**Supplementary Table: Comparison of criteria between EVIDEM and Advance Value Framework**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Advance Value Framework | EVIDEM Framework |
| Burden of disease * Unmet need & disease severity

Therapeutic impact* Direct/meaningful endpoints
* Indirect surrogate endpoints

Safety profile * Safety & tolerability
* Contraindications & warnings

Innovation level * Spill-over effect
* Mechanism of action
* Patient convenience

Socioeconomic impact * Public health
* Direct costs
* Indirect costs
 | Need for intervention* Disease severity
* Size affected population
* Unmet needs/current limitations

Comparative outcomes of interventions* Comparative efficacy/effectiveness
* Comparative safety/tolerability
* Comparative patient-perceived health/ PROs

Type of benefit of interventions* Preventive benefit
* Therapeutic benefit

Economic consequences of interventions* Comparative cost consequences-cost of intervention
* Comparative cost consequences-other medical costs
* Comparative cost consequences-other nonmedical costs

Knowledge about interventions:* Quality of evidence
* Expert consensus/clinical practice guidelines

Context-specific normative criteria* Alignment with mandate and scope of the healthcare system
* Alignment with common goals rather than special interests
* Environmental sustainability
* Population priorities (e.g. rare disease, other priorities)

Context-specific feasibility criteria* Affordability and opportunity costs
* System capacity and appropriate use
* Political, historical and cultural context
 |