HTA expert Interview Guide:

Acceptability of a complex treatmentsequencing model for relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis from an HTA perspective

Date of interview:	MM	_// DD	YYYY		
Interview start time:					
Interview end time:					
Name of interviewer:		Last	,	First	

Section 1: Introduction (5 minutes)

The purpose of today's interview is to assess the acceptability and desirability of a cost-effectiveness model that can include a sequence of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) treatments from a health-technology assessment (HTA) body perspective.

Today's interview agenda will take approximately 80 minutes. During that time, questions will be asked about the following topics:

- The desirability of treatment-sequencing models in general and in RRMS
- The acceptability of complex health-economic models
- Acceptable ways to handle variability, heterogeneity and uncertainty in natural history
- The acceptability of the inclusion of the physician perspective
- The desirability of the inclusion of the patient perspective

Do you have any questions?

Before we begin the interview, do I have your permission to audio-record this interview?
Yes
No

Section 2: Background and expertise (5 minutes)

- 1. What is your professional background and experience with health technology assessments?
 - a. PROBE: Which organization?
 - b. PROBE: Specialty?
 - c. PROBE: Years of working experience for your HTA body?
 - d. PROBE: Disease areas

Section 3: Desirability of evaluating RRMS treatments as part of a sequence (15 minutes)

Treatment discontinuation and consequent treatment switching, for reasons of safety or efficacy, is a common issue in many disease areas (oncology, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis).

- 1. Do you have experience with health economic or disease models that model multiple lines of treatment?
 - a. [If experience]
 - i. In what indication(s)?
 - ii. In Rheumatoid arthritis?
- 2. How are the consequences of treatment discontinuation usually captured in the assessments you perform?
 - a. PROBE: Switching captured?
 - b. PROBE: In what types of analyses or assessments?
 - c. PROBE: Do you think these consequences are sufficiently captured?

- 3. To what extent do you consider treatments as part of a sequence in your assessments?
 - a. PROBE: In what indications or situations?
 - i. What if you assess a treatment indicated for one treatment line?
 - b. PROBE: How do you usually take treatment sequences into account?
 - i. Using a (health-economic) model?
 - ii. In some other systematic way?
 - c. PROBE: How common is it to take sequences into account?
 - i. [If appropriate given answers 3.b.i. and ii] Why are treatment-sequencing models more common in some diseases than in other diseases?
 - ii. In what disease or situations is it a problem if sequences aren't captured?
 - d. PROBE: Could you think of any *reasons why or why not* these are (commonly) taken into account?
 - i. [If not]: Quality?
 - ii. [If not]: Complexity?
 - iii. [If not]: Relevance to the research question or decision problem?
- 4. Where do you see opportunities for a treatment-sequencing model in RRMS to inform HTA decision making?
 - a. PROBE: Could it be useful to assess individual products in a sequence?
 - b. PROBE: Could it be useful to assess treatment sequences in their entirety?
 - c. PROBE: What outcomes would you assess?
 - i. Effectiveness?
 - ii. Cost-effectiveness?
 - iii. Budget impact?

Section 4: Acceptability of complex models (15 minutes)

- 1. Do you have experience with complex health economic models, like patient-level simulations or discrete event simulations?
 - a. PROBE: what type of model(s)?
 - b. PROBE: in what indication(s)?
- 2. How does your HTA body regard more complex health economic models?
 - a. PROBE: Does it usually accept complex models?
 - b. PROBE: What are reasons to accept such models?
 - c. PROBE: What are reasons to not accept such models?
 - d. PROBE: What are minimal requirements to accept such models?

More complex models usually require more data than standard Markov or three-state partitioned survival models.

- 3. What are your agency's requirements regarding the data in more complex models?
 - a. PROBE: Amount of data (gaps)?
 - b. PROBE: Quality?
 - c. PROBE: Source?
 - What sources?
 - o DCE?
 - o Expert opinion?
 - o RWE? -> examples
- 4. How are these requirements different in complex models than in standard models?
- 5. Could you give examples of pragmatic approaches to handle data gaps in complex models that were still acceptable for the purpose of the assessment?
 - a. PROBE: Have you ever observed a willingness for your HTA body to provide data in a confidential manner to inform an assessment or modelling question?
- 6. What are requirements regarding the handling of uncertainty in the assessment of a complex model?

a. PROBE: How are requirements different compared to "standard" less complex models? Models are usually submitted in MS Excel, but this software is not the most suitable for complex models.

- 7. To what extend does your HTA body accept models that are programmed in programming languages that allow for more complex simulations?
 - a. PROBE: Has R been accepted?
 - b. PROBE: Others than R and Excel?

Section 5: Acceptable ways to handle variability, heterogeneity and uncertainty

(15 minutes)

- 1. What is your experience with health economic assessments in RRMS?
 - a. PROBE: What RRMS drugs have you reviewed?
 - b. PROBE: In what year did you perform the assessment in RRMS?
 - c. PROBE: Are you familiar with RRMS models?
 - i. [If yes]: what are the strengths and weaknesses of the existing models?
- 2. How important is it for your HTA agency to capture the variability and/or heterogeneity in disease trajectories that patients can experience?
 - a. PROBE: How should variability and/or heterogeneity be captured?
 - i. By subgroups?
 - ii. Distributions around disease characteristics?
- 3. What is the relevance of subgroup assessments for RRMS for appraisals conducted by your organization?
 - a. PROBE: What are the criteria for determining the relevance of subgroups?
 - b. PROBE: What are relevant subgroups in RRMS specifically?

Treatment-sequencing models model a number of treatments in a sequence. For most treatments, the efficacy in 1^{st} line can be obtained from randomized controlled trials. However, few treatments are investigated in 2^{nd} or 3^{rd} line, and if they are, no evidence is available by prior treatment.

- 4. What is an acceptable way for your HTA agency to address the lack of efficacy data in later -line and by prior treatment?
 - a. PROBE: Expert opinion?
 - b. PROBE: Real-world evidence?
 - C. PROBE: Scenario analyses?

Section 6: Acceptability of including physician perspective (15 minutes)

A treatment-sequencing model might incorporate the physician perspective in the decisions regarding when to switch treatment and what treatment should be next.

- 1. What is your experience with models that have incorporated the physician perspective?
- 2. What is your opinion about models that have incorporated the physician perspective?
- 3. What is your opinion on the incorporation of the physician's perspective as described?
 - a. PROBE: are decision rules as used in clinical practice of any value to HTA bodies?
- 4. What would be your requirements regarding the derivation of clinical decision rules for switching if such rules would be incorporated in the model?
 - a. PROBE: How many physicians should deliver input?
 - b. PROBE: Country-specific decision rule?
 - c. PROBE: Method to elicit physician's preferences?
 - d. PROBE: Validation?

Section 7: Desirability of including patient perspective (10 minutes)

- Patients have a voice in decisions regarding their treatment, for example by refusing higher-risk treatment options.
- A treatment-sequencing model may not only incorporate the physician perspective in the decision rule to switch to alternative treatments, but also incorporate the patient perspective.
- 1. Do you have experience with models that have incorporated the patient perspective in some way?
 - a. PROBE: examples? -> Reference?
 - b. PROBE: what indications?
- 2. Would it be of added value to incorporate the patient perspective into the model by assuming that the patient can influence the physician's decision?
 - e. PROBE: incorporate patient's risk tolerance?
- 3. What would be your requirements regarding the derivation of patient preferences if such preferences would be incorporated in the model, for example as part of a decision rule?
 - a. PROBE: How many patients should deliver input?
 - b. PROBE: Country-specific decision rule?
 - c. PROBE: Method of elicitation?
 - d. PROBE: Validation?

Closure

Those were all the questions. Is there anything else that in your view would be important for us to know?

-- END OF RECORDED INTERVIEW --