors we spoke with mostly view their boards, senior advisors and associate editors as a means to make their job easier. Editors also noted that they are given almost no direction on how to do their job. Publishers do not provide meaningful oversight, and some journals have operated for years with little to no oversight. A lack of guidance perhaps reduces the editorial job to very practical terms: operating the review process with integrity; publishing on time; and policing the quality of what appears in the journal. Editors also noted that they mostly relied on their predecessors to guide them, creating a fairly unreflective path dependence in journal leadership (and in some cases, a lack of diversity or change). There were, however, some clear exceptions where Editor changes were intended to impart new ideas into the journal.

*How do editors choose their Board?*

*International Organization* was the only journal where the board selected their own members (by voting). Otherwise Editorial teams (Editors and Associate Editors) composed the board. Editors explained that their primary concern was to have a board that provides helpful and timely reviews. All of the editors noted that diversity is a goal, but diversity mostly meant methodological, issue area, and sometimes geographic diversity. Some editors then also consider seniority, racial and gender diversity. The editors of *Comparative Political Studies* noted that prioritizing gender, geography and method can cut in opposing directions, since it can be difficult to bring in senior female scholars from some parts of the world.

Turnover on the board is also based on the discretion of the Editor. Some boards have terms and term limits. A big driver of change, however, is the composition of the manuscripts that are submitted. Editors need expertise to assess submissions; they use the board to create this expertise. Thus to a large extent, the submissions pool shapes Editor decisions about board change.

*Is there any oversight of the Editor?*

Some editorial boards have senior leadership committees that are mostly a touchstone to support the Editor. When approached, these advisors offer guidance. But it is up to the Editor to ask the leadership board for help. Otherwise, oversight takes the form of periodic (often yearly) meetings where the Editor might submit a report, and the board might ask questions.

Some editors voiced concern about the lack of oversight. Some editorial boards never meet as a group, but this is not universally the case. Still, for most journals, editorial boards provide weak oversight. Some editors mostly use the board as a reviewer pool; others selectively turn to board members for feedback on certain decisions; others create formal reports that are reviewed and discussed by their editorial board. Meanwhile the publishing press basically provides no substantive oversight.

Flagship journals of academic associations (APSR, PoP, and POQ) are accountable to the Association’s leadership, although the level of oversight varies. These oversight bodies have taken an interest in how the journals address issues of fundamental concern to the discipline, such as data replicability and diversity in methods. To the extent that journals are building status, leadership and oversight might be important ways in which political scientists can shape how status is produced via publishing and leadership in top journals.

*Final thoughts:*

For the most part, the Editors we spoke with see their job in practical terms. Processing submissions, interfacing with publishers, managing staff; and overseeing the publication process is their primary job. A “good editor” does this job responsibly and efficiently, drawing on their board to publish quality work based on the pool of submissions they receive.

It may be unfair to ask Editors to solve the problems scholars are finding with their journals. Most journals operate on a shoe-string budget. There are trade-offs in how staff time is spent (focused on production? ensuring that the data is replicable? studying publication patterns in the journal?) Meanwhile, Editors are also faculty members and scholars (and their staff may be mostly students). Editors do not necessarily have time to think about how to change the composition of submissions.

Associations like APSA and ISA have committees that oversee journals. If there is going to be a course correction, a set of “best practices” that we might ask Editors and Editorial boards to follow, it will likely come from these sources. APSA and ISA have no ability to impose their visions on journals that are ‘owned’ by publishers, but the Editors we spoke with were generally unaware of best practices or guidelines they might choose to follow.

# Appendix 4: Additional Statistical Analysis

This appendix supplements the statistical analysis from Part IV where we replicate the Reagle and Rhue missing person’s analysis (Reagle and Rhue 2011) and explore the connection between gender and section leadership. The Combined dataset merges our Status and Baseline datasets with the Teele and Thelen dataset (see Appendix 1 for details). For this appendix, we look at the role gender plays in connection to status, asking how much we can infer about someone’s level of status based on their gender with the idea being to question how substantial the gender differences truly are.

Appendix 4 Table 1 illustrates that for the Baseline dataset members, knowing that someone is a man is not helpful in predicting whether that individual is in the Status dataset. We can interpret this to mean that entry into the status dataset is not gendered.

#### Appendix 4 Table 1: Comparing gender in Combined versus Baseline datasets

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Combined dataset | Baseline dataset | |
| Men | 0.0978 | | -0.0258 |
|  | (0.0561) | | (0.0755) |
| Intercept | -1.686\*\*\* | | -1.609\*\*\* |
|  | (0.0475) | | (0.0635) |
| Num. obs. | 11,309 | | 6,147 |
| Standard errors in parentheses. Baseline dataset analysis excludes non-tenure line individuals.  \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05 | | | |

To take the analysis one step further, we then consider the weighted level of status an individual reaches, relative to being a man or woman. We also vary whether we include data on section leadership. Appendix 4 Table 2 illustrates the results using a multinomial logit specification. In this analysis, we find that while the Baseline members have greater gender parity compared to the Combined dataset, the same trends of men being present at the higher levels persist. Appendix 4 Tables 2 and 3 illustrate the relationship between gender and status level. We include the same analysis excluding section leadership (Appendix 4 Table 2) and including section leadership (Appendix 4 Table 3) to illustrate the robustness of the findings that men are present at the highest status levels and to demonstrate the role that section leadership plays for bringing individuals into the Status dataset. All comparisons are relative to a ‘comparison case’ of someone who is absent in the status dataset.

At the Committee status level, knowing that someone is a man is not helpful in predicting whether that individual has that level of status in Table 2, but it is statistically significant in Table 3—here, we have many women who are present only in the section leadership portion relative to male colleagues. Thus, it is women who are holding these section positions. We see that being a man is negatively associated with being an officer member (thus, women are more likely to hold this position) relative to individuals not included in the Status dataset, a result that is statistically significant for the Combined dataset, but does not hold when focusing exclusively on Baseline dataset members. Additionally, for the highest level of status, knowing that someone is a man is not only helpful (statistically significant), but it is positively associated with having the highest level of status when comparing them to individuals who are not in the Status dataset. We see a similar but even stronger effect when focusing on individuals in the Baseline dataset: here, men are predominantly at the top ‘tier’ of status while women are in the two lower levels.

While this may simply be measuring the effect of a time lag in some sense, a second way to look at this information is to weigh it relative to the Combined dataset. Overall, among American PhD-granting institutions we see women leaning in and that this leaning achieves lower weighted levels of status without leading to weighted category 3 status. However, in the larger picture, women in the Combined dataset are less likely to be present at any level. This raises a number of questions about how and when women are able to move to the highest weighted level of status.

#### Appendix 4 Table 2: Comparing gender in Combined versus Baseline datasets: multinomial logit analysis

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Combined dataset** | | | **Baseline dataset** | | |
|  | **Committee** | **Officer** | **Leader/**  **Honor** | **Committee** | **Officer** | **Leader/**  **Honor** |
| Men | -0.0782 | -0.311\* | 0.668\*\*\* | -0.175 | -0.333 | 0.532\*\*\* |
|  | (0.0700) | (0.138) | (0.109) | (0.0915) | (0.189) | (0.156) |
| Intercept | -2.142\*\*\* | -3.507\*\*\* | -3.277\*\*\* | -2.008\*\*\* | -3.500\*\*\* | -3.336\*\*\* |
|  | (0.0579) | (0.110) | (0.0985) | (0.0753) | (0.151) | (0.140) |
| Num. obs. | 11,309 | 11,309 | 11,309 | 6,147 | 6,147 | 6,147 |
| Standard errors in parentheses. Baseline dataset analysis excludes non-tenure line individuals.  \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05 | | | | | | |

In Table 3, below, we see the same types of relationships we observed before, but with a stronger statistical association. Section leadership seems to be a key difference between who is present at which level. The key distinction here is that we’re including the section-only individuals (775 in number).

#### Appendix 4 Table 3: Comparing gender in Combined versus Baseline datasets: multinomial logit analysis (section leadership included)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Combined dataset** | | | **Baseline dataset** | | |
|  | **Committee** | **Officer** | **Leader/**  **Honor** | **Committee** | **Officer** | **Leader/**  **Honor** |
| Men | -0.264\*\*\* | -0.351\* | 0.628\*\*\* | -0.175\* | -0.342 | 0.523\*\* |
|  | (0.0545) | (0.139) | (0.109) | (0.0776) | (0.189) | (0.156) |
| Intercept | -1.378\*\*\* | -3.393\*\*\* | -3.163\*\*\* | -1.550\*\*\* | -3.433\*\*\* | -3.270\*\*\* |
|  | (0.0443) | (0.110) | (0.0987) | (0.0640) | (0.151) | (0.140) |
| Num. obs. | 11,309 | 11,309 | 11,309 | 6,147 | 6,147 | 6,147 |
| Standard errors in parentheses. Baseline dataset analysis excludes non-tenure line individuals. | | | | | | |
| \*\*\*p < 0.001, \*\*p < 0.01, \*p < 0.05 | | | | | | |

Our next table replicates the results above, but considers status as an ordered variable. We see that being a man is positively associated with moving to higher weighted levels of status, should the status be represented as an ordered variable. Additionally, we see the ‘cut points’ as statistically significant, indicating that there is indeed a difference between the levels of status individuals ￼￼obtain. Here, we focus on overall status level reached.

#### Appendix 4 Table 4: Comparing gender in Combined versus Baseline datasets: replicating analysis with ordinal logit

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | (1) | (2) |
| VARIABLES | Combined Dataset | Baseline Dataset |
|  |  |  |
| Male | 0.123\* | -0.00205 |
|  | (0.0559) | (0.0752) |
| /cut1 | 1.704\* | 1.626\*\*\* |
|  | (0.0473) | (0.0632) |
| /cut2 | 2.632\*\*\* | 2.669\*\*\* |
|  | (0.0540) | (0.0740) |
| /cut3 | 2.982\*\* | 3.064\*\* |
|  | (0.0582) | (0.0814) |
|  |  |  |
| Observations | 11,309 | 6,147 |

Standard errors in parentheses. Baseline dataset analysis excludes non-tenure line individuals.

\*\*\* p<0.001, \*\* p<0.01, \* p<0.05

# Appendix 5: Top Cumulative Status Earners

This appendix provides a list of the top accrued status earners. To compile this list, we added the weighted value scores of each scholar (the article’s Table 1 provides the weighting), excluding section leadership. We then conduct an inverse of this analysis, considering who is a top citation earner but not a top accrued status earner. We encourage those who are curious enough to consult this appendix to first think about the scholars they hold in high esteem. If a scholar is not listed in this Appendix’s Table 1, the scholar is not contributing leadership to the discipline, at least not for the peak institutions we coded. If the scholar is in Table 2, they might be a top citation earner who contributes little leadership or honor recognition, at least within the peak institutions we coded.

Appendix 5 Table 1 notes everyone with 4 or more status points (independent of section leadership) (n=396). We also report on section leadership. A score that included section leadership would add the two columns. Because the following scholars have three or more section leadership positions, including section leadership would significantly change their cumulated status rankings: Bermeo, Box-Steffensmeier, Breuning, Druckman, Franzese, Gerber, Hall, Iyengar, Jacoby, Jerit, Jones-Correa, Maoz, Mutz, Pinderhughes, Schrodt, Solingen, Thelen and Ward.

Appendix 5 Table 1 also includes the Kim/Grofman (KG) citation data (see Appendix 1 for more information on the KG data source; note that we are drawing from the full dataset, which includes emeritus faculty and faculty). For some scholars, citation information was not available (NA) in the KG data. The NAs account for 33 percent of our top status earners (N=132); these scholars are not coded either because they are based at a policy school, at an institution not coded by KG, or they are deceased.

If we restrict the analysis to the overlap between the KG and our top 396, 36% of the top status earners are also top citation earners (20% women, 44% men). Perusing the names with an NA instead of citation data, it is easy to guess that the overlap between top citation earners and top status individuals is probably even higher. This fact suggests that there is no inherent tradeoff between providing leadership and producing noteworthy work. Meanwhile, the section information reveals that top status individuals often also provide section leadership.

Appendix 5 Table 2 reports on the KG top active faculty citation earners who do not appear on our top status earner list. We include the number of citations, status points, and section leadership. Status total includes all non-section leadership. When we include section leadership, 41 % of the top citation earners also–according to our metrics–have external status recognition beyond citations. Of the remaining 236 top 400 scholars without 4 or more status points, 28 (11.9%) are women, and 88.1% are men. This gender difference suggests, again, that the path to male status–as measured by citations–may involve very little professional leadership. We can look at the table as a means to evaluate (a) how closely ‘status’ aligns with ‘citations’ and (b) how much section work is being done by whom.

#### Appendix 5 Table 1: Scholars with 4 or more weighted status points (section leadership excluded, N=396)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Last Name | First Name | Gender | Status Total (no section leadership) | Section leadership  positions | Citation Count | |
| Putnam | Robert | Man | 22 | 0 | 175198 | |
| Keohane | Robert | Man | 22 | 0 | 89856 | |
| Axelrod | Robert | Man | 22 | 0 | 71958 | |
| Lupia | Arthur | Man | 21 | 2 | 11317 | |
| Simmons | Beth | Woman | 21 | 0 | 15780 | |
| De Mesquita | Bruce Bueno | Man | 20 | 2 | 18614 | |
| King | Gary | Man | 17 | 1 | 62048 | |
| Skocpol | Theda | Woman | 17 | 1 | 47410 | |
| Brady | Henry | Man | 17 | 1 | 24855 | |
| Doyle | Michael W. | Man | 16 | 0 | 14757 | |
| Sikkink | Kathryn | Woman | 16 | 0 | NA | |
| Lake | David A. | Man | 15 | 1 | 13744 | |
| Jervis | Robert J | Man | 15 | 0 | 24409 | |
| Katzenstein | Peter J . | Man | 15 | 0 | 28166 | |
| Verba | Sidney | Man | 15 | 0 | 70059 | |
| Milner | Helen | Woman | 15 | 0 | 16711 | |
| Pateman | Carole | Woman | 14 | 0 | 25702 | |
| Morrow | James | Man | 13 | 1 | 12251 | |
| Mayhew | David | Man | 13 | 1 | 14332 | |
| Ostrom | Elinor | Woman | 13 | 1 | NA | |
| Cox | Gary W. | Man | 13 | 0 | 24354 | |
| Fearon | James | Man | 13 | 0 | 31287 | |
| Box-Steffensmeier | Jan | Woman | 12 | 4 | 6751 | |
| Druckman | James N. | Man | 12 | 3 | 17213 | |
| Gleditsch | Kristian | Man | 12 | 1 | NA | |
| Snyder | Jack Lewis | Man | 12 | 0 | 15387 | |
| Schultz | Kenneth | Man | 12 | 0 | 3460 | |
| Converse | Philip | Man | 12 | 0 | NA | |
| Fenno Jr. | Richard F. | Man | 12 | 0 | 9570 | |
| Dahl | Robert A. | Man | 12 | 0 | NA | |
| Gerber | Elisabeth | Woman | 11 | 3 | 3907 | |
| Jackman | Simon | Man | 11 | 2 | NA | |
| Katznelson | Ira | Man | 11 | 1 | 8540 | |
| Aldrich | John H | Man | 11 | 1 | 18644 | |
| Finnemore | Martha | Woman | 11 | 1 | NA | |
| Bartels | Larry | Man | 11 | 1 | 15507 | |
| Hochschild | Jennifer | Woman | 11 | 0 | 6518 | |
| Lijphart | Arend | Man | 11 | 0 | 49327 | |
| Mansfield | Edward | Man | 11 | 0 | 13925 | |
| Powell | G. Bingham | Man | 11 | 0 | 15825 | |
| Mansbridge | Jane | Woman | 11 | 0 | NA | |
| Jacoby | William | Man | 10 | 3 | 4371 | |
| Kohli | Atul | Man | 10 | 1 | 8925 |
| Yashar | Deborah | Woman | 10 | 1 | 2933 |
| Smith | Rogers | Man | 10 | 2 | 6414 |
| Laitin | David | Man | 10 | 1 | 23819 |
| Rogowski | Ronald | Man | 10 | 1 | 6135 |
| Prewitt | Kenneth | Man | 10 | 0 | 5352 |
| Elster | Jon | Man | 10 | 0 | 54727 |
| Fortna | Virginia Page | Woman | 10 | 0 | 2704 |
| Powell | Robert | Man | 10 | 0 | 7266 |
| Ward | Michael | Man | 9 | 3 | NA |
| Leeds | Brett Ashley | Woman | 9 | 2 | 2889 |
| Gourevitch | Peter | Man | 9 | 2 | 15502 |
| Starr | Harvey | Man | 9 | 2 | NA |
| Bawn | Kathleen | Woman | 9 | 1 | 2800 |
| Beck | Nathaniel | Man | 9 | 1 | 19087 |
| Caldeira | Gregory A. | Man | 9 | 1 | 8857 |
| McClain | Paula D. | Woman | 9 | 0 | 1790 |
| Fiorina | Morris | Man | 9 | 0 | 27731 |
| Diehl | Paul | Man | 9 | 0 | 8874 |
| Lowi | Theodore J. | Man | 9 | 0 | NA |
| Galston | William | Man | 9 | 0 | NA |
| Martin | Lisa | Woman | 9 | 0 | 8148 |
| Scott | James | Man | 9 | 0 | 54367 |
| Leighley | Jan | Woman | 9 | 0 | NA |
| March | James G. | Man | 9 | 0 | NA |
| Mann | Thomas E. | Man | 9 | 0 | NA |
| Solingen | Etel | Woman | 8 | 3 | 2606 |
| Katz | Jonathan N. | Man | 8 | 2 | 13712 |
| Levy | Jack | Man | 8 | 2 | 12154 |
| Levi | Margaret | Woman | 8 | 2 | 16861 |
| Sniderman | Paul | Man | 8 | 1 | 15270 |
| Burns | Nancy | Woman | 8 | 1 | 4975 |
| Green | Donald | Man | 8 | 1 | 27208 |
| Smith | Alastair | Man | 8 | 0 | 12562 |
| Alvarez | R. Michael | Man | 8 | 0 | 10624 |
| Erikson | Robert S. | Man | 8 | 0 | 16383 |
| Ferejohn | John | Man | 8 | 0 | 19591 |
| Meier | Kenneth J. | Man | 8 | 0 | 20610 |
| Segura | Gary | Man | 8 | 0 | NA |
| Zaller | John | Man | 8 | 0 | 20541 |
| Mondak | Jeffrey | Man | 8 | 0 | 6536 |
| Massey | Douglas S. | Man | 8 | 0 | NA |
| Hutchings | Vincent | Man | 8 | 0 | 3920 |
| Kalyvas | Stathis N. | Man | 8 | 0 | 10536 |
| Smith | Steven | Man | 8 | 0 | 1531 |
| Bernhard | Michael | Man | 7 | 2 | 2282 |
| Stimson | James | Man | 7 | 2 | 15518 |
| George | Alexander | Man | 7 | 2 | NA |
| Zinnes | Dina | Woman | 7 | 1 | 2195 |
| Collier | David | Man | 7 | 1 | 18878 |
| Freeman | John R. | Man | 7 | 1 | 3516 |
| Ishiyama | John | Man | 7 | 1 | 4382 |
| Desch | Michael C. | Man | 7 | 1 | 2591 |
| Rosenbluth | Frances McCall | Woman | 7 | 1 | 2075 |
| Grant | Ruth W. | Woman | 7 | 0 | 2690 |
| Hillygus | D. Sunshine | Woman | 7 | 0 | 3281 |
| Reiter | Dan | Man | 7 | 0 | 5440 |
| Voeten | Erik | Man | 7 | 0 | 3313 |
| Shepsle | Kenneth | Man | 7 | 0 | 22248 |
| Thompson | Dennis | Man | 7 | 0 | NA |
| Isaac | Jeffrey | Man | 7 | 0 | 1826 |
| Thompson | William | Man | 7 | 0 | 9534 |
| Nobles | Melissa | Woman | 7 | 0 | NA |
| Brams | Steven | Man | 7 | 0 | 13890 |
| Downs | George | Man | 7 | 0 | NA |
| Macedo | Stephen | Man | 7 | 0 | 5214 |
| Wolin | Sheldon Sanford | Man | 7 | 0 | 1593 |
| Sagan | Scott | Man | 7 | 0 | 6432 |
| Tomz | Michael | Man | 7 | 0 | 10091 |
| Mebane | Walter | Man | 7 | 0 | 2072 |
| Pitkin | Hanna | Woman | 7 | 0 | 12440 |
| Jacobson | Gary C. | Man | 7 | 0 | 11848 |
| Jennings | M. Kent | Man | 7 | 0 | 16265 |
| Inglehart | Ronald | Man | 7 | 0 | 94125 |
| Cho | Wendy | Woman | 7 | 0 | 2291 |
| Walzer | Michael | Man | 7 | 0 | NA |
| Hoffmann | Stanley | Man | 7 | 0 | NA |
| Jones | Charles O. | Man | 7 | 0 | 4356 |
| Pevehouse | Jon C.W. | Man | 7 | 0 | 4886 |
| Shapiro | Ian | Man | 7 | 0 | 10347 |
| Bendor | Jonathan | Man | 7 | 0 | NA |
| Bermeo | Nancy | Woman | 6 | 5 | 3585 |
| Maoz | Zeev | Man | 6 | 4 | 8463 |
| Jones-Correa | Michael | Man | 6 | 3 | 3150 |
| McDermott | Rose | Woman | 6 | 2 | 6463 |
| Segal | Jeffrey | Man | 6 | 2 | 13277 |
| Huckfeldt | Robert | Man | 6 | 2 | 13321 |
| Epstein | Lee | Woman | 6 | 2 | 14365 |
| Stokes | Susan | Woman | 6 | 2 | 10154 |
| Alt | James | Man | 6 | 1 | 9000 |
| Lieberman | Evan | Man | 6 | 1 | 2730 |
| Morgan | T. Clifton | Man | 6 | 1 | 3383 |
| Mitchell | Sara | Woman | 6 | 1 | 3442 |
| Saxonhouse | Arlene | Woman | 6 | 1 | 1540 |
| Martinez-Ebers | Valerie | Woman | 6 | 1 | 1090 |
| Hancock | Ange-Marie | Woman | 6 | 1 | 2335 |
| Caporaso | James A. | Man | 6 | 1 | 9753 |
| Mintz | Alex | Man | 6 | 1 | NA |
| Malhotra | Neil | Man | 6 | 1 | NA |
| Bunce | Valerie | Woman | 6 | 0 | 7038 |
| McCubbins | Mathew | Man | 6 | 0 | 28764 |
| Ansolabehere | Stephen | Man | 6 | 0 | 15634 |
| Rosenblum | Nancy | Woman | 6 | 0 | 2458 |
| Bennett | Jane | Woman | 6 | 0 | 10612 |
| Skolnikoff | Eugene | Man | 6 | 0 | 1601 |
| Hardin | Russell | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Laver | Michael | Man | 6 | 0 | 20438 |
| Mueller | John | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Plutzer | Eric | Man | 6 | 0 | 3122 |
| Cameron | Charles | Man | 6 | 0 | 3587 |
| Gowa | Joanne | Woman | 6 | 0 | 4526 |
| Londregan | John | Man | 6 | 0 | 5379 |
| Ramsay | Kristopher | Man | 6 | 0 | 948 |
| Wantchekon | Leonard | Man | 6 | 0 | 4595 |
| Goldstein | Judith | Woman | 6 | 0 | 9852 |
| Krasner | Stephen | Man | 6 | 0 | 32851 |
| Scheve | Kenneth | Man | 6 | 0 | 7290 |
| Dawson | Michael C. | Man | 6 | 0 | 3698 |
| Rudolph | Susanne | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Huth | Paul | Man | 6 | 0 | 3852 |
| Johnson | James | Man | 6 | 0 | 2357 |
| Moy | Patricia | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Patty | John | Man | 6 | 0 | 1518 |
| Lane | Robert | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Lindblom | Charles | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Russett | Bruce | Man | 6 | 0 | 28166 |
| Carey | John Michael | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Almond | Gabriel A. | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| James | Harold | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Vecchiarelli- Scott | Joanna | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Olsen | Johan P. | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Bobo | Lawrence | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Barker | Lucius | Man | 6 | 0 | 660 |
| Gleditsch | Nils Petter | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Carey | Sabine | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Walt | Stephen M. | Man | 6 | 0 | 13233 |
| Arrow | Kenneth J | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Bongaarts | John | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Burns | James MacGregor | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Cook | Karen S | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Downs | Anthony | Man | 6 | 0 | 2298 |
| Hauser | Robert M | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| House | James S. | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Lee | Ronald | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Lipset | Seymour Martin | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Mathiowetz | Nancy A. | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| McClure | Kristie | Woman | 6 | 0 | 577 |
| Mechanic | David | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Maleken | Jane | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Nye | Joseph Samuel | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Preston | Samuel | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Pye | Lucian W. | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Shklar | Judith N. | Woman | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Truman | David B. | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Waltz | Kenneth N. | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Ward | Robert E. | Man | 6 | 0 | NA |
| Wilson | James Q. | Man | 6 | 0 | 21 |
| Thelen | Kathleen | Woman | 5 | 5 | 24903 |
| Mutz | Diana | Woman | 5 | 4 | 12154 |
| Iyengar | Shanto | Man | 5 | 3 | 29947 |
| Breuning | Marijke | Woman | 5 | 3 | 1605 |
| Kahn | Kim Fridkin | Woman | 5 | 2 | 5347 |
| Zorn | Christopher | Man | 5 | 2 | 3923 |
| Davenport | Christian | Man | 5 | 2 | 5644 |
| Htun | Mala | Woman | 5 | 2 | 3328 |
| Imai | Kosuke | Man | 5 | 2 | 11564 |
| Lewis | Jeffrey B. | Man | 5 | 2 | 2302 |
| Fraga | Luis Ricardo | Man | 5 | 2 | 1480 |
| Sinclair | Betsy | Woman | 5 | 2 | 1130 |
| Rohde | David W. | Man | 5 | 1 | 9813 |
| Sapiro | Virginia | Woman | 5 | 1 | 3925 |
| Huber | John D. | Man | 5 | 1 | 7880 |
| Dietz | Mary G | Woman | 5 | 1 | 2254 |
| Linn | Suzanna | Woman | 5 | 1 | 149 |
| Lowery | David | Man | 5 | 1 | 8402 |
| Weir | Margaret | Woman | 5 | 1 | 4616 |
| Grofman | Bernard | Man | 5 | 1 | 16768 |
| Chan | Steve | Man | 5 | 1 | 4552 |
| Shapiro | Robert Y. | Man | 5 | 0 | 15207 |
| Kelley | Judith | Woman | 5 | 0 | 3076 |
| Kitschelt | Herbert P | Man | 5 | 0 | 21443 |
| Gay | Claudine | Woman | 5 | 0 | 1700 |
| Snyder | James | Man | 5 | 0 | 15940 |
| Berger | Suzanne | Woman | 5 | 0 | 6332 |
| Achen | Christopher | Man | 5 | 0 | 9985 |
| Beitz | Charles R | Man | 5 | 0 | 8871 |
| Falk | Richard | Man | 5 | 0 | 9263 |
| Kateb | George | Man | 5 | 0 | 3474 |
| Pop-Eleches | Grigore | Man | 5 | 0 | 1602 |
| Shapiro | Jacob | Man | 5 | 0 | 2560 |
| Slaughter | Anne-Marie | Woman | 5 | 0 | 21692 |
| Strolovitch | Dara | Woman | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Wilson | Rick K. | Man | 5 | 0 | 5168 |
| Brady | David W. | Man | 5 | 0 | 5529 |
| Hainmueller | Jens | Man | 5 | 0 | 7294 |
| Lodge | Milton | Man | 5 | 0 | 9184 |
| Clark | William | Man | 5 | 0 | 6214 |
| Hill | Kim Quaile | Woman | 5 | 0 | 3682 |
| Jones | Bryan | Man | 5 | 0 | 20491 |
| Wlezien | Christopher | Man | 5 | 0 | 8142 |
| Sandler | Todd | Man | 5 | 0 | 31849 |
| Stewart | Marianne C. | Woman | 5 | 0 | 2777 |
| Mishler | William | Man | 5 | 0 | 8777 |
| Zagare | Frank C. | Man | 5 | 0 | 1904 |
| Sears | David O. | Man | 5 | 0 | 26167 |
| Treisman | Daniel | Man | 5 | 0 | 12816 |
| Poole | Keith T. | Man | 5 | 0 | 16861 |
| Walter | Barbara | Woman | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Boyer | Mark A. | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Davis | Darren | Man | 5 | 0 | 1391 |
| Jenkins | Jeffery A. | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| White | Stephen K. | Man | 5 | 0 | 3695 |
| Holbrook | Thomas | Man | 5 | 0 | 3733 |
| Gibson | James L. | Man | 5 | 0 | 14264 |
| Wood | Elizabeth | Woman | 5 | 0 | 4995 |
| Acharya | Amitav | Man | 5 | 0 | 258 |
| Western | Bruce | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Beltran | Cristina | Woman | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Austen-Smith | David | Man | 5 | 0 | 9579 |
| Gerner | Deborah | Woman | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Krehbiel | Keith | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Benoit | Kenneth R. | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Cederman | Lars-Erik | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Sigelman | Lee | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Koenig | Thomas | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Kaufman-Osborn | Timothy V. | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Bigo | Didier | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Miller | Peter V. | Man | 5 | 0 | NA |
| Schrodt | Phillip A. | Man | 4 | 5 | NA |
| Franzese | Robert | Man | 4 | 4 | 5178 |
| Pinderhughes | Dianne | Woman | 4 | 3 | 585 |
| Hall | Melinda Gann | Woman | 4 | 3 | 4267 |
| Jerit | Jennifer | Woman | 4 | 3 | 2801 |
| Thies | Cameron | Man | 4 | 2 | 2076 |
| Tarrow | Sidney G. | Man | 4 | 2 | 42820 |
| Remmer | Karen | Woman | 4 | 2 | 4277 |
| Pierson | Paul | Man | 4 | 2 | 35966 |
| Monroe | Kristen Renwick | Woman | 4 | 2 | 4065 |
| Gerber | Alan | Man | 4 | 2 | 12648 |
| Boone | Catherine | Woman | 4 | 2 | NA |
| Wallerstein | Michael | Man | 4 | 2 | NA |
| Schlozman | Kay L. | Woman | 4 | 1 | 22090 |
| Sanders | M. Elizabeth | Woman | 4 | 1 | 916 |
| Lange | Peter | Man | 4 | 1 | 4987 |
| Moore | Will H. | Man | 4 | 1 | NA |
| Morton | Rebecca B. | Woman | 4 | 1 | 3669 |
| McGraw | Kathleen | Woman | 4 | 1 | 4860 |
| Jamal | Amaney | Woman | 4 | 1 | 2432 |
| Sinclair | Barbara | Woman | 4 | 1 | 1130 |
| Norton | Anne | Woman | 4 | 1 | 1439 |
| Niemi | Richard G. | Man | 4 | 1 | 18948 |
| Geer | John G. | Man | 4 | 1 | 2760 |
| Kam | Cindy D. | Woman | 4 | 1 | 3881 |
| Panagopoulos | Costas | Man | 4 | 1 | NA |
| Skowronek | Stephen | Man | 4 | 1 | 6275 |
| Ingraham | Patricia W. | Woman | 4 | 1 | NA |
| Romzek | Barbara S. | Woman | 4 | 1 | NA |
| Crawford | Neta | Woman | 4 | 0 | 2627 |
| Honig | Bonnie | Woman | 4 | 0 | 2196 |
| Tate | Katherine | Woman | 4 | 0 | 2927 |
| Horowitz | Donald L. | Man | 4 | 0 | 18317 |
| Manion | Melanie Frances | Woman | 4 | 0 | 2040 |
| Brown | Michael | Man | 4 | 0 | 10 |
| Colton | Timothy | Man | 4 | 0 | 2822 |
| Peterson | David | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Connolly | William | Man | 4 | 0 | 15812 |
| Posen | Barry | Man | 4 | 0 | 7681 |
| Tucker | Joshua | Man | 4 | 0 | 3427 |
| Gelpi | Christopher | Man | 4 | 0 | 4229 |
| Boix | Carles | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Davis | Christina | Woman | 4 | 0 | 1491 |
| McCarty | Nolan | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Menelberg | Tali | Woman | 4 | 0 | 3981 |
| Waterbury | John | Man | 4 | 0 | 7308 |
| White | Lynn | Woman | 4 | 0 | 1064 |
| Cain | Bruce | Man | 4 | 0 | 7004 |
| Grimmer | Justin | Man | 4 | 0 | 2143 |
| Krosnick | Jon | Man | 4 | 0 | 34143 |
| Rivers | Douglas | Man | 4 | 0 | 7653 |
| Huddy | Leonie | Woman | 4 | 0 | 7783 |
| Stoker | Laura | Woman | 4 | 0 | 4515 |
| Wolfinger | Raymond | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Geddes | Barbara | Woman | 4 | 0 | 9467 |
| Sabl | Andrew | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Stein | Arthur | Man | 4 | 0 | 4601 |
| Gibson | Clark | Man | 4 | 0 | 8948 |
| Hafner-Burton | Emilie M. | Woman | 4 | 0 | 6304 |
| Brehm | John | Man | 4 | 0 | 6773 |
| Robinson | James | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Snidal | Duncan | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Gaines | Brian | Man | 4 | 0 | 2006 |
| Vasquez | John | Man | 4 | 0 | 6418 |
| Loewenberg | Gerhard | Man | 4 | 0 | 1728 |
| Peffley | Mark | Man | 4 | 0 | 5431 |
| Gimpel | James | Man | 4 | 0 | 4495 |
| Carpenter | Charli | Woman | 4 | 0 | 2307 |
| Kinder | Donald | Man | 4 | 0 | 25922 |
| Kingdon | John | Man | 4 | 0 | 23924 |
| Page | Scott | Man | 4 | 0 | 11541 |
| Valentino | Nicholas | Man | 4 | 0 | 6150 |
| Samuels | David J. | Man | 4 | 0 | 8220 |
| Sullivan | John L. | Man | 4 | 0 | 5948 |
| Horowitz | Michael | Man | 4 | 0 | 1604 |
| Hurwitz | Jon | Man | 4 | 0 | 4115 |
| Balfour | Lawrie | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Kydd | Andrew | Man | 4 | 0 | 3538 |
| Taylor | Charles M. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Schofield | Norman | Man | 4 | 0 | 8939 |
| Weaver | Vesla | Woman | 4 | 0 | 1167 |
| Herron | Michael C. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Maestas | Cherie | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Stam | Allan | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Fung | Archon | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Enloe | Cynthia | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Markovits | Elizabeth S. | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Adler | Emanuel | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Scharpf | Fritz W. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Rozman | Gilbert | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Young | Iris | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Moon | J. Donald | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Tully | James | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Habermas | Jurgen | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Jamieson | Kathleen Hall | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Pauly | Louis | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Holden | Matthew | Man | 4 | 0 | 152 |
| Keohane | Nannerl | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Brody | Richard | Man | 4 | 0 | 10008 |
| Euben | Roxanne | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Majic | Samantha | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Troeger | Vera | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Barry | Brian | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Bobrow | Davis | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Challenger | Richard D. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Dillman | Don | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Finifter | Ada W. | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Fukuyama | Francis | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Hamilton | Charles V. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Huntington | Samuel P. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Job | Brian | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Kramer | Gerald H. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Merkle | Daniel | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Patterson | Samuel C. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Pocock | J.G.A. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Rawls | John | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Roberts | Adam | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Ruggie | John Gerard | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Schelling | Thomas | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Singer | J. David | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Skinner | Quentin | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Stein | Janice Gross | Woman | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Sundquist | James L | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Tucker | Robert | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Wahlke | John C. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |
| Wallace | Michael D. | Man | 4 | 0 | NA |

The next table focuses on those top 400 active citation earners who did not make the cut-off for Table 1. In some cases, our exclusion of section leadership is why the scholar did not make the Table 1 cut off. To make this clear, we list the cumulative status without and then with section leadership, and shade the people with more than 4 collective points in orange. If we had included section leadership in our accrued status metric, twenty-two additional top-citation earning scholars would appear in Appendix 5 Table 1 (indicated by an orange shading).

#### Appendix 5 Table 2: Top 400 citation earners without 4 or more weighted status points (N=258), organized by citation level. (Orange= adding section points, scholar would be on our 4 or more list)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Last Name | First Name | Gender | Total status points (no section leadership) | Total status points (with section leadership) | Citation Count |
| Fraser | Nancy | W | 0 | 0 | 63820 |
| Weingast | Barry R. | M | 3 | 3 | 57747 |
| Przeworski | Adam | M | 3 | 3 | 46562 |
| Schmitter | Philippe | M | 3 | 3 | 46129 |
| Hall | Peter A. | M | 2 | 6 | 43305 |
| Peters | B. Guy | M | 1 | 1 | 42666 |
| Soskice | David | M | 0 | 0 | 33524 |
| Benhabib | Seyla | W | 3 | 3 | 32194 |
| Moravcsik | Andrew | M | 3 | 3 | 31683 |
| Marks | Gary | M | 1 | 1 | 28594 |
| Fowler | James H. | M | 3 | 3 | 26956 |
| Mearsheimer | John | M | 1 | 1 | 26092 |
| Berry | Brian J.L. | M | 0 | 0 | 25080 |
| Bennett | W. Lance | M | 3 | 4 | 24731 |
| Miller | Mark J. | M | 0 | 0 | 24642 |
| Stepan | Alfred | M | 3 | 3 | 24384 |
| Hooghe | Liesbet | W | 2 | 4 | 22676 |
| Moe | Terry M. | M | 3 | 3 | 22508 |
| Rose-Ackerman | Susan | W | 1 | 1 | 22474 |
| Gilpin | Robert | M | 3 | 3 | 21978 |
| Mainwaring | Scott | M | 3 | 3 | 21610 |
| Rosenthal | Howard | M | 0 | 0 | 21458 |
| Tsebelis | George | M | 0 | 0 | 21440 |
| Baumgartner | Frank R. | M | 2 | 5 | 21432 |
| Thurber | James | M | 0 | 0 | 21134 |
| Gutmann | Amy | W | 3 | 3 | 19876 |
| Sandel | Michael | M | 3 | 3 | 19871 |
| Haas | Peter M. | M | 0 | 0 | 19749 |
| Mamdani | Mahmood | M | 0 | 0 | 19507 |
| Bates | Robert | M | 2 | 5 | 19482 |
| Carmines | Edward G. | M | 3 | 3 | 19370 |
| Rubin | Irene S. | W | 0 | 0 | 19229 |
| Lewis-Beck | Michael S. | M | 3 | 3 | 19213 |
| Keck | Margaret | W | 0 | 0 | 19126 |
| Shugart | Matthew S. | M | 0 | 0 | 18891 |
| Roemer | John | M | 3 | 3 | 18550 |
| Crotty | William | M | 0 | 0 | 18534 |
| Tufte | Edward | M | 1 | 1 | 18451 |
| Stephens | John | M | 0 | 0 | 18331 |
| Skogan | Wesley G. | M | 0 | 0 | 17969 |
| Mahoney | James | M | 1 | 5 | 17954 |
| Kagan | Robert A. | M | 3 | 3 | 17401 |
| Holsti | Ole R. | M | 0 | 0 | 17319 |
| Levitsky | Steven | M | 0 | 0 | 17265 |
| Orfield | Gary A. | M | 3 | 3 | 17216 |
| Gerring | John | M | 3 | 5 | 17188 |
| Brown | Wendy | W | 3 | 3 | 16416 |
| O’Toole | Laurence J. | M | 3 | 4 | 16317 |
| Blumstein | Alfred | M | 0 | 0 | 16135 |
| Jenkins-Smith | Hank | M | 2 | 2 | 16071 |
| Schmidt | Vivien A. | W | 0 | 0 | 16025 |
| Feldman | Stanley | M | 2 | 2 | 15848 |
| Mitchell | Timothy P. | M | 1 | 1 | 15757 |
| Thompson | Dennis | M | 0 | 0 | 15675 |
| Piven | Frances Fox | W | 0 | 0 | 15519 |
| Ikenberry | G. John | M | 2 | 2 | 15135 |
| Page | Benjamin I. | M | 3 | 3 | 15014 |
| Vogel | David | M | 1 | 1 | 15000 |
| Bratton | Michael | M | 0 | 0 | 14948 |
| Hechter | Michael | M | 0 | 0 | 14890 |
| Cohen | Joshua | M | 0 | 0 | 14841 |
| Katz | Richard S. | M | 0 | 0 | 14624 |
| Ordeshook | Peter C. | M | 0 | 0 | 14601 |
| Goldstone | Jack A. | M | 0 | 0 | 14549 |
| Uphoff | Norman | M | 0 | 0 | 14343 |
| Huber | Evelyne | W | 2 | 4 | 14326 |
| Sewell | William | M | 0 | 0 | 14188 |
| Steinmo | Sven | M | 3 | 5 | 14146 |
| Peterson | Paul E. | M | 3 | 3 | 14104 |
| Ross | Michael L. | M | 2 | 2 | 14014 |
| Lowenthal | David | M | 0 | 0 | 13867 |
| Sambanis | Nicholas | M | 1 | 1 | 13703 |
| Uslaner | Eric M. | M | 1 | 1 | 13694 |
| Strom | Kaare | M | 3 | 3 | 13667 |
| Welch | Susan | W | 3 | 5 | 13547 |
| Reich | Robert B. | M | 0 | 0 | 13499 |
| Rainey | Hal G. | M | 3 | 3 | 13439 |
| Cheibub | Jose A. | M | 2 | 2 | 13416 |
| Iversen | Torben | M | 2 | 2 | 13328 |
| Lau | Richard | M | 2 | 2 | 13027 |
| Bennett | Andrew | M | 2 | 4 | 12838 |
| Jackson | Robert H. | M | 1 | 1 | 12723 |
| Cohen | Jean Louise | M | 0 | 0 | 12417 |
| Taagepera | Rein | M | 3 | 3 | 12410 |
| Sweeney | Latanya | W | 0 | 0 | 12359 |
| Van de Walle | Nicolas | M | 2 | 4 | 11951 |
| Lazer | David | M | 0 | 0 | 11811 |
| Pollack | Mark | M | 0 | 0 | 11679 |
| Weiss | Thomas | M | 3 | 4 | 11640 |
| Mayers | David | M | 0 | 0 | 11622 |
| Karl | Terry L. | W | 0 | 0 | 11193 |
| Rosenstone | Steven J. | M | 3 | 3 | 11137 |
| Siverson | Randolph M. | M | 0 | 0 | 11009 |
| Berry | William | M | 2 | 2 | 10974 |
| Wattenberg | Martin | M | 0 | 0 | 10886 |
| MacKuen | Michael | M | 2 | 2 | 10774 |
| Oneal | John R. | M | 1 | 1 | 10676 |
| Hyden | Goran S. | M | 0 | 0 | 10672 |
| Wilcox | Clyde | M | 1 | 1 | 10636 |
| Kaufman | Robert | M | 1 | 4 | 10614 |
| Tronto | Joan | W | 3 | 3 | 10527 |
| Hibbing | John | M | 3 | 4 | 10372 |
| Stone | Clarence | M | 0 | 0 | 10282 |
| Kuklinski | James H. | M | 3 | 5 | 10215 |
| Mudde | Cas | M | 3 | 3 | 10087 |
| Zysman | John | M | 0 | 0 | 10052 |
| Milward | H. Brinton | M | 0 | 0 | 9997 |
| Frieden | Jeffry | M | 1 | 1 | 9995 |
| Hacker | Jacob | M | 0 | 0 | 9995 |
| Seligson | Mitchell A. | M | 1 | 1 | 9920 |
| Cohen | Benjamin J. | M | 3 | 3 | 9857 |
| Citrin | Jack | M | 0 | 0 | 9836 |
| Brigham | John | M | 0 | 0 | 9816 |
| Knight | Jack | M | 2 | 2 | 9775 |
| Monroe | Burt L. | M | 0 | 0 | 9773 |
| Weimer | David L. | M | 0 | 0 | 9706 |
| O'Leary | Brendan | M | 0 | 0 | 9372 |
| Cornelius | Wayne A. | M | 0 | 0 | 9262 |
| Garson | G David | M | 0 | 0 | 9174 |
| Kiewiet | D. Roderick | M | 0 | 0 | 9150 |
| Buck-Morss | Susan | W | 0 | 0 | 9107 |
| Johnston | Alastair Iain | M | 1 | 1 | 9104 |
| Hsieh | John Fuh-sheng | M | 0 | 0 | 8989 |
| Popkin | Samuel L. | M | 0 | 0 | 8939 |
| Bowler | Shaun | M | 2 | 2 | 8930 |
| Goertz | Gary | M | 1 | 1 | 8923 |
| Bimber | Bruce | M | 0 | 0 | 8798 |
| Clarke | Harold D. | M | 1 | 1 | 8727 |
| Berry | Jeffrey M. | M | 1 | 1 | 8573 |
| Pape | Robert | M | 2 | 2 | 8516 |
| Abramowitz | Alan I. | M | 0 | 0 | 8495 |
| Cornell | Drucilla | W | 0 | 0 | 8462 |
| Abramson | Paul R. | M | 2 | 2 | 8434 |
| Nachmias | David | M | 0 | 0 | 8407 |
| Semetko | Holli A. | W | 0 | 0 | 8401 |
| Gilens | Martin | M | 1 | 1 | 8390 |
| Prakash | Aseem | M | 0 | 0 | 8348 |
| Wright | Gerald | M | 2 | 2 | 8227 |
| Tolbert | Caroline J. | W | 0 | 0 | 8217 |
| Freeman | Gary | M | 0 | 0 | 8166 |
| Rummel | Rudolph | M | 3 | 3 | 8021 |
| Riedel | James | M | 0 | 0 | 7994 |
| Levy | Brian | M | 0 | 0 | 7924 |
| Greenstein | Fred I. | M | 3 | 3 | 7916 |
| Betsill | Michele | W | 0 | 0 | 7908 |
| Blyth | Mark M. | M | 0 | 0 | 7850 |
| Pagden | Anthony | M | 1 | 1 | 7799 |
| Ansell | Christopher | M | 3 | 3 | 7752 |
| Golder | Matt | M | 3 | 4 | 7723 |
| Brautigam | Deborah | W | 0 | 0 | 7712 |
| Gunther | Richard | M | 0 | 0 | 7702 |
| Ward | Michael | M | 0 | 0 | 7675 |
| Cobb | Roger | M | 0 | 0 | 7645 |
| Oi | Jean C. | W | 0 | 0 | 7635 |
| Perry | Elizabeth J. | W | 2 | 2 | 7591 |
| Humphreys | Macartan | M | 3 | 5 | 7589 |
| Weyland | Kurt | M | 1 | 1 | 7566 |
| Lieberthal | Kenneth G. | M | 0 | 0 | 7556 |
| Rockman | Bert A. | M | 1 | 1 | 7509 |
| McCall | Leslie | W | 0 | 0 | 7508 |
| Shapiro | Michael | M | 0 | 0 | 7505 |
| Rubin | Edward | M | 0 | 0 | 7491 |
| Jones | Mark P. | M | 2 | 2 | 7487 |
| Munger | Michael C. | M | 2 | 2 | 7417 |
| Mollenkopf | John | M | 0 | 0 | 7322 |
| Jackson | John | M | 0 | 0 | 7307 |
| Taylor | Michael John | M | 0 | 0 | 7294 |
| Starr | Harvey | M | 0 | 0 | 7245 |
| Soroka | Stuart | M | 1 | 1 | 7228 |
| Edwards III | George C. | M | 0 | 0 | 7187 |
| Manin | Bernard | M | 0 | 0 | 7135 |
| Romer | Thomas | M | 3 | 3 | 7115 |
| Mohr | Lawrence B. | M | 0 | 0 | 7108 |
| Finkel | Steven E. | M | 3 | 3 | 7057 |
| Ober | Josiah | M | 0 | 0 | 7016 |
| Barnes | Samuel H. | M | 0 | 0 | 6997 |
| Conover | Pamela | W | 2 | 4 | 6991 |
| Chong | Dennis | M | 0 | 0 | 6974 |
| Posner | Daniel | M | 2 | 2 | 6950 |
| Gray | Virginia | W | 0 | 0 | 6921 |
| Kapur | Devesh | M | 0 | 0 | 6905 |
| Rahn | Wendy | W | 1 | 1 | 6899 |
| Sprague | John | M | 0 | 0 | 6856 |
| Jackson | Thomas H. | M | 0 | 0 | 6849 |
| O’Brien | Kevin | M | 1 | 1 | 6825 |
| Vreeland | James Raymond | M | 1 | 1 | 6799 |
| Van Evera | Stephen | M | 1 | 1 | 6767 |
| Rae | Douglas | M | 3 | 3 | 6751 |
| McFaul | Michael A. | M | 0 | 0 | 6676 |
| Andreas | Peter R. | M | 0 | 0 | 6668 |
| Tarr | David | M | 0 | 0 | 6619 |
| Betts | Richard K. | M | 1 | 1 | 6588 |
| Grieco | Joseph | M | 0 | 0 | 6579 |
| Valenzuela | Arturo | M | 0 | 0 | 6526 |
| Reno | William | M | 1 | 1 | 6516 |
| Weinstein | Jeremy M. | M | 3 | 3 | 6514 |
| Schlager | Edella | W | 1 | 1 | 6487 |
| Schwartz | Thomas | M | 0 | 0 | 6481 |
| Mitchell | Ronald | M | 3 | 3 | 6479 |
| Rodden | Jonathan | M | 0 | 0 | 6474 |
| Lovrich | Nicholas | M | 0 | 0 | 6441 |
| Keele | Luke | M | 1 | 1 | 6440 |
| Lemarchand | Rene | M | 0 | 0 | 6436 |
| Martin | Andrew D. | M | 3 | 3 | 6421 |
| Markus | Gregory B. | M | 0 | 0 | 6405 |
| Fewsmith | Joseph | M | 0 | 0 | 6375 |
| Haber | Stephen | M | 0 | 0 | 6364 |
| Gornick | Janet | W | 0 | 0 | 6351 |
| Schweller | Randall | M | 1 | 1 | 6342 |
| Weaver | R. Kent | M | 0 | 0 | 6311 |
| Hansen | John | M | 3 | 3 | 6263 |
| Sekhon | Jasjeet S. | M | 3 | 3 | 6257 |
| Kernell | Samuel | M | 1 | 1 | 6232 |
| Lindberg | Leon N. | M | 0 | 0 | 6229 |
| Krishna | Anirudh | M | 0 | 0 | 6204 |
| Nathan | Andrew | M | 0 | 0 | 6201 |
| Wolfe | Alan | M | 0 | 0 | 6181 |
| Taber | Charles | M | 1 | 1 | 6150 |
| Carpenter | Daniel | M | 2 | 2 | 6140 |
| Ganguly | Sumit | M | 2 | 2 | 6136 |
| Davis | Charles | M | 0 | 0 | 6118 |
| Henig | Jeffrey | M | 0 | 0 | 6099 |
| Hermann | Margaret | W | 3 | 6 | 6085 |
| Shipan | Charles | M | 2 | 2 | 6050 |
| Hetherington | Marc J. | M | 1 | 1 | 6046 |
| Rosenbloom | David H. | M | 3 | 3 | 6042 |
| Baum | Lawrence | M | 0 | 0 | 6041 |
| Huber | Gregory | M | 1 | 1 | 6030 |
| Kupchan | Charles A. | M | 0 | 0 | 6013 |
| Rosenberg | Alexander | M | 0 | 0 | 5968 |
| Gartzke | Erik A. | M | 1 | 1 | 5943 |
| Howell | William | M | 2 | 2 | 5928 |
| Campbell | David | M | 2 | 2 | 5906 |
| Wood | B. Dan | M | 1 | 5 | 5900 |
| Berinsky | Adam | M | 2 | 2 | 5888 |
| Krook | Mona L. | W | 1 | 1 | 5868 |
| Li | Quan | M | 2 | 2 | 5853 |
| Cameron | David R. | M | 1 | 1 | 5842 |
| Lipson | Charles | M | 2 | 2 | 5827 |
| Suny | Ronald G. | M | 0 | 0 | 5814 |
| O'Halloran | Sharyn | W | 0 | 0 | 5809 |
| Coppedge | Michael | M | 1 | 1 | 5774 |
| Tuck | Richard | M | 0 | 0 | 5761 |
| Elkins | Zachary | M | 0 | 0 | 5748 |
| Gabel | Matthew | M | 0 | 0 | 5745 |
| Collier | Ruth Berins | W | 3 | 3 | 5744 |
| Yavuz | M. Hakan | M | 0 | 0 | 5729 |
| Kritzer | Herbert M. | M | 0 | 0 | 5687 |
| Chambers | Simone | W | 2 | 2 | 5680 |
| Feaver | Peter D. | M | 1 | 1 | 5678 |
| Wells | Amy Stuart | W | 0 | 0 | 5674 |
| Gormley | William T. | M | 0 | 0 | 5636 |
| Birkland | Thomas A | M | 0 | 0 | 5606 |
| Stasavage | David | M | 3 | 3 | 5596 |
| Rosen | Stanley | M | 0 | 0 | 5594 |
| Johansen | Robert | M | 0 | 0 | 5590 |
| Harcourt | Bernard | M | 0 | 0 | 5577 |

Source: Kim/Grofman dataset and Status Dataset. Orange shading indicates that adding in section leadership puts the scholar above 4 status points.
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1. We acknowledge that there may be some error in the timely updating of websites across institutions, but we believe these discrepancies are small relative to the overall size of the dataset. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. We excluded programs that mix political scientists and other faculty because it was often difficult to tell the discipline of the faculty member (e.g. Public Policy schools). Some smaller schools did not have a political science department, only having a ‘social studies’, for example. These schools–fourteen in total­– are excluded from our Baseline dataset. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Our initial coding included adjunct faculty but not visitors. We do not, however, draw on the adjunct data since it is incomplete, and not fully separable from the visitor data. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. For three individuals, we were unable to identify the gender. The individuals were in non-tenure-track positions, thus their non-classification did not affect our analysis. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. We do not code if a person serves more than one term, nor do we include “president-elect” positions. Yet a person who was first vice-president and then president would be coded for each position. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. We generally excluded specialized journals and associations (e.g. *Journal of Elections*, *Public Opinion and Parties,* and the Latin American Studies Association) so that our status weighting would not prioritize one sub-field or research interest over others. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. For the editorial board of *World Politics* we merged the Editorial Board (non-Princeton) and Editorial Committee (Princeton) categories. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. The following positions were part of the ISA Governing Council in 2015: President, Past President, President-Elect, Vice President (3), Treasurer, Executive Director, ISA Convention Program Chairs, UN-NGO Representative, Vice President Elect (3), Non-North American/GC Members-At-Large, Publications Representative (from 2011 on), Section Chairs, Regional Presidents, Caucus Chairs, ISA Editors (until 2010) (source: Email with Elizabeth Fausett 8/20/2015). We excluded the elect positions to reduce double counting what is really a single position. Regional presidents, convention program chairs, publications officers, and Publications Representatives were omitted from dataset to make ISA and APSA data comparable. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
9. We interviewed the editors of *Comparative Political Studies*, *International Organization*, *American Politics Research*, *American Political Science Review*, *Public Opinion Quarterly*, *Political Analysis*, *Perspective on Politics*, *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, *Journal of Politics*, and *Political Theory*. The editors of *International Security* never replied to our emails, and the editor of the *American Journal of Political Science* refused to be interviewed. [↑](#footnote-ref-9)