

Supplementary Material to "Estimation of Stochastic Volatility Models by Nonparametric Filtering"

SHIN KANAYA

UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS AND CREATES

DENNIS KRISTENSEN

UCL, IFS AND CREATES

We here provide proofs of some technical results, including Lemma D.1 and Theorem 7.1.

Proof of $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |B_t| = o_{a.s.}(T^{1/2} \log T)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ (Section 3.3). By the reflection principle of the BM (e.g., Section 2.6 of Karatzas and Shreve, 1991), we have $\Pr[\max_{t \leq T} B_t > \bar{C}] = 2 - 2\Phi(\bar{C}/\sqrt{T})$ for any $\bar{C} > 0$, and $\Pr[\min_{t \leq T} B_t < \underline{C}] = 2\Phi(\underline{C}/\sqrt{T})$ for any $\underline{C} < 0$, where Φ denotes the cumulative distribution function of the standard normal. Then, for any $\bar{c} > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \Pr \left[\max_{t \leq J} B_t > \bar{c} J^{1/2} (\log J) \right] = 2 \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \{1 - \Phi(\bar{c} \log J)\} \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\bar{c}(\log J)} \exp\{-\bar{c}^2 (\log J)^2 / 2\} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inequality $1 - \Phi(x) \leq (1/x) \exp\{-x^2/2\}$ (Problem 9.22, Ch. 2, Karatzas and Shreve, 1991). We can analogously show that for any $\underline{c} < 0$,

$$\sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \Pr \left[\min_{t \leq J} B_t < \underline{c} J^{1/2} \sqrt{\log J} \right] \leq 2 \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} \{1 - \Phi(|\underline{c}| \log J)\} < \infty.$$

These inequalities, together with the Borel-Cantelli lemma, imply that $\max_{t \leq J} |B_t| = o_{a.s.}(J^{1/2} \log J)$ for any integer $J \geq 1$. For any arbitrary real number $T \geq 1$, we set $J = \lceil T \rceil + 1$, where $\lceil T \rceil$ denotes the integer part of T , and then obtain

$$\max_{t \leq T} |B_t| \leq \max_{t \leq \lceil T \rceil + 1} |B_t| = o_{a.s.}((\lceil T \rceil + 1)^{1/2} \log(\lceil T \rceil + 1)) = o_{a.s.}(T^{1/2} \log T).$$

■

Lemma D.1. *Suppose that a stochastic process $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ satisfies the condition:*

$$E[|\Gamma_t - \Gamma_s|^a] \leq C |t - s|^{1+b}, \quad (0.1)$$

for some positive constants a, b and C each of which is independent of s and t . Then, there exists a continuous modification $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ of $\{\Gamma_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, which is a.s. Hölder continuous with exponent d for every $d \in (0, b/a)$ with a coefficient $\vartheta := \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} J^{2+d} (1/J!)^d$:

$$\Pr \left[\omega \in \Omega \left| \exists \bar{\Delta}(\omega) \text{ s.t. } \sup_{|t-s| \in (0, \bar{\Delta}(\omega)); s, t \in [0, \infty)} \frac{|\tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\Gamma}_s(\omega)|}{|t-s|^d} \leq \vartheta \right. \right] = 1. \quad (0.2)$$

Proof. The following arguments proceed along the lines of the proof of Theorem 2.2.8 in Karatzas and Shreve (1991) where s, t are supposed to take values in some finite interval $[0, T]$ ($T = \bar{T}$ fixed).

We first prove the global Hölder property of the process on an enlarging interval, i.e., $[0, T]$ where $T \rightarrow \infty$, and next show that it actually holds over the infinite interval $[0, \infty)$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\Pr [|\Gamma_t - \Gamma_s| \geq \varepsilon] \leq \frac{E [|\Gamma_t - \Gamma_s|^a]}{\varepsilon^a} \leq C\varepsilon^{-a} |t - s|^{1+b}$$

by Čebyšev's inequality, and thus $\Gamma_t \xrightarrow{P} \Gamma_s$ as $s \rightarrow t$. Setting $t = km/m!$, $s = (k-1)m/m!$ and $\varepsilon = (m/m!)^d$, we obtain $\Pr \left[|\Gamma_{km/m!} - \Gamma_{(k-1)m/m!}| \geq (m/m!)^d \right] \leq C(m/m!)^{(1+b-ad)}$ and consequently,

$$\Pr \left[\max_{1 \leq k \leq m!} |\Gamma_{km/m!} - \Gamma_{(k-1)m/m!}| \geq (m/m!)^d \right] \leq C m^{(1+b-ad)} / (m!)^{b-ad}.$$

By the fact that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(1+b-ad)} / (m!)^{b-ad}$ exists and the Borel-Cantelli lemma, there exists a set $\Omega^* \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $\Pr(\Omega^*) = 1$ such that

$$\forall \omega \in \Omega^*, \exists m^*(\omega), \forall m \geq m^*(\omega) : \max_{1 \leq k \leq m!} |\Gamma_{km/m!} - \Gamma_{(k-1)m/m!}| < (m/m!)^d \quad (0.3)$$

, where m^* is a positive and integer-valued random variable. For each integer $m (\geq 1)$ and any integer $l \geq m$, consider the following sets, $E_l^m := \{km/l! \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, l!\}$ and $E^m := \bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} E_l^m$. The set E_l^m consists of $(l! + 1)$ points in $[0, m]$, while E^m consists of infinitely many points in $[0, m]$. Note that E^m is dense in $[0, m]$ for any m . Now fix $\omega (\in \Omega^*)$ and $m (\geq m^*(\omega))$. We shall show that

$$\forall l > m, \forall t, s \in E_l^m \text{ with } |t - s| \in (0, m/m!) : |\Gamma_t(\omega) - \Gamma_s(\omega)| \leq 2 \sum_{J=m+1}^l J^2 [J + 1 / ((J + 1)!)]^d. \quad (0.4)$$

To show this, we use the inductive method. First, we prove that the claim is true for $l = m + 1$. For any $s, t \in E_{m+1}^m$ with $|t - s| \in (0, m/m!)$, there exist some $k_1, k_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, (m + 1)!\}$ with $0 \leq k_2 - k_1 \leq m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_t(\omega) - \Gamma_s(\omega)| &\leq \left| \Gamma_{k_1(m+1)/(m+1)!}(\omega) - \Gamma_{(k_1+1)(m+1)/(m+1)!}(\omega) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \Gamma_{(k_1+1)(m+1)/(m+1)!}(\omega) - \Gamma_{(k_1+2)(m+1)/(m+1)!}(\omega) \right| \\ &\quad + \cdots + \left| \Gamma_{(k_2-1)(m+1)/(m+1)!}(\omega) - \Gamma_{k_2(m+1)/(m+1)!}(\omega) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Each term on the right-hand side is bounded by $m[(m+2)/(m+2)!]^d$, which is implied by the fact $E_{m+1}^m \subset E_{m+2}^{m+2}$ and the inequality eq. (0.3). Thus, by the triangle inequalities, we have $|\Gamma_t(\omega) - \Gamma_s(\omega)| \leq m^2 [(m+2)/(m+2)!]^d$. Second, suppose that eq. (0.4) is valid for $l = m + 1, \dots, L - 1$. For $s < t$, ($s, t \in E_L^m$) with $|t - s| \in (0, m/m!)$, consider the numbers $s_1 := \min \{u \in E_{L-1}^m : u \geq s\}$ and $t_1 := \max \{u \in E_{L-1}^m : u \leq t\}$, and notice that $s_1, t_1 \in E_{L-1}^m \subset E_L^m \subset E_{L+1}^{L+1}$; $s, t \in E_L^m \subset E_{L+1}^{L+1}$; $s_1 - s < m / (L - 1)!$; and $t - t_1 < m / (L - 1)!$. By the inequality eq. (0.3) with $m = L + 1$, $|\Gamma_{s_1}(\omega) - \Gamma_s(\omega)| \leq mL((L+1)/(L+1)!)^d$ and $|\Gamma_t(\omega) - \Gamma_{t_1}(\omega)| \leq mL((L+1)/(L+1)!)^d$. There are two possible relationships among s, t, s_1 and t_1 : (i) if $|t - s| \geq m / (L - 1)!$, it holds that $s \leq s_1 \leq t_1 \leq t$ (with at least one inequality strict); (ii) if $|t - s| < m / (L - 1)!$, either of $|t - s| < |s_1 - t_1| = m / (L - 1)!$ or $|s_1 - t_1| = 0$. Thus, we

have $|t_1 - s_1| \leq \max\{m/(L-1)!, |t-s|\} \leq m/m!$, and use the induction assumption (0.4) with $l = L-1$:

$$|\Gamma_{t_1}(\omega) - \Gamma_{s_1}(\omega)| \leq 2 \sum_{J=m+1}^{L-1} J^2 \left[\frac{J+1}{(J+1)!} \right]^d. \quad (0.5)$$

Therefore,

$$|\Gamma_t(\omega) - \Gamma_s(\omega)| \leq 2mL((L+1)/(L+1)!)^d + 2 \sum_{J=m+1}^{L-1} J^2 \left[\frac{J+1}{(J+1)!} \right]^d < 2 \sum_{J=m+1}^L J^2 \left[\frac{J+1}{(J+1)!} \right]^d.$$

We have shown eq. (0.4) for any $l (> m)$, as desired.

We can now show that $\{\Gamma_t(\omega) \mid t \in E^m\}$ is uniformly Hölder in t for $\forall \omega \in \Omega^*$ for any m . Consider any numbers $s, t \in E^m$ with $m \geq m^* (= m^*(\omega))$ and $|t-s| < \bar{\Delta}(\omega) \equiv m^*/m^*$!. Note that $E^m \subseteq E^{m'}$ for $m \leq m'$. We can pick some $m' (\geq m)$ such that $s, t \in E^{m'}$ with $(m'+2)/(m'+2)! \leq t-s < (m'+1)/(m'+1)!$. Then, by eq. (0.4), we obtain

$$|\Gamma_t(\omega) - \Gamma_s(\omega)| \leq 2 \sum_{J=m'+1}^{\infty} J^2 \left[\frac{J+1}{(J+1)!} \right]^d \leq [(m'+2)/(m'+2)!]^d \times \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} J^{2+d} (1/J!)^d$$

and thus, $|\Gamma_t(\omega) - \Gamma_s(\omega)|/|t-s|^d \leq c_h$ where $\vartheta := \sum_{J=1}^{\infty} J^{2+d} (1/J!)^d$. Note that the existence of ϑ can be checked by d'Alembert's criterion for any $d (> 0)$.

We define $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ as follows. For $\omega \notin \Omega^*$, set $\tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) = 0$, $t \in [0, m]$. For $\omega \in \Omega^*$ and $t \in E^m$, set $\tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) = \Gamma_t(\omega)$. For $\omega \in \Omega^*$ and $t \in [0, m] \cap (E^m)^c$, choose a sequence $\{s_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with $s_n \in E^m \rightarrow t$; by the uniform continuity and the fact that s_n is Cauchy, $\{\Gamma_{s_n}(\omega)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is also Cauchy, whose limit depends of t but not on the particular sequence $\{s_n\}$; and thus let $\tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) = \lim_{s_n \rightarrow t} \Gamma_{s_n}(\omega)$. Thus, the resulting process $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_t\}_{t \in [0, m]}$ is continuous, and is also uniformly Hölder in $t \in [0, m]$. We will show $\{\tilde{\Gamma}_t\}$ is a modification of $\{\Gamma_t\}$: observe that for $t \in E^m$, $\tilde{\Gamma}_t = \Gamma_t$ a.s.; for $t \in [0, m] \cap (E^m)^c$ and $\{s_n\}$ with $s_n \in E^m \rightarrow t$, we have $\Gamma_{s_n} \rightarrow \Gamma_t$ in probability (by eq. (0.1)) and $\Gamma_{s_n} \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_t$ a.s., which implies $\tilde{\Gamma}_t = \Gamma_t$ a.s.

Let $m = [T] + 1$ with $[T]$ denoting the largest integer less than or equal to T . Now, we have proved that for any $\omega \in \Omega^*$, there exist some $m^*(\omega)$ and $\bar{\Delta}(\omega) (\equiv m^*/m^*$!) such that $\forall m \geq m^*(\omega)$

$$\sup_{\substack{|t-s| \in (0, \bar{\Delta}(\omega)) \\ t, s \in [0, T]}} \left| \tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\Gamma}_s(\omega) \right| / |t-s|^d \leq \sup_{\substack{|t-s| \in (0, \bar{\Delta}(\omega)) \\ t, s \in [0, m]}} \left| \tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\Gamma}_s(\omega) \right| / |t-s|^d \leq \vartheta,$$

which implies that $\Pr(\Omega_1) = 1$, where

$$\Omega_1 := \left\{ \exists \bar{\Delta}(\omega), \exists T^*, \forall T (\geq T^*), \sup_{|t-s| \in (0, \bar{\Delta}(\omega)); s, t \in [0, T]} \frac{|\tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\Gamma}_s(\omega)|}{|t-s|^d} \leq \vartheta \right\}. \quad (0.6)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1 &\subset \left\{ \exists \bar{\Delta}(\omega), \exists T^*, \sup_{|t-s| \in (0, \bar{\Delta}(\omega)); s, t \in [0, T^*]} \frac{|\tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\Gamma}_s(\omega)|}{|t-s|^d} \leq \vartheta \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \exists \bar{\Delta}(\omega), \exists T^*, \forall T (\leq T^*), \sup_{|t-s| \in (0, \bar{\Delta}(\omega)); s, t \in [0, T]} \frac{|\tilde{\Gamma}_t(\omega) - \tilde{\Gamma}_s(\omega)|}{|t-s|^d} \leq \vartheta \right\} =: \Omega_2. \end{aligned} \quad (0.7)$$

Since $\Pr(\Omega_1) = 1$, we then have $\Pr(\Omega_2) = 1$. For any events $E, F \in \mathfrak{F}$, we have the inequality: $\Pr(E \cap F) \geq \Pr(E) + \Pr(F) - 1$. With $E = \Omega_1$ and $F = \Omega_2$, we obtain $\Pr(\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2) = 1$, which, together with

$$\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega \left| \exists \bar{\Delta}(\omega), \forall T, \sup_{|t-s| \in (0, \bar{\Delta}(\omega)); s, t \in [0, T]} \frac{|\bar{\Gamma}_t(\omega) - \bar{\Gamma}_s(\omega)|}{|t-s|^d} \leq \vartheta \right. \right\},$$

implies the desired result, eq. (0.2). ■

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(j) & : = 2\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_1^*) \left[\alpha(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_1^*) \delta - (\sigma_{\tau_{j+1}}^2 - \sigma_{\tau_j}^2) \right]; \\ U_2(j) & : = 2\partial_{\theta_2} \beta^2(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_2^*) [\beta^2(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_2^*) \delta - (\sigma_{\tau_{j+1}}^2 - \sigma_{\tau_j}^2)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can then write

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_k(\theta_k^*, \sigma^2) & = T^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} U_k(j) \quad \text{and} \\ H_k^{*-1} E \left[\hat{S}_k(\theta_k^*, \sigma^2) \hat{S}_k(\theta_k^*, \sigma^2)^\star \right] H_k^{*-1} & = \mathcal{B}_{\theta_k} \mathcal{B}_{\theta_k}^\star + \mathcal{V}_{\theta_k} + \mathcal{C}_{\theta_k}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{\theta_k} & : = -H_k^{*-1} E \left[\hat{S}_k(\theta_k^*, \sigma^2) \right]; \\ \mathcal{V}_{\theta_k} & : = H_k^{*-1} \left(T^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} E \left[(U_k(j) - E[U_k(j)]) (U_k(j) - E[U_k(j)])^\star \right] \right) H_k^{*-1}; \\ \mathcal{C}_{\theta_k} & : = H_k^{*-1} \left(T^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq N-1} E \left[(U_k(i) - E[U_k(i)]) (U_k(j) - E[U_k(j)])^\star \right] \right) H_k^{*-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We below provide the proof for part (i) ($k = 1$) only. Part (ii) ($k = 2$) can be proved in the same way, and its proof is omitted. Let \mathcal{L} be the differential operator defined by $\mathcal{L}f(x) = f'(x)\alpha(x) + f''(x)\beta^2(x)/2$ for any twice differentiable function f . We first consider the expression of \mathcal{B}_{θ_1} :

$$\begin{aligned} E[U_k(j)] & = -\delta^2 E \left[\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_u^2; \theta_1^*) \mathcal{L} \alpha(\sigma_u^2) \right] + 2 \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \int_{\tau_j}^s \int_{\tau_j}^u E \left[\mathcal{L} \partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_v^2; \theta_1^*) \mathcal{L} \alpha(\sigma_u^2) \right] dv du ds \\ & = -\delta^2 E \left[\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_t^2; \theta_1^*) \mathcal{L} \alpha(\sigma_t^2) \right] [1 + O(\delta)], \end{aligned} \tag{0.8}$$

uniformly over j , where we have applied the martingale property of stochastic integrals and Ito's lemma to $\alpha(\sigma_s^2) - \alpha(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2)$ and $\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_u^2; \theta_1^*) - \partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_1^*)$, and the last equality holds since

$$E \left[\mathcal{L} \partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_v^2; \theta_1^*) \mathcal{L} \alpha(\sigma_u^2) \right] \leq \left\{ E \left[|\mathcal{L} \partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_v^2; \theta_1^*)|^2 \right] E \left[|\mathcal{L} \alpha(\sigma_u^2)|^2 \right] \right\}^{1/2} \leq E \left[|\psi(\sigma_t^2)|^4 \right] = O(1),$$

uniformly over any u and v , which follows from the moment conditions in Assumption C-SDR. Now, the above definition of \mathcal{B}_{θ_k} and eq. (0.8) implies eq. (7.3) of the main text. To find the

expression of \mathcal{V}_{θ_k} , first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left[U_1(j) U_1(j)^\star \right] &= 4E \left[\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_t^2; \theta_1^*) \partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_t^2; \theta_1^*)^\star \right. \\
&\times \left\{ \alpha^2(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2) \delta^2 - 2\alpha(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2) \delta \left(\int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \alpha(\sigma_s^2) ds + \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \beta(\sigma_s^2) dZ_s \right) \right. \\
&+ 2 \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \left(\int_{\tau_j}^s \alpha(\sigma_u^2) du + \int_{\tau_j}^s \beta(\sigma_u^2) dZ_u \right) \alpha(\sigma_s^2) ds \\
&\left. \left. + 2 \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \left(\int_{\tau_j}^s \alpha(\sigma_u^2) du + \int_{\tau_j}^s \beta(\sigma_u^2) dZ_u \right) \beta(\sigma_s^2) dZ_s + \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \beta^2(\sigma_s^2) ds \right\} \right] \\
&= \underbrace{\delta E \left[\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_t^2; \theta_1^*) \partial_{\theta_1} \alpha(\sigma_t^2; \theta_1^*)^\star \beta^2(\sigma_t^2) \right]}_{=\Omega_1^*} [1 + O(\delta)], \text{ uniformly over } j
\end{aligned}$$

where Ito's lemma is applied to $(\sigma_{\tau_{j+1}}^2 - \sigma_{\tau_j}^2)^2$ in the first equality; and the second equality follows from arguments similar to those in deriving eq. (0.8). By the definition of \mathcal{V}_{θ_k} and the result that $E[U_k(j)] = O(\delta^2)$ uniformly over j ,

$$\mathcal{V}_{\theta_k} = H_k^{*-1} \left(T^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} [\delta \Omega_1^* [1 + o(1)] - O(\delta^4)] \right) H_k^{*-1} = T^{-1} H_k^{*-1} \Omega_1^* H_k^{*-1} [1 + O(\delta)],$$

as claimed. To find the expression of \mathcal{C}_{θ_k} , we write

$$\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha \left(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_1^* \right) [\alpha(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_1^*) \delta - (\sigma_{\tau_{j+1}}^2 - \sigma_{\tau_j}^2)] =: \Upsilon_1(j) + \Upsilon_2(j),$$

where $\Upsilon_1(j) := -\partial_{\theta_1} \alpha \left(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_1^* \right) \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \int_{\tau_j}^s \mathcal{L} \alpha(\sigma_u^2) dud s$ and

$$\Upsilon_2(j) := \partial_{\theta_1} \alpha \left(\sigma_{\tau_j}^2; \theta_1^* \right) \left\{ \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \int_{\tau_j}^s \alpha'(\sigma_u^2) \beta(\sigma_u^2) dZ_u ds - \int_{\tau_j}^{\tau_{j+1}} \beta(\sigma_s^2) dZ_s \right\}.$$

Then, by the martingale property of stochastic integrals, Fubini's theorem and the conditions in (C-SDR), $E[\Upsilon_1(i) \Upsilon_2(j)^\star] = 0$ and $E[\Upsilon_2(i) \Upsilon_2(j)^\star] = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Given the moment conditions in (C-SDR), we can show that $E[\Upsilon_1(i) \Upsilon_1(j)^\star] = O(\delta^4)$ uniformly over any $i \neq j$, by using arguments analogous to those for \mathcal{B}_{θ_k} and \mathcal{V}_{θ_k} . This, together with eq. (0.8),

$$E \left[(U_k(i) - E[U_k(i)])(U_k(j) - E[U_k(j)])^\star \right] = E[\Upsilon_1(i) \Upsilon_1(j)^\star] - E[U_k(i)] E[U_k(j)]^\star = O(\delta^4),$$

which, together with the definition of \mathcal{C}_{θ_k} , implies that $\mathcal{C}_{\theta_k} = O(\delta^2)$. This completes the proof. ■

References

Karatzas, I. and S.E. Shreve (1991) *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Second edition, Springer.