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1. **External experts**

Table s1 lists the names and affiliations of the members of the expert panel who agreed to be mentioned by name. Nine experts indicated that they preferred not to be mentioned by name. We are deeply grateful to all members for their substantial contribution of time and effort.

| **Name** | **Affiliation (German)** | **Affiliation (English)** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Prof. Ulrike Arens-Azevedo** | n.a. | n.a. |
| **Melanie Bahlke** | Adipositaschirurgie Selbsthilfe Deutschland e.V. | Bariatric Surgery Patient Organization Germany |
| **Katrin Bindeballe** | Deutsche Allianz Nichtübertragbare Krankheiten (DANK), Deutsche Diabetes Gesellschaft | German NCD Alliance (DANK) and German Diabetes Society |
| **Barbara Bitzer** | Deutsche Allianz Nichtübertragbare Krankheiten (DANK) sowie Deutsche Diabetes Gesellschaft | German NCD Alliance (DANK) and German Diabetes Society |
| **Dr. Benjamin Bodirsky** | Potsdam Institut für Klimafolgenforschung | Postdam Institute for Climate Research |
| **Brigitte Bormann** | Landeszentrum Gesundheit Nordrhein-Westfalen | Statutory Health Promotion Agency of the state of North Rhine Westphalia |
| **Dr. Christina Breidenassel** | Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e.V. | German Nutrition Society |
| **Dr. Margarete Büning-Fesel** | Bundeszentrum für Ernährung | Federal Centre for Nutrition |
| **Prof. Anette Buyken** | Universität Paderborn | University of Paderborn |
| **Ricarda Corleis** | Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e.V. | German Nutrition Society |
| **Heike Dierbach** | Deutsche Allianz Nichtübertragbare Krankheiten (DANK) sowie Deutsche Diabetes Gesellschaft | German NCD Alliance (DANK) and German Diabetes Society |
| **PD Dr. Tobias Effertz** | Institut für Recht der Wirtschaft, Universität Hamburg | Institute for Business Law, University of Hamburg |
| **Karl Emmert-Fees** | Helmholtz Zentrum München | Helmholtz Centre Munich |
| **Prof. Dr. Regina Ensenauer** | Institut für Kinderernährung, Max Rubner-Institut | Institute for Child Nutrition, Max Rubner-Institute (federal institute for nutrition research) |
| **Sonja Fahmy** | Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e.V. | German Nutrition Society |
| **Dr. Dietrich Garlichs** | Deutsche Diabetes Gesellschaft | German Diabetes Society |
| **Dr. Jasmin Geppert** | Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e.V. | German Nutrition Society |
| **Dr. Dorle Grünewald-Funk** | n.a. | n.a. |
| **Prof. Hans Hauner** | Lehrstuhl für Ernährungsmedizin, TU München | Chair of Nutritional Medicine, Technical University Munich |
| **Thomas Heise** | Leibniz-Institut für Präventionsforschung und Epidemiologie – BIPS | Leibniz Institute for Prevention Research and Epidemiology – BIPS |
| **Eva Hoffmann** | Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e.V. | German Nutrition Society |
| **Dr. Christina Holzapfel** | Institut für Ernährungsmedizin, Technische Universität München | Chair of Nutritional Medicine, Technical University Munich |
| **Oliver Huizinga** | Foodwatch | Foodwatch |
| **Dr. Axel Iseke** | n.a. | n.a. |
| **Dr. Irmgard Jordan** | Zentrum für internationale Entwicklungs- und Umweltforschung, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen | Centre for international development and environmental research, Justus-Liebig University of Giessen |
| **Dr. Kai Kolpatzik** | AOK-Bundesverband | Federal Association of Germany’s main statutory health insurance |
| **Prof. Anja Kroke** | Hochschule Fulda | Technical University Fulda |
| **Prof. Katja Kröller** | Hochschule Anhalt | Technical University Anhalt |
| **Dr. Wilfried Kunstmann** | Bundesärztekammer | German Medical Association |
| **Prof. Rüdiger Landgraf** | n.a. | n.a. |
| **Dr. Gert Mensink** | Robert Koch-Institut | Robert Koch Institute (Germany’s national public health institute) |
| **Prof. Manfred J. Müller** | Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel | University of Kiel |
| **Anke Oepping** | Nationales Qualitätszentrum für Ernährung in Kita und Schule | National Centre for Quality in School and Kindergarten Meals |
| **Dr. Sigrid Peter** | Berufsverband der Kinder- und Jugendärzte | Federal Pediatricians‘ Association |
| **Dr. Sandra Plachta Danielzik** | Kompetenznetz Adipositas | Competence Network Obesity |
| **Dr. Veronika Reisig** | Bayerisches Landesamt für Gesundheit und Lebensmittelsicherheit | Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority |
| **Dr. Margrit Richter** | Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e.V. | German Nutrition Society |
| **Prof. Diana Rubin** | Vivantes Netzwerk für Gesundheit, Zentrum für Ernährungsmedizin Berlin | Vivantes Health Network, Centre for Nutritional Medicine Berlin |
| **Marion Rung-Friebe** | n.a. | n.a. |
| **Carolin Schäfer** | Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung e.V. | German Nutrition Society |
| **Dr. Anja Schienkiewitz** | Robert Koch-Institut | Robert Koch Institute (Germany’s national public health institute) |
| **Dr. Tatjana Schütz** | n.a. | n.a. |
| **Dr. Gianni Varnaccia** | Robert Koch-Institut | Robert Koch Institute (Germany’s national public health institute) |
| **Prof. Petra Warschburger** | Universität Potsdam | University of Potsdam |
| **Dr. Johannes Zeiher** | Robert Koch-Institut | Robert Koch Institute (Germany’s national public health institute) |
| **Prof. Martina de Zwaan** | Deutsche Adipositas Gesellschaft | German Obesity Society |

***Table s1:*** *Members of the expert panel.*

1. **Detailed description of the policy recommendations**
   1. **Recommendations on policy measures**

| **No.** | **Priority action** |
| --- | --- |
| **M1** | **Mandatory nutrition standards for schools and kindergartens**  Mandatory, publicly funded implementation of the nutrition standards of the German Nutrition Society (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung, DGE) in schools and kindergartens, and waiving of fees for parents. The nutrition standards include specifications for the main meals offered, other food and beverages available on the school premises, characteristics of the dining halls and the arrangement of communal meals. Furthermore, it includes the provision of sufficient financial resources (e.g., under a federal investment program), professional support, and training opportunities. |
| **M2** | **Health promoting VAT**  Reduced value added tax rate on healthy foods, and increased value added tax rate on unhealthy foods. |
| **M3** | **Introduction of a SSB tax**  Introduction of a tax on SSBs based on sugar content and use of the revenue for health promotion (e.g., improvement of catering for schools and kindergartens). |
| **M4** | **Regulation of marketing of unhealthy foods towards children**  Ban on advertisement of unhealthy foods directed at children, including all forms of advertising (television, internet, print and outdoor advertising, point-of-sale advertising, direct marketing, packaging, and advertising in kindergartens, schools, playgrounds and other sports and recreational facilities frequented by children) and based on an appropriate nutrient profile model (e.g., the model of the WHO European Regional Office). |
| **M5** | **Mandatory nutrition standards for public institutions (other than schools and kindergartens)**  Mandatory implementation of the nutrition standards of the German Nutrition Society in public institutions, such as public offices, clinics, senior citizen facilities and universities. The nutrition standards include specifications for the main meals offered, other food and beverages available on the premises, characteristics of the dining rooms and the arrangement of communal meals. Furthermore, it includes the provision of sufficient financial resources (e.g., under a federal investment program), professional support, and training opportunities. |
| **M6** | **Action plan on the promotion of drinking water**  Measures to promote tap water consumption, including requiring food service establishments to provide tap water free of charge or for a small service fee, offering free tap water in workplace cafeterias and canteens, and promoting tap water consumption in schools and kindergartens. |
| **M7** | **School fruit and vegetable program**  Expansion of the European Union's School Fruit and Vegetable Program to all German states and improved support for school authorities in its implementation. |
| **M8** | **Nutrition education in kindergartens and schools**  Promotion of nutrition education in kindergartens and schools by upgrading the corresponding content in the curricula of existing subjects and upgrading the teaching of home economics. |
| **M9** | **Mandatory implementation of the Nutri-Score**  Commitment of the German government to the EU-wide, mandatory introduction of the Nutri-Score as an interpretative, front-of-pack nutrition labelling system, accompanied by information and education of the population. |
| **M10** | **Reformulation of processed food**  Comprehensive and effective measures for the reformulation of processed food with the aim of a relevant reduction of nutrients of concern (sugar and other highly processed carbohydrates, salt, saturated and trans fatty acids), involving the catering sector and all relevant food groups, using binding limit values for selected food groups (including children’s foods and children's dishes in restaurant chains), and with close-meshed and meaningful monitoring. |
| **M11** | **Improvement of nutritional standards for private companies**  Support program for the implementation of the nutritional standards of the German Nutrition Society for catering in private companies, including technical support, training offers and financial incentives (e.g., subsidies for necessary investments and certification costs). |
| **M12** | **Municipal food policies**  Municipal food policies, including the promotion of local supply chains for fresh and low-processed foods through farmers' markets and stores, direct agricultural marketing to schools and other public institutions, establishment of school and community gardens, and establishment of decentralized lunch counters especially for the elderly, among others. |
| **M13** | **Regulation of food advertising in general**  More effective regulation of food advertising in general, including the mandatory disclosure of the Nutri-Score in food advertising, as well as disclosure of advertising on social media. |
| **M14** | **Regulations for retail outlets**  Rules for the placement and promotion of foods within stores, for example, rules on healthy supermarket checkouts, restrictions on special offers of non-recommended foods (esp. processed foods high in fat, sugar and/or salt). |
| **M15** | **Reduction of portion and packaging sizes**  Measures to reduce portion and packaging sizes by regulating portion sizes in public communal catering (as part of the binding implementation of the nutritional standards of the German Nutrition Society), making them a topic in educational campaigns (e.g., the "Too good for the garbage can" campaign), initiating voluntary measures by industry, improving monitoring, and testing innovative approaches. |
| **M16** | **Effective regulation of health claims**  Effective restriction of misleading health and nutritional claims on foods (so-called health claims), through full and consistent implementation of the Health Claims Regulation. In this context, the use of health and nutritional claims should be restricted to products with a positive overall health rating (Nutri-Score A and B), and indirect health claims (so-called "feel-good claims") that have not been regulated to date should also be covered. |
| **M17** | **Mandatory nutrition labelling on restaurant menus**  Limited to restaurant chains with more than 20 branches and to dishes offered over a longer period of time. |
| **M18** | **Quality standards for children's meals in restaurants**  Mandatory nutritional standards for children's meals in restaurants and snack bars, for example requiring that children's menus include water as a standard beverage and at least one vegetable or fruit component. |

***Table s2:*** *Recommendations on policy measures.*

* 1. **Recommendations on supportive functions and structures**

| **No.** | **Priority action** |
| --- | --- |
| **S1** | **Evaluation of interventions and policies**  Improved evaluation of existing and planned measures for the promotion of healthy nutrition, by providing financial resources and creating appropriate structures for independent and scientifically based evaluations. |
| **S2** | **Monitoring of nutritional status and dietary behaviour** Improved monitoring of dietary behaviour and status through the provision of sufficient funding for regular, comprehensive, and nationally representative surveys of dietary behaviour and status (including body weight, purchasing and food preparation, food culture, and nutrition literacy), with particular attention to risk groups and reducing social inequalities. |
| **S3** | **Knowledge transfer between politics, practice, and research** Improved exchange of knowledge and experiences and improved cooperation between policy, practice, and science by creating appropriate structures and procedures. |
| **S4** | **Nutrition education in the curricula of relevant professions** Strengthen nutrition-related content in the education of relevant professional groups, including educators, teachers, physicians, medical assistants, and nurses. |
| **S5** | **Monitoring of food environments** Improved monitoring of food environments, including monitoring of the nutritional composition of processed foods, the extent of food advertising, food prices, and food availability in selected settings (including kindergartens, schools, universities, company cafeterias, hospitals, rehabilitation clinics, retirement homes, meals on wheels, and food banks). This includes providing sufficient financial resources for regular and close-meshed, comprehensive, and nationally representative surveys. |
| **S6** | **Local networks and initiatives** Strengthen initiatives and networks at the local level, including local food councils to link relevant stakeholders, and participation in the Healthy Cities Network. |
| **S7** | **Action plan for healthy nutrition** Develop and implement a comprehensive action plan for the promotion of healthy diets with the participation of relevant governmental and non-governmental stakeholders, considering all relevant sectors, policy, and action areas, based on a transparent, evidence-informed and reflexive process. |
| **S8** | **Nutrition policy capacity building** Capacity building for the development and implementation of an integrated food policy, including the institutional and budgetary upgrading of food policy in the Federal Ministry of Nutrition and Agriculture (relative to agricultural policy), the strengthening of central steering functions as well as coordination between departments and political levels, and the establishment of a consistent system of targets and indicators. |
| **S9** | **Availability of data** Improved accessibility to data on food environments, nutritional behaviours, and nutritional status, as well as food composition, to the public, policy makers, academia, and other stakeholders. This could be achieved, among others, with an integrated, user-friendly, freely accessible online platform as part of a national nutrition surveillance programme. |
| **S10** | **Capacities for the further development of nutritional recommendations** Maintaining and expanding capacities for the independent and evidence-based derivation and dissemination of nutritional recommendations by institutionally strengthening the DGE and BZfE, evaluating and evidence-based further development of existing nutritional recommendations and quality standards for community catering, taking into account nutritional and behavioural science evidence, societal objectives, and aspects of feasibility and acceptance. |

***Table s3:*** *Recommendations on supportive functions and structures.*

1. **Adaptation of the international Food-EPI framework to Germany**

For the adaptation of the international Food-EPI framework to Germany, we merged six indicators that we found to be largely overlapping in the German context into three pairs, as shown in Table s4.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Original version (international)** | **Merged indicators (for Germany)** |
| **PROV2**: The government ensures that there are clear, consistent policies in other public sector settings for food service activities (canteens, food at events, fundraising, promotions, vending machines, etc.) to provide and promote healthy food choices. | **PROV2+3:** Quality and nutrition standards in other areas of the public sector: The government ensures that there are clear, consistent quality and nutrition standards for catering in other areas of the public sector (e.g., government agencies). This includes food provided in canteens and at events, as well as vending machines and other outlets in these facilities. Also included are rules for procurement. |
| **PROV3**: The government ensures that there are clear, consistent public procurement standards in public sector settings for food service activities to provide and promote healthy food choices. |
| **GOVER3**: Policies and procedures are implemented to ensure transparency in the development of food and nutrition policies. | **GOVER3+4:** Transparency and access to information: Structures and procedures are in place to ensure a high level of transparency in the development and implementation of nutrition policies. This includes public access to comprehensive nutrition information and key documents (e.g., budget documents, annual performance assessments and health indicators). |
| **GOVER4**: The government ensures public access to comprehensive nutrition information and key documents (e.g., budget documents, annual performance reviews and health indicators) for the public. |
| **PLAT4:** The government works with a system-based approach with (local and national) organisations/partners/groups to improve the healthiness of food environments at a national level. | **HIAP1 (+PLAT4):** Pursuing a systemic approach: The government takes a systemic approach to promote healthy diets and ensures that impacts on population nutrition and inequalities in nutritional status between social groups are considered in all policy areas. This includes policies such as health, agriculture, and education policies. |
| **HIAP1**: There are processes in place to ensure that population nutrition, health outcomes and reducing health inequalities or health impacts in vulnerable populations are considered and prioritised in the development of all government policies relating to food. |

***Table s4:*** *Adaptation of the international Food-EPI framework to Germany.*

1. **COREQ Checklist**

As recommended by the *Consolidated Criteria for Reporting Qualitative Studies* (15)*,* we provide the COREQ checklist in Table s5.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity** | |
| **Personal Characteristics** | |
| 1. Interviewer/facilitator: Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group? | No interviews were conducted for this study. The expert consultation including the workshop was lead by [Concealed for peer review] |
| 2. Credentials: What were the researcher’s credentials? E.g. PhD, MD | [Concealed for peer review]: MD; MA Politics, Economics and Law; MSc Global Politics.  [Concealed for peer review]: MD; cand. MSc Public Health  [Concealed for peer review]: BSc Nutritional Sciences; MSc Public Health  [Concealed for peer review]: MD; BSc Geography; cand. PhD Public Health and Epidemiology  [Concealed for peer review]: Diploma and PhD in Home Economics and Nutritional Sciences  [Concealed for peer review]: BA and MA (Oxon, Biology); PhD Public Health and Epidemiology |
| 3. Occupation: What was their occupation at the time of the study? | [Concealed for peer review]: Research Associate and Medical Doctor  [Concealed for peer review]: Research Associate  [Concealed for peer review]: Research Associate  [Concealed for peer review]: Research Associate  [Concealed for peer review]: Head of Unit  [Concealed for peer review]: University Professor |
| 4. Gender: Was the researcher male or female? | [Concealed for peer review]: Male  [Concealed for peer review]: Female  [Concealed for peer review]: Female  [Concealed for peer review]: Female  [Concealed for peer review]: Male  [Concealed for peer review]: Female |
| 5. Experience and training: What experience or training did the researcher have? | [Concealed for peer review]: Evidence-based public health, nutrition and health, qualitative and quantitative social science research methods.  [Concealed for peer review]: Evidence-based public health, nutrition and health, global health.  [Concealed for peer review]: Evidence-based public health, nutritional sciences, public health.  [Concealed for peer review]: Nutritional epidemiology, public health, global health.  [Concealed for peer review]: Social science research methods, including qualitative methods (content analysis, grounded theory); geographical research methods; public health and clinical medicine.  ER[Concealed for peer review]Methods for evidence-based public health; evaluation of complex interventions. |
| **Relationship with participants** | |
| 6. Relationship established: Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? | Yes, to part of the participants. |
| 7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer: What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the  research | The goals of the project and the reasons for doing the research were clearly communicated to the participants (see section 6 of the online appendix). |
| 8. Interviewer characteristics: What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic | At the beginning of the online expert workshop, all members of the research team shortly introduced themselves. |
| **Domain 2: study design** | |
| **Theoretical framework** | |
| 9. Methodological orientation and Theory: What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis | Policy analysis and qualitative content analysis. |
| **Participant selection** | |
| 10. Sampling: How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball | Purposive sampling |
| 11. Method of approach: How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email | By email |
| 12. Sample size: How many participants were in the study? | 55 |
| 13. Non-participation: How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? | We contacted 72 experts, of which 17 did not reply, for reasons which are unknown to us. Not all experts participated in all steps of the consultation phase, but none withdrew from their participation. |
| **Setting** | |
| 14. Setting of data collection: Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace | Data was collected paper-based (with questionnaires, as email text, and as comments and edits to MS Word files which were provided to the participating experts), as well as during an online workshop. |
| 15. Presence of non-participants: Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? | No |
| 16. Description of sample: What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date | See section 1 of the online appendix. |
| **Data collection** | |
| 17. Interview guide: Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? | n.a. |
| 18. Repeat interviews: Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many? | n.a. |
| 19. Audio/visual recording: Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? | The online workshop was recorded. |
| 20. Field notes: Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group? | Notes were taken during the online workshop. |
| 21. Duration: What was the duration of the interviews or focus group? | The online workshop lasted for two hours. |
| 22. Data saturation: Was data saturation discussed? | In the preparation of the evidence report, we strived for data saturation. |
| 23. Transcripts returned: Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? | A full transcript of the online workshop was produced but used internally only. A two-page summary was provided to the participants. |
| **Domain 3: analysis and findings** | |
| **Data analysis** | |
| 24. Number of data coders: How many data coders coded the data? | Two [Concealed for peer review] |
| 25. Description of the coding tree: Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? | We used the indicator system of the Food-EPI framework (see table 1 of the main manuscript) as a deductive coding tree. |
| 26. Derivation of themes: Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? | Themes were identified in advance based on the Food-EPI framework. |
| 27. Software: What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data? | MAXQDA (Verbi GmbH, Berlin, Germany) |
| 28. Participant checking: Did participants provide feedback on the findings? | Yes (the evidence report, and various parts of the data on which the manuscript is based were provided to the participants, who were asked to provide feedback). |
| **Reporting** | |
| 29. Quotations presented: Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number | n.a. |
| 30. Data and findings consistent: Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings? | Yes (see results section). |
| 31. Clarity of major themes: Were major themes clearly presented in the findings? | Yes (see results section). |
| 32. Clarity of minor themes: Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes? | Yes (see results and discussion section). |

***Table s5:*** *The COREQ checklist*

1. **Recruitment email and information sheet provided to participating experts**

**The following invitation email was sent to potential members of the expert panel (in German):**

*Sehr geehrter Herr XY,*

*Sehr geehrte Frau XY,*

*für ein Forschungsprojekt an der LMU München zu den politischen Rahmenbedingungen gesunder Ernährung in Deutschland führen wir eine Expertenbefragung durch – für diese würden wir Sie gerne gewinnen!*

*Gegenstand des Projekts ist die Anwendung des sog. Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI) in Deutschland. Der Food-EPI ist ein methodisches Rahmenwerk für die systematische und strukturierte Erfassung von politischen Regeln und Maßnahmen (engl. policies) im Ernährungsbereich, sowie für die Identifikation und die Priorisierung von Reformoptionen.*

*Die Expertenbefragung umfasst drei Runden. Sie können an allen drei oder auch nur an einzelnen Runden teilnehmen. Weitere Informationen finden Sie im Informationsblatt im Anhang.*

*Die erste Runde beinhaltet die Prüfung des sog. Evidenzdokuments (im Anhang) auf Vollständigkeit. Wie viel Zeit Sie hierauf verwenden möchten, hängt vor allem von Ihnen ab – wir würden zwischen 15 Minuten und zwei Stunden veranschlagen. Wir würden uns freuen, die erste Runde bis zum 1. Autugst abschließen zu können. Sollten Sie mehr Zeit benötigen, können Sie uns dies gerne mitteilen. Auch wenn Sie nur an der zweiten oder dritten Runde teilnehmen können, ist dies sehr willkommen.*

*Für Rückfragen stehen wir selbstverständlich jederzeit gerne zur Verfügung. Ihre möglichen Beiträge wissen wir sehr zu schätzen. Wir freuen uns über Ihre Rückmeldung!*

*Mit herzlichen Dank und Grüßen,*

*[Concealed for peer review]*

**The email included an information sheet with the following content (in German):**

*Umsetzung des Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI) in Deutschland*

*Informationsblatt für teilnehmende ExpertInnen*

*Sehr geehrte Damen und Herren,*

*herzlichen Dank, dass Sie eine Teilnahme an unserer ExpertInnenkonsultation in Betracht ziehen. Mit diesem Informationsblatt möchten wir Sie über die Hintergründe der Studie und die konkreten Schritte des Konsultationsverfahrens informieren.*

*Der Food Environment Policy Index (Food-EPI) ist ein internationales methodisches Rahmenwerk für die systematische und strukturierte Erfassung der politischen Rahmenbedingungen von Ernährung auf Bevölkerungsebene, sowie für die Identifikation und die Priorisierung relevanter Reformoptionen. Er wurde von dem internationalen Wissenschaftsnetzwerk INFORMAS entwickelt und bislang in rund 30 Ländern umgesetzt (*[*www.informas.org/modules/public-sector*](http://www.informas.org/modules/public-sector)*). Im Mittelpunkt stehen direkte politische Determinanten von Ernährungsumfeldern (engl. food environments), sowie ausgewählte weitere Aspekte des Ernährungssystems. Der Bereich der Ernährungsbildung wird nicht erfasst. Zur Illustration haben wir den Food-EPI-Bericht zu Australien angehängt.*

*Die Umsetzung in Deutschland erfolgt im Rahmen eines vom BMBF geförderten europäischen Forschungskonsortiums, dem Policy Evaluation Network (*[*www.jpi-pen.eu*](http://www.jpi-pen.eu)*). Die Federführung für die Umsetzung des Food-EPI liegt beim Lehrstuhl für Public Health und Versorgungsforschung der Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München und dem Leibniz-Institut für Präventionsforschung und Epidemiologie - BIPS in Bremen.*

*Als Teil des Projekts wird eine Online-ExpertInnenkonsultation durchgeführt, die drei Phasen umfasst. Sie können an allen drei oder auch nur an einzelnen Phasen teilnehmen. Die Teilnahme ist selbstverständlich freiwillig, und Sie können jederzeit ohne Angabe von Gründen von der Teilnahme zurücktreten, ohne dass Ihnen hierdurch ein Nachteil entsteht. Ihre Beiträge sind für uns auch dann sehr wertvoll, wenn Sie nur an einzelnen Teilen des Verfahrens teilnehmen können.*

*Die ExpertInnenkonsultation umfasst die folgenden Schritte:*

1. *Im ersten Schritt bitten wir Sie, das von uns zusammengestellte Evidenzdokument (im Anhang) auf Vollständigkeit zu prüfen. Das Evidenzdokument beschreibt für die 47 Indikatoren des Food-EPI den Stand der Umsetzung in Deutschland. Sie können das gesamte Dokument prüfen, oder sich auf Indikatoren beschränken, die Ihren Interessen- und Arbeitsgebieten entsprechen. Sie können Ergänzungen im Änderungen-Nachverfolgen-Modus vornehmen, die Kommentarfunktion nutzen, oder uns Ihre Rückmeldung per Email oder telefonisch (0178 49 83 817 / 089 231 538 61) übermitteln. Weitere Informationen zu diesem ersten Schritt finden Sie auf Seite zwei des Evidenzdokuments. Über eine Rückmeldung bis zum 23. Juli 2020 würden wir uns sehr freuen – lassen Sie es uns bitte wissen, falls Sie mehr Zeit benötigen.*
2. *Im zweiten Schritt bitten wir Sie, in einer Online-Umfrage den Umsetzungsgrad in Deutschland anhand des Evidenzdokuments relativ zu internationalen Best-Practice-Beispielen zu bewerten. Hierbei werden die vier Kategorien hoch, mittel, niedrig und sehr niedrig verwendet. Auch hierbei können Sie auf Indikatoren fokussieren, die Ihren Interessen- und Arbeitsgebieten entsprechen.*
3. *Im dritten Schritt bitten wir Sie, auf Grundlage dieser Bewertung Bereiche mit Optimierungspotential sowie Reformvorschläge zu identifizieren und zu priorisieren. Dies geschieht im Rahmen eines iterativen Online-Abfrage-Verfahrens sowie eines optionalen interaktiven Workshops, der Online oder sofern möglich im Spätherbst 2020 in einem hybriden Format (Präsenzworkshop in Berlin mit Möglichkeit einer Online-Teilnahme) stattfinden wird.*

*Für die beiden ersten Schritte rechnen wir mit einem Zeitaufwand von zwischen 20 Minuten (wenn Sie sich auf einzelne Indikatoren fokussieren) und zwei Stunden. Für den dritten Schritt rechnen wir mit einem Zeitaufwand von zwischen einer Stunde (bei ausschließlicher Teilnahme am Online-Abfrage-Verfahren) und einem Tag (bei Teilnahme am optionalen Präsenzworkshop).*

*Die Ergebnisse werden nur in summarischer Form veröffentlicht, ohne dass Rückschlüsse auf Ihre Beiträge möglich sind, welche selbstverständlich vertraulich behandelt werden. Mit Ihrem Einverständnis würden wir Ihre Mitwirkung am Projekt in der Danksagung sowie als Anlage zu Projektveröffentlichungen erwähnen. Auf Wunsch ist auch eine anonyme Teilnahme möglich. Sie können als VertreterIn Ihrer Organisation oder als Privatperson teilnehmen. Im Falle einer Teilnahme bitten wir Sie, etwaige Interessenkonflikte offen zu legen.*

*Die Ergebnisse des Projekts werden als wissenschaftliche Fachveröffentlichung sowie in weiteren, an politische EntscheidungsträgerInnen gerichteten Formaten veröffentlicht. Wir hoffen, mit dem Projekt die Stärkung der politischen Rahmenbedingung von gesunder Ernährung in Deutschland zu unterstützen, und damit zur Förderung ausgewogener Ernährungsmuster auf Bevölkerungsebene beizutragen.*

*Mit Ihrer Teilnahme tragen Sie zu diesen wichtigen Zielen bei. Des Weiteren erhalten Sie die Möglichkeit, auf dem Workshop mit anderen teilnehmenden ExpertInnen in Austausch zu treten. Falls der Workshop als Präsenzworkshop stattfinden kann werden Reisekosten selbstverständlich übernommen.*

*Wir würden uns sehr freuen, wenn eine Teilnahme an dem Konsultationsverfahren für Sie in Frage kommt. Bei Fragen stehen wir Ihnen selbstverständlich jederzeit gerne zur Verfügung, per Email oder telefonisch.*

*Mit bestem Dank und freundlichen Grüßen,*

*[Concealed for peer review]*
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