

# New loss reserve models with persistence effects to forecast trapezoidal losses in run-off triangles

Farha Usman <sup>1</sup> and Jennifer S.K. Chan <sup>2</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

## Appendix

A. To simulate random variables  $Y$  from GB2 distribution for reserve forecast, we make use of the fact that GB2 distribution is transformed from a beta distribution such that

$$\text{if } U = \frac{\left(\frac{Y}{b}\right)^a}{1 + \left(\frac{Y}{b}\right)^a} \sim \text{Beta}(p, q), \quad Y \sim \text{GB2}(a, b, p, q). \quad (31)$$

Hence the simulation procedures are

$$Y = b \left( \frac{U}{1-U} \right)^{1/a} = \frac{\mu \Gamma(p) \Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+1/a) \Gamma(q-1/a)} \left( \frac{U}{1-U} \right)^{1/a} \quad \text{where } U \sim \text{Beta}(p, q) \quad (32)$$

using (17). We note that the inverse method  $Y = F_{\text{GB2}}^{-1}(U)$ ,  $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$  fails as the distribution function  $F_{\text{GB2}}(\cdot)$  has no closed inverse function.

To implement the Bayesian inference, we use the `Stan` package running under the `R` environment. As GB2 distribution is not a built-in distribution in `Stan`, we define the distribution by defining its log density called `beta2_log` using (18) as below

```
functions{
real beta2_log(real x, real a, real mu, real p, real q) {
return log(fabs(a)) + (a*p-1)*log(x) + lgamma(p+q)
- (a*p)*log((mu*tgamma(p)*tgamma(q))/(tgamma(p+(1/a))*tgamma(q-(1/a))))
- lgamma(p) - lgamma(q) - (p+q)*log(1+pow((x*tgamma(p+(1/a))*tgamma(q-(1/a)))/
(mu*tgamma(p)*tgamma(q)), a));
} }
```

where `tgamma()` is a gamma function and `lgamma()` is a log-gamma function. Then the model is specified as  $y \sim \text{beta2}(a, \mu, p, q)$  where  $y$  and  $\mu$  are the observation vector and mean vector respectively.

B. The generalized gamma (GG) distribution has two shape parameters with the density:

$$f_{\text{GG}}(y; a, \lambda, p) = \frac{ay^{ap-1}e^{-\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^a}}{\lambda^{ap}\Gamma(p)} \quad (33)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the scale parameter,  $a$  and  $p$  are the shape parameters ( $\nu = (a, p)$ ), and  $E(Y) = \mu = \frac{\lambda \Gamma(p+1/a)}{\Gamma(p)}$ . Expressing the scale parameter

$$\lambda = \frac{\mu \Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p+1/a)} \quad (34)$$

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author. Email: fusm0507@uni.sydney.edu.au

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author. Email: jennifer.chan@sydney.edu.au

in terms of  $\mu$ , the density in (33) can be written as

$$f_{\text{GG}}(y; a, \mu, p) = \frac{ay^{ap-1}e^{-\left[\frac{y\Gamma(p+1/a)}{\mu\Gamma(p)}\right]^a}}{\left[\frac{\mu\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p+1/a)}\right]^{ap} \Gamma(p)}. \quad (35)$$

The GG distribution nests Weibull ( $p = 1$ ), gamma ( $a = 1$ ), and exponential ( $a = p = 1$ ) distributions as special cases and lognormal as a limiting distribution ( $b = (\sigma^2 a^2)^{1/a}$ ,  $p = (a\mu + 1)/(\sigma^2 a^2)$ ,  $a \rightarrow 0$ ).

To simulate random variables  $Y$  from GG distribution for reserve forecast, we make use of the fact that GG distribution is transformed from a gamma distribution such that if  $U = \left(\frac{Y}{\lambda}\right)^a \sim \text{Gamma}(p, 1)$ ,  $Y \sim \text{GG}(p, \lambda, a)$ . Hence, the simulation procedures are

$$Y = \lambda U^{1/a} = \frac{\mu\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(p+1/a)} U^{1/a} \quad \text{where } U \sim \text{Gamma}(p, 1). \quad (36)$$

Again, GG distribution is not a built-in distribution in `Stan`. We define the distribution directly by defining its log density called `ggamma_log` using (35), similar to the case of GB2 distribution. Then the model is specified as  $y \sim \text{ggamma}(p, \mu, a)$ .

C. Weibull distribution has the density and distribution functions given by respectively

$$f_{\text{W}}(y; a, \lambda) = \frac{ay^{a-1}}{\lambda^a} e^{-(y/\lambda)^a} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\text{W}}(y; a, \lambda) = 1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{y}{\lambda}\right)^a\right] \quad (37)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the scale parameter,  $a$  is the shape parameter ( $\nu = a$ ), and  $E(Y) = \mu = \lambda\Gamma(1 + 1/a)$ . Expressing the scale parameter  $\lambda = \frac{\mu}{\Gamma(1+1/a)}$  in terms of  $\mu$ , the density in (37) can be written as

$$f_{\text{W}}(y; a, \mu) = \frac{ay^{a-1}\Gamma(1 + 1/a)^a}{\mu^a} \exp\left\{-\left[\frac{y\Gamma(1 + \frac{1}{a})}{\mu}\right]^a\right\}. \quad (38)$$

To simulate random variables  $Y$  from Weibull distribution for reserve forecast, we can use the inverse method since the distribution function in (37) has close form inverse. Hence, the simulation procedures are

$$U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1), \quad Y = F_{\text{W}}^{-1}(U) = \lambda[-\ln(1 - U)]^{1/a}. \quad (39)$$

Since Weibull distribution is a built-in function in `Stan`, the model is specified as  $y \sim \text{Weibull}(a, \text{lam})$  where `lam=mu/tgamma(1+1/a)`.

D. To simulate random variables  $Y$  from EE distribution for reserve forecast, we can use the inverse method so that if  $U \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$ ,

$$Y = F_{\text{EE}}^{-1}(U) = \frac{\mu}{e\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{a} + 1, 1\right) - 1} \left\{ \left[1 - \log(1 - U)\right]^{\frac{1}{a}} - 1 \right\}$$

substituting  $\lambda = \mu \left[e\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{a} + 1, 1\right) - 1\right]^{-1}$  in (20). Alternatively, we can use the fact that EE distribution is transformed from a standard exponential distribution with pdf  $f_{\text{E}}(u) = e^{-u}$  such that if  $U = -\left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{Y}{\lambda}\right)^a\right] \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ ,  $Y \sim \text{EE}(a, \mu)$ . Hence the simulation procedures are

$$Y = \frac{\mu}{e\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{a} + 1, 1\right) - 1} \left[ (U + 1)^{1/a} - 1 \right] \quad \text{where } U \sim \text{Exp}(1). \quad (40)$$

Again, EE distribution is not a built-in distribution in Stan. We define the distribution directly by defining its log pdf called `eexp_log` using (21). Then the model is specified as  $y \sim \text{eexp}(a, \mu)$ .

- E. Both GB2 and GG are distributions generated from Gamma distributions. Let  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  be gamma distributed random variables with scale parameter 1 and shape parameter  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. Then

$$b \left( \frac{Z_1}{Z_2} \right)^{1/a} \sim \text{GB2}(a, b, p, q) \text{ and } b (Z_1)^{1/a} \sim \text{GG}(a, b, p) \quad (41)$$

Thus, the tail of  $Z_1/Z_2$  will be thinner (heavier) relative to the tail of  $Z_1$  alone as the parameter  $q$  is large (near or less than one).

- F. To calculate the log of GB2 density for any  $(i, j)$  cell at 90% quantile as an example can be computed using the commands `u90=qbeta(0.90, p, q); z90=ln(u90/(1-u90)); y90=b*exp(z90/a); ld90=logf.gb2(y90, a, b, p, q)` applying some built-in R functions where the parameter estimates of  $a, p$  and  $q$  and  $b$  for the  $(i, j)$  cell are given by the posterior mean. For GG distribution, we use the commands

```
y90=qggamma(0.90, alpha=a, scale=lam, psi=p);
ld90=dggamma(y90, alpha=a, scale=lam, psi=p, log=T)
```

where `lam` for the  $(i, j)$  cell is given by (34).