|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table A** Summary of word searches using the official record (Hansard) | | | | | | |
| Search terms | References in the Commons Chamber | | | References in Written Statements | | |
|  | *N* | *Earliest* | *Latest* | *N* | *Earliest* | *Latest* |
| "In-work progression" | 15 | 2015 | 2020 | 4 | 2013 | 2020 |
| "in-work conditionality" | 28 | 2012 | 2018 | 1 | 2013 | 2013 |
| **Notes:** Search conducted on Hansard online: https://hansard.parliament.uk/search. Results did not differ depending on punctuation (e.g. use of hyphens); references were only found for contributions in the Commons Chamber and Written Statements. Written Statements were excluded from the analysis. | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table B** Summary of word searches of the official records of the Public Bill Committee - Welfare Reform Bill | | | | | |
| Terms | References (N) | Sittings (N) | Irrelevant topic  (N) | By MPs (N) | Included in analysis (n) |
| “In-work conditionality” | 19 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 15 |
| “In-work progression” | 0 | na | na | na | na |
| **Notes:** The records of the Public Bill Committee (PBC) did not appear in the Hansard search (Table A). Instead, the official record for all sittings were uploaded to NVivo and word searches performed using the ‘query’ function. PBC contributions were excluded from the analysis if (a) from experts, not MPs, or (b) irrelevant (e.g., discussing conditionality in other policy areas). | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table C** References to in-work conditionality in the Public Bill Committee - Welfare Reform Bill | | | |
| Date (Hearing) | References (N) | MPs/ Ministers (constituency) (party)  explicitly referencing IWC | MPs contributing to IWC discussion |
| 05.04.2011 (10) | 1 | Kate Green (Stretford and Urmston) (Lab) |  |
| 26.04.2011 (11) | 8 | Stephen Timms (East Ham) (Lab); The Minister of State, Department for Work and Pensions (Chris Grayling) (Con) | Lilian Greenwood (Nottingham South) (Lab); Ms Buck (Lab); Charlie Elphicke (Dover) (Con) |
| 28.04.2011 (14) | 5 | Stephen Timms (East Ham) (Lab); The Minister of State, Department for Work and Pensions (Chris Grayling) (Con) | Kate Green (Stretford and Urmston) (Lab) |
| **Notes:** “Lab”: Labour Party, “Con”: Conservative and Unionist Party. | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table D** Proportion of ‘Don’t know’ responses to the four in-work conditionality questions by party preference | | | | | | | |
| Survey item | Con | Lab | Lib Dem | SNP | UKIP | Green | Other |
| Refused more hours | 9.5% | 18.8% | 20.2% | 6.7% | 19.5% | 31% | 12.0% |
| Refused more pay | 11.9% | 14.9% | 13.2% | 10.9% | 17.1% | 37.7% | 9.8% |
| No active search for hours | 16.2% | 20.8% | 15.7% | 9.6% | 15.4% | 35.5% | 16.4% |
| No active search for pay | 15.0% | 15.5% | 18.2% | 2.9% | 4.7% | 33.5% | 12.6% |
| N | 303 | 384 | 56 | 41 | 34 | 28 | 41 |
| **Notes:** ‘Lab’: Labour Party: ‘Con’: Conservative and Unionist Party. ‘Lib Dem’: The Liberal Democrats; ‘SNP’: Scottish National Party; ‘UKIP’: UK Independence Party; ‘Green’: Green Party; | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table E** Comparing responses to unemployment benefit conditionality question in European Values Study and Ipsos MORI survey | | | | | | |
| Responses | European Values Study 2018 | | | Ipsos MORI  2017 | | |
|  | Frequency | % | Cum. | Frequency | % | Cum. |
| 1 | 239 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 224 | 20.2 | 20.2 |
| 2 | 120 | 6.7 | 20.1 | 64 | 5.8 | 25.9 |
| 3 | 203 | 11.4 | 31.5 | 158 | 14.2 | 40.1 |
| 4 | 212 | 11.9 | 43.3 | 121 | 10.9 | 51.0 |
| 5 | 333 | 18.6 | 62.0 | 141 | 12.7 | 63.7 |
| 6 | 190 | 10.6 | 72.6 | 98 | 8.8 | 72.5 |
| 7 | 163 | 9.1 | 81.7 | 87 | 7.8 | 80.4 |
| 8 | 156 | 8.7 | 90.4 | 62 | 5.6 | 86.0 |
| 9 | 58 | 3.2 | 93.7 | 18 | 1.6 | 87.6 |
| 10 | 97 | 5.4 | 99.1 | 100 | 9.0 | 96.6 |
| Don't know | 17 | 0.95 | 100 | 39 | 3.51 | 100 |
| Total | 1788 |  |  | 1111 |  |  |
| Notes: Responses compared for survey question: *How would you place your views on this scale?* Responses ranged from 1 – ‘People who are unemployed should have to take any job available or lose their unemployment benefits’ to 10 –‘People who are unemployed should have the right to refuse a job they do not want’. | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Table F** Multivariate logistic regression models predicting support for unemployment benefit conditionality | | | | |
| **Variable** |  | **Model 1**  **(Socio-demographic)** | **Model 2**  **(+ Party)** | **Model 3**  **(+ UBC)** |
| Age  (continuous) |  | 0.003  (0.006) | 0.001  (0.007) | 0.002  (0.008) |
| Education | Ref: GCSE | - | - | - |
|  | A Level | -0.068  (0.197) | 0.058  (0.230) | -0.150  (0.253) |
|  | Degree | -0.313\*  (0.175) | -0.323\*  (0.200) | -0.206  (0.224) |
| Household income | Ref: <£20k a year | - | - | - |
|  | £20k-£35k | 0.386\*  (0.211) | 0.457\*  (0.239) | 0.440\*  (0.257) |
|  | £35k + | 1.090\*\*\*  (0.206) | 1.063\*\*\*  (0.248) | 0.979\*\*\*  (0.246) |
|  | Prefer not to answer | 0.667\*\*\*  (0.255) | 0.486  (0.301) | 0.314  (0.331) |
| Parents (Y/N) | Ref: No | - | - | - |
|  | Yes | -0.219  (0.152) | -0.221  (0.214) | -0.074  (0.230) |
| Gender | Ref: Male | - | - | - |
|  | Female | 0.476\*\*\*  (0.152) | 0.375\*\*  (0.168) | 0.369\*\*  (0.185) |
| Labour market status | Ref: Employed FT | - | - | - |
|  | Employed PT | -0.477  (0.231) | -0.237  (0.268) | -0.205  (0.271) |
|  | Self-employed | -0.080  (0.290) | -0.036  (0.309) | 0.174  (0.316) |
|  | Unemployed | -0.698\*  (0.385) | -0.634  (0.445) | -0.475  (0.531) |
|  | Retired | 0.386  (0.281) | 0.339  (0.315) | 0.580\*  (0.345) |
|  | Not employed | -0.158  (0.215) | -0.099\*\*  (0.236) | 0.141  (0.255) |
| Party vote (2017) | Ref: Conservative | - | - | - |
|  | Labour | - | -0.918\*\*\*  (0.208) | -0.558\*\*  (0.227) |
|  | Other party | - | -0.654\*\*\*  (0.237) | -0.254  (0.265) |
| IWC preferences (binary) |  | - | - | 1.381\*\*\*  (0.191) |