- 1 Supplementary materials
- 2 **Covariate dictionary**. Detailed descriptions of adversity exposure measures and data sources
- 3 **Supplementary Table S1.** Data coverage for constructed adversity exposures. Development sample.
- 4 Supplementary Table S2. Adversity exposures for children in development sample excluded or
- 5 censored due to death. Counts and percentages.
- 6 **Supplementary Table S3.** Exposure to adversity in validation samples (Number and percentage).
- 7 **Supplementary Table S4**. Alternative model parameterisations for risk of developing schizophrenia
- 8 following exposure to adversity across domains A1 Discontinuity in parenting and A4 Area level
- 9 socio-economic/demographic environment. Hazard ratios and 95% Confidence intervals.
- 10 Supplementary Table S5. Observations excluded from final model parameter estimation due to large
- influence. N=27 observations, all with schizophrenia diagnosis. Adversity exposure comparison with
- 12 non-excluded observations.
- 13 Supplementary Table S6. Parameter estimates derived from development sample including
- 14 observations with large influence. Comparison with optimum models
- 15 **Supplementary Table S7**. Elementary validations for models. Robustness of estimated Cox model
- 16 hazard ratios comparison with estimated logistic regression odds ratios (Ratios and 95% Confidence
- 17 intervals). Proportional hazards validity test for non-zero correlation of Schoenfeld residuals with
- 18 time (p values).

- 19 **Supplementary Table S8.** Risk of developing schizophrenia following exposure to adversity across
- 20 five domains. Alternative Global models. Hazard ratios and 95%Confidence intervals.
- 21 Supplementary Table S9. Alternative Global Cox model parameterisations describing risk of
- 22 schizophrenia following exposure to adversity. Harrell's Concordance statistics.
- 23 Supplementary Figure S1. Distributions of predicted log hazard ratios for five domain models and
- 24 Global model, derived from development sample.

Covariate dictionary

1. Covariates in manuscript

- (See also section 3. which describes the larger pool of candidate variables from which the final
 variables were chosen).
- 5 <u>A1 Discontinuity in parenting</u> before the age of 10 years covered any separation of a child from a 6 parent categorised by the child's developmental epoch: i) under one year of age; ii) ages one to four; 7 and iii) ages five to nine.
 - Hospitalisations of the child, the mother or the father (sourced from the Hospital Morbidity
 <u>Data Collection</u>) were classified as adverse if multiple admissions which totalled more than 8
 days occurred within in any developmental epoch. To exclude a child's birth related hospital
 stay being included as separations between mother and child, the less than 1 year period
 was defined to begin at age 15 days for the child and their mother, rather than 0 days as for
 the fathers
 - Parental deaths before a child's 10th birthday were sourced from the <u>Western Australian</u>
 <u>Death Registry</u>.
 - The <u>Department of Communities</u>, <u>Child Protection and Family Support Division database</u> provided information pertaining to any child *placement in foster care* before their 10th birthday.
 - Custodial sentences leading to *incarceration* of a child's mother or father during each of a
 child's developmental epochs were determined from the <u>Western Australian Department of</u>
 Justice.

A2 - Family functioning

- Corrective services contacts for a child's mother or father, before the child's 10th birthday, were obtained from the <u>Western Australian Department of Justice</u>. As well as incarceration, these contacts include diversionary programmes and non-custodial orders.
- Child protection contacts before a child's 10th birthday covering any notification of allegations of child abuse (sexual, physical and emotional) and neglect were sourced from the <u>Department of Communities</u>, <u>Child Protection and Family Support Division database</u> and were classified as adverse at two levels i) if, before a child's 10th birthday, any notification of abuse was made related to a child or any of their maternal siblings but with none of those notifications substantiated and ii) as for i), but with at least one notification of abuse being substantiated.

A3 - Family structure

- mother's age at time of child's birth was calculated using the <u>Midwives' Notification</u>
 <u>System</u>, and categorised as less than 20 years or at least 20 years.
- paternal age at child's birth was calculated for those fathers with valid <u>Birth Registration</u>
 details and categorised as less than 25 years, at least 25 years but less than 45 years, at least 45 years.

- marital status (partnered / unpartnered) of the child's mother at the time of a child's birth
 and the
 - child's birth order (1st, 2nd or 3rd compared to 4th or greater) amongst maternal siblings were determined from the <u>Midwives' Notification System</u>.
 - size of the family (2 children, 5 or more children, or 1, 3 or 4 children) a child belonged to at
 the time of their 10th birthday was calculated by <u>combining birth order</u> with any <u>Hospital</u>
 Morbidity Data Collection entries after 2001 which identified further live births to a child's
 mother.

A4 - Area level socio-economic/demographic environment

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

35

39

- 10 The Australia Bureau of Statistics uses a hierarchical geographic classification system {Australian
- Bureau of Statistics, 2006 #49} for collection and publication of official statistics. The finest level at
- 12 which statistics were published for the 2001 Census was the Census Collection District (CCD), a
- 13 grouping of approximately 200 geographically proximate households. The CCD corresponding to a
- mother's address at the time of the child's birth was provided by the Data Linkage Branch which was
- 15 matched to the relevant area level 2001 census statistics. In a minority of cases where CCD level
- statistics were not available, aggregation at postcode level CCD was used.
 - Socioeconomic status was determined using the <u>Australian Bureau of Statistics Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas</u> {Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2008 #48}. We used the Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage, comparing those children born in areas of the quintile of greatest disadvantage to all other children. The index used was that for the available census (1986, 1991, 1996 or 2001) closest in time to the date of birth.
- Transformation of index values to quintiles allowed comparison across subject birth years.
 - Remoteness or level of urbanicity of the geographical area of a mother's residence at the time of her child's birth was determined using the <u>Australian Standard Geographic</u>
 <u>Classification—Remoteness Area</u>, as defined in 2001. {Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2006 #49}. We compared those children born to mothers resident in Remote or Very Remote areas to children born to mothers resident in all other areas.
 - Further area level measures determined from 2001 census information were percentage of persons in a CCD who:
 - identified as Indigenous (of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent) (<25% or >=25%)
- were *Australian born* (>=75% or <75%)
- *never married* (<50% or >=50%)
- lived in *one parent families* (<20% or >=20%)
- were *unemployed* (<5% or >=5%)
 - lived in different residence to 5 years previous (<60% or >=60%)
- lived in different *residence to 1 year* previous (<30% or >=30%)
- lived in *semi-detached* dwellings (<10% or >=10%)
- lived in *flats* (<5% or >=5%)
 - lived in rented dwellings (<30% or >=30%)
- had no post school qualifications (<40% or >=40%)
- spoke a language other than English at home and did not speak English well (>=2% or <2%).

- Ethnic heterogeneity was calculated for each CCD using the formula: $E = 1 P_1^2 P_2^2$ where P_1 is the percentage of residents in that CCD who identified as Indigenous, and P_2 is the percentage of residents in that CCD who spoke a language other than English at home and who did not speak English well.{Morgan, 2008 #149} This measure is at its lowest when the diversity within a population is highest. Areas of high heterogeneity were defined as those where **E** was calculated to be less than 0.99.
 - Total area-level crime was based on the arrest rate per 1000 residents in a Local
 Government Area during 2002, as provided by the <u>Western Australian Crime Research</u>
 <u>Centre</u>. Children born in areas where at least 50 arrests per 1000 residents were recorded were compared to all other children.
 - socioeconomic inequality for a postcode was derived from the standard deviation of the
 distribution of the <u>Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage</u> scores for CCDs within
 that postcode. Across all postcodes, the distribution of standard deviations was categorised
 with the quartile of smallest standard deviations defined as minimal localised inequality.

15 <u>A5 - Family level sociodemographic status</u>

- A binary variable was created to indicate whether the child's *known father* had been named on the State <u>Birth Registration</u> record.
- The child's Indigenous status was scored positive if the child and/or either parent was identified as Indigenous (of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent) in any of the data sources available.
- Maternal and paternal place of birth were extracted from State <u>Birth Registration</u> records.
 In turn, *Migrant status* of parents was classified according to the affluence of the country of origin, using <u>World Bank Income Categories</u>, and for Australian born parents, whether they had been born within or outside Western Australia. Based on patterns of response in preliminary models, children of Western Australian born parents were grouped with children of parents born in lower income countries
- The State <u>Birth Registration's</u> record of a *father's occupation* at the time of a child's birth was classified according to skill level based on the <u>Australian Bureau of Statistics</u>
 <u>Occupation Classifications</u>. Categorisation was defined as fathers in employment requiring middle to high skill level compared to all other employment statuses such as low skill, unemployed, not in labour-force etc).

1 2	2. Descriptions of Data sources
3	Hospital Morbidity Data Collection
4	Records available 1 st January 1980 to 31 st December 2017
5 6 7 8 9	The collection is managed by the WA Health System and records all episodes of care that occur in public and licensed private acute and psychiatric hospitals as well as licensed private day surgeries. Excluded episodes of care include those pertaining to patients attending emergency, outpatient or community health services, those in residential aged care facilities, still births and mothers electing to deliver at home
10	
11	Mental Health Information System
12	Records available 1 st July 1966 to 31 st December 2015.
13 14	Covers records of all public and private inpatient hospital admissions, as well as public outpatient and ambulatory care contacts with mental health services across the State.
15	
16	Western Australian Death Registry
17	Records available 1 st January 1980 to 19 th July 2018 for all deaths registered in Western Australia
18	Department of Communities, Child Protection and Family Support Division database
19	Records available 1st July 1989 to 29th February 2016 for notifications.
20	Records available 1 st April 1981 to 12 th May 2016 for placements in care.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The Department of Communities, Child Protection and Family Support Division record all notifications of child abuse (sexual, physical and emotional) and neglect meeting standard Australian definitions {Bromfield, 2008 #576;Bromfield, 2008 #576} and the outcome of subsequent investigations – whether substantiated or not. The date of reporting does not always reflect the date of abuse, as reporting of the abuse may happen years after the event/s. The Division also records all placements of children in foster care and the duration of such placements.
30	Western Australian Department of Justice
31	Records available 1st January 1980 to 15th October 2011.
32 33 34	The Western Australian Department of Justice holds electronic records dating back to the early 1970s. The data include information on youth detention, adult prison populations and non-custodial orders (adult community-based and youth justice orders). They cover Western

	1	Australia's 14	public prisons	, two private	ely run prisor	ns, five work can	nps and one detention
--	---	----------------	----------------	---------------	----------------	-------------------	-----------------------

- 2 centre, as well as offenders on probation, parole, other community orders and diversionary
- 3 programmes for young people. The minimum age for inclusion on the Western Australian
- 4 corrective services records is 10 years of age.

Midwives Notification System

5

15

35

- 6 Records available 1st January 1980 to 31st December 2001.
- 7 The Midwives Notification system {Gee, 1994 #37} includes mandatory, prospectively collected
- 8 data on all infants born in Western Australia at 20 weeks gestation or more, or weighing at least
- 9 400 grams, including home births, and not restricted to live births. Demographic information for
- the mother includes age, date of birth, marital status, postcode of residence and ethnic identity.
- 11 (Outcomes of previous pregnancies, occurrence of any complications, medical conditions or
- treatments during pregnancy and details of labour including any complications or interventions
- are also recorded). Vital details pertaining to the baby include date and time of birth, gender,
- 14 whether baby was one of a multiple birth event and if baby was stillborn or alive.

Birth Registration records – mother and father details

- 16 Records available for births 1st January 1980 to 31st December 2001.
- 17 In Western Australia, a Birth Registration Form must be lodged with the Registry of Births Deaths
- and Marriages within 60 days of the birth of a child to enable the newborn to be officially
- recognised for a range of services. This must be completed and signed by both parents. If only
- 20 one parent has signed, they must provide an explanation why the other parent has not signed.
- 21 Information requested of each parent includes Indigenous identity, age, date and place of birth,
- and occupation.

23 Australian Bureau of Statistics Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (Index of Relative Socioeconomic

24 Disadvantage)

- 25 The Socio-economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) is a set of indexes created by the Australian
- 26 Bureau of Statistics from census data. For general information about all SEIFA, including SEIFA_A
- = Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage, see
- 28 https://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/mf/2039.0.
- 29 SEIFA_A is constructed differently for each Census, however this study used quintiles of SEIFA_A
- to assign comparable values for the SEIFA_A index for each census year.

31 <u>Census</u> <u>Birth years</u>

- 32 1986 1980 1987
- 33 1991 1988 1993
- 34 1996 1994 1998

Australian Standard Geographic Classification—Remoteness Area

- 36 Remoteness Area categories are based on the Accessibility/Remoteness Index of Australia (ARIA)
- 37 maintained by the National Centre for Social Applications of GIS (GISCA) at the University of

2	nearest population centres providing access to goods and services, taking into account
3	population size. Since the 2001 census, the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) has defined a
4	'Remoteness Area classification Index (RA)' for each non-overlapping Census Collection District
5	(CD), based on the methodology of the ARIA. Each Collection District is then categorised,
6	according to the value of the Index as one of 5 levels of urbanicity: 'Major Cities of Australia',
7	'Inner Regional Australia', 'Outer Regional Australia', 'Remote Australia', and 'Very Remote
8	Australia'.
9	The ABS RA measure is superior to a postcode-only based definition of urbanicity due to
10	increased geographical precision and rigor of definition. A limitation of using the RA classification
11	correct at Census 2001 is the omission of historical RA trends. For example, the residence of the
12	mother of a child born in 1980 may have been considered Inner Regional at this time. With
13	increased urban spread, the very same residence may be in a Major Cities area in 2001. The
14	allocation of the 2001 RA value to the residence of that child does not acknowledge that for at
15	least part of the child's early life, the residential environment was considered Inner Regional.
16	Western Australian Crime Research Centre
17	The Crime Research Centre of the University of Western Australia was established in 1989 as
18	a major and innovative contributor to the collection and analysis of statistical data on crime in
19	Western Australia. It published annual crime and justice statistics which enhanced the
20	understanding of the extent of the crime problem in the state and trends in criminal justice. The
21	arrest rate per 1,000 residents in a Local Government Area during 2002 were provided to the
22	authors for the specific requirements of this study.
23	World Bank Income Category rankings
24	The World Bank Income Category list of global economies (July 2012) was accessed 5 th March
25	2013. http://siteresources.worldbank.org/DATASTATISTICS/Resources/CLASS.XLS. Based on Gross
26	National Income figures for 2011, each economy was classified as Low Income, Lower middle
27	income, Upper middle income, or High income. The Standard Australian Classification of
28	Countries (SACC) - Australian Bureau of Statistics was used to link countries to economies.
29	http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs@.nsf/Latestproducts/3E3848A2DCF7FF4FCA25744B001535
30	DB?opendocument
31	Australian Bureau of Statistics occupation classifications
32	The Australian Bureau of Statistics publication, 1220.0 - Australian Standard Classification of
33	Occupations (ASCO) Second Edition, 1997 classifies 986 unique occupations into 9 Major Groups
34	which map to 5 ordinal Skill Levels.
35	http://www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/ausstats/free.nsf/0/A86A0162E6F672DFCA256ADB001D10D4/\$
36	<u>File/asco.pdf</u>

- 1 3. Raw constructs considered in preliminary screening for association with schizophrenia.
- 2 Before arriving at the covariates referenced in section 1, we considered a wider range of adversity
- 3 exposures that were considered to have potential to measurably impact the risk of schizophrenia
- 4 diagnosis. Where the register data provided sufficient scope and accuracy for their calculation, we
- 5 used a pragmatic, data-driven approach, to construct categorical variables. Categories were
- 6 designed to retain maximum information about the relationship between the candidate variable and
- 7 schizophrenia risk, while summarising the relationship parsimoniously and providing for the possible
- 8 creation of equivalent variables by other researchers. Thus, while we acknowledge that information
- 9 can be lost by categorising a continuous measure, we anticipated that linear relationships would be
- 10 insufficient to account for likely complex associations between measures of adversity and
- schizophrenia. Relationships were initially assessed with several categories so that general
- 12 functional forms could be identified from the data (rather than assumed prior) and then piecewise
- 13 functions could be constructed to approximate the functional forms. In summary, we assessed
- 14 bivariate associations between categorical variables and schizophrenia (specifically Nelson-Aalen
- 15 graphs and hazard ratios) to i) exclude those variables with insufficient evidence of association from
- 16 further consideration and ii) for those variables with evidence of association, to identify where
- 17 categories could be collapsed without substantial loss of explanation of varying risk. The full range of
- 18 variables considered, from which final manuscript variables were derived, are listed below.
- 19 A1 Discontinuity in parenting before the age of 10 years covered any separation of a child from a
- 20 parent categorised by the child's developmental epoch: (i) under one year of age; (ii) ages one to
- 21 four; and (iii) ages five to nine.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

3334

35 36

37

38

39

- Numbers of, and length of *Hospitalisations* of the child, the mother or the father (sourced from the Hospital Morbidity Data Collection) were classified as: no recorded hospitalisations, single day procedure/s only, single admission of any length of at least overnight, multiple admissions totalling 1-7 nights, multiple admissions totalling at least 8 nights. To exclude a child's birth related hospital stay being included as separations between mother and child, the less than 1 year period was defined to begin at age 15 days for the child and their mother, rather than 0 days as for the fathers.
- Parental deaths were sourced from the Western Australian Death Registry separately for mothers and fathers and, depending on date of death, attributed to the corresponding epoch of the child's development.
- The Department of Communities, Child Protection and Family Support Division database was searched for any child *placement in foster care*. Numbers of and length of placements were recorded for each of a child's developmental epochs.
- Custodial sentences leading to *incarceration* of a child's mother or father (number of and durations) were determined from the Department of Justice records. Tallies were considered separately for each epoch of the child's development.

A2 - Family functioning

- 40 The Department of Communities, Child Protection and Family Support Division record notifications
- 41 of allegations of child abuse (sexual, physical and emotional) and neglect meeting standard
- 42 Australian definitions (Bromfield, 2008 #576; Bromfield, 2008 #576) and the outcome of subsequent

- 1 investigations whether substantiated or not. It should be noted that the date of notification can
- 2 occur well after the date the alleged abuse took place, and, due to the nature of the trauma
- 3 surrounding the allegations, the accuracy of the dates of alleged offences is hard to establish. Hence,
- 4 our inclusion of only notifications received up to a child's 10th birthday will necessarily exclude some
- 5 instances of abuse which had occurred but had not yet been reported. Recognising the possibility of
- 6 trauma by association, we considered any occurrences of child protection contact relating to a
- 7 child's maternal siblings as well as those relating specifically to the child.
 - The number of *Child protection contacts* before a child's 10th birthday were classified as: no allegations made relating to child or any of their maternal siblings, number of allegations relating to any sibling, number of substantiated allegations related to any sibling, number of allegations related to child, number of substantiated allegations related to child.
 - Criminal offending data were obtained from the Western Australian Department of Justice and, as well as detention, include information on diversionary programmes and non-custodial orders.
 - The number of any such corrective services contacts for a child's mother or father, before the child's 10th birthday, were noted.

17 <u>A3 - Family Structure</u>

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

29

30

31

32

- 18 Using the Midwives' Notification System,
 - *mother's age* at time of child's birth was calculated and categorised as less than 20 years, 20-24 years, 25-29 years, 30-34 years or at least 35 years.
 - Maternal marital status (never married, widowed, divorced, separated, married (including defacto), other status) at the time of a child's birth was extracted,
 - both the child's birth order amongst maternal siblings and
 - whether they were part of a multiple birth event
- were determined. This data, combined with any Hospital Morbidity Data Collection entries after 2001 which identified further live births to a child's mother
 - allowed calculation of the *size of the family* a child belonged to at the time of their 10th birthday.
 - For those fathers with valid birth registration details, *paternal age* at child's birth was calculated and categorised as for mothers with further refinement for 35-39 years, 40-44 years and at least 45 years.

A4 - Area level socio-economic/demographic environment

- 33 The Australia Bureau of Statistics uses a hierarchical geographic classification system {Australian
- 34 Bureau of Statistics, 2006 #49} for collection and publication of official statistics. The finest level at
- 35 which statistics were published for the 2001 Census was the Census Collection District (CCD), a
- 36 grouping of approximately 200 geographically proximate households. The CCD corresponding to a
- 37 mother's address at the time of the child's birth was provided by the Data Linkage Branch which
- 38 allowed access to relevant area level 2001 census statistics. In a minority of cases where CCD level
- 39 statistics were not available, aggregation at postcode level CCD was used.

- Socioeconomic status was determined using the Australian Bureau of Statistics Socio-Economic Indexes For Areas {Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2008 #48}. We used the Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage. The index used was that for the available census (1986, 1991, 1996 or 2001) closest in time to the date of birth. Transformation of index values to quintiles allowed comparison across subject birth years.
- The geographical remoteness or level of urbanicity of the mother's residence at time of a child's birth was determined using the Australian Standard Geographic Classification—
 Remoteness Area, as defined in 2001. {Australian Bureau of Statistics, 2006 #49}.
 Remoteness Area categories are based on the road distance of a location from the nearest population centres providing access to goods and services, taking into account population size. They are classified as: Major City, Inner Regional, Outer Regional, Remote and Very Remote.

It is noted that both Socioeconomic status and Remoteness are composite measures, which may intrinsically incorporate some information measured by the following additional area level measures determined from 2001 Australian Bureau of Statistics Census Data. As such, additional census data variables were assessed for association with schizophrenia after adjustment for both Socioeconomic status and Remoteness. Alternative distributional categorisations were considered: i) approximately 3 categories corresponding to natural cut points of the relevant distribution, and ii) quintiles for each of the variables 'percentage of persons in a CCD who: '

- identified as *Indigenous* (of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent), were *Australian* born, never married, lived in one parent families, were unemployed, lived in the same residence as 5 years previous, lived in the same residence as 1 year previous, lived in semi-detached dwellings, lived in flats dwellings, lived in rented dwellings, had no post school qualifications, or spoke a language other than English at home and did not speak English well.
- Ethnic heterogeneity was calculated for each CCD using the formula: $E = 1-P_1^2 P_2^2 P_1$ where P_1 is the percentage of residents in that CCD who identified as Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander, and P_2 is the percentage of residents in that CCD who spoke a language other than English at home and who did not speak English well.{Morgan, 2008 #149} This measure is at its lowest when the diversity within a population is highest. Three heterogeneity categories were defined according to natural cut points in the distribution of E, i) lowest diversity: 0.999 < E < 1, ii) some diversity: 0.999 < E < 0.999, and iii) greatest diversity: 0 < E < 0.999.
- Area-level crime total, against person and other was based on the arrest rate per 1000 residents in a Local Government Area during 2002 and considered as both quintile and natural cut point categorisations of the distributions.
- For each postcode, the distribution of the Index of Relative Socioeconomic Disadvantage Census 2001 scores (one score for each CCD within the postcode) was summarised by its
 standard distribution. Across all postcodes, the distribution of standard deviations was
 categorised into quartiles to provide a measure of localised socioeconomic inequality.

A5 - Family level sociodemographic status

- A binary variable was created to indicate whether the child's *known father* had been named on the State Birth Registration record.
 - The *child's Indigenous* status was scored positive if the child and/or either parent was identified as Indigenous (of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent) in any of the data sources available.
 - Maternal and paternal place of birth were extracted from State Birth Registration records.
 Migrant status of parents was classified according to the affluence of the country of origin,
 using World Bank Income categories , and for Australian born parents, whether they had
 been born within or outside Western Australia. Place of birth was then categorised as born
 in Western Australia, born elsewhere in australia, born overseas in a country of low income
 or born overseas in a country of high income
 - The State Birth Registration's record of a *father's occupation* was classified according to skill level based on the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) occupation classifications. The ABS uses a 5 skill level categorisation which we collapsed to 3 levels: Levels 1 and 2 into 'Generally higher skilled', Skill Levels 3 and 4 to 'Mid-range skills', and Skill Level 5 as 'Generally lower skilled', while retaining separate categories for 'Not in Labour force' and 'Unable to be determined'. Father's occupation status was missing for 3.7% of known fathers (6,186/165,754).

21 References

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 22 Australian Bureau of Statistics (2006). Statistical Geography Volume 1 Australian Standard
- 23 Geographical Classification (ASGC), Jul 2006. Catalogue no. 1216.0. Australian Bureau of
- 24 Statistics: Canberra.
- 25 Australian Bureau of Statistics (2008). Socio-Economic Indexes for Areas (SEIFA) Technical
- Paper 2006. Catalogue no. 2039.0.55.001. Australian Bureau of Statistics: Canberra.
- 27 **Bromfield, L. & Holzer, P.** (2008). A National Approach for Child Protection Project Report. .
- 28 Australian Institute of Family Studies. : Melbourne.
- 29 Gee, V. & Dawes, V. (1994). Validation Study of the Western Australian Midwives'
- Notification System 1992. Occasional Paper 59. p. 18. Health Department of Western
- 31 Australia.

- Morgan, V. A., Morgan, F., Clare, J., Valuri, G., Woodman, R., Ferrante, A., Castle, D. &
- 33 **Jablensky, A.** (2008). Schizophrenia and offending: area of residence and the impact of social
- 34 disorganisation and urbanicity. Australian Institute of Criminology.

Adversity exposure	Total development sample N=171,588
Discontinuity in Parenting	
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	Complete; some censoring if mother (n=42) deceased <1 yr
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	Complete; some censoring if mother (n=42+208) deceased <5 yr
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	Complete; some censoring if mother (n=42+208+389) deceased <10 yr
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	Complete; some censoring if child deceased < 1 yr
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5years	Complete; some censoring if child deceased < 5 yr
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	Complete; some censoring if child deceased < 10 yr
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 0 days -<1 year	Complete; some censoring if father (n=129) deceased < 1 yr
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	Complete; some censoring if father (n=129+499) deceased < 5 yr
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	Complete; some censoring if father (n=129+499+813) deceased < 10 yr
Deceased parents, either or both, before child aged <10 years	Complete
Any placement in foster care before child aged <10 years	Records available FROM 1 st April 1981. Affected N=8,343 ¹
Any incarcerations for mother when child aged <10 years	Records available UNTIL 15 th October 2011. Censored N=1,572
Any incarcerations for mother when child aged \10 years	some censoring if mother deceased < 10 yr
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 0 days -<1 year	Complete; some censoring if father deceased < 1 yr
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 1 -<5 years	Complete; some censoring if father deceased < 5 yr
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 5 years -<10 years	Records available UNTIL 15 th October 2011. Censored N=1,572
Any incurrending for father when child aged 3 years -> 10 years	or if father deceased < 10 yr

Family Functioning

Child protection contact before child aged 10 years:

Child or sibling victim of >=1 substantiated abuse

Child or sibling subject of >=1 unsubstantiated notification, no substantiated cases

Neither child nor siblings subject of any notifications

Any parental corrective services contact before child aged 10 years

Records available FROM 1st July 1989. Affected N=70,474²

Records available UNTIL 15th October 2011. Censored N=1,572

¹ Children born before 1st April 1981 have censored observations for very early life. Any foster placements after this date, up to a child's 10th birthday, will still contribute to a recorded exposure for that child.

² No cases have completely missing data. Eg, for a child born in 1988, the only missing data is for their 1st year of life. Any substantiations after that, up to their 10th birthday, still contribute to a recorded exposure for that child. Additionally, maternal sibling substantiations contribute to the index child's exposure. It is estimated we are missing ~19.8% of total expected flags, based on numbers observed for children born 1989 onwards.

Family Structure

Area Level Socio-economic/demographic Environment

Value of index of socio-economic disadvantage in lowest quintile

Census-year-specific decile allocated for Census Collection District (CCD) of that

year according to mapping:

<u>Census</u>	<u>Birth years</u>
1986	1980 – 1987
1991	1988 – 1993
1996	1994 – 1998
2001	1999 - 2001

1999 – 2001 Unable to be classified N=825

Remoteness classification: remote, very remote or unknown

Remoteness value in 2001 allocated by CCD identifier in 2001. ³

Some aggregation of missing values done at postcode level.

Unable to be classified N=68

Missing CCD2001 *and* no postal area aggregation N=353⁴.

Additional missing numbers due to statistics not provided at CCD level:

Percentage of persons of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent>=25%

Percentage of persons Australian born <=75%

Percentage of persons never married >=50%

Percentage of one parent families >=20%

Unemployment rate >=5%

Percentage of percent living same residence as E years

Percentage of persons living same residence as 5 years prior <40%

³ Remoteness classifications only available from 2001 onwards, hence allocation to birth record based on 2001 CCD identifier of residence at birth.

N=202

N=211

N=211

N=255

N=202

N=202

 $^{^4}$ Postcode information allowed imputation where smaller level CCD identifiers not available for N=23,839

Percentage of persons living same residence as 1 year prior <70%		N=202
Percentage of persons living in semi-detached residences >=10%		N=213
Percentage of persons living in residences that are flats >=5%		N=213
Percentage of persons living in rented residences >=30%		N=216
Percentage of persons who do not speak English well between 1 and 2%		N=202
Has high ethnic heterogeneity		N=202
Total crime - arrest rate per capita in 2002 >=50 per 1,000 residents		
Values per Local Government Area (LGA) in 2002 allocated by 2001 LGA		
Some missing 2001 LGA mapped by postcode (which is a sub-unit of LGA)		N=5
Has more than minimal level of SEIFA inequality		
Distribution of (2001 SEIFA value for CCD 2001 identifier) over postal areas ⁵		N=8
Family Level Sociodemographic Status		
Father unknown / not registered at birth	Complete	
Father in lower skill assumption or unemployed at time of skild's high		Father not registered N=5,834
Father in lower skill occupation or unemployed at time of child's birth		Father known, occupation missing N=6,186
Mother born in low-income country or in Western Australia		Missing or irreconcilable data N=834
Child of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent	Complete	
		<u> </u>

⁵ Different mechanism to calculate than that used to allocate SEIFA quintile. The inequality approach is necessarily more blunt (all based on 2001 values).

Supplementary Table S2. Adversity exposures for children in development sample excluded or censored due to death. Counts and percentages.

			Age child	d decease	ed	
	< 2 c (exclu N=	-	>=2 days, <1 (exclud N=	l0 years	Sz diago before follo	rs, before nosis or end of w-up ored)
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 0 days -<1 year Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years Deceased parents, either or both, before child aged <10 years Any placement in foster care before child aged <10 years Any incarcerations for mother when child aged <10 years Any incarcerations for father when child aged 0 days -<1 year Any incarcerations for father when child aged 1 -<5 years Any incarcerations for father when child aged 5 years -<10 years Family Functioning	418	%		%	967	95%CI
Discontinuity in Parenting						
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	45	10.8	34	4	20	2.1
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	180	43.1	331	39.4	244	25.2
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	79	18.9	156	18.6	140	14.5
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year			149	17.7	85	8.8
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5years			93	11.1	93	9.6
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years			37	4.4	79	8.2
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 0 days -<1 year	<5	<1	8	1	15	1.6
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	14	3.3	30	3.6	47	4.9
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	17	4.1	49	5.8	57	5.9
Deceased parents, either or both, before child aged <10 years	<5	<1	27	3.2	23	2.4
Any placement in foster care before child aged <10 years			<5	<1	6	0.6
Any incarcerations for mother when child aged <10 years	5	1.2	16	1.9	12	1.2
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 0 days -<1 year	<5	<1	12	1.4	13	1.3
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 1 -<5 years	11	2.6	25	3	27	2.8
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 5 years -<10 years	10	2.4	24	2.9	42	4.3
Family Functioning						
Child protection contact before child aged 10 years:						
Child or sibling victim of >=1 substantiated abuse	15	3.6	62	7.4	48	5.0

Child or sibling subject of >=1 unsubstantiated notification, no substantiated cases	20	4.8	59	7.0	85	8.8
Neither child nor siblings subject of any notifications	383	91.6	719	85.6	834	86.2
Any parental corrective services contact before child aged 10 years	46	11.0	128	15.2	143	14.8
Family Structure						
Mother age <20 years at child's birth	32	7.7	75	8.9	98	10.1
Father age <25 years or >=45 years at child's birth	107	25.6	237	28.2	273	28.2
Child's birth order 4 th or greater	64	15.3	129	15.4	111	11.5
Family size at child's 10 th birthday:						
One, three or four children	260	62.2	526	62.6	524	54.2
Two children	56	13.4	123	14.6	331	34.2
Five or more children	102	24.4	191	22.7	112	11.6
Mother not partnered at time of child's birth	368	88.0	715	85.1	834	86.2
Area Level Socio-economic/demographic Environmenta						
Value of index of socio-economic disadvantage in lowest quintile	102	24.4	227	27.0	222	23.0
Remoteness classification: remote, very remote, or unknown	62	14.8	149	17.7	178	18.4
Percentage of persons of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent >=25%	16	3.8	56	6.7	48	5.0
Percentage of persons Australian born <=75%	302	72.4	540	64.5	654	67.7
Percentage of persons never married >=50%	14	3.4	39	4.7	40	4.1
Percentage of one parent families >=20%	135	32.4	251	30.0	284	29.4
Unemployment rate >=5%	342	82.0	642	76.7	749	77.5
Percentage of persons living same residence as 5 years prior <40%	88	21.1	207	24.7	236	24.4
Percentage of persons living same residence as 1 year prior <70%	94	22.5	194	23.2	220	22.8
Percentage of persons living in semi-detached residences >=10%	107	25.7	188	22.5	238	24.6
Percentage of persons living in residences that are flats >=5%	87	20.9	147	17.6	167	17.3
Percentage of persons living in rented residences >=30%	131	31.4	294	35.1	344	35.6
Percentage of persons who do not speak English well between 1 and 2%	28	6.7	38	4.5	45	4.7
Total crime - arrest rate in 2002 >=50 per 1,000 residents	50	12.0	105	12.5	132	13.7
Has high ethnic heterogeneity	409	98.1	810	96.8	937	97.0
Has more than minimal level of SEIFA inequality	38	9.1	127	15.2	138	14.3
Family Level Sociodemographic Status						

Father unknown / not registered at birth	39	9.3	87	10.4	67	6.9
Father in lower skill occupation or unemployed at time of child's birth	76	20.1	163	21.6	186	20.7
Mother born in low-income country or in Western Australia	239	<i>57.2</i>	530	63.1	634	65.6
Child of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent	58	13.9	135	16.1	153	15.8

Supplementary Table S3. Exposure to adversity in validation samples. Number and percentage.

	Validation sample 1			Validation sample 2					
	Child w		Child with						
	diagnosis	of Sz	Total		diagnosi	is of Sz	Total		
Adversity exposure	N=472	%	N=128,691	%	N=492	%	N=128,691	%	
Discontinuity in Parenting									
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	14	3	1188	0.9	10	2	1206	0.9	
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	138	29.2	22993	17.9	142	28.9	22992	17.9	
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	93	19.7	12380	9.6	109	22.2	12417	9.6	
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	32	6.8	3098	2.4	26	5.3	3061	2.4	
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5years	36	7.6	3230	2.5	37	7.5	3175	2.5	
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	13	2.8	1496	1.2	13	2.6	1580	1.2	
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 0 days -<1 year	5	1.1	727	0.6	5	1	706	0.5	
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	20	4.2	3695	2.9	20	4.1	3637	2.8	
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	31	6.6	4515	3.5	29	5.9	4606	3.6	
Deceased parents, either or both, before child aged <10 years	8	1.7	1545	1.2	11	2.2	1475	1.1	
Any placement in foster care before child aged <10 years	12	2.5	506	0.4	8	1.6	519	0.4	
Any incarcerations for mother when child aged <10 years	10	2.1	478	0.4	9	1.8	479	0.4	
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 0 days -<1 year	12	2.5	727	0.6	10	2	708	0.6	
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 1 -<5 years	21	4.4	1735	1.3	20	4.1	1699	1.3	
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 5 years -<10 years	10	2.1	1805	1.4	18	3.7	1697	1.3	
Family Functioning									
Child protection contact before child aged 10 years:									
Child or sibling victim of >=1 substantiated abuse	34	7.2	2556	2	33	6.7	2675	2.1	
Child or sibling subject of >=1 unsubst. notification, no substantiated cases	47	10	6074	4.7	52	10.6	5916	4.6	
Any parental corrective services contact before child aged 10 years	81	17.2	10813	8.4	77	15.7	10780	8.4	
Family Structure									
Mother age <20 years at child's birth	44	9.3	6348	4.9	49	10	6287	4.9	
Father age <25 years or >=45 years at child's birth	136	28.8	22922	17.8	142	28.9	22670	17.6	
Child's birth order 4 th or greater	69	14.6	11599	9	57	11.6	11730	9.1	

Family size at child's 10 th birthday:								
One, three or four children	256	54.3	69757	54.2	263	53.5	69676	54.1
Two children	138	29.2	49313	38.3	162	32.9	49526	38.5
Five or more children	78	16.5	9621	7.5	67	13.6	9489	7.4
Mother not partnered at time of child's birth	79	16.7	10303	8	78	15.9	10267	8
Area Level Socio-economic/demographic Environmenta								
Value of index of socio-economic disadvantage in lowest quintile	130	27.5	24248	18.8	116	23.6	24233	18.8
Remoteness classification: remote, very remote, or unknown	66	14	14412	11.2	55	11.2	14274	11.1
Percentage of persons of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent >=25%	26	5.5	2931	2.3	17	3.5	2871	2.2
Percentage of persons Australian born <=75%	326	69.1	93236	72.4	361	73.4	93058	72.3
Percentage of persons never married >=50%	34	7.2	4378	3.4	25	5.1	4248	3.3
Percentage of one parent families >=20%	174	36.9	34353	26.7	151	30.7	34340	26.7
Unemployment rate >=5%	385	81.6	100283	77.9	399	81.1	100060	77.8
Percentage of persons living same residence as 5 years prior <40%	125	26.5	28925	22.5	112	22.8	28994	22.5
Percentage of persons living same residence as 1 year prior <70%	117	24.8	25394	19.7	114	23.2	25332	19.7
Percentage of persons living in semi-detached residences >=10%	148	31.4	30351	23.6	142	28.9	30247	23.5
Percentage of persons living in residences that are flats >=5%	109	23.1	22355	17.4	112	22.8	22661	17.6
Percentage of persons living in rented residences >=30%	183	38.8	37296	29	164	33.3	37442	29.1
Percentage of persons who do not speak English well between 1 and 2%	19	4	7498	5.8	70	14.2	16712	13
Total crime - arrest rate per capita in 2002 >=50	69	14.6	16693	13	481	97.8	125416	97.5
Has high ethnic heterogeneity	457	96.8	125375	97.4	59	12	10301	8
Has more than minimal level of SEIFA inequality	61	12.9	10516	8.2	462	93.9	116006	90.1
Family Level Sociodemographic Status								
Father unknown / not registered at birth	50	10.6	4301	3.3	46	9.3	4337	3.4
Father in lower skill occupation or unemployed at time of child's birth	105	22.2	20079	15.6	83	16.9	19771	15.4
Mother born in low-income country or in Western Australia	315	66.7	76090	59.1	320	65	76052	59.1
Child of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent	92	19.5	9188	7.1	89	18.1	8916	6.9

Supplementary Table S4. Development sample observations excluded from final model parameter estimation due to large influence. N=27 observations, all with schizophrenia diagnosis. Adversity exposure comparison with non-excluded observations.

	Exclude	d				
	observa	tions	Non ex	kclude	d observa	tions
	Child	d	Child		Child	
	with	Sz,	with S	z,	NO Sz,	
Adversity Exposure	N=27	,	N=629		N=170,932	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Discontinuity in parenting						
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	<5	<15	12	1.9	1630	1
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	17	63	205	32.6	30937	18.1
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	14	51.9	110	17.5	16431	9.6
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	7	25.9	35	5.6	4124	2.4
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5years	5	18.5	39	6.2	4175	2.4
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	<5	<15	16	2.5	1964	1.1
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 0 days -<1 year	<5	<15	9	1.4	945	0.6
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	8	29.6	30	4.8	4818	2.8
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	<5	<15	38	6	6004	3.5
Deceased parents, either or both, before child aged <10 years	<5	<5	11	1.7	2065	1.2
Any placement in foster care before child aged <10 years	5	18.5	11	1.7	692	0.4
Any incarcerations for mother when child aged <10 years	<5	<15	8	1.3	646	0.4
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 0 days -<1 year	<5	<15	10	1.6	882	0.5
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 1 -<5 years	6	22.2	19	3	2214	1.3
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 5 years -<10 years	6	22.2	19	3	2308	1.4
Family functioning						
Child protection contact before child aged 10 years:						
Child or sibling victim of >=1 substantiated abuse	7	25.9	38	6	3388	2
Child or sibling subject of >=1 unsubstantiated						
notification, no substantiated cases	6	22.2	55	8.7	8046	4.7
Neither child nor siblings subject of any notifications	14	51.9	536	85.3	159498	93.3
Any parental corrective services contact before child aged 10 years	12	48	78	12.4	14291	8.4
Family structure						
Mother age <20 years at child's birth	14	51.9	53	8.4	8418	4.9
Father age <25 years or >=45 years at child's birth	8	29.6	183	29.1	30284	17.7
Child's birth order 4 th or greater	3	11.1	78	12.4	15442	9
Family size at child's 10 th birthday:						
One, three or four children	19	70.4	364	57.9	92540	54.1
Two children	<5	<15	180	28.6	65776	38.5
Five or more children	5	18.5	85	13.5	12616	7.4
Mother not partnered at time of child's birth	9	33.3	96	15.3	13137	7.7
Area Level Socio-economic/demographic Environment						
Value of index of socio-economic disadvantage in lowest quintile	14	51.9	168	26.6	32494	19
Remoteness classification: remote, very remote, or unknown	9	33.3	90	14.3	19159	11.2

Percentage of persons of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent >=25%	<5	<15	27	4.3	3745	2.2
Percentage of persons Australian born <=75%	14	51.9	467	74	124098	72.6
Percentage of persons never married >=50%	<5	<15	44	7	5880	3.4
Percentage of one parent families >=20%	14	51.9	204	32.3	45679	26.7
Unemployment rate >=5%	17	63	512	81.1	133588	78.2
Percentage of persons living same residence as 5 years prior <40%	10	37	158	25	38710	22.6
Percentage of persons living same residence as 1 year prior <70%	10	37	159	25.2	34021	19.9
Percentage of persons living in semi-detached residences >=10%	12	44.4	182	28.8	40282	23.6
Percentage of persons living in residences that are flats >=5%	6	22.2	146	23.1	30214	17.7
Percentage of persons living in rented residences >=30%	17	63	236	37.4	50010	29.3
Percentage of persons who do not speak English well between 1 and 2%	<5	<15	103	16.3	21896	12.8
Total crime - arrest rate per capita in 2002 >=50	<5	<15	621	98.4	167004	97.7
Has high ethnic heterogeneity	7	25.9	85	13.5	13696	8
Has more than minimal level of SEIFA inequality	20	74.1	579	91.8	154697	90.5
Family Level Sociodemographic Status						
Father unknown / not registered at birth	<5	<15	49	7.8	5833	3.4
Father in lower skill occupation or unemployed at time of child's birth	11	40.7	129	20.4	26701	15.6
Mother born in low-income country or in Western Australia	21	77.8	413	65.5	101412	59.3
Child of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander descent	14	51.9	117	18.5	12156	7.1

Supplementary Table S5. Parameter estimates derived from development sample including observations with large influence. Comparison with optimum models. Hazard ratios.

Model M1 - Exposure to <i>Discontin</i>	wity in paranting and risk of	Influential observations included	Influential observations excluded
schizophrenia. N= 2 excluded	uity in parenting and risk of	HR	HR
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days who	en child aged 1 - <5 years	1.55	1.55
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days whe	•	1.40	1.39
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when	child aged 15 days -<1 year	1.72	1.67
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when	child aged 1 -<5 years	1.38	1.40
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when	child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.38	1.39
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when	n child aged 1 -<5 years	1.46	1.43
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when	n child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.36	1.35
Any placement in foster care before	e child aged <10 years	4.95	4.71
Any incarcerations for father when	n child aged 1 - <5 years	1.72	1.80
Any incarcerations for father when	n child aged 5 years - <10 years	1.65	1.55
Model M2 - Exposure to Family full N=0 excluded.	nctioning and risk of schizophrenia.		
no observations were excluded fro	om optimum domain model		
Model M3 - Exposure to <i>Family st</i> N=12 excluded.	ructure and risk of schizophrenia.		
Father age < 25 years or >=45 year	s at child's birth	1.51	1.55
Family size at child's 10 th birthday:			
One, three or four childrer	1	1.35	1.32
Two children		2.36	2.34
Five or more children		1.72	1.67
Mother not partnered at time of c		1.51	1.55
Model M4 - Exposure to Area leve			
environment and risk of schizophr			
Value of index of socio-economic of	•	1.38	1.39
Percentage of persons never marri		1.57	1.54
Percentage of one parent families		1.13	1.14
Percentage of persons living in res	idences that are flats >=5%	1.20	1.21
Percentage of persons who do not spe	eak English well between 1 and 2%	1.30	1.31
Has high ethnic heterogeneity		1.40	1.40
	le to interpolate domain exposures	2.53	1.00
Model M5 - Exposure to Family le	.		
risk of schizophrenia. N=0 exclude			
no observations were excluded fro	m opumum aomain moaei		
Global model. N=27 excluded	calculated as log (UD) of M4	2.22	4.00
Discontinuity in parenting	calculated as log (HR) of M1	2.03	1.93
Family functioning	calculated as log (HR) of M2	1.92	1.90
Family structure	calculated as log (HR) of M3	1.58	1.67

Area level socio-economic/demograph	ic environment		
	calculated as log (HR) of M4	1.95	1.99
Family level sociodemographic status	calculated as log (HR) of M5	1.52	1.52
Interaction: Discontinuity in parenting	and Area level socio-		
economic/demographic environment			
calculated as {log (HR) of M1} x {log (HR) of M4}	0.68	0.58
Interaction: Family functioning and Fan	nily structure		
calculated as {log (HR) of M2 $\}$ x {log (HR) of M3 $\}$	0.67	0.64

Supplementary Table S6. Elementary validations for models. Robustness of estimated Cox model hazard ratios - comparison with estimated logistic regression odds ratios (Ratios and 95% Confidence intervals). Proportional hazards validity – test for non-zero correlation of Schoenfeld residuals with time (P values).

	Сох		
	Proportional	Logistic	Proportional
	Hazards	Regression	hazards
Model M1 - Exposure to <i>Discontinuity in parenting</i> and risk of			
schizophrenia	HR 95%CI	OR 95%CI	P value
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 - <5 years	1.56 (1.32-1.84)	1.81 (1.52-2.14)	0.976
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.38 (1.13-1.70)	1.49 (1.21-1.84)	0.821
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	1.67 (1.18-2.37)	1.66 (1.17-2.36)	0.083
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	1.41 (1.00-1.99)	1.53 (1.08-2.17)	0.638
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.39 (0.86-2.23)	1.46 (0.90-2.36)	0.907
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	1.43 (1.02-2.01)	1.53 (1.08-2.16)	0.107
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.34 (0.97-1.87)	1.37 (0.99-1.91)	0.121
Any placement in foster care before child aged <10 years	4.70 (2.75-8.01)	3.27 (1.90-5.62)	0.448
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 1 - <5 years	1.80 (1.08-2.99)	1.71 (1.02-2.86)	0.928
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 5 years - <10 years	1.56 (0.93-2.62)	1.42 (0.84-2.40)	0.893
Model M2 - Exposure to Family functioning and risk of schizophrenia			
Child protection contact before child aged 10 years:			
Child or sibling subject of >= 1 notification, no substantiated abuse	2.17 (1.64-2.86)	2.09 (1.58-2.75)	0.094
Child or sibling victim of >=1 substantiated abuse	3.51 (2.55-4.82)	3.56 (2.58-4.91)	0.075
No notification for any child or sibling within family	Reference	Reference	-
Any parental corrective services contact before child aged 10 years	1.80 (1.42-2.29)	1.47 (1.16-1.87)	0.728
Model M3 - Exposure to Family structure and risk of schizophrenia			
Father age < 25 years or >=45 years at child's birth	1.56 (1.29-1.88)	1.61 (1.34-1.95)	0.678
Family size at child's 10 th birthday:			
One, three or four children	1.32 (1.11-1.58)	1.38 (1.15-1.64)	0.189
Two children	Reference	Reference	-
Five or more children	2.35 (1.82-3.02)	2.40 (1.86-3.10)	0.288

Mother not partnered at time of child'	s birth	1.66 (1.31-2.10)	1.63 (1.29-2.06)	0.087
Model M4 - Exposure to Area level so		,	, ,	
environment and risk of schizophrenia				
Value of index of socio-economic disac	lvantage in lowest quintile	1.39 (1.13-1.70)	1.31 (1.07-1.61)	0.951
Percentage of persons never married >	=50%	1.53 (1.12-2.11)	1.58 (1.15-2.17)	0.377
Percentage of one parent families >=20	0%	1.13 (0.95-1.35)	1.13 (0.94-1.35)	0.348
Percentage of persons living in residen	ces that are flats >=5%	1.20 (1.00-1.46)	1.26 (1.04-1.52)	0.377
Percentage of persons who do not speak E	nglish well between 1 and 2%	1.31 (1.06-1.61)	1.33 (1.08-1.64)	0.245
Has high ethnic heterogeneity		1.40 (1.09-1.79)	1.48 (1.15-1.89)	0.397
Model M5 - Exposure to Family level s	ociodemographic status and			
risk of schizophrenia				
Father unknown / not registered at bir	th	1.63 (1.20-2.23)	1.66 (1.22-2.27)	0.158
Father in lower skill occupation or une	mployed at time of child's birth	1.36 (1.12-1.66)	1.32 (1.09-1.61)	0.602
Mother born in low-income country or	in Western Australia	1.18 (1.00-1.39)	1.17 (1.00-1.39)	0.326
Child of Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islan	nder descent	2.81 (2.27-3.47)	2.75 (2.23-3.41)	0.898
Global model				
Discontinuity in parenting	calculated as log (HR) of M1	1.93 (1.52-2.45)	2.27 (1.80-2.86)	0.827
Family functioning	calculated as log (HR) of M2	1.90 (1.36-2.66)	1.55 (1.10-2.17)	0.913
Family structure	calculated as log (HR) of M3	1.66 (1.31-2.11)	1.78 (1.40-2.25)	0.692
Area level socio-economic/demograph	ic environment			
	calculated as log (HR) of M4	1.99 (1.46-2.70)	2.05 (1.51-2.78)	0.480
Family level sociodemographic status	calculated as log (HR) of M5	1.53 (1.26-1.86)	1.47 (1.21-1.79)	0.962
Interaction: Discontinuity in parenting	and Area level socio-			
economic/demographic environment				
	of M1} x {log (HR) of M4}	0.58 (0.37-0.90)	0.55 (0.36-0.85)	0.546
Interaction: Family functioning and Far	•			
calculated as {log (HR)	of M2} x {log (HR) of M3}	0.65 (0.45-0.93)	0.64 (0.44-0.93)	0.551

Supplementary Table S7. Risk of developing schizophrenia following exposure to adversity across five domains. Alternative Global models. Hazard ratios and 95%Confidence intervals.

Alternative global model G_	1	HR	95%CI
A1 Discontinuity in parenting	-calculated as log (HR) of M1	1.58	(1.32-1.89)
A2 Family functioning	-calculated as log (HR) of M2	1.34	(1.09-1.65)
A3 Family structure	-calculated as log (HR) of M3	1.54	(1.24-1.91)
A4 Area level socio-economic	c/demographic environment		
	-calculated as log (HR) of M4	1.60	(1.23-2.07)
A5 Family level sociodemogra	aphic status		
	-calculated as log (HR) of M5	1.49	(1.22-1.81)
Alternative global model G_2	2	HR	95%CI
A1 Discontinuity in parenting	-calculated as log (HR) of M1_i ^a	2.29	(1.71-3.08)
A2 Family functioning	-calculated as log (HR) of M2	2.37	(1.62-3.48)
A3 Family structure	-calculated as log (HR) of M3	1.65	(1.29-2.1)
A4 Area level socio-economic	c/demographic environment		
	-calculated as log (HR) of M4_i ^a	1.78	(1.39-2.28)
A5 Family level sociodemogra	aphic status		
	-calculated as log (HR) of M5	1.66	(1.28-2.17)
A1.A2 Interaction – {log	g (HR) of M1_i} x {log (HR) of M2}	0.69	(0.47-1.004)
A1.A5 Interaction – {log	g (HR) of M1_i} x {log (HR) of M5}	0.71	(0.5-1.01)
A2.A3 Interaction – {log	g (HR) of M2} x {log (HR) of M3}	0.56	(0.36-0.87)

^aAlternative model parameterisations for risk of developing schizophrenia following exposure to adversity across domains A1 – Discontinuity in parenting and A4 – Area level socio-economic/demographic environment. Hazard ratios and 95% Confidence intervals.

Model M1_i - Exposure to <i>Discontinuity in parenting</i> and risk of schizophrenia	HR	95%CI
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 - <5 years	1.67	1.39 – 2.02
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.72	1.31 – 2.25
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year	1.89	1.25 – 2.86
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	1.65	1.12 - 2.43
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.35	0.82 - 2.22
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years	1.30	0.90 - 1.87
Father hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	1.35	0.96 - 1.89
Any placement in foster care before child aged <10 years	3.11	1.58 – 6.11
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 1 - <5 years	1.43	0.80 - 2.54
Any incarcerations for father when child aged 5 years - <10 years	1.57	0.90 - 2.76
Interaction: Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 1 -<5 years		
AND		
Mother hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 -<10 years	0.64	0.43 - 0.97
Interaction: Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 15 days -<1 year		
AND		
Child hospitalised >= 8 days when child aged 5 years -<10 years	0.58	0.26 - 1.29
Model M4_i - Exposure to Area level socio-economic/demographic environment		
and risk of schizophrenia	HR	95%CI
Value of index of socio-economic disadvantage in lowest quintile	1.57	1.28 - 1.94
Percentage of persons never married >=50%	2.24	1.44 - 3.48
Percentage of persons living in residences that are flats >=5%	1.28	1.05 - 1.56

Percentage of persons who do not speak English well between 1 and 2%	1.45	1.15 - 1.83
Has high ethnic heterogeneity	1.32	1.02 - 1.70
Interaction: Percentage of persons never married >=50%		
AND		
Percentage of persons living in residences that are flats >=5%	0.46	0.24 - 0.87
Interaction: Value of index of socio-economic disadvantage in lowest quintile		
AND		
Percentage of persons who do not speak English well between 1 and 2%	0.58	0.34 - 1.01

Supplementary Table S8. Alternative Global Cox model parameterisations describing risk of schizophrenia following exposure to adversity. Harrell's Concordance statistics.

	Harrell's Co	ncordance	
Model describing risk of schizophrenia following exposure to adversity	Validation V		
widder describing risk of schizophrenia following exposure to adversity	set 1	set 2	
Global model G_1, as defined in Table S8	0.655	0.624	
Global model G_2, as defined in Table S8	0.658	0.623	

Supplementary Figure S1. Distributions of predicted log hazard ratios for five domain models and Global model, derived from development sample.

