**Supplementary Materials**

**Table S1. Complete case analysis: simple and multiple logistic regression models showing the effects of VP/VLBW/EP and victimisation on PE, as well as showing the interaction between VP/VLBW/EP and victimisation.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Suspected or definite PE** | **Suspected or definite PE** | **Suspected or definite PE** |
|  | **Unadjusted** | **Adjusted for SES and sex** | **Adjusted for SES and sex** |
|  | **Odds ratio (95%CI)** | **p-value** | **Odds ratio (95%CI)** | **p-value** | **Odds ratio (95%CI)** | **p-value** |
| **BLS (N=364)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **VP/VLBW** | 1.65 (0.91 – 3.00) | 0.100 | 1.50 (0.81 – 2.78) | 0.197 | 0.93 (0.21 – 4.12) | 0.923 |
| **Victimisation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-involved | [Reference] |  | [Reference] |  | [Reference] |  |
| Victim at one time period | 3.10 (1.36 – 7.08) | **0.007++** | 2.99 (1.31 – 6.85) | **0.010++** | 1.92 (0.63 – 4.12) | 0.253 |
| Victim at both time periods | 4.81 (1.94 – 11.92) | **0.001++** | 4.55 (1.81 – 11.41) | **0.001++** | 5.01 (1.43 – 17.58) | **0.012+** |
| **VP/VLBW x victim at one period** | - | **-** | - | **-** | 2.47 (0.44 – 13.72) | 0.302 |
| **VP/VLBW x victim at both periods** | - | **-** | - | **-** | 0.97 (0.15 – 6.30) | 0.976 |
| **EPICure (N=149)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **EP** | 2.81 (0.60 – 13.21) | 0.191 | 1.65 (0.30 – 9.05) | 0.564 | 0.78 (0.13 – 4.65) | 0.786 |
| **Victimisation** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-involved | [Reference] |  | [Reference] |  | [Reference] |  |
| Victim at one time period | 2.00 (0.48 – 8.42) | 0.344 | 1.48 (0.31 – 7.00) | 0.620 | 1.10 (0.04 – 29.79) | 0.956 |
| Victim at both time periods | 6.25 (1.51 – 25.86) | **0.011+** | 5.40 (1.14 – 25.66) | **0.034+** | 2.10 (0.05 – 90.68) | 0.698 |
| **EP x victim at one period** | - | **-** | - | **-** | 1.83 (0.05 – 72.13) | 0.748 |
| **EP x victim at both periods** | - | **-** | - | **-** | 3.20 (0.05 – 190.64) | 0.576 |
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**Table S2. Complete case analysis: simple and multiple ordinal logistic regression models showing the effects of VP/VLBW/EP on victimisation**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Victimisation****Unadjusted** | **Victimisation****Adjusted for SES and sex** |
|  | **Odds ratio (95%CI)** | **p-value** | **Odds ratio (95%CI)** | **p-value** |
| **BLS (N=364)** |  |  |  |  |
| **VP/VLBW** | 1.34 (1.06 – 1.69) | **0.014+** | 1.32 (1.04 – 1.66) | **0.021+** |
| **EPICure (N=149)** |  |  |  |  |
| **EP** | 2.07 (1.34 – 3.23) | **0.001++** | 1.99 (1.28 – 3.13) | **0.002++** |
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## **Fig S1. Mediation model showing association between VP/VLBW/EP, victimisation and PE**
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