S1: Questionnaire used for household survey



Buffer Zone Household Survey on Park Revenue Sharing and Human-Wildlife Conflict 2022



Section A: HH Profile and Personal Background

Interview #: Village: Ethnicity: _Brahmin/Chhetri _ Age:years	_Janajati _B	n. >1 km. ote/Musahar /	_ZinkWood		
A1. Are you native to this 1.1 If not, in which yea	-		No village?	(YYYY)	
A2. Which of the following	•	U U	U	(1111)	
•	☐ Literate	•		□ Some college	□ College degree
A3. How many people are	in your family	: Total	, Children (un	der 16):	
A4. What is the household					
	-		nent offices	· · ·	lowances
\Box Jobs in private agencies	\Box D	aily wage emp	oloyment	🗆 Foreign Em	ployment
A5. How much land does y	your family cur	rrently own	in this area?		
Terai:Bigha		-	in this area.		
Hills:Ropani					
A6. Does your farm grow e			y for the year?	Yes, No	Only
forMonths	0	5	, <u> </u>		5
A7. Do you own livestock	? Yes	No			
A8. If yes, how many lives			Cattle (Goat/sheen	
A9. Does your farm/pastur	•			-	ab for
	e produce cho	ugii iorage i	or your caule: _	_103,100. 07	uy jor
Months	1 6 4	סס	·	0 37	NT
A10. Is your household a n	nember of the	BZ commu	nity forestry user	group? Yes,	INO

Section B: Perceived Benefits and Costs of Living Near the Park

B1. To what extent do you think your household benefits from the following resources of the national park?

	Not at all	A little	A lot
Availability of resources (e.g., firewood, food, fodder) for extraction	1	2	3
Ecosystem services (e.g., environment, no pollution, no noise, aesthetic)	1	2	3
Recreation (e.g., visiting the park, safari)	1	2	3
Community development through park-funded activities (e.g., road development, school, community building)	1	2	3
Business opportunity (e.g., selling produce, handicrafts, poultry, vegetable farming)	1	2	3
Preservation of endangered species (e.g. tiger, elephant)	1	2	3
Employment opportunities directly or indirectly supported by the park (e.g., Park, hotel, tourism)	1	2	3

B2. To what extent do you believe your household has been impacted by the following for living near the national park.

	Not at all	A little	A lot
Prohibition of extracting resources (e.g., firewood, food, fodder)	1	2	3
Damage to crops or livestock by wildlife	1	2	3
Attacks on humans by wildlife	1	2	3
Dispute with park officials (e.g., staff, law enforcement)	1	2	3
Park-related laws impacting everyday life (e.g., traveling, moving goods)	1	2	3
Park-related laws impacting private business (e.g., mining, fishing)	1	2	3
Park-related laws impacting land use activities (e.g., cutting trees, small saw-mill, hauling furniture, land development)	1	2	3

B3. Please indicate your agreement with the following statements.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't
				know
The presence of a national park makes this place very special to me	1	2	3	
Living near the park always involves some level of risk of damage/attack from wild animals	1	2	3	
Despite the occasional attack on humans and damage to property, wild animals are worth protecting	1	2	3	
National Park contributes significantly to the development of my community	1	2	3	
I am willing to tolerate the fear of attack by wild animals	1	2	3	
My family is capable of absorbing the occasional damage/loss caused by wild animals	1	2	3	

B4. Which of the following statements best describes your view regarding how national park management should take wildlife and human concerns into management consideration? (CHECK ONE)

- _1__ The highest priority should be given to the local people, even if it hurts the wildlife
- _2__ Both the people and the wildlife are important but the people should come first
- _3__Both the people and the wildlife are important but the wildlife should come first

4 The highest priority should be given to protecting the wildlife, even if it hurts local people

Section C: Perceived Change in Wildlife Populations and Human-Wildlife Conflicts

	Decreased greatly	Decreased slightly	No change	Increased slightly	Increased greatly
Local people enter the park to extract resources	1	2	3	4	5
Sighting of wild animals inside the park	1	2	3	4	5
Sighting of wild animals outside the park	1	2	3	4	5
Attacks on livestock by wildlife	1	2	3	4	5
Damage to crops by wildlife	1	2	3	4	5
Attacks on humans by wildlife	1	2	3	4	5
Use of poisons/traps in farms	1	2	3	4	5
Poaching activities	1	2	3	4	5
Arrests by park authority/ law enforcement	1	2	3	4	5
Number of neighbors upset with the park authority	1	2	3	4	5
Perceived importance of the park among local people	1	2	3	4	5
The positive attitude of local people towards the park staff	1	2	3	4	5

C1. Over the past **10 years**, how do you think the following has changed?

C2. Over the past ten years, how do you think the wild animal's activities (attack, damage) changed in your village (i.e. outside the park boundary)?

 \Box Decreasing, go to C4 \Box No change, go to C5

 \Box Increasing, go to C3

C3. Which of the following are the reasons for the <u>increase</u> in wildlife sightings in yours?

	Not a reason	Minor reason	Major reason
The overall increase in wildlife population over the years	1	2	3
Declining habitat conditions inside the park	1	2	3
Expanded habitat in new forests (e.g., community forests, plantations) outside the park	1	2	3
Increase in abandoned farmlands outside the park	1	2	3
Other	1	2	3



	Not a reason	Minor reason	Major reason
The overall decline in wildlife population over the years	1	2	3
The decline in forest and habitat outside the park	1	2	3
Improved habitat conditions inside the park	1	2	3
Other	1	2	3

C4. Which of the following are the reasons for the decrease in wildlife sightings in your village)?

Go to C5.

C5. Over the past **5 years**, how frequently have you or anyone in your family had the following experience incidences caused by either the wild animals or the park staff?

	Never (0 times)	Rarely (Once in a few years)	Occasionally (once each year)	Frequently (> 1 time each year)
Animal attack on humans/livestock	1	2	3	4
Damage to crops, property by wildlife	1	2	3	4
Dispute with park staff/administration	1	2	3	4

C6. If you experienced a loss due to wildlife damage or attack in the past two years, are you satisfied with the compensation provided?

\Box Not at all	□ Somewhat	□ Mostly	□ Completely	□ I did not claim	\Box Had no
satisfied	satisfied	satisfied	satisfied	any compensation	damage

Section D: Buffer Zone Program and Buffer Zone Fund

Many years ago, the government designated the villages surrounding the NP as the Buffer Zone (BZ). Under this program, villages designated as BZ observe some restrictions on land use (e.g. tree harvesting, query, mining, gravel/stone extraction, etc.), and a certain portion of park income (BZ funds) is provided to those villages to help promote conservation and development activities, including income generation, conservation, community development, etc.

D1. Before hearing this, how familiar were you with the following?

	Did not know anything	Heard of it but did not know the specifics	Somewhat familiar	Mostly familiar
BZ as a government program				
Provision of BZ funds shared with local communities				
Allocation of BZ funds among the headings (e.g., community development, conservation, etc.)				
The operational process of BZ funds				
Regulatory control of development/land use activities inside the BZ				
People that represent residents in BZ institutions (UG, UC, etc.)				

Knowledge of people or projects benefitted		
from BZ funds		

D2. Based on your understanding of BZ funds, do you believe this fund is provided for compensation to the households impacted by wildlife?

D3. Please indicate your agreement with the following statements.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't
				know
Most people in my village are aware of BZ funds	1	2	3	
BZ fund is too little to generate meaningful benefit for the locals	1	2	3	
I (or my family member) have benefitted from the buffer zone funds/programs (e.g., training, IGA, soft loan)	1	2	3	
Buffer zone funds have benefitted my community	1	2	3	
BZ funds have been allocated to benefit those who are impacted the most by wild animals	1	2	3	
BZ funds have been utilized wisely among activities (e.g., conservation, development)	1	2	3	
Sharing of BZ funds among user groups is unfair	1	2	3	
BZ funds have benefitted worthy projects and people	1	2	3	
Regardless of the amount of BZ funds given to local communities, the BZ program positively contributes to conservation	1	2	3	
Local people have reasonable control in decisions over how to prioritize spending of BZ funds	1	2	3	
BZ funds should be used to compensate for wildlife damage than for funding development projects	1	2	3	

D4. To what extent do you think the BZ program has contributed in the following areas:

	Not at	A little	A lot	Don't
	all			know
Raising conservation awareness among the local people	1	2	3	
Mobilizing local community organizations in conservation programs	1	2	3	
Conserving forests and other natural resources (e.g., river bank) in BZ	1	2	3	
Fulfilling household needs of forests (e.g., firewood, fodder)	1	2	3	
Fulfilling household needs of other natural resources (e.g., gravel, stone, etc.)	1	2	3	
Reducing local dependence on park resources	1	2	3	
Promoting community development	1	2	3	
Compensating victims of wildlife attack/damage	1	2	3	
Compensating victims of river cutting/landslide victims	1	2	3	
Enhancing livelihood options for BZ residents	1	2	3	
Helping locals realize the importance of the national park	1	2	3	
Improving the relationship between the park and local people	1	2	3	
Adding legal hassles to private landowners	1	2	3	
Adding complication to the utilization of natural resources (e.g., debris wood, stream sediment query, etc.) in BZ	1	2	3	

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't know
I trust the people in the BZ institutions to make decisions that are best for the people	1	2	3	
I have confidence in the people in BZ institutions to prioritize BZ funds for the people needing the most	1	2	3	
I trust park staff and the BZ council to work together in reducing human-wildlife conflict	1	2	3	
I am satisfied with the way BZ funds are utilized	1	2	3	
Most people in my village believe the BZ funds are used wisely	1	2	3	
Most people in my village appreciate the community development projects funded by the BZ funds	1	2	3	
Most people in my village appreciate the human-wildlife conflict mitigation measures (e.g., fences, machan, alternative crops,) funded by the BZ funds	1	2	3	
Most people in my village appreciate the wildlife habitat improvement activities (e.g., water holes, grassland) funded by the BZ funds	1	2	3	
Most of the people in my village appreciate the training/ awareness program about wildlife behavior, law enforcement, etc. funded by the BZ funds	1	2	3	
Knowing that my community receives part of park income has helped me realize the benefit of the park to local people	1	2	3	
Regulatory control of development/land use activities on my property due to BZ laws is not a problem for me	1	2	3	
Regardless of its direct benefit to me, the BZ program has contributed positively to conservation and sustainable development in my region	1	2	3	
In reducing human-wildlife conflict, providing BZ funds to compensate the victims of wildlife will be more effective than funding community development projects	1	2	3	

D5. Please indicate your agreement with the following statements about BZ institutions (Council, UC, UG) and the BZ funds allocations.

D6. Please indicate to what extent you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding current regulations related to BZ and national parks.

	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Don't
				know
There is satisfactory coordination between the park and the local government regarding the enforcement of BZ laws	1	2	3	
BZ laws have been obstacles to the development and growth of my village	1	2	3	
Since the BZ program started, new conservation activities (community forests, plantation, etc.) in the BZ has expanded habitat for wildlife	1	2	3	
BZ laws controlling land development are not enough for the long- term protection of the park	1	2	3	
The population growth and urban development in the BZ area will negatively impact the wildlife inside the national park	1	2	3	
BZ residents should not do anything that will harm the wild animals in the park	1	2	3	
I will support laws prohibiting land-use practices in BZ that directly or indirectly threaten the existence of wild animals in the park	1	2	3	

D7. How useful do you think the following will be in improving human-wildlife coexistence in	
your village.	

	Not useful at all	Somewhat useful	Extremely useful	Don't know
Providing awareness training on staying safe from problematic animals	1	2	3	
Developing alarm system to regulating human movements against wildlife movement season/ time	1	2	3	
Developing alarm system to pre-notify people of wildlife movement/activity season/time				
Building physical structures (fences, trenches, etc.) along the park boundary	1	2	3	
Providing farming knowledge/skills for alternative/unpalatable crops	1	2	3	
Providing alternative energy equipment (e.g., solar, biogas, electricity)	1	2	3	
Keeping a limit on the population of problematic animals	1	2	3	
Improving habitat for wildlife inside the park	1	2	3	
Not interfering with what landowners want to do on their farm	1	2	3	
Regular incentives for disabled wildlife victims (like senior citizen)	1	2	3	
Scholarships for wildlife victims' children	1	2	3	
Teach livestock herders about specific wildlife behavior	1	2	3	
Providing support for predator proof corals	1	2	3	
Providing low cost insurance schemes (e.g., crop, livestock)	1	2	3	
Providing supporting for alternative livelihood options/ IGA for forest resource-based households	1	2	3	
Establishing a well-equipped (e.g., vehicle, first aid, technician) rescue team for immediate action	1	2	3	
Provide relief provision for additional animals (not included in the guideline)	1	2	3	

D8. Please list three areas of concern and three areas of the BZ program and BZ fund investment to help reduce human-wildlife conflicts in general.

Concerns	Areas for improvement		

••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Thank you very much for your information and time with us !