1	Growth rate and behaviour in permanently separated, daily separated or non-separated
2	kids and the corresponding milk production of their mothers
3	
4	Madeleine Högberg, Louise Winblad von Walter, Eva Hydbring-Sandberg, Björn Forkman
5	and Kristina Dahlborn
6	
7	
8	SUPPLEMENTARY FILE
9	
10	Supplementary explanation of how the kids were divided into different treatments
11	If the goats delivered twins, one male and one female, the female remained with their mother
12	in DAY-SEP or NON-SEP treatment while the male was allocated to the SEP treatment. If the
13	twins were of the same gender (two female kids or two male kids), the firstborn was placed in
14	SEP treatment and the other kid remained in the same treatment as their mother (DAY-SEP or
15	NON-SEP). Unfortunately, one of the DAY-SEP goats had to be euthanized nine days after
16	parturition due to udder problems; therefore, one of the other goats in this treatment had to
17	retain both of her kids (Supplementary Table S1).
10	

19 **Supplermentary Table S1**. Participating goats and kids in the different treatments SEP (9 \Diamond , 20 1 \bigcirc , separated from day 5), DAY-SEP (3 \Diamond , 3 \bigcirc , separated daytime between 7.30 – 15:00 h),

and NON-SEP (1 $^{\wedge}$, 5 $^{\circ}_{+}$, kept with mother 24h).

22

Goats	Lactation	Treatment (Goats)	Kids, nr and gender	Treatment (Kids)
1	3	DAY-SEP	1, 🖒	DAY-SEP
			2, ්	SEP
2	1	NON-SEP	3, ♀	NON-SEP
			4, 🖒	SEP
3	1	DAY-SEP	5, 🔿	DAY-SEP
			6, 🔿	SEP
			7, 🔿	SEP
4	3	NON-SEP	8,♀	NON-SEP
			9 🕈	SEP
5	2	DAY-SEP	10, ♀	DAY-SEP
6ŧ	2	-	$11, \circ$	SEP
			12, 👌	SEP
7	2	NON-SEP	13, ♀	NON-SEP
			14, ♀	SEP
8	3	NON-SEP	15, ♀	NON-SEP
			16, 👌	SEP
9	3	DAY-SEP	17, 👌	DAY-SEP
			18, 💍	SEP
10	2	NON-SEP	19, ♀	NON-SEP
11*	3	DAY-SEP	20,♀	DAY-SEP
			21, ♀	DAY-SEP
12	2	NON-SEP	22, 👌	NON-SEP

23

²⁴ [‡] euthanized nine days after parturition

²⁵ * Stayed together with two kids and were therefore not included in statistics for milk yield

and composition $\,^{\circ}$, kept with mother 24h).

27

28

- 29 Measurements of kids behaviour
- 30 Home pen behaviour was measured in all kids between 7.00 19.00 h at two weeks and two
- 31 months of age. Because birth date varied by one month, testing was performed on 3 occasions
- 32 for each age. Observed behaviour and their definitions are presented in Supplementary Table
- 33 S2
- 34
- 35 Supplementary Table S2. Definitions of observed behaviours in kids, between 7.00 19.00
- h at 2 weeks and 2 months of age.

Behaviour and position		Definition
Behaviour	Active	Standing, moving, walking, trotting, running, rearing, playing or climbing (bars)
	Passive (resting)	Lying down resting with eyes open or closed
Social position	Alone	Kid performing active or passive behavior alone
	With mother	Kid performing active or passive behavior close to mother (less than one meter)
	With other kid/goat	Kid performing active or passive behaviours close (less than one meter) to other kids or goats (ev herd members)

37

38

- 39 Milk sampling and analyses
- 40 The goats were milked every day for 70 days and milk samples were collected twice daily
- 41 during different days (D) of lactation: D8, D12, D14, D19, D22, D29, D33, D36, D40, D50
- 42 and D70. The casein samples were collected on D36, D40, D70, D75.
- 43 The casein concentration was separated from the whey proteins by a rennet coagulation
- 44 method, for further explanation se the supplementary file.
- 45 60 μ l of CaCl2 (CaCl2 48% = 80g CaCl2 + 100 ml H2O) was added to 40 ml plastic
- tubes (to increase the syneresis). 20 ml of fresh milk was added to the tubes and pre-
- 47 heated to 40° C in a water bath. Addition of 200 µl standard rennet (Kemikalia AB,
- 48 Skurup, Sweden) containing 75% chymosin and 25% pepsin was added to the tubes
- 49 during gently stirring. The samples were set to coagulate for 5 minutes. The curd (caseins)
- 50 was cut into pieces and post-heated for another 5 minutes in the water bath. The curd was
- 51 filtrated from the whey proteins and the whey yield was measured (ml). The whey was

- collected in 10 ml plastic tubes and one drop of a special detergent (Triton $X^{\textcircled{R}}$ -100, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was added to the whey samples. They were heated to 40° C in a water bath before the mid-infrared spectroscopy analysis. The proportion of casein was finally calculated from the proportion of whey protein and the total protein content due to a formula (Miris AB, Uppsala, Sweden): Fat (%) / Fat density (0.93) + protein x casein- factor (0.7) /protein density (1.11) = X 100 - X / 100 = Y.
- 60
- 61
- 62