

Online appendix for the paper
*Modeling and Reasoning in Event Calculus
 using Goal-Directed Constraint Answer Set
 Programming **

published in *Theory and Practice of Logic Programming*

Joaquín Arias¹

Manuel Carro^{2,3}

Zhuo Chen⁴

Gopal Gupta⁴

¹*CETINIA, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos*, ²*IMDEA Software Institute*

³*Universidad Politécnica de Madrid*, ⁴*University of Texas at Dallas*

joaquin.arias@urjc.es, manuel.carro@imdea.org, upm.es, {zhuo.chen,gupta}@utdallas.edu

submitted 1 January 2003; revised 1 January 2003; accepted 1 January 2003

Appendix A F2LP Encoding of the *Light* Scenario

The code below corresponds to what F2LP generates for the light scenario described in Section 4 using *discrete* Event Calculus. Since the directive `#domain` is not available in *clingo 5.1.1*, we had to adapt the translation of F2LP adding `timestep(1..10)` and `timestep/1` to make the clauses safe.

```

1 timestep(0..10).
2
3 % If a light is turned on, it will be on:
4 initiates(turn_on,on,T) :- timestep(T).
5
6 % If a light is turned on, whether it is red or green will be
7 % released from the commonsense law of inertia:
8 releases(turn_on,red,T) :- timestep(T).
9 releases(turn_on,green,T) :- timestep(T).
10
11 % If a light is turned off, it will not be on
12 terminates(turn_off,on,T) :- timestep(T).
13
```

* Work partially supported by EIT Digital, MICINN projects RTI2018-095390-B-C33 InEDGEMobility (MCIU/AEI/FEDER, UE), PID2019-108528RB-C21 ProCode, Comunidad de Madrid project S2018/TCS-4339 BLOQUES-CM co-funded by EIE Funds of the European Union, US NSF Grants IIS 1718945, IIS 1910131, IIP 1916206.

```

14 % After a light is turned on, it will emit red for up to 1 second
15 % and green after at least 1 second
16 trajectory(on, T1, red, T2) :-
17     timestep(T1),
18     timestep(T2),
19     T1 < T2, T2 < T1 + 1.
20 trajectory(on, T1, green, T2) :-
21     timestep(T1),
22     timestep(T2),
23     T2 >= T1 + 1.
24
25 initiallyN(on).
26
27 %% Actions
28 happens(turn_on,2).
29 happens(turn_off,4).
30 happens(turn_on,5).
31
32 %% Query
33 :- not query.
34 query :- holdsAt(red,_).

```

Appendix B Adapted F2LP Translation of the *Light* Scenario with Increased Precision

The code next shows an F2LP program for the light scenario described in Section 4, where the new predicate `precision/1` makes it possible to have a finer grain for the possible values of `timestep` by increasing the value of `P`.

```

1 timestep(0..10*P) :- precision(P).
2
3 % If a light is turned on, it will be on:
4 initiates(turn_on,on,T) :- timestep(T).
5
6 % If a light is turned on, whether it is red or green will be
7 % released from the commonsense law of inertia:
8 releases(turn_on,red,T) :-
9     timestep(T).
10 releases(turn_on,green,T) :-
11     timestep(T).
12
13 % If a light is turned off, it will not be on
14 terminates(turn_off,on,T) :- timestep(T).
15
16 % After a light is turned on, it will emit red for up to 1 second
17 % and green after at least 1 second
18 trajectory(on, T1, red, T2) :-
19     timestep(T1),
20     timestep(T2),
21     precision(P),
22     T1 < T2, T2 < T1 + (1*P).

```

```

23 trajectory(on, T1, green, T2) :-
24     timestep(T1),
25     timestep(T2),
26     precision(P),
27     T2 >= T1 + (1*P).
28
29 initiallyN(on).
30
31 %% Actions
32 happens(turn_on,2*P) :- precision(P).
33 happens(turn_off,4*P) :- precision(P).
34 happens(turn_on,5*P) :- precision(P).
35
36 %% Query
37 :- not query.
38
39 precision(10).
40 query :- holdsAt(red,59).

```

Appendix C Adapted F2LP Translation of the *Water Level Scenario* with Increased Precision

The next figure shows an F2LP program for the water level scenario described in Section 4, where the new predicate `precision/1` makes it possible to have a finer grain for the possible values of `timestep` and for the level of water by increasing the value of `P`.

```

1 timestep(0..30*P) :- precision(P).
2
3 % Two possible worlds - vessel size = 10 or size = 16
4 max_level(10*P) :-
5     precision(P),
6     not max_level(16*P).
7 max_level(16*P) :-
8     precision(P),
9     not max_level(10*P).
10
11 initiates(tapOn,filling,T) :- timestep(T).
12 terminates(tapOff,filling,T) :- timestep(T).
13
14 initiates(overflow,spilling,T) :-
15     timestep(T),
16     max_level(Max),
17     holdsAt(level(Max), T).
18
19 % Note that (S1.3) has to be a Releases formula instead of a
20 % Terminates formula, so that the Level fluent is immune from the
21 % common sense law of inertia after the tap is turned on.
22 releases(tapOn,level(0),T) :-
23     timestep(T),
24     happens(tapOn, T).

```

```

25
26 % Now we have the Trajectory formula, which describes the
27 % continuous variation in the Level fluent while the Filling
28 % fluent holds.
29 trajectory(filling,T1,level(X2),T2) :-
30     timestep(T1),
31     timestep(T2),
32     T1 < T2,
33     X2 = X + (4 * (T2 - T1)/3),
34     max_level(Max),
35     X2 <= Max,
36     holdsAt(level(X),T1).
37
38 trajectory(filling,T1,overlimit,T2) :-
39     timestep(T1),
40     timestep(T2),
41     T1 < T2, X2 = X + (4 * (T2 - T1)/3),
42     max_level(Max),
43     X2 > Max,
44     holdsAt(level(X),T1).
45
46 % Now we have the Trajectory formula, which describes the
47 % continuous variation in the Leaf fluent while the Spilling
48 % fluent holds.
49 trajectory(spilling,T1,leak(X),T2) :-
50     timestep(T1),
51     timestep(T2),
52     holdsAt(filling, T2),
53     T1 < T2, X = 4 * (T2 - T1) /3.
54
55 initiallyP(level(0)).
56
57 %% Actions
58 % The next formulae ensures the Overflow event is triggered when
59 % it should be.
60 happens(overflow,T) :- timestep(T).
61
62 % Here is a simple narrative. The level is initially 0, and the
63 % tap is turned on at time 5.
64 happens(tapOn,5*P) :- precision(P).
65
66 %% Query
67 :- not query.
68
69 precision(100).
70 query :- holdsAt(level(1100),_).

```