Appendix

**Table A:** Explaining campaign spending for Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018). Analysis restricted to districts with magnitude 4 (no quotas) and 5 (quotas)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute****spending** | **Per capita****spending** | **Standardized spending****(district level)** | **Standardized spending****(list level)** |
| Female candidate | -0.418\*\*\*(0.100) | 0.109(0.188) | -0.409\*\*\*(0.100) | 0.104(0.185) | -0.438\*\*\*(0.100) | 0.100(0.189) | -0.244\*\*(0.079) | 0.063(0.150) |
| Quotas | -0.277\*(0.118) | -0.078(0.127) | -0.278\*(0.117) | -0.080(0.126) | 0.007(0.117) | 0.210(0.125) | 0.076(0.094) | 0.189(0.101) |
| Incumbent | 0.536\*\*\*(0.142) | 0.487\*\*(0.150) | 0.532\*\*\*(0.142) | 0.480\*\*(0.150) | 0.539\*\*\*(0.143) | 0.478\*\*(0.151) | 0.304\*(0.124) | 0.312\*(0.132) |
| First position | 0.882\*\*\*(0.104) | 0.860\*\*\*(0.118) | 0.886\*\*\*(0.104) | 0.867\*\*\*(0.117) | 0.894\*\*\*(0.104) | 0.872\*\*\*(0.118) | 0.973\*\*\*(0.079) | 0.953\*\*\*(0.093) |
| Closed list | -1.230\*\*\*(0.192) | -1.277\*\*\*(0.220) | -1.244\*\*\*(0.192) | -1.287\*\*\*(0.219) | -1.241\*\*\*(0.192) | -1.291\*\*\*(0.219) | -0.162(0.132) | -0.177(0.151) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.414(0.506) | 0.398(0.500) | -0.557(0.502) | -0.581(0.497) | -0.520(0.496) | -0.551(0.490) | -0.237(0.398) | -0.226(0.397) |
| ENEP | -0.037(0.079) | -0.049(0.078) | -0.029(0.080) | -0.044(0.079) | -0.021(0.078) | -0.037(0.078) | -0.039(0.061) | -0.040(0.061) |
| Distance | 0.027(0.021) | 0.021(0.021) | 0.030(0.022) | 0.023(0.022) | 0.029(0.021) | 0.021(0.021) | 0.002(0.017) | 0.001(0.017) |
| Female \* Quotas |  | -0.838\*\*\*(0.208) |  | -0.830\*\*\*(0.206) |  | -0.857\*\*\*(0.209) |  | -0.476\*\*(0.165) |
| Female \* Incumbent |  | 0.310(0.365) |  | 0.309(0.368) |  | 0.379(0.364) |  | -0.134(0.319) |
| Female \* first position |  | -0.037(0.240) |  | -0.050(0.242) |  | -0.046(0.240) |  | 0.057(0.176) |
| Female \* Closed list |  | 0.342(0.390) |  | 0.322(0.390) |  | 0.354(0.387) |  | 0.150(0.257) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | 12.937(7.237) | 13.203(7.151) | 12.496(7.197) | 12.891(7.112) | 7.141(7.096) | 7.621(7.001) | 3.163(5.683) | 2.984(5.667) |
| N | 685 | 685 | 685 | 655 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.1.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (incumbents only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute****Spending** | **Per capita****Spending** | **Standardized spending****(district level)** | **Standardized spending****(list level)** |
| Women candidate | -0.151(0.116) | -0.482(0.304) | -0.177(0.141) | -0.608(0.370) | -0.221(0.117) | -0.558(0.310) | -0.260\*(0.119) | -0.272(0.306) |
| Quotas | 0.078(0.107) | 0.044(0.112) | 0.052(0.124) | 0.015(0.130) | 0.329\*\*(0.107) | 0.282\*(0.112) | 0.398\*\*\*(0.108) | 0.365\*\*(0.113) |
| First position | 0.134(0.084) | 0.118(0.090) | 0.157(0.109) | 0.128(0.117) | 0.087(0.083) | 0.085(0.089) | 0.213\*(0.083) | 0.243\*\*(0.089) |
| Closed list | 0.406\*(0.192) | 0.441\*(0.209) | 0.480\*(0.197) | 0.508\*(0.207) | 0.421\*(0.177) | 0.452\*(0.188) | 0.438\*(0.183) | 0.516\*\*(0.193) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.246\*\*\*(0.050) | 0.252\*\*\*(0.050) | -0.696\*\*\*(0.051) | -0.690\*\*\*(0.051) | 0.016(0.045) | 0.024(0.045) | 0.015(0.046) | 0.025\*\*(0.089) |
| ENEP | -0.087\*(0.038) | -0.086\*(0.038) | -0.102\*(0.048) | -0.102\*(0.048) | -0.002(0.038) | 0.001(0.038) | 0.085\*(0.038) | 0.088\*(0.038) |
| Distance | 0.002(0.013) | 0.003(0.013) | 0.007(0.013) | 0.007(0.013) | -0.005(0.012) | -0.004(0.012) | -0.000(0.013) | -0.000(0.013) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | 0.313(0.281) |  | 0.391(0.338) |  | 0.382(0.287) |  | 0.146(0.288) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.255(0.258) |  | 0.380(0.340) |  | 0.183(0.259) |  | -0.093(0.262) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | -0.282(0.581) |  | -0.378(0.657) |  | -0.343(0.604) |  | -0.860(0.676) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | 16.480\*\*\*(0.719) | 16.410\*\*\*(0.727) | 15.697\*\*\*(0.743) | 15.644\*\*\*(0.751) | 0.949(0.644) | 0.835(0.650) | -0.454(0.658) | -0.644(0.663) |
| N | 196 | 196 | 196 | 196 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.2.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (non- incumbents only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute****Spending** | **Per capita****Spending** | **Standardized spending****(district level)** | **Standardized spending****(list level)** |
| Women candidate | -0.354\*\*\*(0.052) | -0.008(0.117) | -0.342\*\*\*(0.056) | -0.053(0.109) | -0.346\*\*\*(0.051) | 0.054(0.115) | -0.331\*\*\*(0.042) | 0.097(0.094) |
| Quotas | -0.379\*\*\*(0.082) | -0.237\*\*(0.089) | -0.408\*\*\*(0.084) | -0.270\*\*(0.091) | -0.113(0.084) | 0.052(0.090) | 0.031(0.067) | 0.186\*\*(0.072) |
| First position | 0.822\*\*\*(0.066) | 0.826\*\*\*(0.079) | 0.793\*\*\*(0.067) | 0.787\*\*\*(0.081) | 0.833\*\*\*(0.066) | 0.839\*\*\*(0.078) | 1.065\*\*\*(0.051) | 1.094\*\*\*(0.060) |
| Closed list | -0.361\*\*\*(0.092) | -0.436\*\*\*(0.105) | -0.435\*\*\*(0.092) | -0.438\*\*\*(0.104) | -0.368\*\*\*(0.092) | -0.443\*\*\*(0.104) | -0.199\*\*(0.074) | -0.236\*\*(0.085) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.302\*\*\*(0.032) | 0.293\*\*\*(0.032) | -0.673\*\*\*(0.029) | -0.679\*\*\*(0.287) | 0.096\*\*(0.03) | 0.091\*\*(0.030) | 0.061\*(0.025) | 0.061\*(0.025) |
| ENEP | -0.061\*(0.025) | -0.058\*(0.025) | -0.085\*\*(0.027) | -0.083\*\*(0.027) | 0.033(0.025) | 0.036(0.025) | -0.008(0.021) | -0.009(0.020) |
| Distance | -0.005(0.010) | -0.006(0.010) | -0.016(0.009) | -0.018\*(0.009) | -0.013(0.010) | -0.013(0.010) | -0.007(0.008) | -0.008(0.008) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.485\*\*\*(0.124) |  | -0.443\*\*\*(0.120) |  | -0.562\*\*\*(0.122) |  | -0.557\*\*\*(0.100) |
| Women \* first position |  | -0.023(0.144) |  | -0.027(0.147) |  | -0.035(0.142) |  | -0.117(0.110) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.289\*(0.175) |  | 0.085(0.186) |  | 0.317(0.173) |  | 0.168(0.136) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | 14.767\*\*\*(0.406) | 14.776\*\*\*(0.405) | 14.507\*\*\*(0.360) | 14.514\*\*\*(0.360) | -1.287\*\*\*(0.375) | -1.339\*\*\*(0.374) | -0.953\*\*(0.321) | -1.049\*\*(0.321) |
| N | 2,876 | 2,876 | 2,876 | 2,811 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.3.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (first placed candidates only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute****Spending** | **Per capita****Spending** | **Standardized spending****(district level)** | **Standardized spending****(list level)** |
| Women candidate | -0.070(0.105) | 0.156(0.159) | -0.027(0.114) | 0.203(0.151) | -0.079(0.104) | 0.135(0.155) | -0.165\*(0.070) | 0.064(0.108) |
| Quotas | -0.052(0.114) | 0.013(0.121) | -0.039(0.129) | 0.040(0.137) | 0.291\*(0.117) | 0.351\*\*(0.124) | 0.374\*\*\*(0.080) | 0.446\*\*\*(0.085) |
| Incumbent | 0.668\*\*\*(0.095) | 0.684\*\*\*(0.100) | 0.758\*\*\*(0.106) | 0.789\*\*\*(0.110) | 0.709\*\*\*(0.095) | 0.732\*\*\*(0.099) | 0.146\*(0.073) | 0.172\*(0.075) |
| Closed list | 0.400\*\*(0.133) | 0.459\*\*(0.141) | 0.438\*\*(0.135) | 0.521\*\*\*(0.142) | 0.358\*\*(0.131) | 0.406\*\*(0.139) | 0.418\*\*\*(0.085) | 0.429\*\*\*(0.090) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.295\*\*\*(0.047) | 0.301\*\*\*(0.047) | -0.688\*\*\*(0.043) | -0.679\*\*\*(0.044) | -0.026(0.043) | -0.020(0.043) | 0.418\*\*\*(0.085) | 0.027(0.031) |
| ENEP | -0.008(0.042) | -0.003(0.042) | -0.010(0.050) | -0.004(0.050) | 0.099\*(0.043) | 0.104\*(0.043) | 0.059\*(0.029) | 0.062\*(0.029) |
| Distance | 0.010(0.013) | 0.011(0.013) | 0.012(0.012) | 0.012(0.012) | 0.002(0.013) | 0.002(0.013) | 0.001(0.009) | 0.002(0.009) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.335\*(0.193) |  | -0.423\*(0.215) |  | -0.321(0.192) |  | -0.355\*\*(0.131) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | -0.130(0.262) |  | -0.208(0.307) |  | -0.191(0.266) |  | -0.304(0.211) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | -0.287(0.353) |  | -0.536(0.408) |  | -0.187(0.352) |  | 0.086(0.209) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | 14.764\*\*\*(0.611) | 14.611\*\*\*(0.616) | 14.461\*\*\*(0.554) | 14.261\*\*\*(0.559) | 0.217(0.550) | 0.065(0.557) | -0.339(0.395) | -0.438(0.396) |
| N | 567 | 567 | 567 | 545 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.4.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (non-first placed candidates only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute****Spending** | **Per capita****Spending** | **Standardized spending****(district level)** | **Standardized spending****(list level)** |
| Women candidate | -0.361\*\*\*(0.056) | 0.000(0.132) | -0.348\*\*\*(0.060) | -0.074(0.120) | -0.358\*\*\*(0.055) | 0.056(0.130) | -0.323\*\*\*(0.047) | 0.135(0.109) |
| Quotas | -0.476\*\*\*(0.091) | -0.330\*\*\*(0.099) | -0.527\*\*\*(0.093) | -0.395\*\*\*(0.100) | -0.239\*(0.094) | -0.077(0.100) | -0.087(0.078) | 0.082(0.084) |
| Incumbent | 1.225\*\*\*(0.136) | 1.162\*\*\*(0.151) | 1.206\*\*\*(0.158) | 1.118\*\*\*(0.175) | 1.209\*\*\*(0.135) | 1.118\*\*\*(0.149) | 1.068\*\*\*(0.119) | 1.009\*\*\*(0.131) |
| Closed list | -0.555\*\*\*(0.108) | -0.730\*\*\*(0.124) | -0.717\*\*\*(0.108) | -0.823\*\*\*(0.123) | -0.573\*\*\*(0.108) | -0.760\*\*\*(0.125) | -0.522\*\*\*(0.092) | -0.711\*\*\*(0.108) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.308\*\*\*(0.036) | 0.295\*\*\*(0.036) | -0.653\*\*\*(0.032) | -0.664\*\*\*(0.032) | 0.140\*\*\*(0.033) | 0.131\*\*\*(0.033) | 0.065\*(0.030) | 0.063\*(0.029) |
| ENEP | -0.073\*\*(0.027) | -0.071\*\*(0.027) | -0.103\*\*\*(0.029) | -0.103\*\*\*(0.029) | 0.012(0.027) | 0.014(0.027) | -0.008(0.023) | -0.011(0.023) |
| Distance | -0.003(0.016) | -0.006(0.012) | -0.020(0.010) | -0.023\*(0.010) | -0.011(0.011) | -0.015(0.011) | -0.006(0.010) | -0.011(0.010) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.509\*\*\*(0.142) |  | -0.437\*\*(0.135) |  | -0.585\*\*\*(0.140) |  | -0.628\*\*\*(0.118) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.258(0.338) |  | 0.360(0.400) |  | 0.372(0.337) |  | 0.221(0.297) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.487\*(0.193) |  | 0.345(0.203) |  | 0.530\*\*(0.190) |  | 0.507\*\*(0.158) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | 14.879\*\*\*(0.459) | 14.961\*\*\*(0.457) | 14.491\*\*\*(0.404) | 14.578\*\*\*(0.403) | -1.630\*\*\*(0.424) | -1.599\*\*\*(0.422) | -0.804\*(0.378) | -0.853\*(0.377) |
| N | 2,505 | 2,505 | 2,505 | 2,462 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table C.1.** Explaining the level of campaign income by candidates for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018) – absolute values

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Personal** | **Individual****Donations** | **Corporate****donations** | **Party****transfers** |
| Women candidate | -0.513\*\*\*(0.067) | -0.342\*(0.157) | -0.164(0.087) | 0.268(0.208) | -0.580(30.496) | -1.067(30.496) | -0.272\*(0.126) | 0.159(0.320) |
| Quotas | -0.155(0.098) | -0.069(0.104) | -0.398\*\*(0.130) | -0.241(0.138) | -0.585(44.733) | -0.655(44.733) | -0.054(0.183) | 0.085(0.193) |
| Incumbent | 0.999\*\*\*(0.105) | 0.992\*\*\*(0.114) | 0.596\*\*\*(0.142) | 0.523\*\*\*(0.153) | 0.923(13.964) | 0.316(13.964) | 1.700\*\*\*(0.156) | 1.817\*\*\*(0.171) |
| First position | 0.596\*\*\*(0.080) | 0.515\*\*\*(0.092) | 0.585\*\*\*(0.105) | 0.621\*\*\*(0.121) | 1.245(22.517) | 1.890(22.517) | 1.025\*\*\*(0.138) | 0.936\*\*\*(0.158) |
| Closed list | -0.851\*\*\*(0.101) | -0.855\*\*\*(0.117) | -0.190(0.147) | -0.341\*(0.170) | -0.312(19.131) | -1.781(19.131) | -0.600\*\*(0.194) | -0.268(0.216) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.126\*\*(0.040) | 0.122\*\*(0.040) | 0.320\*\*\*(0.052) | 0.312\*\*\*(0.053) | 0.660(12.292) | 0.411(12.292) | 0.314\*\*\*(0.075) | 0.315\*\*\*(0.075) |
| ENEP | -0.084\*\*(0.031) | -0.084\*\*(0.031) | -0.153\*\*\*(0.040) | -0.142\*\*\*(0.040) | 0.150(12.114) | 0.132(12.114) | -0.014(0.057) | -0.019(0.057) |
| Distance | 0.017(0.012) | 0.016(0.012) | -0.015(0.016) | -0.014(0.016) | -0.000(4.810) | -0.059(4.810) | -0.022(0.025) | -0.026(0.025) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.322(0.166) |  | -0.644\*\*(0.214) |  | 0.126(27.821) |  | -0.542(0.325) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.221(0.304) |  | 0.412(0.380) |  | 1.095(4.796) |  | -0.230(0.445) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.323(0.190) |  | -0.081(0.248) |  | 0.162(10.149) |  | 0.547(0.321) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.048(0.229) |  | 0.492(0.275) |  | 3.820(10.583) |  | -1.462\*\*(0.510) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | 16.110\*\*\*(0.509) | 16.142\*\*\*(0.510) | 13.634\*\*\*(0.677) | 13.585\*\*\*(0.679) | 6.053(149.384) | 10.710(149.384) | 10.792\*\*\*(0.949) | 10.731\*\*\*(0.951) |
| N | 2,782 | 2,780 | 2,780 | 2,780 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a generalized linear model with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05.

**Table C.2.** Explaining campaign income by candidates for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018) – standardized values (district level)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Personal** | **Individual****Donations** | **Corporate****donations** | **Party****transfers** |
| Women candidate | -0.413\*\*\*(0.054) | -0.011(0.128) | -0.072(0.074) | 0.133(0.189) | -0.557\*\*\*(0.151) | -0.421(0.501) | -0.373\*\*\*(0.106) | -0.224(0.321) |
| Quotas | 0.084(0.082) | 0.209\*(0.086) | 0.050(0.118) | 0.123(0.125) | 0.106(0.260) | 0.184(0.271) | 0.097(0.173) | 0.203(0.183) |
| Incumbent | 0.330\*\*\*(0.089) | 0.346\*\*\*(0.095) | 0.320\*(0.128) | 0.320\*(0.138) | 0.666\*\*(0.209) | 0.463\*(0.237) | 0.595\*\*\*(0.140) | 0.672\*\*\*(0.153) |
| First position | 0.841\*\*\*(0.064) | 0.785\*\*\*(0.073) | 0.665\*\*\*(0.092) | 0.653\*\*\*(0.105) | 1.026\*\*\*(0.181) | 1.046\*\*\*(0.207) | 1.378\*\*\*(0.118) | 1.163\*\*\*(0.137) |
| Closed list | -0.164(0.093) | -0.137(0.106) | -0.069(0.133) | -0.187(0.158) | -0.178(0.282) | -0.151(0.315) | -0.183(0.230) | -0.221(0.265) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.049(0.031) | 0.052(0.031) | 0.030(0.046) | 0.029(0.046) | 0.103(0.115) | 0.076(0.116) | 0.109(0.071) | 0.103(0.071) |
| ENEP | 0.003(0.026) | 0.002(0.025) | 0.010(0.036) | 0.007(0.036) | -0.002(0.070) | 0.007(0.070) | 0.021(0.051) | 0.012(0.051) |
| Distance | -0.003(0.010) | -0.004) | -0.005(0.015) | -0.005(0.015) | 0.000(0.039) | -0.005(0.038) | -0.010(0.023) | -0.011(0.023) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.565\*\*\*(0.134) |  | -0.309(0.195) |  | -0.301(0.505) |  | -0.405(0.319) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.020(0.256) |  | 0.010(0.349) |  | 1.148\*(0.519) |  | 0.080(0.376) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.198(0.148) |  | 0.059(0.209) |  | 0.173(0.429) |  | 0.816\*\*(0.261) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | -0.023(0.907) |  | 0.359(0.237) |  | -0.114(0.580) |  | 0.215(0.479) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | -0.843\*(0.401) | -0.962\*(0.401) | -0.599(0.592) | -0.603(0.594) | -1.694(1.524) | -1.393(1.525) | -2.036\*(0.928) | -1.936\*(0.927) |
| N | 2,567 | 2,468 | 1,460 | 1.518 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a generalized linear model with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05.

**Table C.3.** Explaining the level of campaign income by candidates for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018) – standardized values (list level)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Personal** | **Individual****Donations** | **Corporate****donations** | **Party****transfers** |
| Women candidate | -0.497\*\*\*(0.062) | -0.177(0.146) | -0.087(0.085) | 0.449\*(0.201) | -0.483\*\*(0.165) | 0.156(0.397) | -0.393\*\*(0.124) | -0.025(0.313) |
| Quotas | -0.010(0.095) | 0.105(0.101) | -0.135(0.133) | 0.044(0.140) | -0.125(0.236) | 0.063(0.251) | 0.292(0.180) | 0.410\*(0.190) |
| Incumbent | 0.768\*\*\*(0.096) | 0.785\*\*\*(0.104) | 0.504\*\*\*(0.140) | 0.464\*\*(0.151) | 0.722\*\*(0.226) | 0.601\*(0.252) | 1.061\*\*\*(0.156) | 1.120\*\*\*(0.170) |
| First position | 0.652\*\*\*(0.075) | 0.585\*\*\*(0.086) | 0.589\*\*\*(0.104) | 0.647\*\*\*(0.120) | 1.117\*\*\*(0.184) | 1.158\*\*\*(0.210) | 1.150\*\*\*(0.134) | 1.008\*\*\*(0.153) |
| Closed list | -0.374\*\*\*(0.106) | -0.356\*\*(0.119) | -0.271(0.145) | -0.430\*(0.169) | -0.624\*(0.280) | -0.543(0.312) | -0.347(0.215) | -0.101(0.235) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.079\*(0.034) | 0.077\*(0.034) | 0.078(0.047) | 0.074(0.048) | 0.259\*(0.104) | 0.245\*(0.104) | 0.041(0.067) | 0.039(0.067) |
| ENEP | 0.034(0.030) | 0.035(0.029) | 0.038(0.041) | 0.047(0.041) | 0.022(0.076) | 0.036(0.076) | 0.077(0.059) | 0.073(0.059) |
| Distance | 0.004(0.011) | 0.003(0.011) | -0.008(0.016) | -0.007(0.016) | 0.020(0.031) | 0.019(0.030) | -0.003(0.022) | -0.007(0.023) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.479\*\*(0.154) |  | -0.738\*\*\*(0.208) |  | -0.873\*(0.411) |  | -0.515(0.316) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.075(0.276) |  | 0.199(0.385) |  | 0.819(0.587) |  | 0.148(0.431) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.249(0.177) |  | -0.199(0.243) |  | -0.077(0.429) |  | 0.433(0.316) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.022(0.219) |  | 0.502(0.270) |  | -0.062(0.590) |  | -1.116\*(0.517) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Year fixed effects | Included | Included | Included | Included |
| Intercept | -1.136\*\*(0.438) | -1.178\*\*(0.437) | -1.058(0.604) | -1.165(0.608) | -3.170\*(1.359) | -3.189\*(1.353) | -1.937\*(0.865) | -1.943\*(0.862) |
| N | 2,782 | 2,766 | 2,704 | 2,760 |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a generalized linear model with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05.