Phonology **26** (2009). Supplementary materials doi: 10.1017/S0952675709990121

German fricatives: coda devoicing or positional faithfulness?

Jill Beckman University of Iowa

Michael Jessen Bundeskriminalamt, Wiesbaden

Catherine Ringen University of Iowa

Supplementary materials

Appendix: Statistical comparison between predicted voiceless and voiced fricatives on a speaker-by-speaker basis

Speakers are identified by their number and sex. The number of tokens (n) from the predicted voiceless words and the predicted voiced words is listed in column 1. Because speakers differ as to how many tokens are produced with syllabic sonorants (which were excluded), these numbers differ for different speakers. Column 2 shows the phonetic parameters, abbreviated as 'fricdur' for fricative duration, and 'vcdur' for voicing duration (both in ms). Columns 3 and 4 contain the mean values across all the predicted voiceless tokens (abbreviated as 'nv' for non-voiced) and all the predicted voiced tokens (abbreviated 'vd') for each speaker, and columns 5 and 6 contain the corresponding standard deviations.

For some cases, the applicability of t-tests might be challenged in two ways. First, the variances of the two distributions to be compared (vd and nv) were not always the same, as shown by f-tests (see columns 10 and 11). In the cases of p < 0.05 in column 11, the variances were statistically different. In these cases, a t-test with separate variances was calculated (Welch modification). The values reported in columns 7 to 9 are from a t-test with separate variances if $p \ge 0.05$ in column 11, and from a regular t-test if p < 0.05.

2 Jill Beckman, Michael Jessen and Catherine Ringen

The second problem was that not all data formed a normal distribution. Shapiro-Wilks tests for normal distribution were calculated for predicted voiceless tokens (column 12) and predicted voiced tokens (column 13). Where p < 0.05 in these columns, the assumption of normal distribution has to be rejected, and hence the applicability of a t-test might be questioned. Applied to the voicing percentage parameter ('vcperc'), the Shapiro-Wilks tests showed that, for almost all speakers, the voiced tokens did not form a normal distribution (probably because the distribution was bimodal – with a second peak around the 100% value). For this reason, the applicability of the t-test for the vcperc parameter was too problematic, and the results for vcperc are not listed here.

The p-values in column 9 indicate whether, for a given speaker, predicted voiced and voiceless fricatives differ in one or more of the two parameters fricdur and vcdur. Since many t-tests were carried out on the same phonetic parameter, the significance levels had to be adjusted. Starting with p < 0.05, this overall level has to be divided by 31 (speakers), arriving at the new level of p < 0.00161 for each individual test. All results below this threshold are italicised in column 9. The results show that for 27 out of 31 speakers, at least one of the two phonetic parameters is significantly different in predicted voiced and voiceless fricatives.

¹ In one case (Speaker 18), the Shapiro-Wilks test could not be carried out, because *n* was too small among vd, due to the fact that this speaker produced all but two tokens with a syllabic sonorant.

	1		2	3	4	Ŋ	9	7	×	6	10	11	12	13
speaker	n vn	vd	para- meter	M nv	M vd	SD nv	SD vd	t-value	df	d	f-ratio variance	p- variance	p-ND nv	p-ND vd
S6 f	12	21	fricdur vcdur	105 4	86 9	17 2	10 3	3.76 - 4.03	31 31	0-0006 0-0003	$\begin{array}{c} 2\cdot65\\ 1\cdot83\end{array}$	0.055 0.302	$0.054 \\ 0.013$	0.929 0.586
S7 m	10	9	fricdur vcdur	89 10	77 77	17 5	× ×	1.55 - 19.19	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{array}$	0.1414 < 0.0001	$\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot23\\ 2\cdot12\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.126\\ 0.307\end{array}$	0.657 0.452	0.323 0.323
S8 m	12	21	fricdur vcdur	87 9	78 32	15 4	6 24	1.97 -4.29	13·58 22·71	0-0690 <i>0-0002</i>	4·94 24·85	0.001 < 0.001 < 0.001	$0.014 \\ 0.988$	$0.475 \\ 0.003$
39 f	6	4	fricdur vcdur	102 5	60 37	10 3	8 22	7·18 -2·83	$\frac{11}{3 \cdot 04}$	<0.0001 0.0644	1.4754.29	0.821 <0.001	0.136 0.665	0.441 0.266
$\mathrm{S10f}$	10	6	fricdur vcdur	96 9	88 76	14 5	13 22	1.17 -8.88	$\frac{17}{8\cdot76}$	0.2578 <0.0001	$\frac{1\cdot17}{18\cdot81}$	0.833 <0.001	0.674 0.266	0.469 0.706
S11 f	10	20	fricdur vcdur	$\begin{array}{c} 104 \\ 10 \end{array}$	84 34	14 5	11 17	3·95 -5·57	28 25·62	0.0004 <0.0001	$\begin{array}{c}1{\cdot}75\\9{\cdot}16\end{array}$	$0.289 \\ 0.001$	0.924 0.211	0.397 0.042
S12 m	11	15	fricdur vcdur	103 21	86 64	12 11	15 26	3·14 -5·69	24 19-92	0.0043 <0.0001	$\begin{array}{c}1\!\cdot\!43\\5\!\cdot\!67\end{array}$	$0.572 \\ 0.008$	$0.912 \\ 0.168$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.580\\ 0.219\end{array}$
S13 m	12	21	fricdur vcdur	114 6	85 27	9 5	12 15	6.77 -5.58	$\frac{31}{26 \cdot 93}$	<0.0001 <0.0001	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 59\\ 8\cdot 60\end{array}$	0.429 <0.001	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot220\\ 0\cdot373\end{array}$	0.647 0.054

Supplementary materials 3

13	p-ND vd	0.247 0.779	$0.098 \\ 0.042$	$0.189 \\ 0.006$	0.397 0.397	n too small	$0.599 \\ 0.044$	0.829 0.003	$0.155 \\ 0.024$
12	p-ND nv	0.832 0.094	$\begin{array}{c} 0.173\\ 0.347\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.830\\ 0.326\end{array}$	$0.062 \\ 0.058$	0.039 0.050	$0.190 \\ 0.826$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.163\\ 0.419\end{array}$	$0.022 \\ 0.612$
11	p- variance	0.060	0.085 <0.001	0.216 < 0.001	0.323 0.013	0.456 <0.001	0.478 <0.001	<0.001 <0.001	0.325 <0.001
10	f-ratio variance	2·65 2·94	2·51 19·76	2.36 24.16	$1.92 \\ 5.48$	1.64 41.12	1.46 22.85	13.23 68.36	2.02 53.78
6	d	0.0046 <0.0001	0-0001 0-0002	0-0156 <i>0-0001</i>	0.0082 <0.0001	$0.1080 \\ 0.1949$	<0.0001 0.0004	$0.1200 \\ 0.0019$	<0.0001 <0.0001
~	df	$\frac{30}{30}$	28 20-99	25 19·64	$\frac{19}{11\cdot 93}$	$\frac{11}{1\cdot 00}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26\\ 18{\cdot}10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10{\cdot}13\\ 11{\cdot}38\end{array}$	$\frac{19}{11\cdot54}$
7	t-value	3.05 - 14.78	4.31 - 4.40	2.59 - 4.64	2.94 -11.45	1.74 - 3.13	4.99 - 6.05	1.69 - 3.99	8.48 -10.16
9	$_{\rm vd}^{\rm SD}$	9 12	9 23	$14 \\ 19$	13 13	24 24	8 22	4 31	9 17
S	$_{\rm nv}^{\rm SD}$	15 7	14 5	9 8	10 5	18 3	9 4	16 3	6
4	M vd	73 68	85 32	79 29	69 69	63 63	71 44	76 49	71 60
3	M vu	87 9	103 8	93 7	85 14	88 9	89 10	85 12	102 7
2	para- meter	fricdur vcdur	fricdur vcdur	fricdur vcdur	fricdur vcdur	fricdur vcdur	fricdur vcdur	fricdur vcdur	fricdur vcdur
1	n vd	20	19	18	10	7	17	12	12
	nv	12	11	6	11	11	11	10	6
	speaker	$\mathrm{S14f}$	S15 f	S16 m	S17 m	S18 m	S19 f	S20 m	S21 f

4 Jill Beckman, Michael Jessen and Catherine Ringen

	1		2	3	4	Ŋ	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13
speaker	n nv	vd	para- meter	M nv	M vd	$_{\rm nv}^{\rm SD}$	$_{\rm vd}^{\rm SD}$	t-value	df	d	f-ratio variance	p- variance	p-ND nv	p-ND vd
S22 f	12	21	fricdur vcdur	$\begin{array}{c} 103\\ 10\end{array}$	$ 101 \\ 11 $	13 4	14 5	0.32 - 0.43	31 31	0.7446 0.6669	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 21\\ 1\cdot 22\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.760\\ 0.746\end{array}$	0.938 0.199	0.026 0.013
S23 m	12	21	fricdur vcdur	101 16	81 59	19 13	16 31	3.19 - 5.45	31 29·13	0.0031 <0.0001	$\frac{1\cdot33}{5\cdot73}$	0.552 0.004	$0.109 \\ 0.003$	0.575 0.236
S24 f	12	12	fricdur vcdur	86 11	65 44	13 6	12 23	4.05 -4.67	22 12·51	0-0005 0-0004	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 34\\ 14\cdot 49\end{array}$	0·627 <0·001	$0.339 \\ 0.754$	$0.555 \\ 0.031$
S25 m	6	20	fricdur vcdur	108 8	81 63	10 4	12 28	5.32 -8.47	$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 21{\cdot}33\end{array}$	<0.0001 <0.0001	1.49 34.19	0.557 <0.001	$0.586 \\ 0.221$	0.948 0.227
S26 m	12	7	fricdur vcdur	$\begin{array}{c} 101 \\ 9 \end{array}$	75 68	9 5	9 18	5.77 -8.52	17 6·55	<0.0001 <0.0001	$\frac{1\cdot 12}{12\cdot 70}$	0.818 < < 0.001	0.446 0.925	0.133 0.141
S27 f	11	11	fricdur vcdur	81 5	61 54	10 4	16 26	3.29 - 6.13	$\begin{array}{c} 20\\ 10{\cdot}60 \end{array}$	0.0035 <0.0001	2·46 32·88	0.171 < 0.001	0.555 0.063	$0.321 \\ 0.342$
S28 m	10	19	fricdur vcdur	92 10	77 46	14 5	9 30	3·42 -5·02	27 20·02	0.0019 <0.0001	2.04 32.52	0.188 < 0.001	$0.152 \\ 0.451$	$0.652 \\ 0.004$
S29 f	12	17	fricdur vcdur	$\begin{array}{c} 112\\ 10\end{array}$	91 48	19 6	13 30	3·54 -4·97	$\frac{27}{18\cdot09}$	0-0014 0-0001	$\begin{array}{c} 2\cdot 09\\ 21\cdot 20\end{array}$	0.172 < 0.001	0.297 0.649	$0.308 \\ 0.001$

Supplementary materials 5

	1		2	3	4	S	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13
speaker	nv nv	ر vd	para- meter	M	M	$^{\rm SD}_{\rm nv}$	$_{\rm vd}^{\rm SD}$	t-value	df	d	f-ratio variance	p- variance	p-ND nv	p-ND vd
S30 m	6	15	fricdur vcdur	$\frac{117}{10}$	87 49	18 3	14 38	4.47 -3.83	22 14·27	0-0001 0-0017	$\frac{1.52}{166.12}$	0.465 < 0.001	$0.285 \\ 0.174$	0.935 0.012
S31 m	12	14	fricdur vcdur	103 8	76 44	19 4	14 24	4.08 -5.39	24 13·80	0.0004 <0.0001	$\frac{1.97}{37.38}$	0.243 < 0.001	0.528 0.666	0.824 0.109
S32 m	6	~	fricdur vcdur	100 5	76 38	5 6	4 29	8.83 - 2.90	$\frac{14}{6\cdot 28}$	<0.0001 0.0256	2·44 32·51	0.291 < 0.001	0.955 0.013	0.613 0.027
S33 f	12	21	fricdur vcdur	111 6	110 15	17 3	15 8	0.24 - 3.95	31 29·68	0.8144 0.0004	$\frac{1\cdot 19}{5\cdot 13}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.701 \\ 0.007 \end{array}$	$0.020 \\ 0.987$	0·168 <0·001
S34 f	12	17	fricdur vcdur	85 11	62 36	11 4	8 21	6.25 - 4.55	27 18·05	<0.0001 0.0002	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot 64\\ 21\cdot 59\end{array}$	0.356 < 0.001	$0.892 \\ 0.563$	$0.902 \\ 0.077$
S36 m	12	20	fricdur vcdur	$\begin{array}{c} 119\\ 11\end{array}$	96 80	16 6	12 31	4·51 -9·37	30 21·42	<0.0001 <0.0001	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot82\\ 25\cdot25\end{array}$	0.240 < 0.001	$0.040 \\ 0.006$	0.083 0.002
S38 m	11	15	fricdur vcdur	97 8	91 24	12 3	9 24	1.27 -2.38	$\begin{array}{c} 24\\ 14{\cdot}84 \end{array}$	0.2161 0.0309	$\frac{1\cdot 89}{44\cdot 77}$	0.267 <0.001	$0.627 \\ 0.054$	0·048 <0·001

6 Jill Beckman, Michael Jessen and Catherine Ringen