Standard quality assessment criteria for evaluating primary research papers from a variety of fields by Kmet, Cook and Lee (2004)

Checklist for assessing the quality of quantitative studies

Criteria		Yes (2)	Partial (1)	No (0)	N/A
1	Question / objective sufficiently described?				
2	Study design evident and appropriate?				
3	Method of subject/comparison group selection or source of information/input variables described and appropriate?				
4	Subject (and comparison group, if applicable) characteristics sufficiently described?				
5	If interventional and random allocation was possible, was it described?				
6	If interventional and blinding of investigators was possible, was it reported?				
7	If interventional and blinding of subjects was possible, was it reported?				
8	Outcome and (if applicable) exposure measure(s) well defi ned and robust to measurement / misclassification bias? Means of assessment reported?				
9	Sample size appropriate?				
10	Analytic methods described/justifi ed and appropriate?				
11	Some estimate of variance is reported for the main results?				
12	Controlled for confounding?				
13	Results reported in sufficient detail?				
14	Conclusions supported by the results?				

Checklist for assessing the quality of qualitative studies

Checklist	for assessing the quality of quantative studies			
Criteria		Yes (2)	Partial (1)	No (0)
1	Question / objective sufficiently described?			
2	Study design evident and appropriate?			
3	Context for the study clear?			
4	Connection to a theoretical framework / wider body of knowledge?			
5	Sampling strategy described, relevant and justified?			
6	Data collection methods clearly described and systematic?			
7	Data analysis clearly described and systematic?			
8	Use of verification procedure(s) to establish credibility?			
9	Conclusions supported by the results?			
10	Reflexivity of the account?			

Measures used in studies

Scale used		Study									
	Wild et al. (2007)	Wild et al. (2008)	Nilsson et al. (2012)	Lee & Kwon (2013)	Reimer & Moscovitch (2015)	Norton & Abbott (2016)	Knutsson et al 2019	Takanashi et al. 2019	Romano et al. 2020a	Romano et al. 2020b	Total
Image vividness	~		~	~		✓	~				5
Image distress	✓	~	✓	~		✓	✓	✓			7
Image frequency		~	✓				✓				3
Memory intrusiveness									~		1
Memory distress	~	~	✓	~			✓	~			6
PANAS									✓		1
Memory vividness	~			~				✓			3
Memory vividness alterative									~		1
Encapsulated belief rating	✓	~		~			✓	~			5
Meaning of image			✓								1
WIMI									✓	/	2
Memory appraisals					~						1
SBSA						✓					1
Meaning of image			~								1