Appendix

**Table A:** Explaining campaign spending for Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018). Analysis restricted to districts with magnitude 4 (no quotas) and 5 (quotas)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute**  **spending** | | **Per capita**  **spending** | | **Standardized spending**  **(district level)** | | **Standardized spending**  **(list level)** | |
| Female candidate | -0.418\*\*\*  (0.100) | 0.109  (0.188) | -0.409\*\*\*  (0.100) | 0.104  (0.185) | -0.438\*\*\*  (0.100) | 0.100  (0.189) | -0.244\*\*  (0.079) | 0.063  (0.150) |
| Quotas | -0.277\*  (0.118) | -0.078  (0.127) | -0.278\*  (0.117) | -0.080  (0.126) | 0.007  (0.117) | 0.210  (0.125) | 0.076  (0.094) | 0.189  (0.101) |
| Incumbent | 0.536\*\*\*  (0.142) | 0.487\*\*  (0.150) | 0.532\*\*\*  (0.142) | 0.480\*\*  (0.150) | 0.539\*\*\*  (0.143) | 0.478\*\*  (0.151) | 0.304\*  (0.124) | 0.312\*  (0.132) |
| First position | 0.882\*\*\*  (0.104) | 0.860\*\*\*  (0.118) | 0.886\*\*\*  (0.104) | 0.867\*\*\*  (0.117) | 0.894\*\*\*  (0.104) | 0.872\*\*\*  (0.118) | 0.973\*\*\*  (0.079) | 0.953\*\*\*  (0.093) |
| Closed list | -1.230\*\*\*  (0.192) | -1.277\*\*\*  (0.220) | -1.244\*\*\*  (0.192) | -1.287\*\*\*  (0.219) | -1.241\*\*\*  (0.192) | -1.291\*\*\*  (0.219) | -0.162  (0.132) | -0.177  (0.151) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.414  (0.506) | 0.398  (0.500) | -0.557  (0.502) | -0.581  (0.497) | -0.520  (0.496) | -0.551  (0.490) | -0.237  (0.398) | -0.226  (0.397) |
| ENEP | -0.037  (0.079) | -0.049  (0.078) | -0.029  (0.080) | -0.044  (0.079) | -0.021  (0.078) | -0.037  (0.078) | -0.039  (0.061) | -0.040  (0.061) |
| Distance | 0.027  (0.021) | 0.021  (0.021) | 0.030  (0.022) | 0.023  (0.022) | 0.029  (0.021) | 0.021  (0.021) | 0.002  (0.017) | 0.001  (0.017) |
| Female \* Quotas |  | -0.838\*\*\*  (0.208) |  | -0.830\*\*\*  (0.206) |  | -0.857\*\*\*  (0.209) |  | -0.476\*\*  (0.165) |
| Female \* Incumbent |  | 0.310  (0.365) |  | 0.309  (0.368) |  | 0.379  (0.364) |  | -0.134  (0.319) |
| Female \* first position |  | -0.037  (0.240) |  | -0.050  (0.242) |  | -0.046  (0.240) |  | 0.057  (0.176) |
| Female \* Closed list |  | 0.342  (0.390) |  | 0.322  (0.390) |  | 0.354  (0.387) |  | 0.150  (0.257) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | 12.937  (7.237) | 13.203  (7.151) | 12.496  (7.197) | 12.891  (7.112) | 7.141  (7.096) | 7.621  (7.001) | 3.163  (5.683) | 2.984  (5.667) |
| N | 685 | | 685 | | 685 | | 655 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.1.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (incumbents only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute**  **Spending** | | **Per capita**  **Spending** | | **Standardized spending**  **(district level)** | | **Standardized spending**  **(list level)** | |
| Women candidate | -0.151  (0.116) | -0.482  (0.304) | -0.177  (0.141) | -0.608  (0.370) | -0.221  (0.117) | -0.558  (0.310) | -0.260\*  (0.119) | -0.272  (0.306) |
| Quotas | 0.078  (0.107) | 0.044  (0.112) | 0.052  (0.124) | 0.015  (0.130) | 0.329\*\*  (0.107) | 0.282\*  (0.112) | 0.398\*\*\*  (0.108) | 0.365\*\*  (0.113) |
| First position | 0.134  (0.084) | 0.118  (0.090) | 0.157  (0.109) | 0.128  (0.117) | 0.087  (0.083) | 0.085  (0.089) | 0.213\*  (0.083) | 0.243\*\*  (0.089) |
| Closed list | 0.406\*  (0.192) | 0.441\*  (0.209) | 0.480\*  (0.197) | 0.508\*  (0.207) | 0.421\*  (0.177) | 0.452\*  (0.188) | 0.438\*  (0.183) | 0.516\*\*  (0.193) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.246\*\*\*  (0.050) | 0.252\*\*\*  (0.050) | -0.696\*\*\*  (0.051) | -0.690\*\*\*  (0.051) | 0.016  (0.045) | 0.024  (0.045) | 0.015  (0.046) | 0.025\*\*  (0.089) |
| ENEP | -0.087\*  (0.038) | -0.086\*  (0.038) | -0.102\*  (0.048) | -0.102\*  (0.048) | -0.002  (0.038) | 0.001  (0.038) | 0.085\*  (0.038) | 0.088\*  (0.038) |
| Distance | 0.002  (0.013) | 0.003  (0.013) | 0.007  (0.013) | 0.007  (0.013) | -0.005  (0.012) | -0.004  (0.012) | -0.000  (0.013) | -0.000  (0.013) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | 0.313  (0.281) |  | 0.391  (0.338) |  | 0.382  (0.287) |  | 0.146  (0.288) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.255  (0.258) |  | 0.380  (0.340) |  | 0.183  (0.259) |  | -0.093  (0.262) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | -0.282  (0.581) |  | -0.378  (0.657) |  | -0.343  (0.604) |  | -0.860  (0.676) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | 16.480\*\*\*  (0.719) | 16.410\*\*\*  (0.727) | 15.697\*\*\*  (0.743) | 15.644\*\*\*  (0.751) | 0.949  (0.644) | 0.835  (0.650) | -0.454  (0.658) | -0.644  (0.663) |
| N | 196 | | 196 | | 196 | | 196 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.2.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (non- incumbents only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute**  **Spending** | | **Per capita**  **Spending** | | **Standardized spending**  **(district level)** | | **Standardized spending**  **(list level)** | |
| Women candidate | -0.354\*\*\*  (0.052) | -0.008  (0.117) | -0.342\*\*\*  (0.056) | -0.053  (0.109) | -0.346\*\*\*  (0.051) | 0.054  (0.115) | -0.331\*\*\*  (0.042) | 0.097  (0.094) |
| Quotas | -0.379\*\*\*  (0.082) | -0.237\*\*  (0.089) | -0.408\*\*\*  (0.084) | -0.270\*\*  (0.091) | -0.113  (0.084) | 0.052  (0.090) | 0.031  (0.067) | 0.186\*\*  (0.072) |
| First position | 0.822\*\*\*  (0.066) | 0.826\*\*\*  (0.079) | 0.793\*\*\*  (0.067) | 0.787\*\*\*  (0.081) | 0.833\*\*\*  (0.066) | 0.839\*\*\*  (0.078) | 1.065\*\*\*  (0.051) | 1.094\*\*\*  (0.060) |
| Closed list | -0.361\*\*\*  (0.092) | -0.436\*\*\*  (0.105) | -0.435\*\*\*  (0.092) | -0.438\*\*\*  (0.104) | -0.368\*\*\*  (0.092) | -0.443\*\*\*  (0.104) | -0.199\*\*  (0.074) | -0.236\*\*  (0.085) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.302\*\*\*  (0.032) | 0.293\*\*\*  (0.032) | -0.673\*\*\*  (0.029) | -0.679\*\*\*  (0.287) | 0.096\*\*  (0.03) | 0.091\*\*  (0.030) | 0.061\*  (0.025) | 0.061\*  (0.025) |
| ENEP | -0.061\*  (0.025) | -0.058\*  (0.025) | -0.085\*\*  (0.027) | -0.083\*\*  (0.027) | 0.033  (0.025) | 0.036  (0.025) | -0.008  (0.021) | -0.009  (0.020) |
| Distance | -0.005  (0.010) | -0.006  (0.010) | -0.016  (0.009) | -0.018\*  (0.009) | -0.013  (0.010) | -0.013  (0.010) | -0.007  (0.008) | -0.008  (0.008) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.485\*\*\*  (0.124) |  | -0.443\*\*\*  (0.120) |  | -0.562\*\*\*  (0.122) |  | -0.557\*\*\*  (0.100) |
| Women \* first position |  | -0.023  (0.144) |  | -0.027  (0.147) |  | -0.035  (0.142) |  | -0.117  (0.110) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.289\*  (0.175) |  | 0.085  (0.186) |  | 0.317  (0.173) |  | 0.168  (0.136) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | 14.767\*\*\*  (0.406) | 14.776\*\*\*  (0.405) | 14.507\*\*\*  (0.360) | 14.514\*\*\*  (0.360) | -1.287\*\*\*  (0.375) | -1.339\*\*\*  (0.374) | -0.953\*\*  (0.321) | -1.049\*\*  (0.321) |
| N | 2,876 | | 2,876 | | 2,876 | | 2,811 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.3.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (first placed candidates only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute**  **Spending** | | **Per capita**  **Spending** | | **Standardized spending**  **(district level)** | | **Standardized spending**  **(list level)** | |
| Women candidate | -0.070  (0.105) | 0.156  (0.159) | -0.027  (0.114) | 0.203  (0.151) | -0.079  (0.104) | 0.135  (0.155) | -0.165\*  (0.070) | 0.064  (0.108) |
| Quotas | -0.052  (0.114) | 0.013  (0.121) | -0.039  (0.129) | 0.040  (0.137) | 0.291\*  (0.117) | 0.351\*\*  (0.124) | 0.374\*\*\*  (0.080) | 0.446\*\*\*  (0.085) |
| Incumbent | 0.668\*\*\*  (0.095) | 0.684\*\*\*  (0.100) | 0.758\*\*\*  (0.106) | 0.789\*\*\*  (0.110) | 0.709\*\*\*  (0.095) | 0.732\*\*\*  (0.099) | 0.146\*  (0.073) | 0.172\*  (0.075) |
| Closed list | 0.400\*\*  (0.133) | 0.459\*\*  (0.141) | 0.438\*\*  (0.135) | 0.521\*\*\*  (0.142) | 0.358\*\*  (0.131) | 0.406\*\*  (0.139) | 0.418\*\*\*  (0.085) | 0.429\*\*\*  (0.090) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.295\*\*\*  (0.047) | 0.301\*\*\*  (0.047) | -0.688\*\*\*  (0.043) | -0.679\*\*\*  (0.044) | -0.026  (0.043) | -0.020  (0.043) | 0.418\*\*\*  (0.085) | 0.027  (0.031) |
| ENEP | -0.008  (0.042) | -0.003  (0.042) | -0.010  (0.050) | -0.004  (0.050) | 0.099\*  (0.043) | 0.104\*  (0.043) | 0.059\*  (0.029) | 0.062\*  (0.029) |
| Distance | 0.010  (0.013) | 0.011  (0.013) | 0.012  (0.012) | 0.012  (0.012) | 0.002  (0.013) | 0.002  (0.013) | 0.001  (0.009) | 0.002  (0.009) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.335\*  (0.193) |  | -0.423\*  (0.215) |  | -0.321  (0.192) |  | -0.355\*\*  (0.131) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | -0.130  (0.262) |  | -0.208  (0.307) |  | -0.191  (0.266) |  | -0.304  (0.211) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | -0.287  (0.353) |  | -0.536  (0.408) |  | -0.187  (0.352) |  | 0.086  (0.209) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | 14.764\*\*\*  (0.611) | 14.611\*\*\*  (0.616) | 14.461\*\*\*  (0.554) | 14.261\*\*\*  (0.559) | 0.217  (0.550) | 0.065  (0.557) | -0.339  (0.395) | -0.438  (0.396) |
| N | 567 | | 567 | | 567 | | 545 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table B.4.:** Explaining the level of campaign spending by candidates (non-first placed candidates only) for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Absolute**  **Spending** | | **Per capita**  **Spending** | | **Standardized spending**  **(district level)** | | **Standardized spending**  **(list level)** | |
| Women candidate | -0.361\*\*\*  (0.056) | 0.000  (0.132) | -0.348\*\*\*  (0.060) | -0.074  (0.120) | -0.358\*\*\*  (0.055) | 0.056  (0.130) | -0.323\*\*\*  (0.047) | 0.135  (0.109) |
| Quotas | -0.476\*\*\*  (0.091) | -0.330\*\*\*  (0.099) | -0.527\*\*\*  (0.093) | -0.395\*\*\*  (0.100) | -0.239\*  (0.094) | -0.077  (0.100) | -0.087  (0.078) | 0.082  (0.084) |
| Incumbent | 1.225\*\*\*  (0.136) | 1.162\*\*\*  (0.151) | 1.206\*\*\*  (0.158) | 1.118\*\*\*  (0.175) | 1.209\*\*\*  (0.135) | 1.118\*\*\*  (0.149) | 1.068\*\*\*  (0.119) | 1.009\*\*\*  (0.131) |
| Closed list | -0.555\*\*\*  (0.108) | -0.730\*\*\*  (0.124) | -0.717\*\*\*  (0.108) | -0.823\*\*\*  (0.123) | -0.573\*\*\*  (0.108) | -0.760\*\*\*  (0.125) | -0.522\*\*\*  (0.092) | -0.711\*\*\*  (0.108) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.308\*\*\*  (0.036) | 0.295\*\*\*  (0.036) | -0.653\*\*\*  (0.032) | -0.664\*\*\*  (0.032) | 0.140\*\*\*  (0.033) | 0.131\*\*\*  (0.033) | 0.065\*  (0.030) | 0.063\*  (0.029) |
| ENEP | -0.073\*\*  (0.027) | -0.071\*\*  (0.027) | -0.103\*\*\*  (0.029) | -0.103\*\*\*  (0.029) | 0.012  (0.027) | 0.014  (0.027) | -0.008  (0.023) | -0.011  (0.023) |
| Distance | -0.003  (0.016) | -0.006  (0.012) | -0.020  (0.010) | -0.023\*  (0.010) | -0.011  (0.011) | -0.015  (0.011) | -0.006  (0.010) | -0.011  (0.010) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.509\*\*\*  (0.142) |  | -0.437\*\*  (0.135) |  | -0.585\*\*\*  (0.140) |  | -0.628\*\*\*  (0.118) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.258  (0.338) |  | 0.360  (0.400) |  | 0.372  (0.337) |  | 0.221  (0.297) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.487\*  (0.193) |  | 0.345  (0.203) |  | 0.530\*\*  (0.190) |  | 0.507\*\*  (0.158) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | 14.879\*\*\*  (0.459) | 14.961\*\*\*  (0.457) | 14.491\*\*\*  (0.404) | 14.578\*\*\*  (0.403) | -1.630\*\*\*  (0.424) | -1.599\*\*\*  (0.422) | -0.804\*  (0.378) | -0.853\*  (0.377) |
| N | 2,505 | | 2,505 | | 2,505 | | 2,462 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a GLM’s with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05

**Table C.1.** Explaining the level of campaign income by candidates for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018) – absolute values

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Personal** | | **Individual**  **Donations** | | **Corporate**  **donations** | | **Party**  **transfers** | |
| Women candidate | -0.513\*\*\*  (0.067) | -0.342\*  (0.157) | -0.164  (0.087) | 0.268  (0.208) | -0.580  (30.496) | -1.067  (30.496) | -0.272\*  (0.126) | 0.159  (0.320) |
| Quotas | -0.155  (0.098) | -0.069  (0.104) | -0.398\*\*  (0.130) | -0.241  (0.138) | -0.585  (44.733) | -0.655  (44.733) | -0.054  (0.183) | 0.085  (0.193) |
| Incumbent | 0.999\*\*\*  (0.105) | 0.992\*\*\*  (0.114) | 0.596\*\*\*  (0.142) | 0.523\*\*\*  (0.153) | 0.923  (13.964) | 0.316  (13.964) | 1.700\*\*\*  (0.156) | 1.817\*\*\*  (0.171) |
| First position | 0.596\*\*\*  (0.080) | 0.515\*\*\*  (0.092) | 0.585\*\*\*  (0.105) | 0.621\*\*\*  (0.121) | 1.245  (22.517) | 1.890  (22.517) | 1.025\*\*\*  (0.138) | 0.936\*\*\*  (0.158) |
| Closed list | -0.851\*\*\*  (0.101) | -0.855\*\*\*  (0.117) | -0.190  (0.147) | -0.341\*  (0.170) | -0.312  (19.131) | -1.781  (19.131) | -0.600\*\*  (0.194) | -0.268  (0.216) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.126\*\*  (0.040) | 0.122\*\*  (0.040) | 0.320\*\*\*  (0.052) | 0.312\*\*\*  (0.053) | 0.660  (12.292) | 0.411  (12.292) | 0.314\*\*\*  (0.075) | 0.315\*\*\*  (0.075) |
| ENEP | -0.084\*\*  (0.031) | -0.084\*\*  (0.031) | -0.153\*\*\*  (0.040) | -0.142\*\*\*  (0.040) | 0.150  (12.114) | 0.132  (12.114) | -0.014  (0.057) | -0.019  (0.057) |
| Distance | 0.017  (0.012) | 0.016  (0.012) | -0.015  (0.016) | -0.014  (0.016) | -0.000  (4.810) | -0.059  (4.810) | -0.022  (0.025) | -0.026  (0.025) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.322  (0.166) |  | -0.644\*\*  (0.214) |  | 0.126  (27.821) |  | -0.542  (0.325) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.221  (0.304) |  | 0.412  (0.380) |  | 1.095  (4.796) |  | -0.230  (0.445) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.323  (0.190) |  | -0.081  (0.248) |  | 0.162  (10.149) |  | 0.547  (0.321) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.048  (0.229) |  | 0.492  (0.275) |  | 3.820  (10.583) |  | -1.462\*\*  (0.510) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | 16.110\*\*\*  (0.509) | 16.142\*\*\*  (0.510) | 13.634\*\*\*  (0.677) | 13.585\*\*\*  (0.679) | 6.053  (149.384) | 10.710  (149.384) | 10.792\*\*\*  (0.949) | 10.731\*\*\*  (0.951) |
| N | 2,782 | | 2,780 | | 2,780 | | 2,780 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a generalized linear model with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05.

**Table C.2.** Explaining campaign income by candidates for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018) – standardized values (district level)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Personal** | | **Individual**  **Donations** | | **Corporate**  **donations** | | **Party**  **transfers** | |
| Women candidate | -0.413\*\*\*  (0.054) | -0.011  (0.128) | -0.072  (0.074) | 0.133  (0.189) | -0.557\*\*\*  (0.151) | -0.421  (0.501) | -0.373\*\*\*  (0.106) | -0.224  (0.321) |
| Quotas | 0.084  (0.082) | 0.209\*  (0.086) | 0.050  (0.118) | 0.123  (0.125) | 0.106  (0.260) | 0.184  (0.271) | 0.097  (0.173) | 0.203  (0.183) |
| Incumbent | 0.330\*\*\*  (0.089) | 0.346\*\*\*  (0.095) | 0.320\*  (0.128) | 0.320\*  (0.138) | 0.666\*\*  (0.209) | 0.463\*  (0.237) | 0.595\*\*\*  (0.140) | 0.672\*\*\*  (0.153) |
| First position | 0.841\*\*\*  (0.064) | 0.785\*\*\*  (0.073) | 0.665\*\*\*  (0.092) | 0.653\*\*\*  (0.105) | 1.026\*\*\*  (0.181) | 1.046\*\*\*  (0.207) | 1.378\*\*\*  (0.118) | 1.163\*\*\*  (0.137) |
| Closed list | -0.164  (0.093) | -0.137  (0.106) | -0.069  (0.133) | -0.187  (0.158) | -0.178  (0.282) | -0.151  (0.315) | -0.183  (0.230) | -0.221  (0.265) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.049  (0.031) | 0.052  (0.031) | 0.030  (0.046) | 0.029  (0.046) | 0.103  (0.115) | 0.076  (0.116) | 0.109  (0.071) | 0.103  (0.071) |
| ENEP | 0.003  (0.026) | 0.002  (0.025) | 0.010  (0.036) | 0.007  (0.036) | -0.002  (0.070) | 0.007  (0.070) | 0.021  (0.051) | 0.012  (0.051) |
| Distance | -0.003  (0.010) | -0.004) | -0.005  (0.015) | -0.005  (0.015) | 0.000  (0.039) | -0.005  (0.038) | -0.010  (0.023) | -0.011  (0.023) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.565\*\*\*  (0.134) |  | -0.309  (0.195) |  | -0.301  (0.505) |  | -0.405  (0.319) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.020  (0.256) |  | 0.010  (0.349) |  | 1.148\*  (0.519) |  | 0.080  (0.376) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.198  (0.148) |  | 0.059  (0.209) |  | 0.173  (0.429) |  | 0.816\*\*  (0.261) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | -0.023  (0.907) |  | 0.359  (0.237) |  | -0.114  (0.580) |  | 0.215  (0.479) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | -0.843\*  (0.401) | -0.962\*  (0.401) | -0.599  (0.592) | -0.603  (0.594) | -1.694  (1.524) | -1.393  (1.525) | -2.036\*  (0.928) | -1.936\*  (0.927) |
| N | 2,567 | | 2,468 | | 1,460 | | 1.518 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a generalized linear model with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05.

**Table C.3.** Explaining the level of campaign income by candidates for the Colombian Lower Chamber elections (2014 and 2018) – standardized values (list level)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Personal** | | **Individual**  **Donations** | | **Corporate**  **donations** | | **Party**  **transfers** | |
| Women candidate | -0.497\*\*\*  (0.062) | -0.177  (0.146) | -0.087  (0.085) | 0.449\*  (0.201) | -0.483\*\*  (0.165) | 0.156  (0.397) | -0.393\*\*  (0.124) | -0.025  (0.313) |
| Quotas | -0.010  (0.095) | 0.105  (0.101) | -0.135  (0.133) | 0.044  (0.140) | -0.125  (0.236) | 0.063  (0.251) | 0.292  (0.180) | 0.410\*  (0.190) |
| Incumbent | 0.768\*\*\*  (0.096) | 0.785\*\*\*  (0.104) | 0.504\*\*\*  (0.140) | 0.464\*\*  (0.151) | 0.722\*\*  (0.226) | 0.601\*  (0.252) | 1.061\*\*\*  (0.156) | 1.120\*\*\*  (0.170) |
| First position | 0.652\*\*\*  (0.075) | 0.585\*\*\*  (0.086) | 0.589\*\*\*  (0.104) | 0.647\*\*\*  (0.120) | 1.117\*\*\*  (0.184) | 1.158\*\*\*  (0.210) | 1.150\*\*\*  (0.134) | 1.008\*\*\*  (0.153) |
| Closed list | -0.374\*\*\*  (0.106) | -0.356\*\*  (0.119) | -0.271  (0.145) | -0.430\*  (0.169) | -0.624\*  (0.280) | -0.543  (0.312) | -0.347  (0.215) | -0.101  (0.235) |
| Log. registered voters | 0.079\*  (0.034) | 0.077\*  (0.034) | 0.078  (0.047) | 0.074  (0.048) | 0.259\*  (0.104) | 0.245\*  (0.104) | 0.041  (0.067) | 0.039  (0.067) |
| ENEP | 0.034  (0.030) | 0.035  (0.029) | 0.038  (0.041) | 0.047  (0.041) | 0.022  (0.076) | 0.036  (0.076) | 0.077  (0.059) | 0.073  (0.059) |
| Distance | 0.004  (0.011) | 0.003  (0.011) | -0.008  (0.016) | -0.007  (0.016) | 0.020  (0.031) | 0.019  (0.030) | -0.003  (0.022) | -0.007  (0.023) |
| Women \* Quotas |  | -0.479\*\*  (0.154) |  | -0.738\*\*\*  (0.208) |  | -0.873\*  (0.411) |  | -0.515  (0.316) |
| Women \* Incumbent |  | 0.075  (0.276) |  | 0.199  (0.385) |  | 0.819  (0.587) |  | 0.148  (0.431) |
| Women \* first position |  | 0.249  (0.177) |  | -0.199  (0.243) |  | -0.077  (0.429) |  | 0.433  (0.316) |
| Women \* Closed list |  | 0.022  (0.219) |  | 0.502  (0.270) |  | -0.062  (0.590) |  | -1.116\*  (0.517) |
| Party fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Year fixed effects | Included | | Included | | Included | | Included | |
| Intercept | -1.136\*\*  (0.438) | -1.178\*\*  (0.437) | -1.058  (0.604) | -1.165  (0.608) | -3.170\*  (1.359) | -3.189\*  (1.353) | -1.937\*  (0.865) | -1.943\*  (0.862) |
| N | 2,782 | | 2,766 | | 2,704 | | 2,760 | |

**Notes**: Table reports beta coefficients of a generalized linear model with Tweedie distribution, standard errors between brackets. Significance levels: \*\*\*: p < 0.001; \*\* p < 0.01; p < 0.05.