Journal of Hellenic Studies 141 (2021) © The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of the Society for the Promotion of Hellenic Studies

A RECONSIDERATION OF THE CHRONOLOGY OF A DECREE OF ABDERA (SYLL.³ 656) AND THE INTRODUCTION OF THE CONCEPT OF ROMAN PATRONAGE TO THE GREEKS IN THE SECOND CENTURY BC

MASAYUKI ITO

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Appendix 1: early epigraphic references to $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau_0 \omega v$ down to the 80s BC

Appendix 2: non-technical usage of the terminology surrounding patronage in Roman sources by the early second century BC

Bibliography

| | | - | | | |
|---------------------|------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | Erector | Year of erection | Honorand | Position of πάτρων | Is it a case of Roman πάτρων as a permanent guardian of some community? |
| SEG 1.152 | The people of Delphi | (?) after 146 BC but possibly in the period of the Julio-Claudian emperors, in particular before AD 35 | (?) A. Postumius Albinus, but possibly C. Poppaeus Sabinus, the ἑαυτᾶς πάτοων | There is no reference in the decree, but Albinus was one of the <i>legati</i> after the Achaean War, and Sabinus served as the governor of Moesia, Macedon and Achaea. | Yes |
| <i>IG</i> XII.6.351 | The people of Samos | From the early years of the 120s to 97 BC | Cn. Domitius Ahenobarbus, the son of the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega v$ in the affair concerning the temple of Artemis Tauropolos | There is no reference in the decree, but Domitius the Elder was possibly one of the <i>legati</i> under the governor of Asia and 'given as $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega \nu$ by the senate' <i>ad hoc</i> . | No. For his son, the honorand of the decree, there is no reference to the connection between him and the polis. |
| SEG 39.1244 | The people of Colophon | After 133 but possibly in the 90s | Menippus, the embassy of Colophon | There is no reference in the decree, but they were apparently leading senators. | Not necessarily yes. The Roman patrons are simply mentioned as a group of people whom the honorand visited. |
| SEG 39.1243 | The people of Colophon | After 133 but possibly in the same or later period of Menippus | Polemaeus, the embassy of Colophon | There is no reference in the decree, but they were apparently leading senators. | Not necessarily yes. The Roman patrons are simply mentioned as a group of people whom the honoree visited. |

Appendix 1: early epigraphic references to $\pi \acute{\alpha} \tau \varrho \omega \nu$ down to the 80s BC

| SGDI 2688 | The people of Delphi | In the period from 101 to 59 ¹ | L. Tillius, the πάτρων (of the polis) | There is no reference in the decree, but, based on the names of the Delphic magistrates, we can date the decree to the first half of the first century or thereabouts. | Possibly, yes. |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| IDelos 2005 | Hellen, son of Sarapion, a Tyrian | <i>ca</i> . 100 | Sarapion, an Athenian citizen | There is no reference in the inscription. | No. The control of the Romans in the region is not connected. |
| IDelos 1802 | Three Q. Tullii, freedmen | <i>ca</i> . 100 but probably after 97/6 | Q. Tullius, the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega v$ and <i>patronus</i> of the erectors | There is no reference in the inscription. | No. The control of the Romans in the region is not directly connected. |
| IDelos 1700 | The people of Delos | After 97 | M. Antonius, the ἑαυτῶν πάτρων ² | στρατηγὸς ὕπατος τιμητής. | Yes |
| SEG 51.1586 ³ | The people of Colophon | Before or in 95 | C. Valerius Flaccus, the πάτρων of the polis | στρατηγὸς ἀνθύπατος. | Yes |
| SEG 51.1587 ⁴ | The people of Colophon | Perhaps 94 or 93 | L. Valerius Flaccus, the πάτρων of the polis | στρατηγὸς ἀνθύπατος. | Yes |
| AÉ (1967) 532 ⁵ | The people of Cyrene | After 92 | C. Claudius Pulcher, the εὐεϱγέτης καὶ πάτϱων of the Cyreneans | στρατηγὸς ὕπατος. | Yes |

¹ Ferrary (1997b) 112; Eilers (2002) 200. ² Baslez (1982) 55–57; Bechard (2000) 246 n.41.

³ Cf. *SEG* 29.1129bis; Eilers (2002) 228.

⁴ Cf. *SEG* 29.1130; Eilers (2002) 228.

⁵ Cf. *SEG* 53.2045, 55.1863; Brennan (2000) 364, 768.

| IDelos 1701 | The people of Delos | Around the 90s ⁶ | C. Iulius Caesar, the ἑαυτῶν πάτοων | There is no reference in the decree, but he served as the governor of Asia in <i>ca</i> . 91. | Yes |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---|--|--|-----|
| <i>IG</i> XII.6.389 ⁷ | The people of Samos | Around the 90s ⁸ | C. Iulius Caesar, the εὐεϱγέτης καὶ πάτϱων of the polis | There is no reference, but he is probably the father of the famous Caesar. | Yes |
| <i>IEph.</i> 3.630a | The people of Ephesus | In the 90s ⁹ | Caelia, the wife of Q. Mucius Scaevola who was the πάτρων (of the polis) | There is no reference, but Scaevola served as the governor of Asia in the 90s. | Yes |
| Eilers and Milner (1995) 76 | The people of Oenoanda | In the 90s | Q. Mucius Scaevola, the πάτρων καὶ εὐεργέτης of the polis | There is no reference in the decree, but Scaevola served as the governor of Asia in the 90s. ¹⁰ | Yes |
| <i>IvO</i> 328 | τὸ κοινὸν of Achaea | Before around 90 or the 70s ¹¹ | Q. Ancharius, the αύτῶν πάτρων καὶ εὐεργέτης | ἀντιταμίας. | Yes |
| <i>IG</i> VII.331 | The people of Oropus | After 86 or possibly 75–72 ¹² | C. Scribonius Curio, the αύτῶν πάτρων | There is no reference in the decree, but he was probably a <i>legatus</i> under Sulla in the 80s. | Yes |

- ⁶ C1. *IGR* 4.970.
 ⁸ Eilers (2002) 218.
 ⁹ Ferrary (1997a) 223; Eilers (2002) 234.
 ¹⁰ Canali De Rossi (2001) 58–59.
 ¹¹ Morstein Kallet-Marx (1995) 63, 308; Eilers (2002) 192.
 ¹² *IOropos* 444; Eilers (2002) 201–03.

⁶ Baslez (1982) 55–57; Eilers (2002) 214. ⁷ Cf. *IGR* 4.970.

| Reynolds (1982) | Probably the people of | Around 85 ¹³ | None. This is an inscription of | ἀνθύπατος and στρατηγὸς | Yes |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------|
| no. 3 | Aphrodisias | | the letter by Q. Oppius, who | or | |
| | | | accepted the position of $\tau o \tilde{\upsilon}$ | στρατηγὸς ἀνθύπατος. | |
| | | | δήμου τοῦ ὑμετέϱου | | |
| | | | πάτοων, to the people of | | |
| | | | Plarasa and Aphrodisias | | |
| IEph. 4.2941 | Possibly the people of | In the 80s ¹⁴ | L. Licinius Lucullus, the | ἀντιταμίας. | Yes |
| | Ephesus | | (πάτρων) καὶ εὐεργέτης (of | | |
| | | | the polis) | | |
| MAMA 4.52 ¹⁵ | The people of Synnada | In the 80s ¹⁶ | L. Licinius Lucullus, the | ἀντιταμίας. | Yes |
| | | | πάτοων (of the polis) | | |
| <i>IEph</i> . 3.630b | Probably the people of | Possibly in the 80s | L. Calpurnius, the | There is no reference in the | No ¹⁷ |
| | Ephesus | | πατοωνεύσαντα of the polis | inscription. | |
| <i>IG</i> VII.311 | The people of Oropus | Possibly in the 80s ¹⁸ | Cn. Cornelius Lentulus, the | There is no reference, but he | Yes |
| | | | έατοῦ πάτρων καὶ | was probably Sulla's | |
| | | | εὐεǫγέτης | supporter in this decade. | |
| MAMA 4.258 | The people of Acmonia | Possibly around the | Q. Decimius, the $\pi \dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \omega v$ of | There is no reference. | Yes |
| | in Phrygia | beginning of the first | the polis | | |
| | | century ¹⁹ | | | |

¹³ Sherk (1984) no. 59b; Eilers (2002) 23–25, 241–42.
¹⁴ Cf. *SEG* 44.1227; see also Eilers (2002) 234; Thonemann (2004) 80–82.
¹⁵ Cf. *IGR* 4.701; *SEG* 44.1227.

¹⁶ Cl. *IGR* 4.701; *SEG* 44.1227.
¹⁶ Thonemann (2004) 80–82.
¹⁷ Ferrary (1997a) 219; Eilers (2002) 232–33.
¹⁸ *IOropos* 446; Eilers (2002) 201.
¹⁹ Eilers (2002) 254; Thonemann (2010) 172–73.

Appendix 2: non-technical usage of the terminology surrounding patronage in Roman sources by the early second century BC

A range of sources confirm that different kinds of patronage were referred to (sometimes comically) and observed by the Romans in the second century BC and contemporary foreigners.

Literary sources

For example, Plaut. *Capt.* 444–45: *tu mihi erus nunc es, tu patronus, tu pater, tibi commendo spes opesque meas* ('You are now my master, you my patron, you my father, and to you I commend my hopes and my fortunes'); *Men.* 571–74, 580–81, 585–86: *Ut hoc utimur maxime more moro molestoque multum, atque uti quique sunt optumi, maxume morem habent hunc: clientes sibi omnes volunt esse multos ... qui neque leges neque aequom bonum usquam colunt, sollicitos patronos habent ... eis ubi dicitur dies, simul patronis dicitur. [quippe qui pro illis loquimur quae male fecerunt]* ('What a foolish and troublesome custom we practise here, and how even those who are the most worthy and great follow this habit! All wish their dependents to be many in number ... Those who neither regard laws nor any good or justice at all, have zealous patrons ... When the day for trial is appointed, at the same time it is mentioned to their patrons, in order that they may plead for them, about what they have done amiss'); Ter. *Eun.* 886–87: ego me tuae commendo et committo fide[i], te mihi patronam capio, Thai, te obsecro ('I entrust and commit myself to your care. I take you, Thais, as my protectress. I implore you').

In the first passage, Tyndarus, a slave, and Philocrates, his master and a noble citizen of Elis captured by Aetolia, pretend to be each other, and in front of their capturer the supposed master Tyndarus affects to beg Philocrates to make a trade of prisoner for him, using flattering phrases such as master, patron and father. In the second, Menaechmus, a wealthy citizen of Epidamnus, in monologue complains about the obligation of a patron to support his low-minded dependents in lawsuits. In the last case, Chaerea, an Athenian citizen, asks Thais, a courtesan but a wise woman, to support his love for Pamphila, a slave girl, through the behaviour of a *cliens* and the language of patronage, such as *fides* and *patrona*.

Rhetorical sources

Gell. NA 5.13.4: M. Cato in oratione, quam dixit apud censores In Lentulum, ita scripsit: Quod maiores sanctius; habuere, defendi pupillos quam clientem non fallere. Adversus cognatos pro cliente testatur, testimonium adversus clientem nemo dicit. Patrem primum, postea patronum proximum nomen habuere ('M. Cato, in the speech which he delivered before the censors Against Lentulus, wrote as follows: Our forefathers regarded it as a more sacred obligation to defend their wards than not to deceive a *cliens*. One testifies in a *cliens*' behalf against one's relatives; testimony against a *cliens* is given by no one. A father held the first position of honour, and being a *patronus* was the second').

This sentence appears in Gellius' report of the discussion about the order of duties of Roman citizens, and his quotation of the oration suggests that the language and the relation of patron-client had been openly considered by the Romans in the second century BC (*cf.* Saller (1997) 190-91; Eilers (2002) 11–14).

The term 'atrium'

The term *atrium* may not have been entirely familiar to Greeks in this period, but, from the phrasing of Plaut. *Aul.* 3.5, we can confirm that the word was used by Romans and that foreigners could have heard it, although it is difficult to date this work (*cf.* Gruen (1990) 144–45). Certainly, this does not necessarily show that in the first half of the second century BC

the Greeks frequently observed morning salutations in the *atria* of houses of leading Romans, as part of their contacts with them. As Goldbeck (2010) 188–224 argues, it could be that from the 120s onwards the custom evolved into a communication with important political functions. Yet he acknowledges that leading Romans received such salutations before this time. Indeed, this can be confirmed, for instance, by Plaut. *Stich.* 2.2, a work that was first staged in 200. In this scene, Gelasimus, a poor man, visits the house of Epignomus, a celebrity, for food, on the bidding of Epignomus' wife, Panegyris, but, in the entrance, is ordered about by her (and even by her servant) immediately after greetings are exchanged. This shows that Gelasimus is a *cliens* of Epignomus, and that such a relationship, including the meeting in the entrance, was standard for Romans at the beginning of the second century. The author would not have expected unusual relationships to be understood and so would not have included a reference to one, even in a comedy. For the chronology of the first performance of *Stichus*, the role of Gelasimus in the drama and the context of Roman society around 200, see Owens (2000) 385–405; Moodie (2015) 1 n.1.

Bibliography

- Baslez, M.-F. (1982) 'Délos durant la première guerre de Mithridate', in F. Coarelli, D. Musti and H. Solin (eds), *Delo e l'Italia* (Opuscula Instituti Romani Finlandiae 2) (Rome) 51–66
- Bechard, D.P. (2000) Paul Outside the Walls: A Study of Luke's Socio-Geographical Universalism in Acts 14:8–20 (Rome)
- Brennan, T.C. (2000) The Praetorship in the Roman Republic 2: 122 to 49 BC (Oxford)
- Canali De Rossi, F. (2001) Il ruolo dei patroni nelle relazioni politiche fra il mondo greco e Roma in età repubblicana ed augustea (Munich)
- Eilers, C.F. (2002) Roman Patrons of Greek Cities (Oxford)
- Eilers, C.F. and Milner, N.P. (1995) 'Q. Mucius Scaevola and Oenoanda: a new inscription', AS 45, 73–89
- Ferrary, J.-L. (1997a) 'De l'évergétisme hellénistique à l'évergétisme romain', in M. Christol and O. Masson (eds), Actes du Xe congrès international d'épigraphie grecque et latine (Nîmes, 4–9 octobre 1992) (Paris) 199–225
- (1997b) 'The Hellenistic world and Roman political patronage', in P. Cartledge, P. Garnsey and E.S. Gruen (eds), *Hellenistic Constructs: Essays in Culture, History, and Historiography* (Berkeley) 105–19
- Goldbeck, F. (2010) Salutationes: die Morgenbegrüßungen in Rom in der Republik und der frühen Kaiserzeit (Berlin)
- Gruen, E.S. (1990) Studies in Greek Culture and Roman Policy (Berkeley)
- Moodie, E.K. (2015) Plautus' Poenulus: A Student Commentary (Ann Arbor)
- Morstein Kallet-Marx, R. (1995) Hegemony to Empire: The Development of the Roman Imperium in the East from 148 to 62 BC (Berkeley)
- Owens, W.M. (2000) 'Plautus' Stichus and the political crisis of 200 BC', AJPh 121, 385-407
- Reynolds, J.M. (1982) Aphrodisias and Rome: Documents from the Excavation of the Theatre at Aphrodisias Conducted by Prof. K.T. Erim (Journal of Roman Studies Monograph 1) (London)
- Saller, R.P. (1997) Patriarchy, Property and Death in the Roman Family (Cambridge)
- Sherk, R.K. (1984) Rome and the Greek East to the Death of Augustus (Cambridge)
- Thonemann, P.J. (2004) 'The date of Lucullus' Quaestorship', ZPE 149, 80-82
- ---- (2010) 'The women of Akmoneia', JRS 100, 163-78