**Appendix, Table 1: Descriptive statistics and marginal distribution**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **n / Mean (StD) / per cent** | | | | | |
|  | **Total** | **Solo Caregiving** | **Shared, informally** | **Shared, formally** | **Outsourced, informally** | **Outsourced, formally** |
| Male | 47.93 % | 925 | 173 | 189 | 432 | 205 |
| Female | 52.07 % | 1255 | 168 | 236 | 228 | 203 |
| Employed | 13.48 % | 271 | 50 | 43 | 135 | 42 |
| Not in employment | 86.52 % | 1909 | 291 | 382 | 525 | 366 |
| Household income: 5th quintile | 12.90 % | 295 | 44 | 58 | 82 | 39 |
| 4th | 19.71 % | 456 | 65 | 93 | 100 | 77 |
| 3rd | 25.41 % | 571 | 95 | 94 | 164 | 96 |
| 2nd | 25.29 % | 542 | 83 | 109 | 157 | 124 |
| 1st | 16.69 % | 316 | 54 | 71 | 157 | 72 |
| Homeownership | 41.01 % | 864 | 141 | 212 | 273 | 156 |
| No homeownership | 58.99 % | 1316 | 200 | 213 | 387 | 252 |
| Age | 70.38  (9.99) | 68.72  (9.19) | 71.16  (9.48) | 73.22  (9.87) | 70.36  (10.95) | 75.68  (10.42) |
| # Functional health limitations | 2.98  (3.42) | 2.93  (3.34) | 2.98  (3.39) | 2.59  (2.90) | 3.07  (3.68) | 3.65  (3.81) |
| Age of spouse | 71.03  (10.49) | 69.64  (9.68) | 72.23  (10.19) | 74.71  (9.88) | 69.42  (11.68) | 76.25  (10.81) |
| # Functional health limitations  of spouse | 5.37  (4.25) | 4.59  (4.06) | 7.79  (4.24) | 7.88  (4.07) | 4.53  (3.81) | 6.27  (4.15) |
| Number of daughters | 1.17  (1.08) | 1.12  (1.04) | 1.24  (0.99) | 1.00  (0.95) | 1.41  (1.24) | 1.21  (1.14) |
| Number of children living nearby | 0.32  (0.87) | 0.31  (0.88) | 0.33  (0.82) | 0.17  (0.61) | 0.42  (0.97) | 0.38  (0.96) |
| Practical help from outside hh | 47.11 % | 682 | 270 | 237 | 493 | 209 |
| No practical help from outside hh | 52.89 % | 1498 | 71 | 188 | 167 | 199 |
| Health respondent\*Health spouse | 16.86  (27.21) | 12.99  (22.18) | 24.03  (36.81) | 20.44  (27.99) | 18.24 (29.30) | 25.58  (34.15) |
| Austria | 215 | 135 | 18 | 29 | 17 | 16 |
| Germany | 225 | 101 | 7 | 60 | 29 | 28 |
| Sweden | 118 | 69 | 1 | 21 | 12 | 16 |
| Spain | 526 | 229 | 51 | 45 | 114 | 87 |
| Italy | 340 | 175 | 22 | 19 | 78 | 46 |
| France | 165 | 106 | 6 | 27 | 12 | 14 |
| Denmark | 128 | 78 | 3 | 16 | 22 | 9 |
| Greece | 317 | 162 | 38 | 29 | 71 | 17 |
| Switzerland | 77 | 46 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 16 |
| Belgium | 351 | 198 | 13 | 52 | 34 | 54 |
| Czech Republic | 406 | 29 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 14 |
| Poland | 1152 | 241 | 62 | 15 | 70 | 18 |
| Luxembourg | 67 | 56 | 24 | 17 | 32 | 23 |
| Portugal | 178 | 99 | 5 | 16 | 46 | 12 |
| Slovenia | 264 | 163 | 35 | 13 | 35 | 18 |
| Estonia | 339 | 209 | 42 | 29 | 41 | 18 |
| Croatia | 144 | 84 | 11 | 13 | 35 | 2 |
| n | 4012 | 2178 | 341 | 425 | 660 | 408 |

Source: SHARE Release 6.0.0, wave 6. Own calculations, unweighted results. Respondents aged 50 years or older, living together with partner or spouse who has also answered questionnaire.

**Appendix, Table 2: Macro indicators**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **n** | **Cash-for-Care** | **Care-in-Kind** |
| Austria | 215 | 11.54 | 0.65 |
| Germany | 225 | 8.20 | 0.02 |
| Sweden | 118 | 7.30 | 2.26 |
| Spain | 526 | 8.98 | 0.55 |
| Italy | 340 | 13.64 | 0.08 |
| France | 165 | 12.15 | 0.42 |
| Denmark | 128 | 7.95 | 2.15 |
| Greece | 317 | 14.99 | 0.08 |
| Switzerland | 77 | 5.89 | 0.26 |
| Belgium | 351 | 8.28 | 0.32 |
| Luxemburg | 406 | 6.67 | 0.01 |
| Czech Republic | 1152 | 7.99 | 0.22 |
| Poland | 67 | 8.44 | 0.04 |
| Portugal | 178 | 12.06 | 0.14 |
| Slovenia | 264 | 10.20 | 0.09 |
| Estonia | 339 | 6.36 | 0.10 |
| Croatia | 144 | 6.80 | 0.10 |
| n Individuals | 4012 |  |  |
| n Country | 17 |  |  |

Source: SHARE, release 6.0.0, wave 6; OECD 2017. Own calculations, unweighted results. Respondents aged 50 years or older, living together with partner or spouse who has also answered questionnaire.

**Appendix, Figure 1: Expenditures for policy schemes and shared caregiving arrangements**

****

Source: SHARE, release 6.0.0, wave 6; OECD 2017. x-axis: percentage of expenditures for respective scheme as share of total GDP. x-axis: expenditures for the respective policy scheme in per cent of GDP. y-axis: prevalence of caregiving arrangement. n=4,012 individuals, n=17 countries.

**Appendix, Figure 2: Expenditures for policy schemes and outsourced caregiving arrangements**

****

Source: SHARE, release 6.0.0, wave 6; OECD 2017. x-axis: percentage of expenditures for respective scheme as share of total GDP. y-axis: prevalence of caregiving arrangement. n=4,012 individuals, n=17 countries.

**Appendix, Figure 3: Predicted probabilities of outsourced caregiving arrangements over Cash-for-Care and Care-in-Kind policies, by household income**

****

Source: SHARE, release 6.0.0, wave 6; OECD 2017. Predicted probabilities. Calculations based on models from Table 2 (separate models for each macro indicator). Capped spikes represent 95% confidence intervals. x-axis: percentage of expenditures for respective scheme as share of total GDP. y-axis: prevalence of caregiving arrangement. n=4,012 individuals. Lower household income: first and second income quintile. Higher household income: third through fifth income quintile.

**Appendix, Table 3: Fixed-effects regressions**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Individual variables** | | **Macro indicators** | | **Gender interactions** | | **Socio-economic status interactions** |
| **Respondent characteristics** |  | |  | |  | |  |
| Employed | 0.12 | | 0.12 | | 0.11 | | 0.13 |
| Household income: Highest quintile (ref.) |  | |  | |  | |  |
| 4th | -0.02 | | -0.02 | | -0.02 | |  |
| 3rd | -0.12 | | -0.12 | | -0.13 | |  |
| 2nd | -0.18 \* | | -0.19 \* | | -0.19 \* | |  |
| 1st | -0.25 \*\* | | -0.26 \*\* | | -0.27 \*\* | |  |
| Low household income (1st or 2nd quintile) |  | |  | |  | | 0.01 |
| Homeownership | -0.07 | | -0.07 | | -0.08 | | -0.07 |
| Age | -0.11 | | -0.13 \* | | -0.13 \* | | -0.13 \* |
| Number of functional health limitations | 0.08 \*\*\* | | 0.08 \*\*\* | | 0.08 \*\*\* | | 0.08 \*\*\* |
| **Spouse characteristics** |  | |  | |  | |  |
| Age | 0.09 \* | | 0.08 \* | | 0.08 | | 0.08 \* |
| Number of functional health limitations | 0.19 \*\*\* | | 0.19 \*\*\* | | 0.19 \*\*\* | | 0.19 \*\*\* |
| Number of daughters | -0.00 | | -0.00 | | -0.00 | | -0.00 |
| Number of children living nearby | 0.01 | | 0.01 | | 0.01 | | 0.01 |
| Practical help from outside household | 0.63 \*\*\* | | 0.63 \*\*\* | | 0.63 \*\*\* | | 0.63 \*\*\* |
| Limitations: Respondent\*Spouse | -0.01 \*\*\* | | -0.01 \*\*\* | | -0.01 \*\*\* | | -0.01 \*\*\* |
| **Macro indicators** |  | |  | |  | |  |
| Cash-for-Care |  | | -0.14 \*\* | |  | |  |
| Care-in-Kind |  | | -0.48 | |  | |  |
| Cash-for-Care |  | |  | | -0.06 | |  |
| Male\*Cash-for-Care |  | |  | | -0.16 \*\* | |  |
| Care-in-Kind |  | |  | | -0.64 | |  |
| Male\*Care-in-Kind |  | |  | | 0.32 | |  |
| Cash-for-Care |  | |  | |  | | -0.13 \*\* |
| Lower\*Cash-for-Care |  | |  | |  | | -0.02 |
| Care-in-Kind |  | |  | |  | | -0.46 |
| Lower\*Care-in-Kind |  | |  | |  | | -0.06 |
| -2LL | -4095.83 | | -4090.15 | | -4086.09 | | -4092.02 |
| n (Observations) | 13,154 | | | | | | |
| n (Individuals) | 4,498 | | | | | | |
| Pseudo-R-squared | 0.13 | 0.13 | | 0.13 | | 0.13 | |

Source: SHARE, release 6.0.0, waves 1, 2, 4, 5, 6; OECD 2017. Fixed effects logistic regression models, controlling for wave. Separate models for each macro indicator and cross-level-interaction. Coefficients and model fit parameters in each column shown for the respective model with Cash-for-Care. Dependent variable: partner care (no/yes).