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## Appendix A Review: $\mathcal{C}+$

## A. 1 Syntax of $\mathcal{C}+$

$\mathcal{C}+$ was originally defined as a propositional language (Giunchiglia et al. 2004). In this section we review its reformulation in terms of ASPMT (Lee and Meng 2013).

We consider a many-sorted first-order signature $\sigma$ that is partitioned into three sub-signatures: the set $\sigma^{f l}$ of object constants called fluent constants, the set $\sigma^{a c t}$ of object constants called action constants, and the background signature $\sigma^{b g}$. The signature $\sigma^{f l}$ is further partitioned into the set $\sigma^{\text {sim }}$ of simple fluent constants and the set $\sigma^{s d}$ of statically determined fluent constants.

A fluent formula is a formula of signature $\sigma^{f t} \cup \sigma^{b g}$. An action formula is a formula of $\sigma^{a c t} \cup \sigma^{b g}$ that contains at least one action constant and no fluent constants.

A static law is an expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { caused } F \text { if } G \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ and $G$ are fluent formulas.
An action dynamic law is an expression of the form (A1) in which $F$ is an action formula and $G$ is a formula.

A fluent dynamic law is an expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { caused } F \text { if } G \text { after } H \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ and $G$ are fluent formulas and $H$ is a formula, provided that $F$ does not contain statically determined constants.

A causal law is a static law, an action dynamic law, or a fluent dynamic law. An action description is a finite set of causal laws.

The formula $F$ in causal laws (A1) and (A2) is called the head.
We call an action description definite if the head $F$ of every causal law (A1) and (A2) is an atomic formula that is $\left(\sigma^{f l} \cup \sigma^{a c t}\right)$-plain. ${ }^{8}$

[^0]
## A. 2 Semantics of $\mathcal{C}+$

For a signature $\sigma$ and a nonnegative integer $i$, expression $i: \sigma$ is the signature consisting of the pairs $i: c$ such that $c \in \sigma$, and the value sort of $i: c$ is the same as the value sort of $c$. Similarly, if $s$ is an interpretation of $\sigma$, expression $i: s$ is an interpretation of $i: \sigma$ such that $c^{s}=(i: c)^{i: s}$.

For any action description $D$ of signature $\sigma^{f l} \cup \sigma^{a c t} \cup \sigma^{b g}$ and any nonnegative integer $m$, the ASPMT program $D_{m}$ is defined as follows. The signature of $D_{m}$ is $0: \sigma^{f l} \cup \cdots \cup m: \sigma^{f l} \cup 0$ : $\sigma^{a c t} \cup \cdots \cup(m-1): \sigma^{a c t} \cup \sigma^{b g}$. By $i: F$ we denote the result of inserting $i:$ in front of every occurrence of every fluent and action constant in a formula $F$.

ASPMT program $D_{m}$ is the conjunction of

$$
i: G \rightarrow i: F
$$

for every static law (A1) in $D$ and every $i \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$, and for every action dynamic law (A1) in $D$ and every $i \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$;

$$
(i+1): G \wedge i: H \rightarrow(i+1): F
$$

for every fluent dynamic law (A2) in $D$ and every $i \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$.
The transition system represented by an action description $D$ consists of states (vertices) and transitions (edges). A state is an interpretation $s$ of $\sigma^{f l}$ such that $0: s \models_{b g} \operatorname{SM}\left[D_{0} ; 0: \sigma^{s d}\right]$. A transition is a triple $\left\langle s, e, s^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are interpretations of $\sigma^{f l}$ and $e$ is an interpretation of $\sigma^{a c t}$, such that

$$
(0: s) \cup(0: e) \cup\left(1: s^{\prime}\right) \models_{b g} \operatorname{SM}\left[D_{1} ;\left(0: \sigma^{s d}\right) \cup\left(0: \sigma^{a c t}\right) \cup\left(1: \sigma^{f t}\right)\right] .
$$

The definition of the transition system above implicitly relies on the following property of transitions:

## Theorem 2

(Lee and Meng 2013, Theorem 3) For every transition $\left\langle s, e, s^{\prime}\right\rangle, s$ and $s^{\prime}$ are states.
The following theorem states the correspondence between the stable models of $D_{m}$ and the paths in the transition system represented by $D$ :

## Theorem 3

(Lee and Meng 2013, Theorem 4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(0: s_{0}\right) \cup\left(0: e_{0}\right) \cup\left(1: s_{1}\right) \cup\left(1: e_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup\left(m: s_{m}\right) \\
& \quad=_{b g} \operatorname{SM}\left[D_{m} ;\left(0: \sigma^{s d}\right) \cup\left(0: \sigma^{a c t}\right) \cup\left(1: \sigma^{f l}\right) \cup\left(1: \sigma^{a c t}\right) \cup \cdots \cup\left(m-1: \sigma^{a c t}\right) \cup\left(m: \sigma^{f t}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

iff each triple $\left\langle s_{i}, e_{i}, s_{i+1}\right\rangle(0 \leq i<m)$ is a transition.
It is known that when $D$ is definite, ASPMT program $D_{m}$ that is obtained from action description $D$ is always tight. Functional completion (Bartholomew and Lee 2013) on ASPMT can be applied to turn $D_{m}$ into an SMT instance.

## A. 3 Some Useful Abbreviations of $\mathcal{C}+$ Causal Laws

This section explains the abbreviations of $\mathcal{C}+$ causal laws used in the paper.

1. A static law of the form

$$
\text { caused } \perp \text { if } \neg F
$$ can be written as

$$
\text { constraint } F \text {. }
$$

2. A fluent dynamic law of the form

$$
\text { caused } \perp \text { if } \neg F \text { after } G
$$

can be written as

$$
\text { constraint } F \text { after } G \text {. }
$$

3. A fluent dynamic law of the form

$$
\text { caused } \perp \text { after } F \wedge G
$$

where $F$ is an action formula can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { nonexecutable } F \text { if } G \text {. } \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. An expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \text { causes } G \text { if } H \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is an action formula stands for the fluent dynamic law

$$
\text { caused } G \text { after } F \wedge H
$$

if $G$ is a fluent formula, ${ }^{9}$ and for the action dynamic law

$$
\text { caused } G \text { if } F \wedge H
$$

if $G$ is an action formula.
5. An expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { default } F \text { if } G \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

stands for the causal law

$$
\text { caused }\{F\}^{\text {ch }} \text { if } G .
$$

6. An expression of the form
default $F$ if $G$ after $H$
stands for the fluent dynamic law ${ }^{10}$

$$
\text { caused }\{F\}^{\text {ch }} \text { if } G \text { after } H
$$

7. An expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { exogenous } c \text { if } G \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant stands for the set of causal laws

$$
\text { default } c=v \text { if } G
$$

[^1]for all $v \in \operatorname{Dom}(c)$.
8. An expression of the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { inertial } c \text { if } G \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $c$ is a fluent constant stands for the set of fluent dynamic laws

$$
\text { default } c=v \text { after } c=v \wedge G
$$

for all $v \in \operatorname{Dom}(c)$.
9. In the abbreviations of causal laws above, "if $G$ " and "if $H$ " can be omitted if $G$ and $H$ are $\top$.

## Appendix B Proofs

## B. 1 Proof of Theorem 1

We assume the case for linear hybrid automata with convex invariants. The proof of the general case of non-linear hybrid automata with non-convex invariants are mostly similar except for the difference in Flow and Inv conditions.

## Theorem 1

There is a $1: 1$ correspondence between the paths of the transition system of a Hybrid automata $H$ and the paths of the transition system of the $\mathcal{C}+$ action description $D_{H}$.

The proof is immediate from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, which are proven below.

## B.1.1 Proof of Lemma 1

## Lemma 3

Let $H$ be a linear hybrid automaton with convex invariants, and let

$$
(v, r) \xrightarrow{\sigma}\left(v, r^{\prime}\right)
$$

be a transition in $T_{H}$ such that $\sigma \in \mathcal{R}_{>0}$. Function $f(t)=r+t \times\left(r^{\prime}-r\right) / \sigma$ is a linear differentiable function from $[0, \sigma]$ to $\mathcal{R}^{n}$, with the first derivative $\dot{f}:[0, \sigma] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that (i) $f(0)=r$ and $f(\sigma)=r^{\prime}$ and (ii) for all reals $\epsilon \in(0, \sigma)$, both $\operatorname{lnv}_{v}(f(\epsilon))$ and $\operatorname{Flow}_{v}(\dot{f}(\epsilon))$ are true.
Proof. We check that $f$ satisfies the above conditions:

- It is clear that $f(t)$ is differentiable over $t \in[0, \sigma], f(0)=r$ and $f(\sigma)=r^{\prime}$.
- Since $(v, r)$ and $\left(v, r^{\prime}\right)$ are states of $T_{H}$, it follows that $\operatorname{lnv}_{v}(f(0))$ and $\operatorname{lnv}_{v}(f(\sigma))$ are true. Since the values of $X$ that makes $\operatorname{lnv}_{v}(X)$ form a convex region in $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ and $f(t)$ is a linear function, it follows that for $\epsilon \in(0, \sigma), \operatorname{lnv}_{v}(f(\epsilon))$ is true.
- Since $(v, r) \xrightarrow{\sigma}\left(v, r^{\prime}\right)$ is a transition in $T_{H}$, it follows that there is a function $g$ such that (i) $g$ is differentiable in $[0, \sigma]$, (ii) for any $\epsilon \in(0, \sigma)$, $\operatorname{Flow}_{v}(\dot{g}(\epsilon))$ is true, (iii) $g(0)=r$ and $g(\sigma)=r^{\prime}$. Since $g$ is continuous on $[0, \sigma]$ (differentiability implies continuity) and differentiable on $(0, \sigma)$, by the mean value theorem ${ }^{11}$, there is a point $c \in(0, \sigma)$ such that $\dot{g}(c)=\left(r^{\prime}-r\right) / \sigma$. Consequently, $\operatorname{Flow}_{v}\left(\left(r^{\prime}-r\right) / \sigma\right)$ is true. As a result, we get $\operatorname{Flow}_{v}(\dot{f}(\epsilon))$ is true for all $\epsilon \in(0, \sigma)$.

In the following two lemmas, $s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}$ are defined as in Lemma 1.
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## Lemma 4

For each $i \geq 0, s_{i}$ is a state in the transition system of $D_{H}$.
Proof. Since $D_{H}$ does not contain statically determined fluent constants and every simple fluent constant is declared exogenous, it is sufficient to prove

$$
0: s_{i}=_{b g} \operatorname{SM}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{0} ; \emptyset\right],
$$

while $\operatorname{SM}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{0} ; \emptyset\right]$ is equivalent to the conjunction of

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: \text { Mode }=v \rightarrow 0: \operatorname{lnv}_{v}(X) \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v \in V$. Since $p$ is a path, for each $i \geq 0,\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ is a state in $T_{H}$. By the definition of a hybrid transition system, $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is true. Since $s_{i} \models_{b g}(\operatorname{Mode}, X)=\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$, we have $0: s_{i} \models_{b g}(\mathrm{~B} 1)$.

## Lemma 5

For each $i \geq 0,\left\langle s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}\right\rangle$ is a transition in the transition system of $D_{H}$.
Proof. By definition, we are to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} \operatorname{SM}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{1} ; 0: \sigma^{a c t} \cup 1: \sigma^{f l}\right] . \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We check that $\left(D_{H}\right)_{1}$ is tight, so that (B2) is equivalent to

$$
0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1}=_{b g} \operatorname{Comp}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{1} ; 0: \sigma^{a c t} \cup 1: \sigma^{f l}\right],
$$

where the completion $\operatorname{Comp}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{1} ; 0: \sigma^{a c t} \cup 1: \sigma^{f l}\right]$ is equivalent to the conjunction of the following formulas:

- Formula $F L O W$, which is the conjunction of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Flow}_{v}((1: X-0: X) / t) \leftarrow 0: \text { Mode }=v \wedge 0: \text { Dur }=t \wedge 0: \text { Wait }=\text { TRUE } \wedge t>0 \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1: X=0: X \leftarrow 0: \text { Mode }=v \wedge 0: \text { Dur }=0 \wedge 0: \text { Wait }=\mathrm{TRUE} \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v \in V$.

- Formula $I N V$, which is the conjunction of

$$
\begin{equation*}
k: \operatorname{lnv}_{v}(X) \leftarrow k: \text { Mode }=v \tag{B5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $k \in\{0,1\}$ and each $v \in V$.

- Formula WAIT, which is the conjunction of

$$
0: \text { Wait }=\text { FALSE } \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{e \in E} 0: \operatorname{hevent}(e)=\text { TRUE. }
$$

- Formula GUARD, which is the conjunction of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\perp \leftarrow 0: \operatorname{hevent}(e)=\text { TRUE } \wedge 0: \neg \operatorname{Guard}_{e}(X) \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each edge $e \in E$.

- Formula RESET, which is the conjunction of

$$
\operatorname{Reset}_{e}(0: X, 1: X) \leftarrow 0: \text { hevent }(e)=\text { TRUE }
$$

for each edge $e=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in E$.

- Formula $M O D E$, which is the conjunction of

$$
\perp \leftarrow 0: \text { hevent }(e)=\text { TRUE } \wedge \neg\left(0: \text { Mode }=v_{1}\right)
$$

for each $e=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in E$;

$$
1: \text { Mode }=v \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{\left\{v^{\prime} \mid\left(v^{\prime}, v\right) \in E\right\}} 0: \text { hevent }\left(v^{\prime}, v\right)=\text { TRUE } \vee 0: \text { Mode }=v
$$

for each $v \in V$.

- Formula DURATION, which is the conjunction of

$$
0: D u r=0 \leftarrow \bigvee_{e \in E} 0: \text { hevent }(e)
$$

for each edge $e \in E$.
We will show that $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1}$ satisfies each of the formulas above. First, we check $I N V$.

- INV: From the fact that $\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$ are states in $T_{H}$, by the definition of a hybrid transition system, $\operatorname{lnv} v_{v_{i}}\left(r_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i+1}}\left(r_{i+1}\right)$ are true. Note that $s_{i} \models_{b g}($ Mode, $X)=$ $\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ and $s_{i+1} \models_{b g}($ Mode, $X)=\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$. As a result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0: s_{i} \models_{b g}\left(0: \text { Mode }=v \rightarrow 0: \operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}(X)\right) \\
& 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}\left(1: \text { Mode }=v \rightarrow 1: \operatorname{lnv} v_{v_{i+1}}(X)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence 0: $s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} I N V$.
Next, we check the remaining formulas. From the definition of $T_{H}$, there are two cases for the value of $\sigma_{i}$.
Case 1: $\sigma_{i}=$ hevent $(e)$ where $e=\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)$. It follows from the construction of $p^{\prime}$ that $(\text { Dur })^{a_{i}}=0,(\text { hevent }(e))^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE, $\left(\text { hevent }\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right)^{a_{i}}=$ FALSE for all $e^{\prime} \neq e$ and $(\text { Wait })^{a_{i}}=$ FALSE.

From the fact that

$$
\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i}}\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)
$$

is a transition in $T_{H}$ and that $\sigma_{i}=$ hevent $(e)$, it follows from the definition of a hybrid transition system that $\operatorname{Guard}_{e}\left(r_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Reset}_{e}\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)$ are true.

- FLOW: Since $0: a_{i} \models 0$ : Wait = FALSE, trivially, 0: $s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} F L O W$.
- WAIT: Since $(\text { hevent }(e))^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE, and $(\text { Wait })^{a_{i}}=$ FALSE, it follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 0$ : $a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}$ WAIT.
- GUARD: From $s_{i} \models_{b g} X=r_{i}$, it follows that $0: s_{i} \models_{b g} 0$ : Guard $_{e}(X)$. Since (hevent $\left.(e)\right)^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE, it follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}$ GUARD.
- RESET: From $s_{i} \models_{b g}($ Mode, $X)=\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ and $s_{i+1} \models_{b g}($ Mode, $X)=\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$, it follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} \operatorname{Reset}_{e}(0: X, 1: X)$. Since (hevent $\left.(e)\right)^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE, it follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}$ RESET.
- MODE: Note that $s_{i} \models_{b g}($ Mode, $X)=\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ and $s_{i+1} \models_{b g}($ Mode, $X)=\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$. It is immediate that $0: s_{i} \models_{b g} 0:$ Mode $=v_{i}$ and $1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} 1:$ Mode $=v_{i+1}$. Since (hevent $(e))^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE, it follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}$ MODE.
- DURATION: Since $(\text { Dur })^{a_{i}}=0$ and (hevent $\left.(e)\right)^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE, it follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 0$ : $a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}$ DURATION.

Case 2: $\sigma_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$. By the construction of $p^{\prime},(\text { Dur })^{a_{i}}=\sigma_{i},(\text { Wait })^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE and $(\text { hevent }(e))^{a_{i}}=$ FALSE for every $e=\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in E$. It is easy to check that WAIT, GUARD, RESET, MODE, DURATION are trivially satisfied by $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1}$. So, it is sufficient to consider only FLOW.

From the fact that

$$
\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i}}\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)
$$

is a transition of $T_{H}$ and that $\sigma_{i} \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$, it follows from the definition of a hybrid transition system that
(a) $v_{i}=v_{i+1}$, and
(b) there is a differentiable function $f:\left[0, \sigma_{i}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n}$, with the first derivative $\dot{f}:\left[0, \sigma_{i}\right] \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that: (1) $f(0)=r_{i}$ and $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=r_{i+1}$ and (2) for all reals $\epsilon \in\left(0, \sigma_{i}\right)$, both $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}(f(\epsilon))$ and $\operatorname{Flow}_{v_{i}}(\dot{f}(\epsilon))$ are true.

- FLOW:
— If $\sigma_{i}=0$, then $(D u r)^{a_{i}}=0$. From (b), $r_{i}=r_{i+1}=f(0)$. As a result $X^{s_{i}}=X^{s_{i+1}}$ and it follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}(B 4)$.
- If $\sigma_{i}>0$, then $(\mathrm{Dur})^{a_{i}}>0$. By Lemma 3, $f(t)=r_{i}+t *\left(r_{i+1}-r_{i}\right) / \sigma_{i}$ is a differentiable function that satisfies all the conditions in (b). As a result, $\operatorname{Flow}_{v_{i}}\left(\left(r_{i+1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.r_{i}\right) / \sigma_{i}\right)$ is true and thus $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} \operatorname{Flow}_{v_{i}}((1: r-0: r) / D u r)$. It follows that $0: s_{i} \cup 0: e_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}(B 3)$.


## Lemma 1

$p^{\prime}$ is a path in the transition system $D_{H}$.
Proof. By Lemma 4, each $s_{i}$ is a state of $D_{H}$. By Lemma 5, each $\left\langle s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}\right\rangle$ is a transition of $D_{H}$. So $p^{\prime}$ is a path in the transition system of $D_{H}$.

## B.1.2 Proof of Lemma 2

In the following two lemmas, $v_{i}, r_{i}$ are defined as in Lemma 2.

## Lemma 6

For each $i \geq 0,\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ is a state in $T_{H}$.
Proof. By definition, we are to show that $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is true. Since each $s_{i}$ is a state in the transition system of $D_{H}$, by definition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: s_{i} \models_{b g} \operatorname{SM}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{0} ; \emptyset\right] . \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\operatorname{SM}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{0} ; \emptyset\right]$ is equivalent to the conjunction of the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: \operatorname{lnv}_{v}(X) \leftarrow 0: \text { Mode }=v \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v \in V$. Since $(\text { Mode })^{s_{i}}=v_{i}$, it follows that $s_{i} \models_{b g} \operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}(X)$. Since $X^{s_{i}}=r_{i}$, it follows that $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is true.

## Lemma 7

For each $i \geq 0,\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i}}\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$ is a transition in $T_{H}$.
Proof. From the fact that $\left(s_{i}, a_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ is a transition of $D_{H}$, by definition we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} \operatorname{SM}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{1} ; 0: \sigma^{a c t} \cup 1: \sigma^{f l}\right] \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(D_{H}\right)_{1}$ is tight, $\operatorname{SM}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{1} ; 0: \sigma^{\text {act }} \cup 1: \sigma^{f l}\right]$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{Comp}\left[\left(D_{H}\right)_{1} ; 0: \sigma^{a c t} \cup 1\right.$ : $\sigma^{f l}$, which is equivalent to the conjunction of $F L O W$, INV, WAIT, GUARD, RESET, MODE, DURATION (See the proof of Lemma 5 for the definitions of these formulas).

Consider two cases:
Case 1: There exists an edge $e=\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ such that (hevent $\left.(e)\right)^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE. Since Mode $e^{s_{i}}=v_{i}$ and Mode $e^{s_{i+1}}=v_{i+1}$, it follows that $\left(v, v^{\prime}\right)$ must be $\left(v_{i}, v_{i+1}\right)$. Since (hevent $\left.(e)\right)^{a_{i}}=$ TRUE, it follows from the definition that $\sigma_{i}$ is hevent $(e)$.

- Since $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g} G U A R D$ and $X^{s_{i}}=r_{i}$, it is immediate that $\operatorname{Guard}_{e}\left(r_{i}\right)$ is true.
- Since $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}$ RESET, $X^{s_{i+1}}=r_{i+1}$ and $X^{s_{i}}=r_{i}$, it is immediate that $\operatorname{Reset}_{e}\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)$ is true.
- By Lemma 6, $\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$ are states.

Consequently, we conclude that $\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i}}\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$ is a transition in $T_{H}$.
Case 2: $(\text { hevent }(e))^{a_{i}}=$ FALSE for all edges $e=\left(v, v^{\prime}\right) \in E$. By construction, $\sigma_{i}=(D u r)^{a_{i}}$ where $(\text { Dur })^{a_{i}} \in \mathcal{R}_{\geq 0}$. By Lemma $6,\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$ are states of $T_{H}$. Since $0: s_{i} \cup 0$ : $a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models M O D E$, it follows that Mode $^{s_{i}}=M o d e^{s_{i+1}}$. As a result, $v_{i}=v_{i+1}$. We are to show that there is a differentiable function $f:\left[0, \sigma_{i}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n}$, with the first derivative $\dot{f}:\left[0, \sigma_{i}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{n}$ such that: (i) $f(0)=r_{i}$ and $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=r_{i+1}$ and (ii) for all reals $\epsilon \in\left(0, \sigma_{i}\right)$, both $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}(f(\epsilon))$ and Flow $_{v_{i}}(\dot{f}(\epsilon))$ are true. We now check these conditions for two cases.

1. $\sigma_{i}=0$ : Since $0: s_{i} \cup 0: a_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}(B 4)$, it is clear that $r_{i+1}=r_{i}$. This satisfies condition (i) since $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=f(0)=r_{i+1}=r_{i}$. Condition (ii) is trivially satisfied since there is no $\epsilon \in(0,0)$.
2. $\sigma_{i}>0$ : Define $f(t)=r_{i}+t *\left(r_{i+1}-r_{i}\right) / \sigma_{i}$. We check that $f$ satisfies the above conditions:

- $f(t)$ is differentiable over $\left[0, \sigma_{i}\right]$.
- It is clear that $f(0)=r_{i}$ and $f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=r_{i+1}$.
- We check that for any $\epsilon \in(0, \sigma), \operatorname{lnv}_{v}(f(\epsilon))$ is true. From $0: s_{i} \cup 1: s_{i+1} \models_{b g}(B 5)$, it follows that $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}(f(0))$ and $\operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}\left(f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)\right)$ are true. Since the values of $X$ that makes $\operatorname{lnv} v_{v_{i}}(X)$ form a convex region in $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ and $f(t)$ is a linear function, it follows that for $\epsilon \in(0, \sigma), \operatorname{lnv}_{v_{i}}(f(\epsilon))$ is true.
- We check that for any $\epsilon \in(0, \sigma)$, $\operatorname{Flow}_{v_{i}}(\dot{f}(\epsilon))$ is true. From (B3), it follows that Flow $_{v_{i}}\left(\left(f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-f(0)\right) / \sigma_{i}\right)$ is true. Since $f(t)$ is a linear function, it follows that for any $\epsilon \in\left(0, \sigma_{i}\right), \dot{f}(\epsilon)=\left(f\left(\sigma_{i}\right)-f(0)\right) / \sigma_{i}$. As a result, $\operatorname{Flow}_{v_{i}}(\dot{f}(\epsilon))$ is true
Consequently, we conclude that $\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i}}\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$ is a transition in $T_{H}$.


## Lemma 2

$q^{\prime}$ is a path in the transition system of $T_{H}$.
Proof. By Lemma 6, each $\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right)$ is a state in $T_{H}$. By Lemma 7, each $\left(v_{i}, r_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{i}}\left(v_{i+1}, r_{i+1}\right)$ is a transition in $T_{H}$. So $q^{\prime}$ is a path in $T_{H}$.

## Appendix C Examples

## C. 1 Water Tank Example



This example describes a water tank example with 2 tanks $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Here $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are constants that describe the lower bounds of the level of water in the respective tanks. $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ are constants that define the rate at which water is being added to the respective tanks and $V$ is the constant rate at which water is draining from the tanks. We assume that water is added only one tank at a time.

Assuming $W_{1}=W_{2}=7.5, V=5, R_{1}=R_{2}=0$ and initially the level of water in the respective tanks are $X_{1}=0, X_{2}=8$, then the goal is to find a way to add water to each of the tanks with the passage of time.

## C.1.1 Hybrid Automata Components

- Variables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -X_{1}, X_{1}^{\prime}, \dot{X}_{1} \\
& -X_{2}, X_{2}^{\prime}, \dot{X}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- States:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -Q_{1}(\operatorname{mode}=1) \\
& -Q_{2}(\operatorname{mode}=2)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Directed Graph: The graph is given above
- Invariants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\operatorname{lnv}_{Q_{1}}(X): X_{2} \geq \mathrm{R}_{2} \\
& -\operatorname{lnv}_{Q_{2}}(X): X_{1} \geq \mathrm{R}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Flow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\operatorname{Flow}_{Q_{1}}(X): \dot{X}_{1}=\mathrm{W}_{1}-\mathrm{V} \wedge \dot{X}_{2}=-\mathrm{V} \\
& -\operatorname{Flow}_{Q 2}(X): \dot{X}_{1}=-\mathrm{V} \wedge \dot{X}_{2}=\mathrm{W}_{2}-\mathrm{V}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Guard and Reset:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } \operatorname{Guard}_{\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)}(X): X_{2} \leq \mathrm{R}_{2} . \\
& \text { - } \operatorname{Guard}_{\left(Q_{2}, Q_{1}\right)}(X): X_{1} \leq \mathrm{R}_{1} . \\
& \text { - } \operatorname{Reset}_{\left(Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right)}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right): X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{1} \wedge X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{2} . \\
& \text { - } \operatorname{Reset}_{\left(Q_{2}, Q_{1}\right)}\left(X, X^{\prime}\right): X_{1}^{\prime}=X_{1} \wedge X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## C.1.2 In the Input Language of CPLUS2ASPMT

```
% File: water.cp
:- constants
x1,x2 :: simpleFluent(real[0..30]);
mode :: inertialFluent(real[1..2]);
e1,e2 :: exogenousAction;
wait :: action;
duration :: exogenousAction(real[0..10]).
:- variables
X11,X21,X10,X20,T,X.
exogenous x1.
exogenous x2.
% Guard
nonexecutable e1 if -(x2<=r2).
nonexecutable e2 if -(x1<=r1).
% Reset
constraint (x1=X10 & x2=X20) after x1=X10 & x2=X20 & e1.
constraint (x1=X10 & x2=X20) after x1=X10 & x2=X20 & e2.
% Mode
nonexecutable e1 if -(mode=1).
nonexecutable e2 if -(mode=2).
e1 causes mode=2.
e2 causes mode=1.
% Duration
e1 causes duration=0.
e2 causes duration=0.
% Wait
default wait.
e1 causes ~wait.
e2 causes ~wait.
% Flow
constraint (x1=X11 & x2=X21 ->> (((X11-X10)//T)=w1-v & ((X21-X20)//T)=-v))
    after mode=1 & x1=X10 & x2=X20 & duration=T & wait & T>0.
constraint (x1=X10 & x2=X20)
    after mode=1 & x1=X10 & x2=X20 & duration=0 & wait.
constraint (x1=X11 & x2=X21 ->> (((X11-X10)//T)=-v & ((X21-X20)//T)=w2-v))
    after mode=2 & x1=X10 & x2=X20 & duration=T & wait & T>0.
constraint (x1=x10 & x2=x20)
    after mode=2 & x1=X10 & x2=X20 & duration=0 & wait.
% Invariant
```

```
constraint (mode=1 ->> (x2=X ->> X>=r2)).
constraint (mode=2 ->> (x1=X ->> X>=r1)).
:- query
label :: test;
maxstep :: 6;
0:mode=1;
0:x1 = 0;
0:x2 = 8;
2:mode=2;
4:mode=1;
6:mode=2.
```


## C.1.3 Output



```
Command: cplus2aspmt water.cp -c maxstep=6 -c query=test -c w1=7.5 -c w2=7.5
    -c v=5 -c r1=0 =c r2=0
Solution:
duration_0__ : [ ENTIRE ] = [1.6, 1.6]
duration_1_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
duration_2_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0.7999999999999998, 0.8000000000000003]
duration_3_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
duration_4_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0.3999999999999999, 0.4000000000000002]
duration_5_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
mode_0_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [1, 1]
mode_1_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [1, 1]
mode_2_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [2, 2]
mode_3_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [2, 2]
mode_4_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [1, 1]
mode_5_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [1, 1]
mode_6_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [2, 2]
x1_0_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
x1_1_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [4, 4.000000000000001]
```

```
x1_2_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [4, 4.000000000000001]
x1_3_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
x1_4_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
x1_5_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0.9999999999999998, 1.000000000000001]
x1_6_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0.9999999999999998, 1.000000000000001]
x2_0_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [8, 8]
x2_1_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
x2_2_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
x2_3_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [2, 2.0000000000000001]
x2_4_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [2, 2.000000000000001]
x2_5_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
x2_6_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [0, 0]
true_a : Bool = true
false_a : Bool = false
e1_0_ : Bool = false
e1_1_ : Bool = true
e1_2_ : Bool = false
e1_3_ : Bool = false
e1_4_ : Bool = false
e1_5_ : Bool = true
e2_0_ : Bool = false
e2_1_ : Bool = false
e2_2_ : Bool = false
e2_3_ : Bool = true
e2_4_ : Bool = false
e2_5_ : Bool = false
qlabel_init_ : Bool = true
wait_0_ : Bool = true
wait_1_ : Bool = false
wait_2_ : Bool = true
wait_3_ : Bool = false
wait_4_ : Bool = true
wait_5_ : Bool = false
delta-sat with delta = 0.00100000000000000
Total time in milliseconds: 4328
```


## C. 2 Turning Car - Non-convex Invariants



Consider a car that is moving at a constant speed of 1 unit. The car is initially at origin where $x=0$ and $y=0$ and $\theta=0$. Additionally there are pillars defined by the equations $(x-6)^{2}+y^{2} \leq$ $9,(x-5)^{2}+(y-7)^{2} \leq 4,(x-12)^{2}+(y-9)^{2} \leq 4$. The goal is to find a plan such that the car ends up at $x=13$ and $y=0$ without hitting the pillars.

The dynamics of the car is as follows:

- Moving Straight

$$
\frac{d[x]}{d t}=\cos (\theta), \frac{d[y]}{d t}=\sin (\theta), \frac{d[\text { thet } a]}{d t}=0
$$

- Turning Left

$$
\frac{d[x]}{d t}=\cos (\theta), \frac{d[y]}{d t}=\sin (\theta), \frac{d[\text { theta }]}{d t}=\tan \left(\frac{\pi}{18}\right)
$$

- Turning Right

$$
\frac{d[x]}{d t}=\cos (\theta), \frac{d[y]}{d t}=\sin (\theta), \frac{d[\text { theta }]}{d t}=\tan \left(-\frac{\pi}{18}\right)
$$

We assume the car is a pint. For the car not to hit the pillars, the invariants are $(x-9)^{2}+y^{2}>$ $9,(x-5)^{2}+(y-7)^{2}>4,(x-12)^{2}+(y-9)^{2}>4$.

## C.2.1 Hybrid Automata Components



- Variables:
- $X, X^{\prime}, \dot{X}$
- $Y, Y^{\prime}, \dot{Y}$
- Theta, Theta ${ }^{\prime}$, Theta
- States:
- MoveStraight $($ mode $=1)$
- MoveLeft ( mode $=2$ )
— MoveRight $($ mode $=3)$
- Directed Graph: The graph is given above.
- H-events:
- Straighten
- TurnLeft
- TurnRight
- Invariants:
— $\operatorname{Inv}($ allmodes $):\left((X-9)^{2}+Y^{2}>9\right) \wedge\left((X-5)^{2}+(Y-7)^{2}>4\right) \wedge((X-$ $\left.\left.12)^{2}+(Y-9)^{2}>4\right)\right)$
- Flow:
— $\operatorname{Flow}(1)(X, Y$, Theta $): \dot{X}=\sin ($ Theta $) \wedge \dot{Y}=\cos ($ Theta $) \wedge$ Theta $=0$.
— Flow $(2)(X, Y$, Theta $): \dot{X}=\sin ($ Theta $) \wedge \dot{Y}=\cos ($ Theta $) \wedge$ Theta $=$ $\tan (\pi / 18)$.
— $\operatorname{Flow}(3)(X, Y$, Theta $): \dot{X}=\sin ($ Theta $) \wedge \dot{Y}=\cos ($ Theta $) \wedge$ Theta $=$ $\tan (-\pi / 18)$.
- Reset:
— Reset((MoveRight, MoveStraight $)): X^{\prime}=X \wedge Y^{\prime}=Y \wedge$ Theta $=$ Theta
— Reset $(($ MoveLeft, MoveStraight $)): X^{\prime}=X \wedge Y^{\prime}=Y \wedge$ Theta ${ }^{\prime}=$ Theta
— Reset $(($ MoveStraight, MoveLeft $)): X^{\prime}=X \wedge Y^{\prime}=Y \wedge$ Theta $^{\prime}=$ Theta
$— \operatorname{Reset}(($ MoveRight, MoveLeft $)): X^{\prime}=X \wedge Y^{\prime}=Y \wedge$ Theta $=$ Theta
— Reset((MoveStraight, MoveRight $)): X^{\prime}=X \wedge Y^{\prime}=Y \wedge$ Theta $=$ Theta
$— \operatorname{Reset}(($ MoveLeft, MoveRight $)): X^{\prime}=X \wedge Y^{\prime}=Y \wedge$ Theta $=$ Theta


## C.2.2 In the Input Language of CPLUS2ASPMT

```
% File: car.cp
:- constants
x :: differentiableFluent(0..40);
y :: differentiableFluent (-50..50);
theta :: differentiableFluent(-50..50);
straighten,
turnLeft,
turnRight :: exogenousAction.
:- variables
X,X0,S,Y,X1,X2,D,D1,T,RP,R.
% Reset
constraint ( }x=D\mathrm{ & }\textrm{y}=\textrm{X0}\mathrm{ & theta=X1) after }x=D & y=X0 & theta=X1 & turnLeft.
constraint (x=D & y=X0 & theta=X1) after x=D & y=X0 & theta=X1 & turnRight.
constraint (x=D & y=X0 & theta=X1) after x=D & Y=X0 & theta=X1 & straighten.
% Mode
straighten causes mode=1.
turnLeft causes mode=2.
turnRight causes mode=3.
nonexecutable straighten if mode=1.
nonexecutable turnLeft if mode=2.
nonexecutable turnRight if mode=3.
% Duration
straighten causes duration=0.
turnRight causes duration=0.
turnLeft causes duration=0.
% Wait
default wait.
straighten causes ~wait.
turnLeft causes ~wait.
turnRight causes ~wait.
```

```
% Rates
derivative of theta is 0 if mode=1.
derivative of y is sin(theta) if mode=1.
derivative of }x\mathrm{ is cos(theta) if mode=1.
derivative of theta is tan(0.226893) if mode=2.
derivative of y is sin(theta) if mode=2.
derivative of }x\mathrm{ is cos(theta) if mode=2.
derivative of theta is tan(-0.226893) if mode=3.
derivative of y is sin(theta) if mode=3.
derivative of }x\mathrm{ is cos(theta) if mode=3.
% Invariant
constraint (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-9)* (X-9) + Y*Y > 9).
always_t (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-9)* (X-9) + Y*Y > 9) if mode=1.
always_t (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-9)* (X-9) + Y*Y > 9) if mode=2.
always_t (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-9)* (X-9) + Y*Y > 9) if mode=3.
constraint (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-5)* (X-5) + (Y-7)* (Y-7)>4).
always_t (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-5)* (X-5) + (Y-7)* (Y-7)>4) if mode=1.
always_t (x=X & y=Y ->> (X-5)* (X-5) + (Y-7)* (Y-7)>4) if mode=2.
always_t (x=X & Y=Y >>> (X-5)* (X-5) + (Y-7)* (Y-7)>4) if mode=3.
constraint (x=X & y=Y ->> (X-12)*(X-12) + (Y-9)*(Y-9)>4).
always_t (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-12)* (X-12) + (Y-9)* (Y-9)>4) if mode=1.
always_t (x=X & y=Y >>> (X-12)*(X-12) + (Y-9)*(Y-9)>4) if mode=2.
always_t (x=X & Y=Y ->> (X-12)* (X-12) + (Y-9)* (Y-9)>4) if mode=3.
:- query
label :: test;
0:x=0;
0:y=0;
0:theta=0.69183;
0:mode=1;
3:x=13;
3:y=0.
```



Command: cplus2aspmt car.cp -c maxstep=3 -c query=test

Output:
Solution:
duration_0_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [8.250457763671875, 8.25128173828125]
duration_1_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=[0,0]$
duration_2_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [11.80044126510621, 11.80111503601076]
mode_0_ : [ ENTIRE ] = [1, 1]
mode_1_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=[1,1]$
mode_2_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=[3,3]$
mode_3_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=[3,3]$
theta_0_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [0.6918, 0.6918000000000001]
theta_0_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [0.6918, 0.6918000000000001]
theta_1_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [0.6918, 0.6918000000000001]
theta_2_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [-2.031548557285888, -2.03139307087505]
x_0_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=[0,0]$
x_0_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [6.353669267319927, 6.354303809342038]
x_1_t : [ENTIRE ] $=$ [6.353669267319927, 6.354303809342038]
x_2_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=[13,13]$
y_O_ : [ ENTIRE ] $=[0,0]$
y_0_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [5.263168261764744, 5.263693895267374]
Y_1_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=$ [5.263168261764744, 5.263693895267374]
y_2_t : [ ENTIRE ] $=[0,0]$
true_a : Bool = true
false_a : Bool = false
qlabel_test_ : Bool = true
straighten_0_ : Bool = false
straighten_1_ : Bool = false
straighten_2_ : Bool = false
turnLeft_0_ : Bool = false
turnLeft_1_ : Bool = false
turnLeft_2_ : Bool = false
turnRight_0_ : Bool = false

```
turnRight_1_ : Bool = true
turnRight_2_ : Bool = false
wait_0_ : Bool = true
wait_1_ : Bool = false
wait_2_ : Bool = true
delta-sat with delta = 0.00100000000000000
Total time in milliseconds: 296721
```


## Appendix D Experiments

| Steps | $\\|$ | 1 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dReach (encoding from (Bryce et al. 2015)) | $\\|$ | 0.098 | 0.225 | 0.690 | 2.123 | 3.143 |
| CPLUS2ASPMT | $\\|$ | 0.198 | 7.55 | 18.23 | 88.93 | $>600$ |

Table D 1. Runtime Comparison (seconds)
We compare the run time of the system in (Bryce et al. 2015) and CPLUS2ASPMT for the car domain (Fox and Long 2006) and the result is shown in Table D 1. In (Bryce et al. 2015), the encoding was in the language of dReach, which calls dReal internally. The computation is optimized for pruning invalid paths of a transition system. It filters out invalid paths using heuristics described in their paper, generates a compact logical encoding, and makes a call to dReal to decide reachability properties. On the other hand CPLUS2ASPMT generates a one-time large encoding without filtering paths and calls dReal once. From the table we see that the system presented in (Bryce et al. 2015) does perform better than CPLUS2ASPMT. As steps increases the difference in run time also increases.

It may be possible to improve the run time of CPLUS2ASPMT by leveraging incremental answer set computation and path heuristics, which we leave for future work.


[^0]:    ${ }^{8}$ For any function constant $f$, we say that a first-order formula is $f$-plain if each atomic formula in it

    - does not contain $f$, or is of the form $f(\mathbf{t})=t_{1}$ where $\mathbf{t}$ is a list of terms not containing $f$, and $t_{1}$ is a term not containing $f$.

    For any list $\mathbf{c}$ of predicate and function constants, we say that $F$ is $\mathbf{c}$-plain if $F$ is $f$-plain for each function constant $f$ in $\mathbf{c}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{9}$ It is clear that the expression in the previous line is a fluent dynamic law only when $G$ does not contain statically determined fluent constants. Similar remarks can be made in connection with many of the abbreviations introduced below.
    ${ }^{10}\{F\}^{\mathrm{ch}}$ stands for choice formula $F \vee \neg F$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{http}: / / e n . w i k i p e d i a . o r g / w i k i / M e a n \_v a l u e \_t h e o r e m$

