1	Supplementary material
2	
3	Metabolic rate thermal plasticity in the marine annelid Ophryotrocha labronica across two
4	successive generations
5	
6	Gloria Massamba N'Siala ^{*1,3} , Marie Hélène Carignan ¹ , Piero Calosi ¹ , Fanny Noisette ^{1,2}
7	
8	¹ Département de Biologie, Chimie et Géographie, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 300 Allée des
9	Ursulines, Rimouski, QC G5L 3A1, Canada
10	² Institut des Sciences de la Mer, Université du Québec à Rimouski, 310 Allée des Ursulines,
11	Rimouski, QC G5L 3A1, Canada
12	³ Centre d'Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Evolutive (CEFE–CNRS), UMR 5175, Montpellier Cedex 5,
13	France
14	
15	*Corresponding author: Gloria Massamba N'Siala, massamba.gloria@gmail.com
16	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0904-5208
17	

- 2
- 20 Appendix S1. Mean values and standard deviation (SD) of temperature and salinity conditions experienced by
- 21 specimens of the marine annelid *Ophryotrocha labronica*, based on measurements performed every 2 d and
- 22 averaged over the entire exposure time. n = sample size.

23

Tempera	ture (°C)		Salinity			
Mean	± SD	n	Mean	± SD	n	
20.6	1.97	84	32.4	1.3	81	
24.1	0.36	95	33.2	1.9	86	
26.3	0.47	114	32.4	1.8	113	
29.3	0.59	156	32.7	2.3	124	

24

26 Appendix S2. Linearity of the relationship between individuals' oxygen uptake and incubation time

27 Metabolic rates (MO₂) were quantified in our study by measuring the oxygen (O₂) uptake taken at two single

- 28 moments along the incubation period. The reliability of this approach was assessed by testing the linearity of the
- relationship between O₂ uptake and incubation time (Marginal R² / Conditional R² = 0.91 / 0.96, df = 1, $P < 10^{-10}$
- 30 0.001, Fig. S1) for a subset of 18 specimens of *Ophryotrocha labronica* not used for the experiment.
- 31 Specifically, individual O₂ uptake was measured at 24 °C every 30 min for approx. 3.5 h (between 100 and 50 %
- 32 air saturation) and quantified as the difference between the O_2 concentration measured at the beginning of the
- trial and that measured at a specific time along the incubation. Values were corrected for the background
- 34 respiration by subtracting the mean O₂ uptake measured at each round in six "blank" vials. The relationship
- between individual O₂ uptake and time was examined using a linear mixed model that included individual
- identity as random effect. Residuals were normally distributed (Shapiro test, P > 0.05) and homogeneity of
- 37 variance was indicated by a random pattern in the residuals *versus* fitted plot.
- 38

- 40 Fig. S1. Relationship between O_2 uptake and incubation time in individuals of *Ophryotrocha labronica* exposed 41 to 24 °C (n = 18). Solid, black circles represent $[O_2]$ measurements performed in a single vial, the black
- 42 continuous line represents the regression line, and 95 % CI are indicated by the grey area.



43 44

45 Appendix S3. Validation of the metabolic rate measurements

46 Validation of the data on metabolic rates (MO₂) of Ophryotrocha labronica was achieved by comparing our 47 measurements, obtained at 24 °C on 18 specimens used for assessing the linearity of the relationship between 48 oxygen concentration and incubation time (see for details Appendix S2), with those found in the literature from 49 other marine annelid species from temperate regions. Only species exposed to 24 or 25 °C were selected for 50 further analyses: Alitta succinea (mass 187.5 mg, Sturdivant et al. 2015), Amphiglena mediterranea (mass 0.483 51 mg, Calosi et al. 2013a), Lysidice collaris (mass 10.9 mg, Calosi et al. 2013a), Lysidice ninetta (mass 7.8 mg, 52 Calosi et al. 2013a), Neanthes japonica (mass 620.0 - 2340.0 mg, (Liu et al. 2009)), Platynereis dumerilii (mass 53 21.3 mg, Calosi et al. 2013a), and Polyophtalmus pictus (mass 7.2 mg, Calosi et al. 2013a). The relationship 54 between MO₂ and wet body mass was assessed using a linear regression model and its 95 % CI, initially 55 excluding our data. The intercept of the relationship was forced to 0, as no oxygen is consumed in the absence of 56 biomass. We considered our data validated if it was included within the 95% CI around the regression curve. 57 According to our results, MO₂ increased with wet body mass across species, following a linear trend ($R^2 = 0.99$, 58 $F_{1,8} = 766$, p < 0.001), and the predicted MO₂ value for an annelid species averaging the size of O. labronica (0.5 59 \pm 0.05 mg [mean \pm SE], Carignan, Beaudet and Debacker unpublished data) was predicted to be 0.008 μ mol O₂ 60 h^{-1} (CI = [0.007, 0.009]). The mean MO₂ value measured for *O. labronica* at 24 °C, 0.009 µmol O₂ h^{-1} , laid 61 within this interval of confidence, thus validating the reliability of our measurements (Fig. S2).

Fig. S2. Relationship between metabolic rates (MO₂) and wet body mass in temperate marine annelids exposed
to 24–25 °C. The continuous black line represents the linear regression curve, the area delimited by the dashed

- 65 lines its 95% CI, and the red triangle the mean MO₂ experimentally measured at 24 °C in our study for a subset
- 66 of individuals of *Ophryotrocha labronica* (n = 18).



```
69 References
```

- Liu Y, Xian W and Sun S (2009) Metabolism of polychaete *Neanthes japonica* Izuka: relations to temperature,
 salinity and body weight. *Chinese Journal of Oceanology and Limnology* 27(2), 356–364.
- 76 Sturdivant SK, Perchik M, Brill RW and Bushnell PG (2015) Metabolic responses of the Nereid polychaete,
- 77 Alitta succinea, to hypoxia at two different temperatures. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology
- **473**, 161–168.

<sup>Calosi P, Rastrick SP, Lombardi C, de Guzman HJ, Davidson L, Jahnke M, ... and Gambi MC (2013)
Adaptation and acclimatization to ocean acidification in marine ectotherms: an in–situ transplant experiment with
polychaetes at a shallow CO₂ vent system.</sup> *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*368(1627), 20120444.

79 Appendix S4. Summary of the results of the linear regression models with $dAIC \ge 2$ investigating the

80 relationship between metabolic rates (MO₂) and Temperature (continuous variable) across two successive

- 81 generations in *Ophryotrocha labronica*, controlling for the effect of sex and body size. Values of delta AIC
- 82 (dAIC) are provided relative to the most parsimonious model (Table 2a in the main manuscript). Predictors with
- 83 a significant relationship with MO_2 are highlighted with bold text. DF = Degrees of Freedom (numerator;
- 84 denominator). $R^2 = adjusted R$ -squares.

a) MO ₂ ~ Body size + Sex + Generation + Temperature											
Coefficient	Estimate	DF	<i>t–</i> value	<i>P</i> -value	Model summary	AIC	dAIC				
Intercept	-0.006	1;126	-1.41	0.16	$F_{4,121} = 5.22$	-1069.1	2.05				
Body size	0.0003	1;126	1.76	0.08	$R^2 = 0.10$						
Sex	-0.001	1;126	-1.42	0.16	<i>P</i> -value = 0.002						
Generation	0.0001	1;126	0.18	0.86							
Temperature	0.0003	1;126	2.79	0.006							
b) MO ₂ ~ Body size + Generation * Temperature											
Coefficient	Estimate	DF	<i>t–</i> value	<i>P</i> -value	Model summary	AIC	dAIC				
Intercept	-0.01	1;126	-1.52	0.13	$F_{4,121} = 4.04$	-1067.7	3.44				
Body size	0.001	1;126	2.87	0.005	$R^2 = 0.09$						
Generation	-0.004	1;126	-0.74	0.46	<i>P</i> -value = 0.004						
Temperature	0.0002	1;126	1.51	0.13							
Generation * Temperature	0.0001	1;126	0.73	0.46							
c) MO ₂ ~ Body size + Sex + Generation * Temperature											
Coefficient	Estimate	DF	<i>t</i> – value	<i>P</i> -value	Model summary	AIC	dAIC				
Intercept	-0.004	1;126	-0.89	0.37	$F_{5,120} = 3.64$	-1067.7	3.41				
Body size	0.0003	1;126	1.81	0.07	$R^2 = 0.10$						
Sex	-0.001	1;126	-1.4	0.16	<i>P</i> -value = 0.004						
Generation	-0.004	1;126	-0.68	0.5							
Temperature	0.0002	1;126	1.48	0.14							
Generation * Temperature	0.0001	1;126	0.7	0.48							
d) MO ₂ ~ Body size + Sex + Generation											
Coefficient	Estimate	DF	<i>t–</i> value	<i>P</i> -value	Model summary	AIC	dAIC				
Intercept	0.002	1;126	0.78	0.44	$F_{3,122} = 3.17$	-1063.4	7.76				
Body size	0.0003	1;126	1.37	0.17	$R^2 = 0.05$						
Sex	-0.001	1;126	-1.54	0.13	P-value = 0.03						
Generation	0.0004	1;126	0.63	0.53							
e) MO ₂ ~ Body size + Generation											
Coefficient	Estimate	DF	<i>t–</i> value	<i>P</i> -value	Model summary	AIC	dAIC				
Intercept	-0.0003	1;126	-0.11	0.91	$F_{2,123} = 3.54$	-1063	8.17				
Body size	0.0004	1;126	2.44	0.02	$R^2 = 0.04$						
Generation	0.0002	1;126	0.37	0.71	P-value = 0.03						