Appendix. A Comparison of Studies

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Concept | Measure | Bush 2011 | Cole 2013 | Anderson and Swiss 2014 | Hughes, Krook, Paxton 2015 | This study |
| Women’s coalitions |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| International women’s movement | (1) CEDAW ratification global density (2) Hughes et al |  | + (1) |  | + (2) | + (3) |
|  | (3) Time |  |  |  |  |  |
| Embedded in international women’s movement | (1) Log women’s INGOs(2) Count women’s INGOs(3) Above average WINGOs(4) Share possible WINGOs | NS (1) | + / NS (2) | + / NS (3) | + (4) | + |
| Aid from established democracies |  | + |  |  |  | + |
| Postconflict | (1) UNPKO(2) in civil war(3) peace accord(4) after war | + (1) | NS (2) | + (3) | + (4) | + (4)NS (1)+ (3) |
| Level of democracy | (1) Freedom House(2) Polity | NS (1) | NS (2) | NS (2) | NS (1) | NS (2) |
| Democratic change | (1) increase in polity, *t*-1(2) transition after 1975 |  | + (1) | NS (2) |  | NS (3) |
| Women in parliament  |  |  | NS | NS | - | NS |
| Plurality system |  |  |  | - | - / NS | - |
| Level of wealth |  | NS | - | NS | NS | NS |
| Muslim majority |  | NS | NS |  |  | NS |

 *Note:* NS = not significant.