**Supplementary Table 4 INAHTA agencies consulted across the surveys**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| INAHTA Member Agency | Country | Surveys completed\* |
| Adelaide Health Technology Assessment (AHTA) | Australia | 2010, 2013 |
| Agence d’évaluation des technologies et des modes d’intervention en santé (AETMIS) | Canada | 2010 |
| Agencia de Evaluación de Tecnologías Sanitarias (AETS) within ISCIII (Instituto de Salud Carlos III)  | Spain | 2010, 2013 |
| Agency for Health Quality and Assessment of Catalonia (AHQAC)  | Spain | 2013 |
| Andalusian Health Technology Assessment Agency (AETSA)  | Spain | 2013 |
| Axencia de Avaliación de Tecnoloxías Sanitarias de Galicia, Avalia-T (Galician HTA agency, Avalia-T) | Spain | 2010, 2013 |
| Australian Safety and Efficacy Register of New Interventional Procedures - Surgical (ASERNIP-S) | Australia | 2010, 2013 |
| Basque Office for HTA (OSTEBA) | Spain | 2013 |
| Belgian Federal Health Care Knowledge Centre (KCE) | Belgium | 2010 |
| Brazilian Ministry of Health (DECIT) | Brazil | 2013 |
| Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health (CADTH) | Canada | 2013 |
| Cellule d'expertise médicale (CEM) | Luxembourg | 2013 |
| Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (CRD), University of York | United Kingdom | 2013 |
| Centro Nacional de Excelencia Tecnológica en Salud (CENETEC)/National Center for Health Technology Excellence  | Mexico | 2010, 2013 |
| [Comité d´Evaluation et de Diffusion des Innovations Technologiques](http://www.inahta.org/Members/CEDIT/)  (CEDIT) | France | 2013 |
| CMeRC HTA unit | South Africa | 2013 |
| Danish Centre for Health Technology Assessment (DACEHTA) | Denmark | 2010 |
| Division of HTA, Center for Drug Evaluation (CDE) | Taiwan | 2010 |
| Federal Joint Committee (Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss)  | Germany | 2013 |
| Finnish Office for Health Technology Assessment (FinOHTA) / THL | Finland | 2010, 2013 |
| German Agency for HTA at the German Institute for Medical Documentation and Information (DAHTA@DIMDI) | Germany | 2010, 2013 |
| Gesundheit Österreich GmbH (GÖG) | Austria | 2010, 2013 |
| Health Assessment Division - Ministry of Health | Uruguay | 2013 |
| Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA) | Ireland | 2013 |
| Health Quality Ontario  | Canada | 2013 |
| Health Services Assessment Collaboration (HSAC)  | New Zealand | 2013 |
| Healthcare Improvement Scotland (HIS) | United Kingdom | 2010, 2013 |
| Instituto de Evaluación Tecnológica en Salud (IETS) | Colombia | 2013 |
| Israel Center for Technology Assessment in Health Care (ICTAHC) | Israel | 2010 |
| Institute of Health Economics (IHE) | Canada | 2010, 2013 |
| [Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Health Technology Assessment](http://www.inahta.org/Members/LBI/) (LBI-HTA) | Austria | 2013 |
| Malaysian Health Technology Assessment Section, Ministry of Health (MaHTAS) | Malaysia | 2010, 2013 |
| Medical Services Advisory Committee (MSAC) | Australia | 2010 |
| National Evidence-based Healthcare Collaborating Agency (NECA) | South Korea | 2013 |
| National Health Committee (NHC)  | New Zealand | 2013 |
| National Health Laboratory Service HTA unit (NHLS-HTA) | South Africa | 2013 |
| National Horizon Scanning Centre (NIHR HSC) | United Kingdom | 2010, 2013 |
| NHMRC Clinical Trials Centre (NHMRC CTC) | Australia | 2013 |
| Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services (NOKC) | Norway | 2010, 2013 |
| Republican Centre for Health Development, Ministry of Public Health | Kazakhstan | 2013 |
| [State Health Care Accreditation Agency, Ministry of Health (](http://www.inahta.org/Members/StaHeCCA/)VASPVT) | Lithuania | 2013 |
| Swedish Council on Technology Assessment in Health Care (SBU) | Sweden | 2010, 2013 |
| Unità di Valutazione delle tecnologia (HTA Unit)  | Italy | 2013 |
| Unidad de Evaluación de Tecnologías Sanitarias (UETS). Subdirección General Tecnología e Innovación Sanitarias  | Spain | 2013 |
| Veterans health Administration Technology Assessment Program (VATAP) | USA | 2010 |

\* Respondents to the ‘agreement survey’ are not included in this column as it was not a requirement to fill in respondent details for this survey (the survey was only sent to INAHTA agency contacts and it was felt that a provision for anonymity would facilitate a higher response rate)