

checkCIF/PLATON report

Structure factors have been supplied for datablock(s) I

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

No syntax errors found. CIF dictionary Interpreting this report

Datablock: I

Bond precision:	= 0.0000 A	Wavelength=0.71073
Cell:	a=10.3734 (8)	b=10.3734 (8) c=20.6910 (12)
	alpha=90	beta=90 gamma=120
Temperature:	293 K	
	Calculated	Reported
Volume	1928.2 (3)	1928.2 (2)
Space group	R -3	R -3
Hall group	-R 3	-R 3
Moiety formula	Mg6.90 O114 Ti49.23 Zr4.77, 2.631(Sr)	?
Sum formula	Mg6.90 O114 Sr2.63 Ti49.23 Zr4.77	Sr Zr Ti18 Mg2 O38
Mr	5014.08	1751.50
Dx, g cm ⁻³	4.318	4.525
Z	1	3
Mu (mm ⁻¹)	7.412	8.387
F000	2368.7	2369.0
F000'	2365.44	
h, k, lmax	13, 13, 26	13, 13, 26
Nref	1000	1001
Tmin, Tmax	0.747, 0.778	0.619, 1.000
Tmin'	0.428	

Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.619 Tmax=1.000

AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN

Data completeness= 1.001

Theta(max)= 27.550

R(reflections)= 0.0285(781)

wR2(reflections)=
0.0612(1001)

S = 1.340

Npar= 116

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format

test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

Alert level A

PLAT051_ALERT_1_A Mu(calc) and Mu(CIF) Ratio Differs from 1.0 by . 11.62 %

Author Response: In order to estimate mean atomic numbers of mixed sites, the scattering factors of dominant cations were used together with refinement of occupancies, which led to the discrepancy between reported (ideal) and refined formulas.

PLAT075_ALERT_1_A Occupancy 1.150 Greater Than 1.0 for T

Author Response: In order to estimate mean atomic number of mixed T site, the scattering factor of Mg (as dominant cation) was used together with occupancy refinement. Since other cations of this mixed site have higher Z, the effective occupancy becomes > 1.

Alert level B

ABSMU01_ALERT_1_B The ratio of given/expected absorption coefficient lies
outside the range 0.95 <> 1.05
Calculated value of mu = 7.891
Value of mu given = 8.387

Author Response: In order to estimate mean atomic numbers of mixed sites, the scattering factors of dominant cations were used together with refinement of occupancies, which led to the discrepancy between reported (ideal) and refined formulas.

PLAT043_ALERT_1_B Calculated and Reported Mol. Weight Differ by .. 240.42 Check

Author Response: In order to estimate mean atomic numbers of mixed sites, the scattering factors of dominant cations were used together with refinement of occupancies, which led to the discrepancy between reported (ideal) and refined formulas.

● Alert level C

CHEMW01_ALERT_1_C The ratio of given/expected molecular weight as calculated
from the _chemical_formula_sum lies outside
the range 0.99 <> 1.01
Calculated formula weight = 1697.6272
Formula weight given = 1751.5000

PLAT041_ALERT_1_C	Calc. and Reported SumFormula	Strings Differ	Please Check
PLAT077_ALERT_4_C	Unitcell Contains Non-integer Number of Atoms ..		Please Check
PLAT088_ALERT_3_C	Poor Data / Parameter Ratio	8.63	Note
PLAT094_ALERT_2_C	Ratio of Maximum / Minimum Residual Density	2.29	Report
PLAT906_ALERT_3_C	Large K Value in the Analysis of Variance	4.242	Check

● Alert level G

FORMU01_ALERT_2_G There is a discrepancy between the atom counts in the
_chemical_formula_sum and the formula from the _atom_site* data.
Atom count from _chemical_formula_sum: Mg2 O38 Sr1 Ti18 Zr1
Atom count from the _atom_site data: Mg2.3 O38 Sr0.877 Ti16.41 Zr1.59

CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G Difference between formula and atom_site contents detected.
CELLZ01_ALERT_1_G ALERT: Large difference may be due to a
symmetry error - see SYMMG tests
From the CIF: _cell_formula_units_Z 3
From the CIF: _chemical_formula_sum Sr Zr Ti18 Mg2 O38
TEST: Compare cell contents of formula and atom_site data

atom	Z*formula	cif sites	diff
Sr	3.00	2.63	0.37
Zr	3.00	4.77	-1.77
Ti	54.00	49.23	4.77
Mg	6.00	6.90	-0.90
O	114.00	114.00	0.00

PLAT004_ALERT_5_G	Polymeric Structure Found with Maximum Dimension	2	Info
PLAT005_ALERT_5_G	No Embedded Refinement Details Found in the CIF		Please Do !
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of A	as	SR
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of B	as	ZR
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of C1	as	TI
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of C2	as	TI
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of C3	as	TI
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of T	as	MG
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of X1	as	ZR
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of X2	as	ZR
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of X3	as	ZR
PLAT017_ALERT_1_G	Check Scattering Type Consistency of X4	as	ZR
PLAT045_ALERT_1_G	Calculated and Reported Z Differ by a Factor ...	0.333	Check
PLAT068_ALERT_1_G	Reported F000 Differs from Calcd (or Missing)...		Please Check
PLAT199_ALERT_1_G	Reported _cell_measurement_temperature (K)	293	Check
PLAT200_ALERT_1_G	Reported _diffrn_ambient_temperature (K)	293	Check
PLAT301_ALERT_3_G	Main Residue Disorder(Resd 1)	32%	Note
PLAT302_ALERT_4_G	Anion/Solvent/Minor-Residue Disorder (Resd 2)	100%	Note
PLAT720_ALERT_4_G	Number of Unusual/Non-Standard Labels	10	Note
PLAT811_ALERT_5_G	No ADDSYM Analysis: Too Many Excluded Atoms		! Info
PLAT883_ALERT_1_G	No Info/Value for _atom_sites_solution_primary .		Please Do !
PLAT910_ALERT_3_G	Missing # of FCF Reflection(s) Below Theta(Min).	1	Note
PLAT966_ALERT_5_G	Note: Non-Standard (i.e. 2.0) OMIT Threshold of	3.0	Sig(I)
PLAT992_ALERT_5_G	Repd & Actual _reflns_number_gt Values Differ by	2	Check

2	ALERT level A	= Most likely a serious problem - resolve or explain
2	ALERT level B	= A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
6	ALERT level C	= Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
27	ALERT level G	= General information/check it is not something unexpected
23	ALERT type 1	CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
2	ALERT type 2	Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
4	ALERT type 3	Indicator that the structure quality may be low
3	ALERT type 4	Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
5	ALERT type 5	Informative message, check

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

