**Table C**

*Quality of the Predictor Analyses*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Study | Total N | # of predictors | Rationale: apriori/ post hoc | Rationale:  theory/  evidence | Measured prior to randomization | Validity of measure | Interactions |
| Andersson et al. (2015)1 | 101 | 6 | Post hoc | Evidence | Yes (N = 4)  No (N = 2) | Yes | Yes |
| Kyrios et al. (2018) | 89 | 11 | Post hoc | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Nakatani et al. (2005) | 10 | 9 | Post hoc | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Neziroglu et al. (2001) | 20 | 2 | Apriori | Evidence | Yes | Yes | No |
| Seol et al. (2016) | 27 | 7 | Post hoc | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Tjelle et al.2021 | 42 | 4 | Post hoc | Evidence | No | No | Yes |
| Wheaton et al. (2018)2 | 40 | 3 | Apriori | Evidence | Yes (N = 2)  No (N = 1) | Yes | Yes |
| Wheaton et al. (2021)3 | 30 | 22 | Post hoc | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |

*Note.* 1 Data analyzed by this study were combined data from Andersson et al. (2014) and Andersson et al. (2012).

2 Data analyzed by this study was a secondary analysis of data from Simpson et al. (2013).

3 Data analyzed by this study was a secondary analysis of data from Patel et al. (2018).