**Political Scandal and Bias in Survey Responses**

**Online Appendix**

This appendix contains robustness check tables that are referred to in the article as being available from the author on request. I have also included a more specific discussion of the criteria used to select polls for the data set.

Table A details the incumbents designated as “scandal-tarred,” and the nature of each scandal accusation.

Table B gives descriptive statistics for the races and polls included in the data set.

Table C shows the results of Specification 2 of table 2 for subsets of the data by election year and incumbent party.

Tables D replicates the main regression models used in the paper with an alternate dependent variable. In this appendix table, the DV is the amount by which the incumbent party was leading in the poll (Incumb. Poll Margin), with a control included for the incumbent party’s final margin of victory (Incumb. Vote margin; negative if the incumbent party lost the election). It is included to address the potential concern of case selecting on the DV, since the DV used in the article (Incumbent Party Underperformance) is partially composed of Incumbent Vote Margin, while cases are selected on competitiveness of this Vote Margin. The effects of Incumbency and Scandal are extremely similar for the two DV’s regardless of the exact specification.

Tables E contains various robustness checks mentioned in the article, including controls or restrictions with respect to the number of undecided voters, the sample size of the poll, and the quality of the polling firm. The effect of Scandal on IPU is consistent across all specifications.

Table F contains all the polls and additional data used to construct the data set in the paper for replication purposes.

**Criteria for Poll inclusion**

My data set includes polls both by partisan organizations, conducted either for specific campaigns or national party organizations, or by non-partisan organizations, conducted for the media or by universities. I have deliberately refrained from making subjective judgments about the quality of a particular poll or a firm’s reputation, instead relying only on objective quality-control criteria.[[1]](#footnote-1)

Polls were only included if they met the following restrictions:

1. They were conducted using a district-wide sample.
2. Polling was conducted through phone interviews with an actual person, as opposed to an automated “Interactive Voice Response” (IVR) system.
3. They screened for likely or registered voters; note that the actual screener questions used across polling firms varies widely, but in many cases is not publicly released.
4. They included at least 250 respondents.
5. “Undecided voters” made up no more than 30% of respondents.
6. The poll was conducted over at least two days.
7. In cases where a daily tracking poll was conducted for a congressional race, polls were included or excluded in such a way that each day of tracking data was included only once in the data set (i.e. polls were included only when they did not have an overlapping sample with other polls in the data set).[[2]](#footnote-2)
8. If an individual race had more than six polls conducted in the relevant time frame, only the six most recent were included in the data set.

I also discarded two polls from races with scandal-tarred incumbents because the polls were conducted before scandal accusations arose. For obvious reasons, it is not sensible to group such polls with either the scandal or non-scandal categories.

These thresholds were chosen to allow me to only exclude polls that appear to have serious methodological deficiencies (given that I typically cannot see the exact sampling methodology or questionnaire wording), without needing to subjectively evaluate the quality of the polling firm. For example, one-day polls do not allow for each potential respondent to be contacted more than once, and a more representative sample is achieved by making multiple contact attempts. As another example, polls of competitive races that show an *extremely* high percentage of undecided voters likely used some sort of very flawed question wording (for example, they did not identify the party of the candidates).

I have included robustness checks employing more stringent requirements on sample size and polling firm quality in Appendix Table E. Relaxing any of restrictions 3 through 8 would add only a small handful of public polls to the data set (typically less than 1% of the potential sample), mostly of very low quality, and not substantively change the results. I am happy to provide results including these polls upon request, but I think it is important to retain some objective quality-control in collecting the sample.

Including IVR (automated voice) polls in data set would expand the data set considerably in the most recent cycles, but also change the nature of the question being asked. Such polls are typically done by a small number of firms in higher quantities. Including, and controlling for, these types of polls would perhaps provide a test of a social desirability explanation for the observed effect, and is a logical next step in this research. (I have already assembled a small subset of these polls, showing mixed results for the social desirability explanation; this data and analysis is available on request.)

With respect to the inclusions of only competitive races, this was done to achieve a baseline comparability among the scandal, non-scandal, and open seat races. Superficially, it might seem that the counterfactual to a scandal-tarred incumbent should be the same incumbent without the scandal. But removing the scandal would affect both the polling bias and the underlying level of real support, whereas I would like to assess polling bias holding constant the underlying support. So the appropriate counterfactual to a scandal-tarred incumbent would be a similar incumbent with the same support in the vote outcome, but where that support was due to conditions that would not generate polling bias. Ideally, we would balance our data with respect to underlying vote support for each category of open seat, non-scandal, and scandal-tarred. Including non-competitive races would add a large number of non-scandal races to the data set that would be much less comparable to the scandal races, yet inevitably show a large “incumbent rule” bias due complete lack of name recognition of the challenger. As the inclusion of such polls would bias *in favor* of my findings, this restriction on the data set counteracts this bias. The matching algorithm, which matches scandal and non-scandal races on competitiveness of outcome, serves to additionally balance the sample in this regard.

**Appendix Table A: Scandal-Designated Races**

| Year | Seat | Incumbent | Scandal Accusation |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2002 | IN-07 | Carson | Tax Evasion/Erratic behavior |
| 2004 | NC-11 | Taylor | Associates convicted of bank fraud; business relationship with KGB |
| 2006 | AZ-01 | Renzi | Questionable land deal/U.S. Attorney firings |
| 2006 | AZ-05 | Hayworth | Close ties to Abramoff and Delay PAC |
| 2006 | CA-11 | Pombo | Abramoff |
| 2006 | FL-22 | Shaw | Foley scandal cover-up |
| 2006 | FL-24 | Feeney | Abramoff |
| 2006 | NC-11 | Taylor | Earmarks for personal business; associates convicted of bank fraud |
| 2006 | NM-01 | Wilson | Abramoff/U.S. Attorney firings |
| 2006 | NY-19 | Kelly | Foley scandal cover-up |
| 2006 | NY-20 | Sweeney | Abramoff/Domestic violence accusations |
| 2006 | NY-25 | Walsh | Bribes from defense contractors |
| 2006 | NY-26 | Reynolds | Foley scandal cover-up |
| 2006 | OH-15\* | Pryce | Foley scandal cover-up |
| 2006 | PA-07\* | Weldon | Nepotism in defense contracts/FBI raid |
| 2006 | PA-10 | Sherwood | Domestic violence accusations |
| 2006 | VA-02 | Drake | Oil & pharmaceutical lobbyists/campaign "shenanigans" |
| 2008 | AK-AL | Young | Abramoff/Real estate deals |
| 2008 | FL-16 | Mahoney | Payment of hush money to cover-up affair |
| 2008 | FL-21 | Diaz-Balart | Associations w/ indicted Puerto Rican politician/"suitcase full of cash" |
| 2008 | FL-24 | Feeney | Abramoff |
| 2008 | ID-01 | Sali | Caught heckling opponent's campaign on TV |
| 2008 | MN-06 | Bachmann | Sensational comments on "Hardball" |
| 2008 | PA-11 | Kanjorski | Earmarks for tech company controlled by relatives |
| 2008 | PA-12 | Murtha | Earmarks to clients of lobbying firm raided by FBI |
| 2008 | VA-05 | Goode | Funding of homosexual art house movie |
| 2010 | GA-02\* | Bishop | Black Caucus scholarships given to daughter & niece |
| 2010 | ME-01 | Pingree | Trips on corporate jet of billionaire fiancee |
| 2010 | NC-02\* | Etheridge | Grabbed GOP interns by the neck on videotape |
| 2012 | FL-18\* | West | Various gaffes & outrageous statements |
| 2012 | IL-08 | Walsh | Sued for child support by ex-wife |
| 2012 | MA-06\* | Tierney | Wife filed false tax returns |
| 2012 | NH-01 | Guinta | Shady loans to campaign |
| 2012 | NY-11 | Grimm | FBI investigation into campaign contributions |
| 2012 | RI-01 | Cicilline | Lies about mayoral record; multiple scandals involving brother |
|  |  |  |  |

\* = IVR data only

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Appendix Table B: Descriptive Statistics of Polls in Data Set** | | | | |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Races** |  | **Polls** |
| **Polls in data set** | | 256 |  | 551 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Year** |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 |  | 26 |  | 54 |
| 2004 |  | 21 |  | 44 |
| 2006 |  | 55 |  | 133 |
| 2008 |  | 60 |  | 145 |
| 2010 |  | 68 |  | 128 |
| 2012 |  | 26 |  | 47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Incumbent Party** | |  |  |  |
| Republican |  | 146 |  | 335 |
| Democrat |  | 111 |  | 216 |
| None (excluded) | | 6 |  | 11 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| **Category** |  |  |  |  |
| Open Seat |  | 77 |  | 178 |
| Non-Scandal Incumbent | | 150 |  | 295 |
| Scandal Incumbent | | 29 |  | 78 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Appendix Table C: Regression Results of Model Including Incumbency and Scandal**  **Year and Party Subsamples** | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Year* | | | | | | |  | *Incumbent Party* | | |
| *2002/2004* |  | *2006* |  | *2008* |  | *2010/2012* |  | *Dem* |  | *GOP* |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.40\*\*\* |  | 7.14\*\*\* |  | -2.49 |  | 2.08 |  | 1.64 |  | 4.26\*\*\* |
| (2.73) |  | (2.07) |  | (2.03) |  | (1.48) |  | (1.74) |  | (1.23) |
| -7.10\*\*\* |  | -5.53\*\* |  | -6.94\*\*\* |  | -4.77\* |  | -11.5\*\*\* |  | -4.25\*\* |
| (2.25) |  | (2.19) |  | (2.52) |  | (2.42) |  | (2.36) |  | (1.72) |
| -3.81 |  | -2.52 |  | 0.36 |  | 2.29\* |  | 3.15\* |  | -2.13\*\* |
| (2.46) |  | (1.57) |  | (1.29) |  | (1.28) |  | (1.59) |  | (0.87) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98 |  | 133 |  | 145 |  | 175 |  | 214 |  | 335 |
| 0.151 |  | 0.129 |  | 0.143 |  | 0.038 |  | 0.149 |  | 0.061 |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| *Notes: Errors clustered by congressional race; \*\*\* p < .01, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (two-tailed test).* | | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Appendix Table D:**  **Regression Results of Model Including Incumbency and Scandal, Alternate DV** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  | (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) |  | (6) |  | (7) |
| Incumb. Poll Margin |  | *No Scandal* |  | *+ Scandal* |  | *+ Date* |  | *FE* |  | *FE+Date* |  | *Incumb Only* |  | *Matched* |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incumb. Vote Margin |  | 0.85\*\*\* |  | 0.86\*\*\* |  | 0.87\*\*\* |  | 0.87\*\*\* |  | 0.88\*\*\* |  | 0.89\*\*\* |  | 0.87\*\*\* |
|  |  | (0.053) |  | (0.050) |  | (0.049) |  | (0.054) |  | (0.054) |  | (0.061) |  | (0.12) |
| Incumbent |  | 2.30\*\* |  | 3.70\*\*\* |  | 4.75\*\* |  | 2.59\*\* |  | 4.29\*\*\* |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | (1.01) |  | (1.01) |  | (2.01) |  | (1.02) |  | (1.64) |  |  |  |  |
| Scandal |  | - |  | -6.57\*\*\* |  | -6.98\*\*\* |  | -6.13\*\*\* |  | -6.35\*\* |  | -6.98\*\*\* |  | -4.80\* |
|  |  |  |  | (1.33) |  | (2.46) |  | (1.34) |  | (2.45) |  | (2.49) |  | (2.86) |
| Days b/f Election |  | - |  | - |  | 0.069 |  | - |  | 0.11\* |  | 0.020 |  | -0.014 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.072) |  |  |  | (0.065) |  | (0.043) |  | (0.089) |
| Days b/f \* Incumbent |  | - |  | - |  | -0.050 |  | - |  | -0.084 |  | - |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.083) |  |  |  | (0.074) |  |  |  |  |
| Days b/f \* Scandal |  | - |  | - |  | 0.019 |  | - |  | 0.0083 |  | 0.018 |  | 0.012 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | (0.095) |  |  |  | (0.095) |  | (0.096) |  | (0.12) |
| Constant |  | -0.060 |  | -0.099 |  | -1.51 |  | 0.19 |  | -2.02 |  | 3.19\*\*\* |  | 2.66 |
|  |  | (0.81) |  | (0.80) |  | (1.80) |  | (1.89) |  | (2.24) |  | (0.82) |  | (1.92) |
| Interacted Year/Party FE |  | No |  | No |  | No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations |  | 551 |  | 551 |  | 551 |  | 551 |  | 551 |  | 373 |  | 177 |
| R-squared |  | 0.459 |  | 0.495 |  | 0.497 |  | 0.520 |  | 0.524 |  | 0.501 |  | 0.450 |
|  | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Notes:Replicates Tables 2 and 3 inarticle with different DV. Errors clustered by congressional race; \*\*\* p < .01, \*\* p < .05, \* p < .10 (two-tailed test). Data in "Matched Sample" model matched on party, year, and incumbent vote margin, with scandal as treatment variable using coarsened exact matching. Significances with respect to Incumbent Vote Margin control indicate instances where coefficient is significantly different from 1 (which is the anticipated value for this coefficient if IPU is unrelated to vote margin).* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Appendix Table E:**  **Miscellaneous Robustness Checks** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|  |  | (1) |  | (2) |  | (3) |  | (4) |  | (5) |  | (6) |  | (7) |
| IPU |  | % Undediced |  | Precision  Control |  | Weight by Precision |  | Sample >=400 |  | Exclude Research 2000 |  | Exclude Partisan Firms |  | Random Effects |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incumbent Running |  | 4.29\*\*\* |  | 4.03\*\*\* |  | 3.75\*\*\* |  | 3.62\*\*\* |  | 4.18\*\*\* |  | 3.04\*\* |  | 4.76\*\*\* |
|  |  | (1.01) |  | (1.05) |  | (1.03) |  | (1.03) |  | (1.10) |  | (1.25) |  | (0.98) |
| Scandal |  | -6.58\*\*\* |  | -6.72\*\*\* |  | -6.49\*\*\* |  | -5.81\*\*\* |  | -6.48\*\*\* |  | -6.51\*\*\* |  | -6.72\*\*\* |
|  |  | (1.38) |  | (1.43) |  | (1.55) |  | (1.66) |  | (1.38) |  | (1.58) |  | (1.37) |
| Percent Undecided |  | 0.16\* |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  |  | (0.088) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Poll Precision |  | - |  | -0.20 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
|  |  |  |  | (0.13) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Constant |  | -2.84\*\* |  | 3.48 |  | -0.59 |  | 3.35 |  | -0.52 |  | -0.080 |  | -0.99 |
|  |  | (1.26) |  | (2.96) |  | (0.84) |  | (3.45) |  | (0.93) |  | (1.05) |  | (0.79) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Observations |  | 551 |  | 545 |  | 545 |  | 423 |  | 485 |  | 345 |  | 551 |
| R-squared |  | 0.098 |  | 0.093 |  | 0.081 |  | 0.073 |  | 0.085 |  | 0.083 |  | 0.088 |
|  | | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Notes: Errors clustered by congressional race (except Random Effects model); \*\*\* p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \* p<0.10* | | | | | | | | | | | | |  |  |

Key: All robustness checks are specified in comparison to Model 2 in Table 2; the DV is Incumbent Party Underperformance.

* % Undecided Control: Includes control for the percent of respondents in the poll who are undecided; including interactions of % Undecided with incumbency and scandal also shows similar results.
* Precision Control: Includes control for the precision of poll, proportional to the square root of the samples size.
* Weight by Precision: Data points are weighted in the regression by their precision, so that polls with a larger sample are given greater weight.
* Sample >=400: Excludes polls with less than 400 respondents (in contrast to a threshold of 250)
* Exclude Research 2000: Excludes polls conducted by the firm Research 2000.
* Exclude Partisan Firms: Includes only polls from nonpartisan polling firms, excluding those conducted by Democratic or Republican firms.
* Random Effects: Random effects estimate across groups, where each group consists of polls of an individual congressional race (169 groups).

**Appendix Table F: Polls in Data Set**

The table below includes all polls used in the data set for this article. Additional information about individual polls from the 2002 and 2004 cycles can be found online at National Journal’s Poll Track archive (www3.nationaljournal.com/members/polltrack/campaigns.htm). Information about individual polls from the 2006 through 2012 cycles can be found online at Real Clear Politics ([www.realclearpolitics.com/epolls/latest\_polls/](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/epolls/latest_polls/)).

| **Year** | **CD** | **Polling Firm** | **Poll**  **Party** | **Date** | **Samp**  **Size** | **Incum.**  **Party** | **Incum.**  **Poll %** | **Chall.**  **Poll %** | **Incum.**  **Vote %** | **Chall.**  **Vote %** | **Open**  **Seat** | **Scan**  **dal** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 2002 | AZ01 | POS | R | 22-Oct | 361 L | O | 46 | 34 | 49.1% | 45.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | AZ01 | N. Ariz. Univ. | N | 20-Oct | 600 L | O | 48 | 36 | 49.1% | 45.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | CA18 | Mahoney,Strimple,Goncharenko | R | 2-Oct | 300 L | D | 36 | 42 | 54.8% | 45.2% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | CO04 | Ciruli & Assoc. | N | 15-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 31 | 55.0% | 41.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | GA03 | Hamilton Beattie | D | 27-Oct | 400 L | O | 50 | 40 | 50.5% | 49.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | GA03 | Hamilton Beattie | D | 13-Oct | 400 L | O | 50 | 33 | 50.5% | 49.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | GA03 | POS | R | 22-Oct | 400 L | O | 41 | 40 | 50.5% | 49.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | GA03 | POS | R | 14-Oct | 400 L | O | 45 | 38 | 50.5% | 49.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | GA11 | Moore Information | R | 1-Oct | 400 L | O | 41 | 40 | 51.6% | 48.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | GA12 | Tarrance Group | R | 23-Oct | 402 L | O | 48 | 33 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | IA01 | POS | R | 28-Oct | 300 L | R | 58 | 34 | 57.3% | 42.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | IA02 | Tarrance Group | R | 24-Oct | 300 L | R | 52 | 38 | 52.3% | 45.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | IA03 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 3-Nov | 500 L | D | 54 | 35 | 54.2% | 45.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | IA03 | Red Sea | R | 24-Oct | 300 L | D | 43 | 39 | 54.2% | 45.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | IA04 | Tarrance Group | R | 24-Oct | 300 L | R | 48 | 32 | 55.7% | 44.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | IA04 | Mellman Group | D | 5-Oct | 400 L | R | 43 | 38 | 55.7% | 44.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | IN02 | Mahoney,Strimple,Goncharenko | R | 28-Oct | 300 L | O | 47 | 38 | 45.8% | 50.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | IN02 | Research 2000 | N | 29-Oct | 400 L | O | 49 | 46 | 45.8% | 50.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | IN07 | Howey Political Report | N | 24-Sep | 321 L | D | 45 | 31 | 53.1% | 44.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2002 | IN07 | Market Shares Corp. | N | 30-Oct | 400 L | D | 43 | 42 | 53.1% | 44.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2002 | IN07 | POS | R | 3-Oct | 300 L | D | 43 | 38 | 53.1% | 44.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2002 | KS03 | Market Research Institute | N | 25-Oct | 602 R | D | 47 | 44 | 50.2% | 46.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | KY03 | Bluegrass Poll | N | 30-Oct | 671 L | R | 51 | 41 | 51.6% | 48.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD02 | Potomac Survey Research | N | 29-Oct | 478 L | R | 38 | 46 | 45.5% | 54.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD02 | Gonzales/Arscott | N | 20-Oct | 331 L | R | 43 | 47 | 45.5% | 54.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD02 | Potomac Survey Research | N | 27-Sep | 480 L | R | 42 | 40 | 45.5% | 54.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD08 | Potomac Survey Research | N | 28-Oct | 563 L | D | 44 | 42 | 47.5% | 51.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD08 | American Viewpoint | R | 15-Oct | 400 L | D | 49 | 40 | 47.5% | 51.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD08 | American Viewpoint | R | 2-Oct | 400 L | D | 47 | 42 | 47.5% | 51.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD08 | Gonzales/Arscott | N | 20-Oct | 333 L | D | 44 | 42 | 47.5% | 51.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | MD08 | Potomac Survey Research | N | 27-Sep | 495 L | D | 40 | 43 | 47.5% | 51.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | ME02 | POS | R | 10-Oct | 400 L | D | 44 | 38 | 52.2% | 47.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH01 | ARG | N | 3-Nov | 300 L | R | 51 | 40 | 58.2% | 38.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH01 | Franklin Pierce College | N | 30-Oct | 466 R | R | 43 | 36 | 58.2% | 38.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH01 | Research 2000 | N | 31-Oct | 300 L | R | 50 | 42 | 58.2% | 38.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH01 | Univ. of NH | N | 29-Oct | 316 L | R | 49 | 31 | 58.2% | 38.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH01 | ARG | N | 30-Oct | 300 L | R | 52 | 42 | 58.2% | 38.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH01 | ARG | N | 24-Oct | 300 L | R | 53 | 39 | 58.2% | 38.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH02 | ARG | N | 3-Nov | 300 L | R | 58 | 36 | 56.8% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH02 | ARG | N | 30-Oct | 300 L | R | 55 | 38 | 56.8% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH02 | ARG | N | 24-Oct | 300 L | R | 54 | 35 | 56.8% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH02 | Franklin Pierce College | N | 30-Oct | 468 R | R | 52 | 33 | 56.8% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH02 | Univ. of NH | N | 29-Oct | 309 L | R | 47 | 41 | 56.8% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NH02 | Research 2000 | N | 31-Oct | 300 L | R | 48 | 41 | 56.8% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NM01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 29-Oct | 309 L | R | 48 | 40 | 54.9% | 45.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NM01 | Data West | D | 27-Oct | 443 L | R | 45 | 44 | 54.9% | 45.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NM01 | Research and Polling | N | 10-Oct | 265 L | R | 51 | 39 | 54.9% | 45.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NM02 | Mason-Dixon | N | 29-Oct | 308 L | R | 47 | 42 | 56.4% | 43.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NM02 | Data West | D | 27-Oct | 312 L | R | 45 | 49 | 56.4% | 43.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | NV01 | Las Vegas Review-Journal | N | 23-Oct | 300 L | D | 51 | 38 | 53.7% | 42.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NV01 | POS | R | 18-Oct | 300 L | D | 50 | 29 | 53.7% | 42.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NY01 | Cooper and Secrest | D | 16-Oct | 512 L | R | 39 | 38 | 50.1% | 48.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | NY02 | Global Strategy Group | D | 15-Oct | 400 L | D | 63 | 25 | 58.3% | 40.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | OK04 | Cole, Hargrove, Snodgrass | R | 20-Oct | 300 L | R | 46 | 39 | 53.9% | 46.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | OK04 | Hamilton Beattie | D | 15-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 45 | 53.9% | 46.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | PA13 | POS | R | 27-Oct | 300 L | D | 43 | 35 | 50.9% | 47.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | SD01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 27-Oct | 805 R | R | 47 | 42 | 53.5% | 45.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | SD01 | The Research Group | N | 25-Oct | 600 R | R | 47 | 42 | 53.5% | 45.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | SD01 | The Reseach Group | N | 3-Oct | 600 R | R | 41 | 45 | 53.5% | 45.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | TX05 | Baselice and Assoc. | R | 21-Oct | 301 L | O | 47 | 36 | 58.2% | 40.3% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | TX11 | Tarrance Group | R | 1-Oct | 300 L | D | 50 | 42 | 51.5% | 47.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | TX25 | Arthur Finkelstein and Assoc. | R | 17-Oct | 300 L | D | 39 | 41 | 55.3% | 42.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2002 | UT02 | Moore Information | R | 25-Sep | 300 L | D | 45 | 37 | 49.5% | 48.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | UT02 | Tarrance Group | R | 24-Oct | 300 L | D | 44 | 43 | 49.5% | 48.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | WA02 | American Viewpoint | R | 22-Oct | 300 L | D | 42 | 39 | 50.6% | 45.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | CO03 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 30-Sep | 500 L | R | 33 | 48 | 46.6% | 50.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 | CO03 | Fairbank Maslin Maulin | D | 3-Nov | 262 L | R | 30 | 47 | 46.6% | 50.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 | CO07 | Mason-Dixon | N | 27-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 40 | 54.7% | 42.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | CT02 | Research 2000 | N | 28-Oct | 600 L | R | 48 | 45 | 54.2% | 45.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | CT02 | Research 2000 | N | 20-Oct | 600 L | R | 48 | 46 | 54.2% | 45.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | CT02 | Univ. of Conn. | N | 18-Oct | 600 L | R | 49 | 40 | 54.2% | 45.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IA03 | Tarrance Group | R | 28-Oct | 300 L | D | 46 | 42 | 55.2% | 44.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IA03 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 14-Oct | 500 L | D | 52 | 41 | 55.2% | 44.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IA03 | Fairbank Maslin Maulin | D | 20-Oct | 400 L | D | 54 | 33 | 55.2% | 44.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IA03 | Research 2000 | N | 27-Oct | 400 L | D | 52 | 43 | 55.2% | 44.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IA03 | Tarrance Group | R | 18-Oct | 300 L | D | 44 | 41 | 55.2% | 44.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IA03 | Tarrance Group | R | 12-Oct | 300 L | D | 48 | 40 | 55.2% | 44.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IL08 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 44 | 48.3% | 51.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IL08 | Greenberg Quinlan | D | 27-Oct | 500 L | R | 48 | 46 | 48.3% | 51.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IL11 | POS | R | 23-Oct | 400 L | R | 55 | 27 | 58.7% | 41.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IL11 | Tel Opinion Research | R | 4-Oct | 300 L | R | 57 | 25 | 58.7% | 41.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IN02 | Research 2000 | N | 25-Oct | 400 L | R | 55 | 40 | 54.2% | 44.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IN02 | Research 2000 | N | 10-Oct | 400 L | R | 54 | 38 | 54.2% | 44.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | IN02 | Research 2000 | N | 23-Sep | 400 L | R | 53 | 40 | 54.2% | 44.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | KS03 | Market Research Institute | N | 22-Oct | 600 L | D | 50 | 38 | 55.1% | 43.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | MN06 | KSTP-TV | N | 28-Oct | 350 R | R | 52 | 36 | 54.0% | 46.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | MN06 | Victoria Research | D | 18-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 44 | 54.0% | 46.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | MO05 | Market Research Institute | N | 22-Oct | 600 L | D | 46 | 41 | 55.2% | 42.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 | NC11 | Asheville Center Social Res. | N | 21-Oct | 493 R | R | 41 | 44 | 54.9% | 45.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2004 | NC11 | Feldman Group | D | 21-Oct | 500 L | R | 46 | 47 | 54.9% | 45.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2004 | NC11 | Feldman Group | D | 7-Oct | 500 L | R | 48 | 46 | 54.9% | 45.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2004 | NC11 | Public Opinion Research | R | 5-Oct | 400 L | R | 56 | 38 | 54.9% | 45.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2004 | NE01 | Market Research Group | N | 20-Oct | 250 L | R | 47 | 41 | 54.2% | 43.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 | NE01 | RKM Research | N | 20-Oct | 253 L | R | 42 | 38 | 54.2% | 43.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 | NM01 | Research and Polling | N | 29-Oct | 369 L | R | 51 | 43 | 54.4% | 45.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | NM01 | Research and Polling | N | 3-Oct | 369 L | R | 45 | 44 | 54.4% | 45.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | NV03 | Mason-Dixon | N | 16-Oct | 300 L | R | 50 | 35 | 54.5% | 40.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | NV03 | Belden Russonello & Stewart | N | 28-Sep | 600 L | R | 54 | 33 | 54.5% | 40.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | NY13 | POS | R | 7-Oct | 300 L | R | 53 | 27 | 59.0% | 41.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | OR05 | Mercury Public Affairs | R | 27-Sep | 300 L | D | 49 | 36 | 52.9% | 44.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | PA13 | Franklin & Marshall College | N | 27-Oct | 454 R | D | 47 | 32 | 55.7% | 41.3% | 1 | 0 |
| 2004 | SD01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 21-Oct | 800 L | D | 48 | 44 | 53.4% | 45.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | SD01 | Zogby | N | 26-Oct | 800 L | D | 45 | 49 | 53.4% | 45.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | SD01 | Zogby | N | 28-Sep | 500 L | D | 51 | 43 | 53.4% | 45.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | TX17 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 13-Oct | 450 L | D | 50 | 40 | 51.2% | 47.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | UT02 | Valley Research | N | 26-Oct | 400 L | D | 44 | 39 | 54.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | UT02 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 21-Oct | 400 L | D | 57 | 34 | 54.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | UT02 | Dan Jones & Assoc. | R | 4-Oct | 334 R | D | 63 | 31 | 54.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2004 | WA08 | Goodwin Simon | D | 3-Oct | 500 L | R | 37 | 47 | 51.5% | 46.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | AZ01 | N. Ariz. Univ. | D | 22-Oct | 497 L | R | 45 | 32 | 51.5% | 43.7% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | AZ05 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 19-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 46 | 46.3% | 50.4% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | AZ08 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 41 | 53 | 42.2% | 54.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | AZ08 | Wick | N | 28-Oct | 400 L | R | 35 | 50 | 42.2% | 54.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | AZ08 | Zimmerman | N | 23-Oct | 600 L | R | 38 | 48 | 42.2% | 54.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | AZ08 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 37 | 45 | 42.2% | 54.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | CA11 | Greenberg Quinlan | D | 26-Sep | 413 L | R | 46 | 48 | 46.8% | 53.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | CO04 | Strategic Services | D | 24-Oct | 600 L | R | 42 | 45 | 45.7% | 42.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CO04 | Mason-Dixon | N | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 36 | 45.7% | 42.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CO05 | Mason-Dixon | N | 27-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 40 | 59.3% | 40.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | CO05 | Mason-Dixon | N | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 37 | 37 | 59.3% | 40.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | CO05 | Basswood Res. | R | 10-Oct | 300 L | R | 49 | 32 | 59.3% | 40.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | CO07 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 40 | 54 | 42.2% | 54.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | CO07 | Mason-Dixon | N | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 39 | 45 | 42.2% | 54.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | CO07 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 34 | 45 | 42.2% | 54.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT02 | Grove Insight | D | 25-Oct | 400 L | R | 42 | 46 | 50.0% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT02 | Research 2000 | N | 30-Oct | 600 L | R | 47 | 48 | 50.0% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT02 | Univ. of Conn. | N | 18-Oct | 774 L | R | 46 | 44 | 50.0% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT02 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 47 | 42 | 50.0% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT02 | POS | R | 23-Oct | 400 L | R | 50 | 43 | 50.0% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT04 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 44 | 51 | 50.9% | 47.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT04 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 41 | 46 | 50.9% | 47.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT04 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 12-Oct | 400 L | R | 41 | 44 | 50.9% | 47.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT04 | Univ. of Conn. | N | 22-Oct | 805 L | R | 43 | 43 | 50.9% | 47.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT05 | Grove Insight | D | 12-Oct | 400 L | R | 40 | 45 | 43.5% | 53.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT05 | Univ. of Conn. | N | 28-Oct | 762 L | R | 42 | 46 | 43.5% | 53.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT05 | Research 2000 | N | 29-Oct | 600 L | R | 43 | 46 | 43.5% | 53.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT05 | Westhill Consulting | D | 27-Sep | 500 L | R | 42 | 42 | 43.5% | 53.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | CT05 | American Viewpoint | R | 2-Oct | 400 L | R | 52 | 42 | 43.5% | 53.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | FL13 | Hamilton Beattie | D | 23-Oct | 400 L | R | 41 | 52 | 50.1% | 49.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | FL13 | Hamilton Beattie | D | 4-Oct | 400 L | R | 38 | 50 | 50.1% | 49.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | FL16 | Research 2000 | N | 13-Oct | 600 L | R | 41 | 48 | 47.7% | 49.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | FL22 | Zogby | N | 2-Nov | 401 L | R | 40 | 49 | 47.1% | 50.9% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | FL22 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 26-Oct | 500 L | R | 42 | 48 | 47.1% | 50.9% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | FL22 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 27-Sep | 500 L | R | 42 | 43 | 47.1% | 50.9% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | FL22 | Research 2000 | N | 16-Oct | 600 L | R | 48 | 42 | 47.1% | 50.9% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | FL24 | Zogby | N | 21-Oct | 402 L | R | 45 | 43 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | GA08 | Mellman Group | D | 24-Oct | 400 L | D | 50 | 34 | 50.5% | 49.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | GA12 | InsiderAdvantage | N | 26-Oct | 310 L | D | 42 | 39 | 50.3% | 49.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IA01 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 37 | 48 | 43.3% | 55.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IA01 | Selzer & Co. | N | 3-Nov | 515 L | R | 35 | 56 | 43.3% | 55.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IA01 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 42 | 49 | 43.3% | 55.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IA01 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 47 | 34 | 43.3% | 55.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IA03 | Beneson Strategy | D | 31-Oct | 400 L | D | 52 | 32 | 51.8% | 46.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IA03 | Research 2000 | N | 31-Oct | 400 L | D | 53 | 41 | 51.8% | 46.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | ID01 | Greg Smith & Assoc. | N | 1-Nov | L | R | 34 | 38 | 49.9% | 44.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | ID01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 25-Oct | 625 L | R | 39 | 37 | 49.9% | 44.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL06 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 24-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 44 | 51.4% | 48.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL06 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 40 | 54 | 51.4% | 48.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL06 | Daily Herald | N | 24-Oct | 500 L | R | 44 | 42 | 51.4% | 48.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL06 | Chicago Tribune | N | 18-Oct | 600 L | R | 42 | 39 | 51.4% | 48.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL08 | Daily Herald | N | 24-Oct | 500 L | D | 42 | 39 | 51.2% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL08 | Chicago Tribune | N | 18-Oct | 600 L | D | 50 | 31 | 51.2% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL08 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 12-Oct | 600 L | R | 49 | 33 | 51.2% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL10 | Mellman Group | D | 11-Oct | 400 L | R | 49 | 32 | 53.5% | 46.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IL10 | McLaughlin & Assoc. | R | 9-Oct | 300 L | R | 57 | 32 | 53.5% | 46.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN02 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 39 | 52 | 46.1% | 53.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN02 | Research 2000 | N | 17-Oct | 400 L | R | 45 | 50 | 46.1% | 53.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN02 | Research 2000 | N | 31-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 50 | 46.1% | 53.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN02 | Mercury | R | 9-Oct | 300 L | R | 44 | 45 | 46.1% | 53.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN03 | Research 2000 | N | 26-Oct | 400 L | R | 52 | 40 | 54.4% | 45.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN07 | Research 2000 | N | 20-Oct | 400 L | D | 48 | 43 | 53.7% | 46.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN07 | Selzer & Co. | N | 18-Oct | 600 L | D | 42 | 45 | 53.7% | 46.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN08 | Indiana St. Univ. | N | 12-Oct | 626 L | R | 32 | 55 | 39.0% | 61.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN08 | Research 2000 | N | 20-Oct | 400 L | R | 43 | 50 | 39.0% | 61.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN09 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 46 | 48 | 45.6% | 49.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | IN09 | Research 2000 | N | 20-Oct | 400 L | R | 45 | 47 | 45.6% | 49.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | KS02 | Infomark Research | D | 6-Oct | 638 R | R | 43 | 40 | 47.1% | 50.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | KS02 | Cooper and Secrest | D | 8-Oct | 505 R | R | 45 | 41 | 47.1% | 50.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | KY03 | Cooper and Secrest | D | 15-Oct | 564 L | R | 45 | 44 | 48.2% | 50.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | KY03 | Courier-Journal | N | 25-Oct | 669 L | R | 48 | 42 | 48.2% | 50.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | KY04 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 42 | 45 | 51.5% | 43.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | KY04 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 42 | 36 | 51.5% | 43.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | MI07 | Glengariff Group | R | 8-Oct | 600 L | R | 43 | 35 | 50.5% | 46.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | MN06 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 52 | 42 | 50.0% | 42.2% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | MN06 | Star Tribune | N | 12-Oct | 506 L | R | 40 | 48 | 50.0% | 42.2% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | NC08 | OnPoint | D | 4-Oct | 594 L | R | 47 | 46 | 50.1% | 49.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NC08 | POS | R | 17-Oct | 400 L | R | 49 | 33 | 50.1% | 49.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NC11 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 40 | 51 | 46.2% | 53.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NC11 | OnPoint | D | 1-Nov | 834 L | R | 43 | 52 | 46.2% | 53.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NC11 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 43 | 48 | 46.2% | 53.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NE03 | Penn Schoen & Berland | D | 29-Oct | 404 L | R | 40 | 46 | 54.6% | 45.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | NH01 | Research 2000 | N | 2-Nov | 300 L | R | 47 | 42 | 48.5% | 51.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NH01 | Univ. of NH | N | 1-Nov | 352 L | R | 48 | 40 | 48.5% | 51.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NH01 | Univ. of NH | N | 5-Nov | 339 L | R | 49 | 40 | 48.5% | 51.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NH02 | Univ. of NH | N | 5-Nov | 312 L | R | 39 | 48 | 45.5% | 52.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NH02 | Univ. of NH | N | 1-Nov | 330 L | R | 37 | 45 | 45.5% | 52.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NH02 | Research 2000 | N | 2-Nov | 300 L | R | 47 | 46 | 45.5% | 52.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NH02 | ARG | R | 31-Oct | 619 L | R | 47 | 44 | 45.5% | 52.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NJ07 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 5-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 40 | 49.4% | 48.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NJ07 | Tarrance Group | R | 11-Oct | 300 L | R | 48 | 33 | 49.4% | 48.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NM01 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 44 | 54 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NM01 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 40 | 50 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NM01 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 2-Nov | 503 L | R | 45 | 49 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NM01 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 19-Oct | 503 L | R | 42 | 45 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NM01 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 28-Sep | 400 L | R | 44 | 44 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NM01 | POS | R | 2-Nov | 450 L | R | 48 | 46 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NV02 | Mellman Group | D | 16-Oct | 400 L | R | 40 | 40 | 50.4% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | NV02 | Mason-Dixon | N | 30-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 39 | 50.4% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | NV02 | Research 2000 | N | 27-Oct | L | R | 48 | 40 | 50.4% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | NV03 | Mason-Dixon | N | 30-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 39 | 48.5% | 46.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | NY19 | Abacus | D | 23-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 46 | 48.8% | 51.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY20 | Grove Insight | D | 24-Oct | 400 L | R | 40 | 43 | 46.9% | 53.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY20 | Siena | N | 2-Nov | 628 L | R | 43 | 46 | 46.9% | 53.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY20 | Global Strategy Group | D | 18-Oct | 404 L | R | 42 | 44 | 46.9% | 53.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY20 | Grove Insight | D | 13-Oct | 400 L | R | 41 | 41 | 46.9% | 53.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY20 | Siena | N | 17-Oct | 630 L | R | 53 | 39 | 46.9% | 53.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY25 | Beneson Strategy | D | 26-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 42 | 50.9% | 49.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY26 | Zogby | N | 5-Oct | 402 L | R | 33 | 48 | 48.1% | 51.9% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY26 | Greenberg Quinlan | D | 4-Oct | 522 L | R | 42 | 50 | 48.1% | 51.9% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | NY26 | Zogby | N | 1-Nov | 403 L | R | 47 | 46 | 48.1% | 51.9% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | OH01 | Grove Insight | D | 25-Oct | 400 L | R | 40 | 49 | 47.2% | 52.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | PA06 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 44 | 49 | 50.6% | 49.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | PA06 | Garin Hart Yang | D | 23-Oct | 404 L | R | 44 | 47 | 50.6% | 49.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | PA06 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 41 | 43 | 50.6% | 49.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | PA08 | Global Strategy Group | D | 30-Oct | 408 L | R | 41 | 46 | 49.7% | 50.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | PA08 | Morning Call | N | 27-Oct | 421 L | R | 47 | 42 | 49.7% | 50.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | PA08 | Keystone Poll | N | 23-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 39 | 49.7% | 50.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | PA10 | Momentum Analysis | D | 11-Oct | 400 L | R | 37 | 52 | 47.0% | 53.0% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | PA10 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 9-Oct | 400 L | R | 37 | 51 | 47.0% | 53.0% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | PA10 | Lycoming College | N | 26-Oct | 643 L | R | 38 | 47 | 47.0% | 53.0% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | PA10 | Keystone Poll | N | 23-Oct | 384 L | R | 39 | 48 | 47.0% | 53.0% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | PA10 | Lycoming College | N | 28-Sep | 804 L | R | 38 | 47 | 47.0% | 53.0% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | SC05 | Clemson | N | 25-Oct | L | D | 61 | 28 | 57.1% | 42.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | TX17 | Bennett, Petts, and Blumenthal | D | 1-Oct | 400 L | D | 54 | 33 | 58.1% | 40.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | TX22 | Zogby | N | 25-Oct | 504 L | R | 28 | 36 | 41.9% | 52.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | VA02 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 2-Oct | 500 L | R | 42 | 46 | 51.4% | 48.6% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | VA02 | Mason-Dixon | N | 24-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 44 | 51.4% | 48.6% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | VA02 | Reuters/Zogby | N | 29-Oct | 500 L | R | 51 | 43 | 51.4% | 48.6% | 0 | 1 |
| 2006 | VT01 | Greenberg Quinlan | D | 9-Oct | 401 L | D | 52 | 41 | 53.2% | 44.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | VT01 | Research 2000 | N | 24-Oct | 400 L | D | 51 | 41 | 53.2% | 44.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | WI08 | Press-Gazette | N | 25-Oct | 400 L | R | 43 | 43 | 48.8% | 51.2% | 1 | 0 |
| 2006 | WY01 | Aspen | N | 25-Oct | 539 L | R | 44 | 40 | 48.3% | 47.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2006 | WY01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 12-Oct | 625 L | R | 44 | 37 | 48.3% | 47.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | AKAL | Research 2000 | N | 30-Oct | 600 L | R | 44 | 53 | 52.7% | 47.3% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | AKAL | Ivan Moore | N | 19-Oct | 500 L | R | 43 | 51 | 52.7% | 47.3% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | AKAL | Research 2000 | N | 16-Oct | 600 L | R | 44 | 50 | 52.7% | 47.3% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | AKAL | Ivan Moore | N | 6-Oct | 500 L | R | 43 | 51 | 52.7% | 47.3% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | AL02 | GQR | D | 21-Oct | 403 L | R | 43 | 50 | 49.7% | 50.3% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | AL02 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 45 | 46 | 49.7% | 50.3% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | AL02 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 45 | 49.7% | 50.3% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | AL03 | Capital Survey Research | N | 1-Oct | 471 L | R | 45 | 36 | 53.5% | 46.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | AL05 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 14-Oct | 400 L | D | 46 | 38 | 51.5% | 48.5% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | AZ03 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 45 | 56.2% | 43.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | AZ03 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 50 | 40 | 56.2% | 43.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | AZ03 | Research 2000 | N | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 39 | 56.2% | 43.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | CA03 | Val Smith | R | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 27 | 53.0% | 47.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | CA04 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 42 | 48 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | CA04 | Research 2000 | N | 25-Sep | 400 L | R | 41 | 46 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | CA04 | Val Smith | R | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 49 | 40 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | CA04 | Val Smith | R | 24-Sep | 400 L | R | 47 | 39 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | CA50 | Greenburg Quinlan Rosner | D | 14-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 42 | 52.7% | 47.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | CA50 | Tarrance | R | 13-Oct | 300 L | R | 48 | 35 | 52.7% | 47.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | CT04 | Uconn | N | 15-Oct | 501 L | R | 44 | 44 | 48.1% | 51.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL08 | Benenson | D | 21-Oct | 402 L | R | 41 | 52 | 48.0% | 52.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL16 | Tarrance | R | 16-Oct | 300 L | D | 29 | 55 | 39.9% | 60.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | FL18 | Lake | D | 21-Oct | 457 L | R | 48 | 41 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL18 | Univision | N | 5-Oct | 405 L | R | 52 | 27 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL18 | Telmundo | N | 1-Oct | 300 L | R | 48 | 35 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL18 | Research 2000 | N | 25-Sep | 400 L | R | 53 | 36 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL21 | Research 2000 | N | 23-Oct | 400 L | R | 45 | 44 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | FL21 | Univision | N | 17-Oct | 410 L | R | 47 | 33 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | FL21 | Telmundo | N | 1-Oct | 300 L | R | 48 | 43 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | FL24 | GRQ | D | 15-Oct | 400 L | R | 35 | 58 | 41.8% | 58.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | FL25 | Hamilton | D | 9-Oct | 500 L | R | 45 | 42 | 53.1% | 46.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL25 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 43 | 53.1% | 46.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL25 | Univision | N | 12-Oct | 425 L | R | 46 | 37 | 53.1% | 46.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL25 | Telmundo | N | 1-Oct | 300 L | R | 43 | 41 | 53.1% | 46.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL25 | Research 2000 | N | 25-Sep | 400 L | R | 45 | 41 | 53.1% | 46.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | FL25 | McLaughlin | R | 5-Oct | 300 L | R | 49 | 36 | 53.1% | 46.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | GA08 | Mellman | D | 20-Oct | 400 l | D | 48 | 31 | 57.2% | 42.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | ID01 | Harstad | D | 22-Oct | 273 L | R | 41 | 48 | 49.4% | 50.6% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | ID01 | Harstad | D | 28-Sep | 400 L | R | 38 | 44 | 49.4% | 50.6% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | ID01 | SurveyUSA | N | 19-Oct | 613 L | R | 45 | 51 | 49.4% | 50.6% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | IL10 | BPN | D | 16-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 41 | 52.6% | 47.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | IL10 | Research 2000 | D | 1-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 38 | 52.6% | 47.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | IL10 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 43 | 49 | 52.6% | 47.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | IL11 | BPN | D | 16-Oct | 400 L | R | 29 | 50 | 37.1% | 62.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | IL11 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 13-Oct | 500 L | R | 29 | 48 | 37.1% | 62.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | IN03 | Cooper & Secrest | D | 7-Oct | 503 L | R | 44 | 39 | 58.1% | 41.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | IN03 | Howey-Gauge | N | 24-Oct | 300 L | R | 41 | 44 | 58.1% | 41.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | IN03 | Research 2000 | N | 18-Oct | 600 L | R | 45 | 40 | 58.1% | 41.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | IN03 | Winston Group | R | 16-Oct | 400 R | R | 50 | 41 | 58.1% | 41.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | KY02 | Benenson | D | 21-Oct | 401 L | R | 41 | 47 | 52.6% | 47.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | KY02 | Garin-Hart-Yang | D | 9-Oct | 403 L | R | 40 | 41 | 52.6% | 47.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | MD01 | Garin-Hart-Yang | D | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 41 | 43 | 49.6% | 50.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | MD01 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 40 | 49.6% | 50.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | MI07 | Benenson | D | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 35 | 43 | 48.8% | 51.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | MI07 | Myers/Strategic | D | 6-Oct | 600 L | R | 36 | 46 | 48.8% | 51.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | MI07 | Myers/Strategic | D | 24-Sep | 600 L | R | 36 | 42 | 48.8% | 51.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | MI09 | Grove | D | 21-Oct | 400 L | R | 36 | 43 | 45.0% | 55.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | MI09 | GQR | D | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 40 | 43 | 45.0% | 55.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | MI09 | Grove | D | 5-Oct | 400 L | R | 37 | 46 | 45.0% | 55.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | MI09 | Mitchell | N | 2-Oct | 400 L | R | 43 | 43 | 45.0% | 55.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | MN03 | Bennet, Petts, Normington | D | 30-Sep | 400 L | R | 39 | 44 | 54.3% | 45.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | MO09 | Research 2000 | D | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 42 | 51.3% | 48.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | MO09 | Global Strategy Group | D | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 36 | 40 | 51.3% | 48.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NC08 | GQR | D | 29-Sep | 930 L | R | 43 | 54 | 44.6% | 55.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NC08 | POS | R | 25-Sep | 400 L | R | 46 | 43 | 44.6% | 55.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NE02 | Anzalone-Liszt | R | 15-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 46 | 51.9% | 48.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NE02 | Research 2000 | R | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 49 | 39 | 51.9% | 48.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH01 | UNH | N | 2-Nov | 413 L | D | 46 | 41 | 53.0% | 47.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH01 | Research 2000 | N | 30-Oct | 300 L | D | 49 | 42 | 53.0% | 47.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH01 | UNH | N | 29-Oct | 341 L | D | 48 | 40 | 53.0% | 47.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH01 | UNH | N | 26-Oct | 341 L | D | 48 | 40 | 53.0% | 47.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH01 | UNH | N | 22-Oct | 358 L | D | 44 | 39 | 53.0% | 47.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH01 | Research 2000 | N | 19-Oct | 300 L | D | 48 | 43 | 53.0% | 47.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH02 | UNH | N | 2-Nov | 404 L | D | 52 | 31 | 57.7% | 42.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH02 | Research 2000 | N | 30-Oct | 300 L | D | 55 | 38 | 57.7% | 42.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH02 | UNH | N | 29-Oct | 344 L | D | 48 | 26 | 57.7% | 42.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH02 | UNH | N | 26-Oct | 344 L | D | 48 | 26 | 57.7% | 42.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH02 | UNH | N | 22-Oct | 349 L | D | 51 | 26 | 57.7% | 42.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NH02 | Research 2000 | N | 19-Oct | 300 L | D | 49 | 25 | 57.7% | 42.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NJ03 | Grove | D | 16-Oct | 400 L | R | 35 | 43 | 47.9% | 52.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NJ03 | Grove | D | 3-Oct | 400 L | R | 34 | 38 | 47.9% | 52.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NJ03 | Monmouth/Gannett | N | 2-Oct | 430 L | R | 41 | 40 | 47.9% | 52.1% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NJ05 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 40 | 56.9% | 43.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NJ07 | Benenson | D | 9-Oct | 400 L | R | 31 | 40 | 54.3% | 45.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NJ07 | Monmouth/Gannett | N | 2-Oct | 410 L | R | 41 | 39 | 54.3% | 45.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NJ07 | National Research | R | 21-Oct | 300 L | R | 40 | 31 | 54.3% | 45.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NM01 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 30-Oct | 400 L | R | 43 | 47 | 44.3% | 55.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NM01 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 2-Oct | 400 L | R | 41 | 43 | 44.3% | 55.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NM02 | Research 2000 | D | 1-Oct | 400 L | R | 43 | 47 | 44.0% | 56.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NM02 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 30-Oct | 400 L | R | 41 | 45 | 44.0% | 56.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NV02 | Mason-Dixon | N | 30-Oct | 400 L | R | 50 | 37 | 55.6% | 44.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NV02 | Research 2000 | N | 28-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 44 | 55.6% | 44.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NV02 | Research 2000 | N | 5-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 41 | 55.6% | 44.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NV03 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 24-Sep | 500 L | R | 37 | 46 | 47.1% | 52.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NV03 | Mason-Dixon | N | 30-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 44 | 47.1% | 52.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NV03 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 45 | 47 | 47.1% | 52.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NV03 | POS | R | 24-Sep | 400 L | R | 41 | 39 | 47.1% | 52.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NY25 | Riley | D | 3-Oct | 600 L | R | 31 | 49 | 43.3% | 56.7% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | NY29 | Benenson | D | 4-Oct | 403 L | R | 42 | 47 | 51.0% | 49.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | NY29 | Research 2000 | N | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 42 | 49 | 51.0% | 49.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | OH01 | Research 2000 | N | 1-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 46 | 47.5% | 52.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | OH02 | Momentum Analysis | D | 30-Sep | 500 L | R | 37 | 36 | 54.5% | 45.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | OH02 | Research 2000 | N | 1-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 39 | 54.5% | 45.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | OH07 | Tarrance | R | 6-Oct | 300 L | R | 56 | 32 | 58.2% | 41.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | OH15 | GQR | D | 20-Oct | 400 L | R | 36 | 44 | 49.6% | 50.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | OH15 | GQR | D | 1-Oct | 400 L | R | 36 | 44 | 49.6% | 50.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | OH16 | Research 2000 | N | 1-Oct | 400 L | R | 38 | 48 | 44.6% | 55.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA03 | Research 2000 | N | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 41 | 48 | 48.8% | 51.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA03 | POS | R | 23-Oct | 350 L | R | 47 | 45 | 48.8% | 51.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA04 | Anzalone-Liszt | D | 9-Oct | 500 L | D | 53 | 41 | 55.9% | 44.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA10 | Momentum Analysis | D | 1-Oct | 400 L | D | 50 | 36 | 56.3% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA10 | Lycoming College | N | 23-Oct | 441 L | D | 50 | 35 | 56.3% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA10 | Franklin & Marshall College | N | 5-Oct | 713 R | D | 48 | 33 | 56.3% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA10 | Lycoming College | N | 25-Sep | 460 L | D | 46 | 36 | 56.3% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | PA11 | Grove | D | 6-Oct | 400 L | D | 47 | 39 | 51.6% | 48.4% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | PA11 | Franklin & Marshall College | N | 12-Oct | 586 R | D | 35 | 40 | 51.6% | 48.4% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | PA11 | Research 2000 | N | 8-Oct | 400 L | D | 39 | 43 | 51.6% | 48.4% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | PA11 | Susquehanna | R | 2-Oct | 400 L | D | 39 | 47 | 51.6% | 48.4% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | PA12 | Dane | R | 22-Oct | 800 L | D | 35 | 48 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | PA12 | Susquehanna | R | 21-Oct | 400 L | D | 46 | 41 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | PA12 | Dane | R | 28-Oct | 357 L | D | 46 | 44 | 57.9% | 42.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | SC01 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 37 | 52.0% | 48.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | SC02 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 35 | 53.8% | 46.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | TX07 | Greenburg Quinlan Rosner | D | 23-Oct | 500 L | R | 49 | 44 | 56.9% | 43.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | TX07 | Zogby | N | 22-Oct | 421 L | R | 48 | 41 | 56.9% | 43.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | TX07 | Research 2000 | N | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 40 | 56.9% | 43.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | TX10 | Goodwn Simon Victoria | D | 30-Sep | 400 L | R | 43 | 38 | 55.5% | 44.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | TX10 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 42 | 55.5% | 44.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | TX22 | Benenson | D | 20-Oct | 400 L | D | 42 | 42 | 46.4% | 53.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | TX22 | Zogby | N | 22-Oct | 404 L | D | 36 | 53 | 46.4% | 53.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | VA02 | Bennet, Petts, Normington | D | 15-Oct | 400 L | R | 47 | 42 | 52.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | VA02 | Research 2000 | N | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 51 | 37 | 52.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | VA05 | Benenson | D | 9-Oct | 400 L | R | 48 | 40 | 49.9% | 50.1% | 0 | 1 |
| 2008 | WA08 | Lake | D | 14-Oct | 500 L | R | 40 | 47 | 52.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | WA08 | BPN | D | 9-Oct | 400 L | R | 44 | 49 | 52.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | WA08 | Lake/Benenson | D | 25-Sep | 352 L | R | 48 | 45 | 52.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | WA08 | Research 2000 | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | R | 46 | 46 | 52.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | WA08 | Research 2000 | N | 7-Oct | 400 L | R | 49 | 41 | 52.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | WV02 | Research 2000 | N | 8-Oct | 400 L | R | 53 | 39 | 57.1% | 42.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2008 | WYAL | Research 2000 | N | 29-Oct | 600 L | R | 49 | 45 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | WYAL | Research 2000 | N | 16-Oct | 600 L | R | 43 | 44 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | WYAL | Mason-Dixon | N | 14-Oct | 625 L | R | 43 | 44 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2008 | WYAL | Research 2000 | N | 24-Sep | 600 L | R | 43 | 42 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | AR01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 409 L | D | 34 | 46 | 43.5% | 51.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | AZ01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 1-Oct | 403 L | D | 39 | 46 | 43.7% | 49.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | AZ05 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 15-Oct | 408 L | D | 42 | 45 | 43.2% | 52.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | CO03 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 22-Oct | 400 L | D | 43 | 47 | 45.8% | 50.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | CO04 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 28-Sep | 391 L | D | 41 | 44 | 41.4% | 52.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | DEAL | MonmouthUniversity | N | 28-Oct | 1171 L | R | 44 | 51 | 41.0% | 56.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | DEAL | FairleighDickinson | N | 27-Oct | 797 L | R | 36 | 53 | 41.0% | 56.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | DEAL | MonmouthUniversity | N | 12-Oct | 790 L | R | 44 | 53 | 41.0% | 56.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | DEAL | FairleighDickinson | N | 4-Oct | 801 L | R | 36 | 51 | 41.0% | 56.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | Fl02 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 20-Oct | 399 L | D | 38 | 50 | 41.5% | 53.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | FL22 | HarstadStrategicRes. | D | 23-Sep | 504 L | D | 48 | 43 | 45.6% | 54.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | GA08 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 22-Oct | 400 L | D | 37 | 50 | 47.3% | 52.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | HI01 | HonoluluStar-Advertiser | N | 20-Oct | 399 L | R | 48 | 45 | 46.8% | 53.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | HI01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 406 L | R | 45 | 41 | 46.8% | 53.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | IA03 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 22-Oct | 404 L | D | 49 | 37 | 50.8% | 46.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | ID01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 23-Oct | 400 L | D | 44 | 41 | 41.3% | 51.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | IL10 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 405 L | R | 37 | 49 | 51.1% | 48.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | IL11 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 1-Oct | 401 L | D | 31 | 49 | 42.7% | 57.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | IL14 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 13-Oct | 406 L | D | 42 | 43 | 45.1% | 51.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | IL17 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 15-Oct | 417 L | D | 38 | 45 | 43.0% | 52.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | IN02 | WISH-TV/EPIC-MRA | N | 23-Oct | 400 L | D | 48 | 43 | 48.2% | 46.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | IN02 | WISH-TV/EPIC-MRA | N | 4-Oct | 400 L | D | 48 | 39 | 48.2% | 46.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | IN09 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 20-Oct | 400 L | D | 46 | 44 | 42.3% | 52.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | KY03 | CN|2/BraunResearch | N | 20-Oct | 504 L | D | 58 | 31 | 54.7% | 44.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | KY03 | CN|2/BraunResearch | N | 22-Sep | 500 L | D | 53 | 30 | 54.7% | 44.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | KY06 | Mason-Dixon | N | 20-Oct | 500 L | D | 48 | 44 | 50.1% | 49.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | KY06 | CN|2/BraunResearch | N | 23-Sep | 508 L | D | 51 | 37 | 50.1% | 49.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MA04 | BostonGlobe/UNH | N | 23-Oct | 385 L | D | 46 | 33 | 53.9% | 43.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MA04 | WPRI/Fleming | N | 18-Oct | 400 L | D | 49 | 37 | 53.9% | 43.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MA10 | BostonGlobe/UNH | N | 23-Oct | 349 L | D | 37 | 33 | 46.9% | 42.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | MA10 | WGBH/MassINC | N | 16-Oct | 400 L | D | 46 | 43 | 46.9% | 42.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | MD01 | BaltimoreSun/OpinionWorks | N | 21-Oct | 520 L | D | 40 | 40 | 42.0% | 54.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MD01 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 20-Oct | 637 L | D | 42 | 53 | 42.0% | 54.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MD01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 1-Oct | 400 L | D | 40 | 43 | 42.0% | 54.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | ME01 | CriticalInsights | N | 29-Oct | 295 L | D | 41 | 45 | 56.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2010 | ME01 | CriticalInsights | N | 18-Oct | 295 L | D | 45 | 40 | 56.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2010 | ME01 | PanAtlanticSMS | N | 16-Oct | L | D | 49 | 33 | 56.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2010 | ME01 | CriticalInsights | N | 12-Oct | 305 L | D | 48 | 33 | 56.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2010 | ME01 | PineTreePolitics/MECPO | N | 8-Oct | 346 L | D | 46 | 38 | 56.8% | 43.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2010 | ME02 | CriticalInsights | N | 29-Oct | 326 L | D | 44 | 40 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | ME02 | CriticalInsights | N | 18-Oct | 305 L | D | 49 | 30 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | ME02 | PanAtlanticSMS | N | 16-Oct | L | D | 49 | 29 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | ME02 | CriticalInsights | N | 12-Oct | 300 L | D | 43 | 30 | 55.1% | 44.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MI01 | EPIC-MRA | N | 19-Oct | 400 L | D | 40 | 42 | 40.9% | 51.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | MI01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 401 L | D | 39 | 42 | 40.9% | 51.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | MI07 | EPIC-MRA | N | 18-Oct | 400 L | D | 45 | 39 | 45.4% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MI07 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 28-Sep | 404 L | D | 41 | 41 | 45.4% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MI09 | EPIC-MRA | N | 18-Oct | 400 L | D | 48 | 43 | 49.8% | 47.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MI15 | EPIC-MRA | N | 20-Oct | 400 L | D | 53 | 36 | 56.8% | 40.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MI15 | Rossman/TeamTelCom | N | 5-Oct | 300 L | D | 40 | 44 | 56.8% | 40.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MO04 | KSN3/MissouriStateUniv. | N | 28-Oct | 159 L | D | 46 | 39 | 45.1% | 50.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | MS01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 18-Oct | 603 L | D | 39 | 44 | 40.8% | 55.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NDAL | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 20-Oct | 398 L | D | 45 | 44 | 45.1% | 54.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH01 | WMUR/UNH | N | 1-Nov | 434 L | D | 39 | 46 | 42.4% | 54.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 13-Oct | 407 L | D | 42 | 47 | 42.4% | 54.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH01 | WMUR/UNH | N | 13-Oct | 339 L | D | 36 | 48 | 42.4% | 54.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH01 | AmericanRes.Group | N | 27-Sep | 400 L | D | 40 | 50 | 42.4% | 54.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH02 | WMUR/UNH | N | 1-Nov | 451 L | D | 43 | 40 | 46.8% | 48.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH02 | WMUR/UNH | N | 13-Oct | 363 L | D | 43 | 36 | 46.8% | 48.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH02 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 407 L | D | 42 | 45 | 46.8% | 48.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NH02 | AmericanRes.Group | N | 27-Sep | 400 L | D | 36 | 38 | 46.8% | 48.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ03 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 26-Oct | 1037 L | D | 43 | 48 | 47.3% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ03 | Rutgers-Eagleton | N | 25-Oct | 292 L | D | 46 | 45 | 47.3% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ03 | Stockton/Zogby | N | 23-Oct | 600 L | D | 37 | 40 | 47.3% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ03 | Monmouth/Gannett | N | 29-Sep | 400 L | D | 42 | 39 | 47.3% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ03 | Rutgers-Eagleton | N | 27-Sep | 335 L | D | 41 | 39 | 47.3% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ03 | Stockton/Zogby | N | 24-Sep | 401 L | D | 38 | 30 | 47.3% | 50.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ06 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 26-Oct | 647 L | D | 52 | 45 | 54.7% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ06 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 6-Oct | 643 L | D | 53 | 41 | 54.7% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ12 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 28-Oct | 1042 L | D | 51 | 43 | 53.0% | 45.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NJ12 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 13-Oct | 630 L | D | 51 | 46 | 53.0% | 45.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NM01 | AlbuquerqueJournal | N | 29-Oct | 400 L | D | 46 | 49 | 51.8% | 48.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NM01 | AlbuquerqueJournal | N | 1-Oct | 403 L | D | 48 | 41 | 51.8% | 48.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NM02 | AlbuquerqueJournal | N | 29-Oct | 402 L | D | 45 | 48 | 44.6% | 55.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NM02 | AlbuquerqueJournal | N | 1-Oct | 402 L | D | 44 | 45 | 44.6% | 55.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NM02 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 1-Oct | 401 L | D | 42 | 46 | 44.6% | 55.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NM02 | AlbuquerqueJournal | N | 28-Aug | 404 L | D | 45 | 42 | 44.6% | 55.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NV03 | LVRJ/Mason-Dixon | N | 28-Oct | 400 L | D | 43 | 53 | 47.5% | 48.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NV03 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 28-Sep | 403 L | D | 44 | 47 | 47.5% | 48.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY01 | Siena | N | 12-Oct | 615 L | D | 51 | 39 | 50.2% | 49.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY19 | Siena | N | 27-Oct | 651 L | D | 47 | 46 | 47.3% | 52.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY19 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 19-Oct | 636 L | D | 49 | 48 | 47.3% | 52.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY19 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 15-Oct | 416 L | D | 43 | 43 | 47.3% | 52.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY19 | Siena | N | 11-Oct | 610 L | D | 43 | 46 | 47.3% | 52.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY19 | RNN-TV/IonaCollege | N | 7-Oct | 800 R | D | 42 | 42 | 47.3% | 52.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY20 | Siena | N | 26-Oct | 676 L | D | 42 | 51 | 45.1% | 54.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY23 | Siena\* | N | 27-Oct | 623 L | D | 40 | 37 | 47.5% | 46.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY23 | Siena\* | N | 8-Oct | 607 L | D | 42 | 31 | 47.5% | 46.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY24 | Siena | N | 26-Oct | 632 L | D | 48 | 43 | 46.9% | 53.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY24 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 15-Oct | 400 L | D | 47 | 37 | 46.9% | 53.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | NY25 | Siena | N | 13-Oct | 623 L | D | 51 | 39 | 49.8% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | OH15 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 1-Oct | 404 L | D | 38 | 47 | 41.3% | 54.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | OH16 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 28-Sep | 401 L | D | 39 | 42 | 41.3% | 52.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | OR01 | TheOregonian/Elway | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | D | 51 | 38 | 54.8% | 42.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | OR04 | CTA/WilsonResearchStrategies | R | 6-Oct | 300 L | D | 48 | 42 | 54.6% | 43.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | OR05 | TheOregonian/Elway | N | 22-Oct | 400 L | D | 50 | 38 | 51.3% | 46.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA03 | MercyhurstCollege | N | 6-Oct | 634 R | D | 37 | 44 | 44.3% | 55.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA03 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 28-Sep | 401 L | D | 36 | 49 | 44.3% | 55.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA06 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 21-Oct | 642 L | R | 54 | 44 | 57.1% | 42.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA07 | Franklin&Marshall | N | 12-Oct | 400 L | D | 31 | 34 | 44.0% | 54.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA07 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 405 L | D | 39 | 40 | 44.0% | 54.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA07 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 7-Oct | 670 L | D | 45 | 49 | 44.0% | 54.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA08 | MonmouthUniversity | N | 14-Oct | 646 L | D | 46 | 51 | 46.5% | 53.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA08 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 13-Oct | 398 L | D | 46 | 43 | 46.5% | 53.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA10 | TimesLeader/CriticalInsights | N | 26-Oct | 400 L | D | 38 | 47 | 44.8% | 55.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA10 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 15-Oct | 406 L | D | 41 | 41 | 44.8% | 55.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA10 | TimesLeader/CriticalInsights | N | 12-Oct | 400 L | D | 38 | 44 | 44.8% | 55.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA10 | TimesLeader/CriticalInsights | N | 24-Sep | 197 L | D | 36 | 40 | 44.8% | 55.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA11 | TimesLeader/CriticalInsights | N | 26-Oct | 400 L | D | 47 | 39 | 45.3% | 54.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA11 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 22-Oct | 402 L | D | 43 | 48 | 45.3% | 54.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA11 | TimesLeader/CriticalInsights | N | 12-Oct | 400 L | D | 41 | 43 | 45.3% | 54.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA11 | Franklin&Marshall | N | 11-Oct | 414 L | D | 40 | 47 | 45.3% | 54.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | PA15 | MorningCall | N | 14-Oct | 496 L | R | 49 | 32 | 53.5% | 39.0% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | RI01 | NBC10/QuestResearch | N | 26-Oct | 486 L | D | 42 | 40 | 50.6% | 44.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | RI01 | WPRI/Fleming | N | 26-Oct | 250 L | D | 48 | 42 | 50.6% | 44.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | RI01 | NBC10/QuestResearch | N | 7-Oct | 405 L | D | 47 | 36 | 50.6% | 44.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | RI01 | BrownUniversity | N | 30-Sep | 289 R | D | 39 | 21 | 50.6% | 44.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | RI01 | WPRI/Fleming | N | 27-Sep | 250 L | D | 48 | 29 | 50.6% | 44.6% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | SC05 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 21-Oct | 499 L | D | 39 | 49 | 44.8% | 55.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | SDAL | ArgusLeader/ Mason-Dixon | N | 22-Oct | 800 L | D | 43 | 45 | 45.9% | 48.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | SDAL | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 20-Oct | 399 L | D | 45 | 42 | 45.9% | 48.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | UT02 | DeseretNews | N | 29-Oct | 456 L | D | 51 | 39 | 50.5% | 46.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | UT02 | Mason-Dixon | N | 28-Oct | 400 L | D | 48 | 35 | 50.5% | 46.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | UT02 | UtahPolicy/WesternWats | N | 4-Oct | 200 R | D | 46 | 30 | 50.5% | 46.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | VA02 | Virginian-Pilot/CNU | N | 22-Oct | 490 L | D | 41 | 42 | 42.5% | 53.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | VA02 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 28-Sep | 397 L | D | 36 | 42 | 42.5% | 53.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | VA05 | RoanokeCollege | N | 15-Oct | 567 L | D | 40 | 46 | 47.0% | 50.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | VA05 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 1-Oct | 403 L | D | 44 | 45 | 47.0% | 50.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | WA03 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 400 L | D | 40 | 42 | 47.0% | 53.0% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | WI07 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 400 L | D | 35 | 44 | 44.5% | 52.2% | 1 | 0 |
| 2010 | WI08 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 15-Oct | 415 L | D | 44 | 45 | 45.2% | 54.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2010 | WV01 | TheHill/Penn,Schoen&Berland | D | 8-Oct | 405 L | D | 42 | 39 | 49.6% | 50.4% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | CA52 | U-T San Diego | N | 18-Oct | 374 L | R | 47 | 38 | 49.5% | 50.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | HI01 | Honolulu Star-Advertiser | N | 23-Oct | 376 L | D | 52 | 41 | 54.6% | 45.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | IL08 | Chicago Tribune | N | 25-Oct | 600 L | R | 40 | 50 | 45.3% | 54.7% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | MA06 | Boston Globe | N | 28-Sep | 371 L | D | 37 | 31 | 47.3% | 48.3% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | MD06 | Baltimore Sun | N | 26-Oct | 610 L | R | 41 | 42 | 38.1% | 58.6% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | ME02 | Critical Insights | N | 1-Nov | L | D | 55 | 36 | 58.1% | 41.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | ME02 | Pan Atlantic SMS | N | 29-Sep | 202 L | D | 52 | 32 | 58.1% | 41.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | MI03 | Glengariff Group | R | 19-Oct | 400 L | R | 35 | 34 | 44.1% | 52.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | MN06 | Star Tribune | N | 17-Oct | 1000 L | R | 51 | 45 | 50.6% | 49.4% | 0 | ? |
| 2012 | MN09 | Star Tribune | N | 17-Oct | 1000 L | R | 43 | 50 | 45.5% | 54.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | MT01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 1-Nov | 625 L | R | 51 | 40 | 53.2% | 42.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | MT01 | Montana State U. | N | 1-Oct | 477 L | R | 36 | 23 | 53.2% | 42.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | ND01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 29-Oct | 625 L | R | 50 | 40 | 55.0% | 41.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | ND01 | Forum/Essman | N | 16-Oct | 500 L | R | 52 | 32 | 55.0% | 41.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | ND01 | Mason-Dixon | N | 6-Oct | 625 L | R | 49 | 37 | 55.0% | 41.8% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | NE02 | Omaha World-Herald | N | 26-Oct | 352 L | R | 47 | 43 | 51.2% | 48.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NE02 | Omaha World-Herald | N | 21-Sep | 400 R | R | 51 | 38 | 51.2% | 48.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NH01 | WMUR/UNH | N | 5-Nov | 366 L | R | 46 | 49 | 46.0% | 49.7% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | NH01 | New England College | N | 1-Nov | 511 L | R | 48 | 41 | 46.0% | 49.7% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | NH01 | UNH | N | 22-Oct | 364 L | R | 41 | 38 | 46.0% | 49.7% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | NH01 | WMUR/UNH | N | 1-Oct | 273 L | R | 38 | 47 | 46.0% | 49.7% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | NH02 | WMUR/UNH | N | 5-Nov | 423 L | R | 43 | 53 | 45.1% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NH02 | New England College | N | 1-Nov | 511 L | R | 41 | 47 | 45.1% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NH02 | UNH | N | 22-Oct | 408 L | R | 36 | 39 | 45.1% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NH02 | WMUR/UNH | N | 7-Oct | 211 L | R | 35 | 38 | 45.1% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NH02 | WMUR/UNH | N | 1-Oct | 325 L | R | 41 | 42 | 45.1% | 50.2% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NJ03 | Richard Stockton Col. | N | 3-Oct | 614 L | R | 49 | 39 | 53.8% | 44.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NM01 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 26-Oct | 401 L | D | 51 | 36 | 59.1% | 40.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | NM01 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 12-Oct | 402 L | D | 51 | 37 | 59.1% | 40.9% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | NM02 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 26-Oct | 113 L | R | 56 | 38 | 59.1% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NM02 | Albuquerque Journal | N | 12-Oct | 116 L | R | 55 | 31 | 59.1% | 40.9% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY11 | Siena | N | 29-Oct | 627 L | R | 52 | 34 | 52.8% | 46.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | NY11 | Siena | N | 24-Sep | 621 L | R | 48 | 38 | 52.8% | 46.2% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | NY18 | Siena | N | 18-Oct | 615 L | R | 42 | 49 | 51.7% | 48.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY18 | Siena | N | 17-Sep | 628 L | R | 33 | 46 | 51.7% | 48.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY19 | Siena | N | 29-Oct | 649 L | R | 48 | 43 | 53.5% | 46.5% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY21 | Siena | N | 31-Oct | 629 L | D | 44 | 43 | 50.3% | 48.1% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY24 | Siena | N | 3-Nov | 670 L | R | 44 | 44 | 43.8% | 48.4% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY25 | Siena | N | 31-Oct | 624 L | D | 52 | 42 | 57.2% | 42.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY25 | Siena | N | 12-Oct | 800 L | D | 49 | 44 | 57.2% | 42.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY25 | Siena | N | 27-Sep | 634 L | D | 52 | 42 | 57.2% | 42.8% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY27 | Siena | N | 2-Nov | 636 L | D | 47 | 48 | 49.3% | 50.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | NY27 | Siena | N | 5-Oct | 633 L | D | 47 | 47 | 49.3% | 50.7% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | OK02 | Sooner Poll | N | 1-Nov | 300 L | D | 33 | 45 | 38.3% | 57.3% | 1 | 0 |
| 2012 | RI01 | WPRI/Fleming | N | 28-Oct | 300 L | D | 43 | 42 | 52.4% | 41.5% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | RI01 | Brown University | N | 6-Oct | 236 L | D | 46 | 40 | 52.4% | 41.5% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | RI01 | WPRI/Fleming | N | 30-Sep | 250 L | D | 44 | 38 | 52.4% | 41.5% | 0 | 1 |
| 2012 | UT04 | Mason-Dixon | N | 1-Nov | 625 L | D | 52 | 40 | 48.1% | 49.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | UT04 | Deseret News/KSL | N | 30-Sep | 414 R | D | 49 | 43 | 48.1% | 49.3% | 0 | 0 |
| 2012 | VA02 | Virginian-Pilot/CNU | N | 9-Oct | 766 L | R | 44 | 32 | 53.8% | 46.2% | 0 | 0 |

1. Specifically, one might note the inclusion of several polls from one firm (Research 2000) whose methods came under severe criticism following the 2008 election. Tests for robustness of the results when excluding this firm, as well as results excluding all partisan firms, are shown in the robustness checks in this appendix. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Public tracking polls are still fairly rare in congressional races. In the data set for this paper, such tracking polls were only found in a couple of races in New Hampshire; none of the associated races involve scandal-tarred incumbents. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)