

**The critical mass approach to achieve a deal on green goods and services:  
what is on the table? How much to expect?**

**JAIME DE MELO, Corresponding Author**

*FERDI, 63 Boulevard Francois Mitterand, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France. Email: [Jaime.de\\_melo@ferdi.fr](mailto:Jaime.de_melo@ferdi.fr)*

**MARIANA VIJIL**

*DG Treasury and FERDI, Paris, France. Email: [mariana.vijil@dgtresor.gouv.fr](mailto:mariana.vijil@dgtresor.gouv.fr)*

**Online Appendix**

## National Tariff lines of Davos Countries

The 2012 APEC initiative called for a reduction in tariffs to no more than 5 per cent for APEC members by 2015. Each member was to identify EGs within its national tariff lines at the 8-digit, 10-digit or higher using guidelines in Annex C of the APEC declaration, as only these tariff lines were required to benefit from tariff reductions. In principle, negotiations under the Davos initiative will take place at the HS-6 digit 2012 classification. To get a more accurate estimate of tariff peaks, it is useful to see the number of tariff lines at the national level with tariff peaks. These are given in columns 5 and 6 in table A1.

Table A1. *Davos countries structure of protection for APEC list of environmental goods*

Importer	EGs imports in billion USD (share of world imports)	Bound tariff (s.a.)	Applied MFN tariff (s.a.)	Maximum Tariff	Nbr TL	Nbr TL above 5%
Column	1	2	3	4	5	6
Australia	7,0 (1,5 %)	6,8	2,6	5,0	70	0
Canada	12,4 (2,6 %)	3,7	0,4	7,5	108	4
China	97,4 (20,9 %)	5,1	5,0	35,0	132	47
Costa Rica	0,2 (0,1%)	31,2	0,5	14,0	95	5
European Union	70,2 (15,0 %)	1,5	1,9	4,7	107	0
Hong Kong, China	25,5 (5,5 %)	0,0	0,0	0,0	90	0
Japan	18,6 (3,9 %)	0,0	0,0	2,0	72	0
Korea, Rep.	26,9 (5,7 %)	7,3	5,4	8,0	247	163
New Zealand	0,6 (0,1 %)	11,7	2,9	5,0	80	4
Norway	2,7 (0,6 %)	2,2	0,0	0,0	84	0
Singapour	13,2 (2,4 %)	4,5	0,0	0,0	159	0
Switzerland	3,8 (0,8 %)	0,0	0,0	0,0	111	0
Taipei, Chinese	n.a.	2,3	2,2	10,0	129	11
United States	66,7 (14,3 %)	1,4	1,5	16,0	168	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>345,6 (74,2 %)</b>	<b>5,5</b>	<b>1,6</b>	<b>35,0</b>	<b>1652</b>	<b>245</b>

*Notes:* Trade flows are for 2011. Bound and applied MFN tariffs are in simple average (s.a.). Tariff lines (TL) correspond to national tariff lines at 8-digit or 10-digit level of disaggregation.

*Source:* Authors' calculations. WTO-CTS and BACI databases were extracted in 2013. Tariff data is for 2011, except for China where data is for 2010.