

Supplementary Online Appendix

to

“Determining the Cointegration Rank in Heteroskedastic VAR Models of
Unknown Order”

by

Giuseppe Cavaliere, Luca De Angelis, Anders Rahbek and A.M.Robert Taylor

August 17, 2016

Summary of Contents

This Supplement contains the Tables of results from the Monte Carlo experiments detailed in Sections 3 and 5 of our paper “Determining the Cointegration Rank in Heteroskedastic VAR Models of Unknown Order”.

In particular, the results reported in Table 1 relate to the impact that the value of the lag length used has on the ability of information-based and PLR based procedures to determine the cointegration rank by reporting the frequencies with which the wild bootstrap PLR based procedure and a BIC-based procedure, which minimises the quantity $BIC(k, r)$ defined in (3.5) over $r = 0, 1, \dots, p$, select the true cointegration rank, in each case fixing the lag length used at each of $k = 1, \dots, 6$. The results in Table 2 relate to the behaviour of the information criteria $IC(k, p)$ defined in (3.10) in determining the autoregressive lag length k . The results in Tables 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 relate to cointegration rank determination for the methods considered in section 3 of the paper for the cases of no cointegration ($r_0 = 0$) and GJR-GARCH innovations (Case A), Autoregressive Stochastic Volatility (Case B) and a single volatility break (Case C), respectively. Finally, the results in Tables 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 relate to cointegration rank determination for these methods for the case of a single cointegration vector ($r_0 = 1$) in Cases A, B and C, respectively.

In all of the tables, the frequencies with which the various procedures select the true cointegration rank or true lag length are highlighted in bold text.

TABLE 1: Cointegration rank determination for different values of lag length k . VAR(2) model, i.i.d. Gaussian errors.

		BIC(k, r)							$Q_{r,k,T}^*$					
	T	γ	$k = 1$	2	3	4	5	6	$k = 1$	2	3	4	5	6
$r_0 = 0$	100	0.2	97.7	98.9	98.5	97.7	96.7	94.9	89.8	95.7	95.8	95.9	95.9	96.0
		0.5	62.5	98.5	97.9	96.9	94.7	91.8	42.8	95.6	95.8	95.8	95.8	95.7
		0.8	1.4	96.0	93.8	90.6	85.8	80.0	0.9	95.1	94.4	94.7	94.2	94.5
	400	0.2	99.9	100	100	100	100	100	89.6	95.2	95.0	95.2	95.2	95.4
		0.5	86.4	100	100	100	100	100	40.8	95.2	95.3	95.4	95.5	95.2
		0.8	5.9	100	100	100	99.9	99.9	0.9	95.2	95.4	95.4	95.2	95.2
$r_0 = 1$	100	0.2	8.5	26.7	14.6	11.0	9.2	9.6	28.5	40.0	23.1	15.4	10.7	8.0
		0.5	22.2	76.1	43.4	26.5	18.4	16.2	42.2	73.2	43.4	25.3	15.8	10.8
		0.8	43.3	95.5	89.4	66.2	43.2	33.6	44.2	94.2	78.5	48.1	26.7	16.0
	400	0.2	99.8	99.9	100	99.4	94.5	82.2	91.5	95.3	95.4	95.4	95.5	94.7
		0.5	89.2	100	100	100	99.8	97.9	58.0	95.5	95.6	95.5	95.5	95.4
		0.8	18.5	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.9	7.4	95.5	95.4	95.5	95.5	95.4
$r_0 = 2$	100	0.2	3.2	21.0	6.3	2.6	1.3	1.1	25.7	40.6	19.4	10.2	5.1	2.6
		0.5	2.2	78.7	36.1	13.7	5.7	3.3	18.6	77.9	43.8	21.8	9.6	4.7
		0.8	10.1	94.9	89.2	60.2	27.4	14.2	23.9	94.3	82.3	49.7	21.4	9.7
	400	0.2	99.3	99.8	99.8	99.7	99.2	94.3	93.1	95.3	95.6	95.5	95.5	95.2
		0.5	90.6	99.8	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.6	73.4	95.5	95.5	95.3	95.3	95.3
		0.8	40.0	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.7	99.6	26.0	95.4	95.4	95.1	95.3	95.2

TABLE 2: Sequential determination of lag length using $IC(k, p)$: \hat{k}_{IC} . VAR(2) model with rank $r_0 = 1$.

		HQC(k, p)			BIC(k, p)			HQC(k, p)			BIC(k, p)			HQC(k, p)			BIC(k, p)		
		GJR-GARCH errors [Case A]						Autoregressive Stochastic Volatility [Case B]						Single Volatility Break [Case C]					
γ	T	$k = 1$	2	3,4,5,6	$k = 1$	2	3,4,5,6	$k = 1$	2	3,4,5,6	$k = 1$	2	3,4,5,6	$k = 1$	2	3,4,5,6	$k = 1$	2	3,4,5,6
0.0	50	99.2	0.6	0.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	89.9	4.6	5.5	99.0	0.8	0.3	35.6	9.9	54.5	95.4	3.7	0.9
	100	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	93.7	4.6	1.7	99.5	0.5	0.0	80.9	10.5	8.7	99.6	0.4	0.0
	200	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	90.4	7.2	2.4	98.9	1.0	0.1	92.7	5.6	1.6	100.0	0.1	0.0
	400	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	83.2	11.5	5.3	97.5	2.2	0.2	96.3	3.3	0.4	100.0	0.0	0.0
0.3	50	76.2	22.7	1.1	98.4	1.7	0.0	57.6	31.6	10.8	90.6	8.8	0.6	16.4	18.5	65.1	78.5	18.7	2.8
	100	39.1	60.9	0.0	89.6	10.4	0.0	32.3	63.2	4.5	74.2	25.6	0.2	17.6	60.7	21.7	68.5	31.2	0.3
	200	2.0	98.0	0.0	47.9	52.1	0.0	6.4	87.3	6.2	35.9	63.6	0.4	1.8	89.4	8.8	28.3	71.6	0.1
	400	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.7	99.3	0.0	0.4	88.4	11.3	5.7	93.2	1.1	0.0	96.0	4.0	0.6	99.4	0.0
0.5	50	11.2	86.3	2.5	53.2	46.5	0.3	11.1	73.0	15.9	44.5	54.3	1.2	2.8	24.5	72.7	36.3	56.6	7.1
	100	0.0	100.0	0.0	1.3	98.7	0.0	0.5	93.8	5.7	6.5	93.1	0.4	0.1	75.8	24.1	4.0	95.2	0.8
	200	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	93.4	6.6	0.1	99.3	0.6	0.0	91.0	9.0	0.0	99.9	0.1
	400	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	88.0	12.0	0.0	98.8	1.2	0.0	95.9	4.1	0.0	100.0	0.0
0.9	50	0.0	95.9	4.1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	76.5	23.5	0.1	97.8	2.1	0.0	17.3	82.7	0.0	86.2	13.8
	100	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	92.9	7.1	0.0	99.4	0.6	0.0	73.9	26.1	0.0	99.1	0.9
	200	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	91.5	8.5	0.0	99.1	0.9	0.0	90.6	9.4	0.0	99.9	0.1
	400	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	84.6	15.4	0.0	98.1	1.9	0.0	95.8	4.2	0.0	100.0	0.0

TABLE 3.1: Determination of cointegration rank. VAR(2) model with rank $r_0 = 0$, GJR-GARCH errors [Case A]

		HQC(k, r)			BIC(k, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{BIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{HQC}, r)				
γ	T	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4		
4	0.0	50	61.8	29.3	9.0	95.4	4.6	0.0	50.6	25.1	24.2	61.8	29.2	8.9	80.9	11.7	7.4	95.4	4.6	0.0	
		100	81.3	15.9	2.7	99.4	0.6	0.0	75.3	20.8	4.0	78.4	17.6	4.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		200	93.1	4.8	2.2	98.9	1.1	0.0	85.9	12.5	1.6	86.9	11.6	1.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		400	92.7	6.8	0.4	99.7	0.3	0.0	92.6	7.0	0.4	92.7	6.9	0.4	99.7	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	
	0.3	50	33.6	39.8	26.6	80.5	15.2	4.3	19.8	25.2	55.0	34.6	41.5	23.9	56.3	22.9	20.8	77.9	16.9	5.2	
		100	65.1	27.2	7.8	95.7	3.7	0.6	66.4	26.0	7.6	53.0	35.6	11.4	97.2	2.2	0.6	96.6	2.9	0.6	
		200	80.7	15.9	3.4	97.7	2.3	0.0	85.3	12.5	2.2	77.0	18.6	4.5	99.7	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	
		400	92.0	7.1	0.9	99.7	0.3	0.0	91.9	7.2	0.9	92.1	7.1	0.9	99.7	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	
	0.5	50	28.4	35.0	36.5	64.2	27.4	8.4	14.0	19.1	66.9	11.4	35.8	52.8	45.5	21.0	33.5	70.3	20.8	8.9	
		100	69.6	25.8	4.7	97.8	1.9	0.3	65.0	28.0	7.1	67.1	26.2	6.8	96.9	2.8	0.3	98.4	1.3	0.3	
		200	84.7	12.8	2.5	99.7	0.3	0.0	84.0	13.4	2.6	84.7	12.8	2.6	99.4	0.6	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	
		400	91.5	7.4	1.1	99.7	0.3	0.0	91.6	7.2	1.2	91.5	7.4	1.1	99.7	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	
	0.9	50	3.6	8.5	88.0	56.8	24.9	18.3	0.6	3.2	96.2	3.6	9.2	87.2	16.3	11.0	72.6	51.4	23.0	25.6	
		100	28.5	31.6	39.9	92.8	5.3	1.9	22.1	28.8	49.1	26.7	29.8	43.5	87.1	9.2	3.7	93.2	6.2	0.6	
		200	59.6	29.9	10.5	99.3	0.7	0.0	58.0	31.5	10.6	59.6	29.9	10.5	98.5	1.6	0.0	99.4	0.6	0.0	
		400	81.9	16.7	1.5	99.9	0.1	0.0	81.6	16.7	1.7	81.8	16.7	1.5	99.9	0.1	0.0	99.9	0.1	0.0	
			HQC(1, r)			BIC(1, r)			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{AIC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{HQC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{BIC}, T}^*$						
	4	0.0	50	59.9	31.8	8.2	96.3	3.7	0.0	92.1	6.5	1.4	96.3	3.4	0.3	96.2	3.5	0.3			
			100	80.3	17.4	2.3	99.0	1.0	0.0	94.2	5.4	0.4	94.7	4.7	0.7	94.5	4.9	0.6			
			200	86.0	13.4	0.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	95.2	4.8	0.0	95.2	4.8	0.0	95.2	4.8	0.0			
400			92.9	6.5	0.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	94.0	5.2	0.8	94.2	5.1	0.7	94.1	5.1	0.8				
0.3		50	36.4	42.3	21.4	82.7	15.8	1.5	84.6	14.0	1.4	82.1	15.6	2.3	81.7	15.8	2.5				
		100	55.4	35.6	9.0	92.1	7.5	0.4	93.0	6.4	0.7	88.1	11.0	1.0	83.2	15.0	1.8				
		200	64.1	32.8	3.1	96.0	4.1	0.0	94.7	4.6	0.7	94.6	4.7	0.8	86.7	12.0	1.3				
		400	73.6	21.8	4.6	98.6	1.4	0.0	93.6	5.3	1.2	93.7	5.2	1.1	93.6	5.3	1.1				
0.5		50	11.4	32.0	56.6	44.8	40.4	14.8	86.6	11.8	1.6	79.2	16.3	4.5	54.5	34.7	10.7				
		100	20.0	41.8	38.2	62.7	32.3	5.0	95.2	4.4	0.4	96.8	2.9	0.4	93.6	5.4	0.9				
		200	29.8	44.9	25.3	70.3	29.0	0.6	93.5	6.4	0.0	93.4	6.5	0.0	93.5	6.4	0.0				
		400	34.0	43.8	22.2	85.4	13.6	1.0	93.8	5.1	1.1	93.9	5.0	1.1	93.9	4.9	1.2				
0.9		50	0.0	0.5	99.5	0.0	1.7	98.3	81.1	15.6	3.3	87.5	10.1	2.4	92.4	6.0	1.6				
		100	0.0	0.8	99.3	0.0	2.2	97.8	90.5	6.7	2.8	93.7	4.6	1.7	93.7	4.5	1.8				
		200	0.0	0.6	99.4	0.0	3.5	96.5	93.8	4.9	1.3	94.8	4.0	1.3	94.5	4.2	1.3				
		400	0.0	1.8	98.2	0.0	3.7	96.3	94.6	4.5	0.9	95.0	4.1	0.9	94.9	4.3	0.9				

IC(k, r) denotes the joint IC-based procedure for determining k and r ; IC(\hat{k}_{IC}, r) denotes the sequential IC-based procedure for determining k in the first step and r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained in the first step; IC(1, r) denotes the semi-parametric IC-based approach by Cheng and Phillips (2009, 2012) for determining r by fixing $k = 1$; $Q_{r, \hat{k}_{IC}, T}^*$ denotes the sequential wild bootstrap PLR test-based procedure for determining r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained by an IC-based procedure in the first step.

TABLE 3.2: Determination of cointegration rank. VAR(2) model with rank $r_0 = 0$, Autoregressive Stochastic Volatility [Case B]

		HQC(k, r)			BIC(k, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{BIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{HQC}, r)			
γ	T	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	
0.0	50	45.5	34.5	20.0	83.4	14.6	2.0	26.8	25.3	47.9	46.6	35.6	17.9	54.1	24.7	21.3	78.5	16.1	5.3	
	100	60.1	29.4	10.6	91.2	8.2	0.7	52.4	32.1	15.6	60.4	29.1	10.5	85.9	12.3	1.8	90.2	8.9	0.9	
	200	68.0	25.8	6.2	94.5	5.3	0.2	64.7	27.9	7.4	67.5	26.1	6.4	93.7	6.1	0.3	94.0	5.8	0.2	
	400	75.6	21.0	3.5	97.1	2.9	0.1	75.3	21.1	3.6	74.6	22.0	3.4	97.4	2.6	0.1	97.0	2.9	0.0	
	0.3	50	29.9	37.1	33.0	69.9	24.5	5.6	13.2	22.0	64.7	31.1	39.2	29.7	38.3	29.2	32.6	62.7	25.5	11.8
		100	51.4	34.6	13.9	84.6	13.8	1.7	45.2	35.6	19.2	46.2	37.2	16.6	83.6	14.2	2.2	85.6	12.7	1.7
		200	65.9	27.6	6.6	93.4	6.3	0.3	63.0	29.1	7.9	61.7	30.3	8.0	94.0	5.8	0.3	94.2	5.6	0.2
		400	76.4	20.2	3.5	97.3	2.6	0.0	76.2	20.3	3.5	75.1	21.3	3.6	97.6	2.4	0.0	97.5	2.5	0.0
	0.5	50	20.3	33.5	46.3	56.8	31.1	12.1	8.7	15.7	75.6	13.9	34.8	51.3	30.0	25.6	44.4	54.1	27.0	19.0
		100	49.9	34.8	15.3	87.2	11.4	1.4	42.0	35.8	22.2	47.0	34.9	18.1	82.3	15.1	2.6	86.8	11.6	1.6
		200	65.3	27.9	6.8	94.9	5.0	0.2	61.8	29.8	8.5	65.0	28.1	6.8	93.6	6.1	0.3	94.5	5.3	0.2
		400	76.4	20.1	3.4	97.4	2.6	0.0	76.0	20.4	3.6	75.8	20.6	3.6	97.6	2.4	0.0	97.5	2.5	0.0
	0.9	50	2.9	8.9	88.2	42.8	28.7	28.5	0.7	2.2	97.1	3.5	11.1	85.4	9.1	9.2	81.7	32.5	22.8	44.8
		100	20.3	31.0	48.7	75.7	19.6	4.7	14.3	25.8	59.9	20.6	31.5	47.9	63.4	25.1	11.5	73.6	20.4	6.0
		200	47.6	34.8	17.5	90.7	8.6	0.7	42.7	35.8	21.4	47.7	35.0	17.4	88.6	10.5	0.9	90.4	8.9	0.8
		400	69.6	25.2	5.2	96.8	3.2	0.0	68.5	25.6	5.9	69.0	25.7	5.3	97.0	2.9	0.1	96.9	3.1	0.0
		HQC(1, r)			BIC(1, r)			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{AIC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{HQC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{BIC}, T}^*$						
0.0	50	46.8	35.7	17.5	83.6	14.6	1.9	86.2	11.8	2.0	91.6	7.1	1.4	94.8	4.5	0.7				
	100	60.4	29.1	10.5	91.1	8.2	0.7	89.7	8.9	1.4	93.7	5.4	0.8	94.8	4.6	0.6				
	200	67.5	26.0	6.5	94.4	5.4	0.2	91.6	7.5	0.9	94.0	5.4	0.7	94.5	4.9	0.6				
	400	74.6	22.0	3.4	97.0	3.0	0.1	93.4	5.9	0.8	94.2	5.1	0.7	94.6	4.8	0.6				
0.3	50	32.9	39.7	27.5	69.6	24.8	5.6	83.0	14.8	2.2	81.9	15.4	2.7	84.3	13.5	2.2				
	100	47.0	36.5	16.6	81.5	16.3	2.1	88.7	9.7	1.5	89.2	9.3	1.5	86.4	11.9	1.7				
	200	56.6	33.1	10.3	88.3	10.8	1.0	91.8	7.2	1.0	93.2	6.0	0.8	91.0	8.0	0.9				
	400	64.5	29.4	6.1	93.1	6.7	0.2	93.6	5.7	0.7	94.3	5.2	0.6	94.1	5.4	0.5				
0.5	50	12.3	35.8	51.9	39.8	39.9	20.3	83.4	14.0	2.6	78.6	16.8	4.6	68.3	24.2	7.5				
	100	21.4	40.4	38.3	55.3	33.8	11.0	89.3	9.1	1.7	92.7	6.2	1.2	89.9	8.1	2.0				
	200	28.7	43.1	28.2	67.0	27.2	5.8	91.9	7.1	1.0	94.0	5.2	0.8	94.4	4.9	0.7				
	400	35.3	43.4	21.3	76.4	21.0	2.6	93.4	6.0	0.7	94.2	5.2	0.6	94.2	5.3	0.5				
0.9	50	0.0	0.5	99.5	0.0	2.4	97.6	77.9	18.3	3.8	77.6	17.5	4.9	87.4	8.9	3.7				
	100	0.0	0.9	99.1	0.1	3.2	96.8	83.9	13.7	2.4	89.7	8.7	1.6	91.5	7.2	1.3				
	200	0.0	1.2	98.8	0.1	4.9	94.9	90.0	8.6	1.4	92.3	6.6	1.1	92.9	6.1	1.0				
	400	0.0	1.7	98.3	0.4	7.6	92.1	93.6	5.8	0.6	94.2	5.3	0.5	94.3	5.2	0.5				

$IC(k, r)$ denotes the joint IC-based procedure for determining k and r ; $IC(\hat{k}_{IC}, r)$ denotes the sequential IC-based procedure for determining k in the first step and r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained in the first step; $IC(1, r)$ denotes the semi-parametric IC-based approach by Cheng and Phillips (2009, 2012) for determining r by fixing $k = 1$; $Q_{r, \hat{k}_{IC}, T}^*$ denotes the sequential wild bootstrap PLR test-based procedure for determining r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained by an IC-based procedure in the first step.

TABLE 3.3: Determination of cointegration rank. VAR(2) model with rank $r_0 = 0$, Single Volatility Break [Case C]

		HQC(k, r)			BIC(k, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{BIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{HQC}, r)				
γ	T	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4		
0	0.0	50	14.4	26.9	58.7	76.1	20.4	3.6	1.2	12.0	86.8	26.9	39.2	33.9	16.6	40.9	42.5	33.3	33.6	33.1	
		100	41.5	39.6	19.0	90.3	9.1	0.6	17.6	39.8	42.5	43.2	39.7	17.1	68.9	26.5	4.5	84.6	13.5	1.9	
		200	56.4	33.0	10.6	96.5	3.4	0.1	41.5	39.3	19.2	57.0	32.6	10.4	91.0	8.6	0.4	95.9	4.0	0.2	
		400	66.8	27.1	6.1	98.8	1.2	0.0	58.3	32.4	9.4	67.0	26.9	6.1	97.8	2.1	0.1	98.7	1.3	0.0	
		0.3	50	6.8	21.7	71.5	52.3	36.5	11.2	0.6	8.2	91.2	13.6	38.0	48.4	11.4	36.6	52.0	19.0	36.5	44.5
		100	30.3	42.0	27.7	73.2	23.5	3.3	15.5	39.2	45.3	25.0	45.5	29.5	65.8	28.7	5.5	72.6	23.1	4.4	
		200	51.9	34.7	13.4	92.0	7.4	0.6	39.5	40.1	20.4	44.8	38.0	17.2	91.3	8.1	0.6	94.3	5.4	0.4	
		400	65.0	28.1	6.9	98.6	1.4	0.0	57.7	32.8	9.5	64.7	28.4	6.9	97.9	2.0	0.1	98.5	1.5	0.0	
		0.5	50	3.5	15.0	81.5	40.0	40.0	20.0	0.2	4.6	95.1	5.3	27.6	67.1	7.6	30.4	62.1	14.6	30.7	54.7
		100	29.7	40.4	29.9	83.0	15.0	2.1	13.7	36.9	49.4	29.7	40.8	29.5	63.2	30.4	6.4	76.1	20.0	3.8	
		200	50.0	35.8	14.3	95.3	4.5	0.2	37.4	40.6	22.0	50.3	35.7	14.0	90.1	9.2	0.7	94.2	5.5	0.3	
		400	63.6	29.1	7.3	98.5	1.5	0.0	56.6	33.4	10.0	63.6	29.2	7.3	97.7	2.3	0.1	98.4	1.6	0.0	
		0.9	50	0.2	2.0	97.8	29.3	33.8	36.9	0.0	0.1	99.9	1.5	9.2	89.3	0.6	5.3	94.1	3.7	9.8	86.5
		100	12.0	30.8	57.3	70.0	24.5	5.5	3.0	16.9	80.1	13.8	33.4	52.8	39.2	39.2	21.6	58.1	30.2	11.7	
		200	32.7	40.2	27.1	90.4	9.0	0.6	22.2	39.4	38.4	33.2	40.3	26.5	81.8	16.0	2.2	89.0	10.0	1.0	
		400	51.5	35.5	13.0	97.5	2.5	0.1	44.6	39.0	16.4	51.5	35.5	13.0	95.5	4.3	0.2	97.2	2.7	0.1	
		HQC(1, r)			BIC(1, r)			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{AIC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{HQC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{BIC}, T}^*$							
0	0.0	50	28.5	40.1	31.4	76.5	20.1	3.3	82.2	15.8	2.0	72.1	23.6	4.2	91.2	7.1	1.7				
		100	43.4	39.6	17.0	90.3	9.1	0.6	74.9	21.4	3.7	88.0	9.9	2.1	93.6	5.5	0.9				
		200	57.0	32.6	10.4	96.5	3.4	0.1	84.8	13.2	2.1	92.9	6.4	0.7	93.8	5.6	0.6				
		400	67.0	26.9	6.1	98.8	1.2	0.0	90.9	7.8	1.2	94.5	4.9	0.6	94.7	4.7	0.6				
		0.3	50	16.9	41.2	42.0	52.8	36.2	11.0	82.7	15.3	2.0	67.4	27.4	5.3	72.7	22.7	4.6			
		100	25.4	46.0	28.6	67.0	28.5	4.5	75.4	20.9	3.8	79.0	17.7	3.3	76.9	20.2	3.0				
		200	33.8	44.4	21.8	77.7	20.2	2.1	85.1	12.8	2.1	91.1	7.7	1.2	85.4	12.7	1.9				
		400	39.8	43.4	16.8	85.2	13.7	1.0	91.1	7.7	1.3	94.0	5.4	0.7	94.1	5.3	0.6				
		0.5	50	5.9	31.6	62.5	24.3	46.1	29.6	82.7	15.2	2.1	68.7	26.2	5.1	57.4	32.3	10.4			
		100	8.7	39.1	52.2	32.9	47.2	19.9	76.0	20.6	3.4	84.2	13.2	2.6	86.5	10.5	3.0				
		200	11.9	41.5	46.7	42.6	43.4	14.0	85.1	12.8	2.1	91.8	7.1	1.0	93.1	6.1	0.8				
		400	14.0	44.7	41.3	51.7	38.7	9.6	90.7	8.1	1.3	94.0	5.4	0.7	94.2	5.2	0.6				
		0.9	50	0.0	0.8	99.2	0.0	3.5	96.5	75.7	20.5	3.7	62.0	31.1	6.9	72.3	19.8	7.9			
		100	0.0	0.9	99.1	0.0	3.4	96.5	72.5	23.6	3.9	79.7	17.3	3.0	89.3	9.2	1.5				
		200	0.0	1.0	99.0	0.0	3.8	96.2	84.9	12.8	2.4	91.1	7.8	1.1	92.7	6.4	0.9				
		400	0.0	1.2	98.8	0.1	5.2	94.7	90.3	8.4	1.3	93.9	5.4	0.7	94.2	5.1	0.6				

$IC(k, r)$ denotes the joint IC-based procedure for determining k and r ; $IC(\hat{k}_{IC}, r)$ denotes the sequential IC-based procedure for determining k in the first step and r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained in the first step; $IC(1, r)$ denotes the semi-parametric IC-based approach by Cheng and Phillips (2009, 2012) for determining r by fixing $k = 1$; $Q_{r, \hat{k}_{IC}, T}^*$ denotes the sequential wild bootstrap PLR test-based procedure for determining r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained by an IC-based procedure in the first step.

TABLE 4.1: Determination of cointegration rank. VAR(2) model with rank $r_0 = 1$, GJR-GARCH errors [Case A]

		HQC(k, r)			BIC(k, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{BIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{HQC}, r)			
γ	T	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	
0.0	50	37.5	44.4	18.2	89.4	10.3	0.3	30.1	39.1	30.8	37.2	44.6	18.3	78.4	13.9	7.7	88.8	10.7	0.6	
	100	10.7	74.0	15.3	78.1	21.3	0.6	14.4	68.9	16.7	15.2	69.7	15.1	73.7	25.5	0.8	76.0	23.2	0.8	
	200	0.0	86.3	13.7	8.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	86.3	13.7	0.0	86.3	13.7	9.3	90.7	0.0	8.3	91.7	0.0	
	400	0.0	90.3	9.7	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	90.3	9.7	0.0	90.4	9.6	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3	
	0.3	50	37.7	36.3	25.9	85.7	12.4	1.9	15.1	31.1	53.8	42.1	36.9	21.0	51.9	28.4	19.7	76.2	20.5	3.3
		100	15.8	66.7	17.6	86.3	13.3	0.4	6.2	73.8	20.1	24.4	52.5	23.1	56.8	42.3	0.9	63.5	35.7	0.9
		200	0.0	86.2	13.8	27.8	71.3	1.0	0.0	86.2	13.9	0.0	79.3	20.7	5.7	94.0	0.3	5.4	93.9	0.7
		400	0.0	90.9	9.1	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	91.0	9.0	0.0	91.0	9.0	0.1	99.6	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3
	0.5	50	10.7	38.3	51.1	56.9	36.1	7.1	4.6	27.1	68.3	11.7	39.2	49.0	36.5	37.7	25.8	52.6	37.0	10.4
		100	0.6	77.2	22.2	25.5	71.8	2.8	0.6	75.9	23.5	0.7	76.2	23.1	23.2	73.8	3.1	23.1	74.1	2.8
		200	0.0	85.2	14.8	0.0	98.9	1.1	0.0	84.7	15.3	0.0	84.4	15.6	0.3	99.1	0.6	0.0	99.7	0.3
		400	0.0	90.7	9.3	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	90.5	9.5	0.0	90.6	9.4	0.1	99.6	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3
	0.9	50	0.3	8.9	90.8	1.7	56.6	41.6	0.3	2.5	97.3	0.3	8.8	91.0	0.8	25.9	73.2	1.4	54.5	44.1
		100	0.0	41.4	58.6	0.0	89.8	10.2	0.0	39.3	60.8	0.0	41.4	58.6	0.0	88.1	11.9	0.0	89.9	10.1
		200	0.0	72.8	27.2	0.0	97.7	2.3	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.0	72.7	27.3	0.0	97.7	2.3	0.0	97.7	2.3
		400	0.0	84.9	15.1	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	84.5	15.5	0.0	85.0	15.0	0.0	99.7	0.3	0.0	99.7	0.3
		HQC(1, r)			BIC(1, r)			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{AIC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{HQC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{BIC}, T}^*$						
0.0	50	40.3	39.8	19.9	90.1	9.4	0.5	87.7	11.1	1.3	90.3	8.8	1.0	90.8	8.6	0.6				
	100	12.4	70.8	16.8	76.7	23.0	0.3	55.9	40.7	3.4	56.6	40.2	3.2	56.8	40.1	3.1				
	200	0.0	84.7	15.3	9.9	90.1	0.0	2.2	91.7	6.1	2.1	91.9	6.0	2.1	92.0	5.9				
	400	0.0	90.2	9.8	0.0	99.9	0.1	0.0	94.4	5.6	0.0	94.6	5.4	0.0	94.6	5.4				
0.3	50	37.6	43.2	19.2	86.0	12.3	1.7	83.4	15.5	1.2	83.7	14.7	1.6	86.4	12.1	1.5				
	100	28.4	49.3	22.4	88.8	10.4	0.9	51.1	44.6	4.3	53.0	42.9	4.1	64.9	29.8	5.4				
	200	0.6	73.9	25.6	49.4	49.4	1.2	1.1	93.7	5.2	0.8	94.0	5.3	5.6	83.6	10.8				
	400	0.0	76.0	24.0	0.0	97.9	2.1	0.1	95.0	4.9	0.0	94.8	5.2	0.0	94.7	5.3				
0.5	50	20.6	46.3	33.2	59.1	33.6	7.3	82.2	17.0	0.9	76.0	21.5	2.5	66.3	29.6	4.2				
	100	23.2	39.0	37.8	72.5	24.4	3.1	26.9	68.7	4.4	26.9	69.3	3.8	26.9	68.9	4.2				
	200	0.6	50.8	48.6	64.9	27.0	8.1	0.3	94.3	5.4	0.0	95.2	4.8	0.0	95.2	4.8				
	400	0.0	46.8	53.2	0.0	86.1	13.9	0.0	94.7	5.3	0.0	94.5	5.5	0.0	94.6	5.5				
0.9	50	0.5	6.9	92.6	1.2	16.9	81.9	50.9	44.4	4.7	17.4	77.0	5.6	17.0	78.2	4.8				
	100	0.2	9.7	90.1	1.2	22.6	76.2	1.7	90.4	7.8	0.2	94.2	5.7	0.1	94.2	5.7				
	200	0.0	1.2	98.8	1.8	25.7	72.5	0.0	94.8	5.2	0.0	95.3	4.7	0.0	95.0	5.0				
	400	0.0	0.6	99.4	0.6	1.8	97.6	0.0	95.3	4.7	0.0	95.5	4.6	0.0	95.4	4.6				

IC(k, r) denotes the joint IC-based procedure for determining k and r ; IC(\hat{k}_{IC}, r) denotes the sequential IC-based procedure for determining k in the first step and r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained in the first step; IC(1, r) denotes the semi-parametric IC-based approach by Cheng and Phillips (2009, 2012) for determining r by fixing $k = 1$; $Q_{r, \hat{k}_{IC}, T}^*$ denotes the sequential wild bootstrap PLR test-based procedure for determining r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained by an IC-based procedure in the first step.

TABLE 4.2: Determination of cointegration rank. VAR(2) model with rank $r_0 = 1$, Autoregressive Stochastic Volatility [Case B]

		HQC(k, r)			BIC(k, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{BIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{HQC}, r)				
γ	T	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4		
∞	0.0	50	29.7	39.6	30.8	75.5	20.2	4.3	18.0	30.2	51.7	30.3	40.4	29.4	51.3	29.8	19.0	71.5	21.4	7.1	
		100	14.1	54.9	31.0	63.2	32.2	4.7	13.9	52.6	33.6	13.9	55.1	31.1	61.7	33.1	5.2	62.0	33.0	5.1	
		200	1.4	68.7	29.9	18.3	76.1	5.6	3.4	66.8	29.8	1.0	68.6	30.3	30.3	65.2	4.4	19.0	75.4	5.6	
		400	0.2	75.2	24.6	0.9	95.6	3.5	0.7	76.0	23.3	0.1	74.6	25.3	10.4	86.9	2.8	1.6	95.0	3.5	
		0.3	50	27.8	36.1	36.1	72.6	22.4	5.0	10.1	24.6	65.3	31.9	36.9	31.1	36.4	34.9	28.7	58.4	29.1	12.5
		100	13.9	51.4	34.7	70.4	25.0	4.7	8.6	52.6	38.8	20.6	45.8	33.7	50.1	42.8	7.1	52.4	40.7	6.9	
		200	0.8	69.5	29.8	22.9	71.3	5.9	1.9	67.4	30.8	0.9	67.2	31.9	20.7	74.4	4.9	11.8	82.5	5.7	
		400	0.1	77.0	22.9	0.6	96.1	3.3	0.2	77.2	22.5	0.1	76.1	23.8	4.8	92.4	2.8	1.0	95.9	3.2	
		0.5	50	8.5	35.2	56.4	47.7	39.2	13.1	3.5	19.4	77.1	10.3	36.5	53.2	24.2	36.8	39.0	38.5	40.5	21.0
		100	2.7	56.9	40.4	27.4	63.8	8.8	3.5	53.1	43.5	3.0	56.0	41.0	29.5	61.1	9.5	24.8	65.6	9.6	
		200	0.2	69.5	30.4	2.3	91.5	6.2	0.8	67.4	31.8	0.1	69.3	30.6	10.7	83.6	5.7	2.8	91.0	6.2	
		400	0.0	77.2	22.8	0.2	96.7	3.2	0.1	77.2	22.7	0.0	76.6	23.4	2.3	94.8	2.9	0.3	96.5	3.1	
		0.9	50	0.1	9.8	90.2	3.2	48.6	48.2	0.0	3.1	96.9	0.1	11.9	88.0	1.5	19.7	78.8	2.5	40.5	57.0
		100	0.0	35.0	65.0	0.3	78.7	21.0	0.1	29.9	70.0	0.0	35.3	64.6	3.9	71.0	25.2	0.4	77.7	21.9	
		200	0.0	58.8	41.2	0.0	91.0	9.0	0.1	56.0	43.9	0.0	58.7	41.3	2.0	88.4	9.5	0.1	90.9	9.0	
		400	0.0	74.6	25.4	0.0	96.3	3.7	0.1	74.5	25.5	0.0	74.3	25.8	0.3	96.2	3.5	0.0	96.3	3.7	
			HQC(1, r)			BIC(1, r)			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{AIC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{HQC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{BIC}, T}^*$						
	0.0	50	30.4	40.5	29.1	75.6	20.3	4.2	81.8	15.2	3.0	85.7	11.3	3.0	89.0	8.8	2.3				
		100	13.9	55.2	31.0	63.1	32.3	4.7	62.4	31.9	5.7	65.0	29.7	5.3	66.5	28.5	5.0				
		200	1.0	68.7	30.3	18.1	76.3	5.6	25.0	67.7	7.3	18.8	74.3	6.8	19.0	74.6	6.5				
	400	0.0	74.6	25.4	0.7	95.7	3.6	6.1	87.0	6.9	1.9	92.0	6.1	1.5	92.7	5.8					
0.3	50	34.9	37.8	27.4	73.4	22.1	4.5	79.7	17.2	3.2	79.6	16.9	3.5	84.5	12.9	2.5					
	100	26.9	43.0	30.1	76.9	19.1	4.0	55.7	37.9	6.4	57.1	36.3	6.5	66.6	27.6	5.8					
	200	2.7	63.3	34.0	45.5	47.7	6.8	17.9	74.7	7.4	12.6	80.5	6.9	18.4	73.3	8.3					
	400	0.1	69.6	30.3	2.3	91.1	6.6	3.1	90.1	6.8	1.0	93.1	5.9	0.7	93.3	5.9					
0.5	50	20.4	39.4	40.3	53.8	34.6	11.6	77.4	19.3	3.3	72.0	23.6	4.4	69.2	25.7	5.1					
	100	21.7	37.0	41.3	64.7	27.8	7.5	40.5	52.6	6.9	37.3	56.2	6.5	37.4	56.0	6.6					
	200	3.3	43.9	52.8	53.8	32.7	13.5	10.6	82.2	7.1	4.7	89.2	6.2	4.3	89.9	5.8					
	400	0.1	48.3	51.6	3.9	78.1	18.0	1.7	91.7	6.6	0.5	93.6	5.9	0.3	93.9	5.8					
0.9	50	0.1	8.2	91.7	0.9	17.7	81.3	60.9	32.9	6.2	28.3	61.7	10.0	26.2	64.8	8.9					
	100	0.3	9.7	90.0	1.6	23.6	74.7	12.3	77.8	9.9	2.8	88.9	8.2	2.3	90.3	7.4					
	200	0.1	1.1	98.8	2.7	25.5	71.8	3.5	88.9	7.6	0.3	93.4	6.2	0.1	93.9	6.0					
	400	0.0	0.6	99.4	1.4	2.3	96.3	0.5	93.3	6.1	0.1	94.1	5.8	0.0	94.2	5.7					

$IC(k, r)$ denotes the joint IC-based procedure for determining k and r ; $IC(\hat{k}_{IC}, r)$ denotes the sequential IC-based procedure for determining k in the first step and r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained in the first step; $IC(1, r)$ denotes the semi-parametric IC-based approach by Cheng and Phillips (2009, 2012) for determining r by fixing $k = 1$; $Q_{r, \hat{k}_{IC}, T}^*$ denotes the sequential wild bootstrap PLR test-based procedure for determining r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained by an IC-based procedure in the first step.

TABLE 4.3: Determination of cointegration rank. VAR(2) model with rank $r_0 = 1$, Single Volatility Break [Case C]

		HQC(k, r)			BIC(k, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			HQC(\hat{k}_{BIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{AIC}, r)			BIC(\hat{k}_{HQC}, r)		
γ	T	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4	$r = 0$	1	2,3,4
0	50	6.9	28.6	64.5	60.6	31.9	7.5	1.4	14.4	84.2	12.6	40.8	46.7	19.0	43.1	37.9	28.2	39.4	32.5
	100	4.8	54.1	41.1	46.8	48.9	4.4	8.3	39.0	52.7	4.3	55.4	40.2	53.8	38.6	7.7	44.2	49.6	6.2
	200	0.1	65.9	34.1	6.7	90.1	3.3	3.4	56.9	39.7	0.0	66.1	33.9	41.3	55.1	3.7	7.4	89.2	3.4
	400	0.0	73.1	26.9	0.0	98.5	1.5	0.1	68.4	31.5	0.0	73.2	26.8	9.4	88.8	1.8	0.0	98.5	1.5
	50	4.8	22.0	73.2	51.6	36.4	12.0	0.9	11.4	87.8	11.3	35.1	53.6	14.2	41.2	44.7	17.6	40.8	41.6
	100	3.7	48.7	47.6	49.1	43.7	7.2	5.8	36.6	57.6	5.9	46.2	47.9	46.3	43.4	10.3	31.1	58.4	10.6
	200	0.1	63.7	36.3	9.7	85.2	5.1	1.8	56.6	41.6	0.1	60.1	39.8	29.5	66.1	4.5	4.1	91.6	4.2
	400	0.0	72.0	28.0	0.0	98.3	1.7	0.0	67.8	32.2	0.0	71.8	28.2	3.8	94.2	2.1	0.0	98.3	1.7
	50	1.5	16.0	82.4	27.7	50.0	22.3	0.3	8.3	91.4	3.2	26.3	70.5	9.8	37.3	53.0	10.9	38.1	51.0
	100	0.9	47.3	51.9	15.5	74.4	10.1	3.9	34.9	61.1	0.5	48.7	50.8	37.1	49.7	13.2	14.8	72.1	13.2
	200	0.0	61.7	38.3	0.3	95.1	4.6	0.8	54.7	44.4	0.0	61.9	38.1	19.8	74.6	5.6	0.6	94.3	5.1
	400	0.0	71.1	28.9	0.0	98.3	1.7	0.0	67.0	33.0	0.0	71.2	28.8	1.4	96.4	2.3	0.0	98.3	1.7
	50	0.0	2.6	97.4	2.8	43.7	53.5	0.0	0.9	99.1	0.1	8.7	91.2	1.5	13.3	85.2	0.8	15.9	83.3
	100	0.0	27.8	72.2	0.1	77.8	22.1	0.3	17.7	82.1	0.0	30.2	69.8	13.3	55.5	31.2	0.6	71.2	28.3
	200	0.0	49.2	50.8	0.0	91.6	8.4	0.0	41.7	58.3	0.0	49.9	50.1	3.1	85.3	11.6	0.0	90.8	9.2
	400	0.0	63.2	36.8	0.0	97.1	2.9	0.0	58.4	41.6	0.0	63.3	36.7	0.0	96.2	3.8	0.0	97.0	3.0
		HQC(1, r)			BIC(1, r)			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{AIC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{HQC}, T}^*$			$Q_{r, \hat{k}_{BIC}, T}^*$					
0.0	50	13.3	42.0	44.7	61.1	31.6	7.3	81.4	16.3	2.3	66.8	27.4	5.8	84.4	12.4	3.2			
	100	4.4	55.5	40.1	46.7	49.0	4.3	58.7	33.3	8.0	59.2	34.2	6.6	64.9	30.7	4.4			
	200	0.0	66.2	33.8	6.6	90.1	3.3	34.2	56.8	9.0	11.6	82.2	6.2	11.6	82.6	5.8			
	400	0.0	73.2	26.8	0.0	98.5	1.5	4.9	87.6	7.5	0.0	94.4	5.6	0.0	94.6	5.5			
0.3	50	15.2	39.1	45.8	54.6	34.8	10.6	82.1	15.7	2.2	62.9	30.9	6.2	70.3	24.2	5.4			
	100	8.9	46.5	44.7	56.7	35.8	7.5	54.6	37.0	8.4	45.8	45.3	8.9	54.3	38.5	7.2			
	200	0.2	53.5	46.3	25.6	63.5	11.0	26.0	64.4	9.6	7.1	85.8	7.1	10.6	80.4	9.0			
	400	0.0	56.2	43.8	0.0	89.5	10.5	2.2	89.9	7.9	0.0	94.2	5.8	0.0	94.3	5.7			
0.5	50	8.5	34.0	57.4	32.8	44.8	22.5	82.2	15.5	2.3	62.2	31.2	6.6	53.9	36.8	9.4			
	100	6.5	35.4	58.1	38.5	43.9	17.6	49.1	41.8	9.2	31.1	59.6	9.3	33.8	58.7	7.4			
	200	0.4	33.1	66.5	26.5	48.7	24.8	17.6	72.5	9.9	1.2	91.7	7.1	1.0	92.6	6.4			
	400	0.0	32.2	67.8	0.2	68.2	31.6	0.8	91.1	8.1	0.0	94.1	5.9	0.0	94.2	5.8			
0.9	50	0.1	6.9	93.0	0.6	17.2	82.2	77.1	19.5	3.4	51.9	39.2	8.9	26.2	61.1	12.8			
	100	0.1	7.6	92.3	1.0	21.4	77.6	37.0	51.7	11.3	2.9	85.2	11.8	0.8	90.8	8.4			
	200	0.1	1.1	98.8	1.2	19.4	79.4	5.8	83.5	10.8	0.0	92.1	7.9	0.0	93.0	7.0			
	400	0.0	0.4	99.6	0.5	1.9	97.6	0.0	92.2	7.8	0.0	94.1	5.9	0.0	94.3	5.7			

IC(k, r) denotes the joint IC-based procedure for determining k and r ; IC(\hat{k}_{IC}, r) denotes the sequential IC-based procedure for determining k in the first step and r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained in the first step; IC(1, r) denotes the semi-parametric IC-based approach by Cheng and Phillips (2009, 2012) for determining r by fixing $k = 1$; $Q_{r, \hat{k}_{IC}, T}^*$ denotes the sequential wild bootstrap PLR test-based procedure for determining r in the second step based on the lag order $\hat{k}_{IC}(p)$ obtained by an IC-based procedure in the first step.