

1 **Influence of sucrose reduction on fouling during the production of dulce de leche**

2

3 Erica F. Mauricio<sup>1</sup>, Júlia D. A. Francisquini<sup>1</sup>, Igor L. de Paula<sup>2</sup>, José de C. C. Junior<sup>1</sup>,  
4 Luiz F. C. de Oliveira<sup>2</sup>, Rodrigo Stephani<sup>2</sup>, Antônio F. de Carvalho<sup>1</sup> and Ítalo T.  
5 Perrone<sup>3\*</sup>.

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Food Technology, Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil.

8 <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Juiz De Fora, Juiz De Fora, Brazil.

9 <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, Federal University of Juiz De Fora, Juiz De Fora, Brazil.

10

11 Short title: **Fouling according to sucrose reduction in dulce de leche**

12

13 \*Correspondence: Ítalo T. Perrone

14 Faculty of Pharmacy

15 Federal University of Juiz De Fora

16 Rua José Lourenço Kelmer, s/n

17 São Pedro, Juiz de Fora - MG,

18 36036-900

19 Brazil

20 phone +553221023893

21 *E-mail: italotulerperrone@gmail.com*

22

23 **Supplementary File**

24

25

26

## 27 **Introduction**

28

29 Products with low sugar content are justified by recent studies correlating the decrease in  
30 dietary sugar (mainly sucrose) with the prevention, combat and recovery of a series of  
31 pathologies such as diabetes, hypertension, obesity, cardiovascular diseases, metabolic  
32 syndrome, dementia , depression, anxiety, cancer, dental problems, ulcers, hiatal hernia,  
33 Crohn's disease, irritable bowel syndrome, dermatitis, liver disease (Chow, 2017; Della  
34 Corte *et al.*, 2018; Khan & Sievenpiper, 2016; Lenne & Mann, 2020). These products  
35 with low content or without sugar meet the demand for healthy foods, however they can  
36 present different functional, rheological, sweetness, stability, particle size distribution and  
37 texture when compared to traditional products, thus needing to use other compounds that  
38 provide body, texture and sweetness to it (Belščak-Cvitanović *et al.*, 2015).

39 In order to avoid the problems associated with excessive sugar intake and to meet the  
40 growing demand of consumers for a healthier lifestyle, the dairy industries have made use  
41 of these new standards and concerns to manufacture products such as dulce de leche,  
42 chocolate, ice cream and yogurt with low sugar content (Castanheira, 2012; McCain *et*  
43 *al.*, 2018; Moore *et al.*, 2020). Nutritionally, dulce de leche (DL) has high energy value  
44 and high concentration of proteins, minerals, fats and carbohydrates (BRASIL, 1997;  
45 Francisquini *et al.*, 2018; Stephani *et al.*, 2019). Normally, the pans for the production of  
46 DL are simple to operate and maintain, being made of stainless steel with a double wall  
47 through which the steam circulates and an agitator shaft. This has the purpose of  
48 preventing the portion of the liquid in direct contact with the equipment walls from  
49 burning (modifying the sensory characteristic of the product) or forming incrustations  
50 (fouling) along the concentration in addition to causing the temperature to be homogenous  
51 thus facilitating the evaporation of water. Upon reaching the desired point the product  
52 must be cooled and packaged (Perrone *et al.*, 2019; Stephani *et al.*, 2019).

53 With the heat treatment of milk, two types of inlays can occur in the pan: type A (formed  
54 mostly by proteins with a soft, white appearance) and type B (formed mostly from  
55 minerals, being more compact and gray) (Bansal & Chen, 2006). As they differ in  
56 composition, it is necessary to use different cleaning processes to efficiently remove each  
57 type of deposit (Fickak *et al.*, 2011; Goode *et al.*, 2013; Jeurink & Brinkman, 1994;  
58 Morison & Thorpe, 2002). When adding sugar to milk, in the manufacture of DL, there  
59 is a reduction in the occurrence of these incrustations, since there is an increase in the  
60 surface tension of water and in the chemical potential of proteins, favoring the state of  
61 lower surface of the proteins (native protein). Moreover, the addition of sugar causes  
62 rheological and kinetic changes that interfere with protein-protein interactions (Baier *et*  
63 *al.*, 2004; Kendrick *et al.*, 1997). Thus, the traditional DL industry does not present  
64 considerably many cases of encrustation, but when it comes to dulce de leche with low  
65 sugar content, changes in the product occur and they can lead to the formation of deposits  
66 on the equipment. These fouling can result in loss of productivity, in loss of the thermal  
67 exchange efficiency, in pressure drop in the equipment and in increase of additional costs  
68 related to cleaning products and energy (Gandhi *et al.*, 2017; Tanguy *et al.*, 2019; 2016).

69

## 70 **Material & methods**

71

### 72 *Deposit formation*

73 Pasteurized whole milk used was purchased in the local market (Viçosa, Brazil). The  
74 temperature of the inlet product was 4 °C and added with different sucrose contents (0%,  
75 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% (w·w<sup>-1</sup>)). This process ensured the same protein content of all  
76 blends since for all the mixtures the same amount of milk was weighed and only then  
77 added the sucrose according to the desired percentages. The samples were concentrated  
78 in a laboratory scale atmospheric pressure evaporator (process simulator) (Vorwerk

79 Thermomix TM5) nearly at 125 °C for 90 min with 1 g (centrifugal force) of rotation.  
80 The deposits formed were collected after the end of the concentration by scraping the  
81 surface of the equipment - mass of deposit - and weighed in analytical balance (BEL  
82 engineering® Mark 214). For the characterization of the deposits, was performed  
83 dehydration using the freeze-drying method (Labconco - Freezone 2.5 Plus) with  
84 temperature -88 °C and pressure 0.014 mbar. This method was used to standardize the  
85 samples.

86

### 87 *Characterization of deposits*

88

#### 89 *Total protein*

90 Total nitrogen from each deposit formed from the different samples was determined by  
91 using the micro Kjeldahl method, according the AOAC 982.38 method, it is an indirect  
92 methodology because when considering a conversion factor of 6.38 it is possible to  
93 estimate the protein contents (Wang *et al.*, 2016), the results were expressed in % dry  
94 basis.

95

#### 96 *Moisture and dry matter*

97 The moisture content was measured by using  $1.90 \pm 0.05$  g of each deposit and a  
98 thermogravimetric balance (Sartorius® MA150). The total solids content was obtained  
99 by percentage difference of the moisture content found and with this percentage is  
100 possible to calculate the dry extract.

101

#### 102 *Carbon, Hydrogen and Nitrogen*

103 The Elemental Analysis was performed by means of an elemental analyzer (Perkin Elmer  
104 2400 series ii) to determine the percentages of carbon, hydrogen, and nitrogen of the

105 samples of the deposits formed in the evaporator. This analysis is based on the Pregl-  
106 Dumas method whose samples are subjected to combustion in an atmosphere of pure  
107 oxygen and the gases resulting from this combustion are quantified in a TCD detector  
108 (thermal conductivity detector).

109

#### 110 *Calcium and Phosphorus*

111 For the analysis of the trend of calcium and phosphorus content in the deposits it has been  
112 used a semi-quantitative analysis by energy dispersive spectrophotometer – EDS  
113 (HITACHI® TM3000).

114 And for quantitative analysis, the methods used were carried out according to the  
115 methodology of AOAC (2012), as official methods 985.35 using flame atomic absorption  
116 spectroscopy (FAAS) and 984.27 using inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission  
117 spectrometry (ICP-AES) for the calcium and phosphorus analyzes, respectively.

118

#### 119 *Scanning electron microscopy*

120 Samples of deposits were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy – SEM (HITACHI®  
121 TM3000) with increase of 500x.

122

#### 123 *FT-Raman spectroscopy*

124 Fourier-transform Raman spectrometer (Bruker, RFS 100/S) with a germanium detector  
125 using liquid nitrogen as coolant and with 1064 nm excitation from a Nd:YAG laser was  
126 used to characterize the deposits according to their dispersive ability. A few milligrams  
127 of the sample were placed into a small aluminum sample cup, the laser light with a power  
128 of 20 mW was introduced and focused on the sample, then the scattered radiation was  
129 collected at 180°. For each spectrum an average of 512 scans were performed at a  
130 resolution of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup>, over the 4000–50 cm<sup>-1</sup> range. The OPUS 6.0 (Bruker Optik,

131 Ettlingen, Germany) software program was used for Raman data acquisition (Almeida,  
132 Oliveira, Stephani & De Oliveira, 2011; Rodrigues Júnior et al., 2016).

133

## 134 **Results and discussion**

### 135 *Concentration of Milk*

136 Corroborating with Figure 1, the same is seen after weighing the deposits, which goes  
137 from 1.9 g to 21.5 g in the mix with 20%  $\text{m}\cdot\text{m}^{-1}$  of sucrose and without added sucrose,  
138 respectively, which represents an increase of more than 11 times in incrustation.

139

### 140 *Physical-chemical characterization of deposits*

141 When calculating the water-protein ratio of the deposits, it has been observed that with  
142 the decrease in the sucrose content there is a greater water retention by the proteins  
143 reaching approximately 4 g  $\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot\text{g prote\u00edna}^{-1}$  corroborating with the literature (Walstra,  
144 Wouters, & Geurts, 2006).

145 It is still possible to say that the relationship between the lower content of deposits in the  
146 production of traditional DL is not only related to the dilution of milk constituents by the  
147 addition of sucrose, since the increase in deposits does not evolve in the same proportion  
148 as the decrease of the dilution factor, thus indicating the protective effect of sucrose  
149 amidst the concentration of the product (de Jong *et al.*, 1992).

150 Regarding the low content of calcium and phosphorus in the deposit resulting from the  
151 product with greater sucrose addition, it corroborates with the previous results and  
152 indicates that sucrose stabilizes milk proteins (Lee & Timasheff, 1981). As the  
153 relationship between calcium and phosphorus ( $\text{Ca}\cdot\text{P}^{-1}$ ) remains around 1.75, with no  
154 statistically significant difference by the Tukey test ( $p < 0.05$ ) for different sucrose levels,  
155 this indicates that despite the increase in minerals in the deposit, these are precipitated in  
156 the same proportion, therefore, the phosphate ester groups of the caseins together with

157 the bound calcium are assumed not to be part of the calcium phosphate matrix, thus being  
158 of the tricalcium phosphate type (Gaucheron, 2005).

159 Pearson's correlation was used in order to observe whether the interactions between the  
160 analyzes performed and the variables of the experiment (added sucrose content, dilution  
161 factors and concentration) were significant. Based on Table S1, it is possible to observe  
162 that the relationship between calcium and phosphorus does not present a statistically  
163 significant correlation to the analyzed parameters. However, all other variables are  
164 statistically significant in relation to the added sucrose content and to the dilution factor.  
165 But when analyzing in relation to the concentration factor, it is observed that the  
166 percentage of total protein is not significant as it is in relation to the dilution factor,  
167 therefore it is possible to conclude that the concentration, despite influencing proteins,  
168 the addition of sugar ends up generating a protective effect on them that is removed by  
169 completely eliminating sucrose from milk.

170

### 171 *Scanning Electron Microscopy*

172 The deposit observed in Figure A1 indicates Type A deposits (Burton, 1968), that is, with  
173 high protein content, which goes with the other analyzes carried out and that show the  
174 relationship between the decrease in sucrose and the increase in proteins (from 12.94 to  
175 31.31% (w·w<sup>-1</sup>) of protein on a dry basis for deposits with the addition of 20 and 0% (w·w<sup>-1</sup>  
176 <sup>1</sup>) of sucrose, respectively) and also to the increase in moisture present in this type of  
177 deposit (going from 18.43 to 54.23% humidity for deposits with addition of 20 and 0%  
178 (w·w<sup>-1</sup>) sucrose, respectively), once the rough structure is able to retain more water than  
179 the compact structure of the deposit formed in production of traditional DL. A change in  
180 the quantity and structure of the deposits with the addition of sucrose is also observed by  
181 Zhang *et al.* (2018). Besides, this structure shows that the adopted cleaning procedures  
182 must be different, since the protein deposit requires specific cleaning and specific

183 chemical products in a certain sequence: first the alkaline solution for the removal of the  
184 protein layer (top) and then the acidic solution for the removal of the innermost layer -  
185 minerals (Jeurnink & Brinkman, 1994).

186

### 187 *Raman spectroscopy*

188 In C2 (dulce de leche) and C3 (sucrose) spectra it is possible to perceive some of the  
189 main marking bands of sucrose, such as the band observed at  $850\text{ cm}^{-1}$  referring to the  
190 vibrational deformation mode of  $\text{CH}_2$ , the bands at  $642$  and  $523\text{ cm}^{-1}$ , referring to the  
191 deformation modes of the glucopyran ring, and the  $403\text{ cm}^{-1}$  band, referring to a coupled  
192 mode to the sum of the deformation modes of the O39-C32-C31 bond and to the  
193 deformation of the C37-C31-O27 group of the glucopyran ring (Brizuela et al., 2012).

194

### 195 **References**

196

197 Almeida MR, Oliveira KDS, Stephani R & de Oliveira LFC 2011 Fourier-transform

198 Raman analysis of milk powder: A potential method for rapid quality screening.

199 *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy* **42** (7) 1548–1552

200 Baier SK, Decker EA & McClements DJ 2004 Impact of glycerol on thermostability

201 and heat-induced gelation of bovine serum albumin. *Food Hydrocolloids* **18** (1)

202 91–100

203 Bansal B & Chen XD 2006 A critical review of milk fouling in heat exchangers.

204 *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* **5** 27–33

205 Belščak-Cvitanović A, Komes D, Dujmović M, Karlović S, Biškić M, Brnčić M &

206 Ježek D 2015 Physical, bioactive and sensory quality parameters of reduced sugar

207 chocolates formulated with natural sweeteners as sucrose alternatives. *Food*

208 *Chemistry* **167** 61–70



209 BRASIL 1997 Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento. Portaria n. 354, de  
210 04 de setembro de 1997. Regulamento técnico de identidade e qualidade de doce  
211 de leite. *Diário Oficial Da República Federativa Do Brasil* 37–38.

212 Brizuela AB, Bichara LC, Romano E, Yurquina A, Locatelli S & Brandán, SA 2012 A  
213 complete characterization of the vibrational spectra of sucrose. *Carbohydrate*  
214 *Research* **361** 212–218

215 Castanheira ACG 2012 *Controle de Qualidade de Leite e Derivados* (2ª). São Paulo:  
216 Cap Lab Indústria e Comércio Ltda.

217 Chow KF 2017 A Review of Excessive Sugar Metabolism on Oral and General Health.  
218 *The Chinese Journal of Dental Research : The Official Journal of the Scientific*  
219 *Section of the Chinese Stomatological Association (CSA)* **20** (4) 193–198

220 de Jong P, Bouman S & Van Der Linden HJLJ 1992 Fouling of heat treatment  
221 equipment in relation to the denaturation of b-lactoglobulin. *International Journal*  
222 *of Dairy Technology* **45** (1) 3–8

223 Della Corte KW, Perrar I, Penczynski KJ, Schwingshackl L, Herder C & Buyken AE  
224 2018 Effect of dietary sugar intake on biomarkers of subclinical inflammation: A  
225 systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention studies. *Nutrients* **10** (5)

226 Fickak A, Al-Raisi A & Chen XD 2011 Effect of whey protein concentration on the  
227 fouling and cleaning of a heat transfer surface. *Journal of Food Engineering* **104**  
228 (3) 323–331

229 Francisquini JDA, Neves LNO, Torres JKF, de Carvalho AF, Perrone IT & da Silva  
230 PHF 2018 Physico-chemical and compositional analyses and 5-  
231 hydroxymethylfurfural concentration as indicators of thermal treatment intensity in  
232 experimental dulce de leche. *Journal of Dairy Research* **85** (4) 476–481

233 Gandhi G, Amamcharla JK & Boyle D 2017 Effect of milk protein concentrate  
234 (MPC80) quality on susceptibility to fouling during thermal processing. *LWT -*

235 *Food Science and Technology* **81** 170–179

236 Gaucheron F 2005 The minerals of milk. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* **45** 473–  
237 483

238 Goode KR, Asteriadou K, Robbins PT & Fryer PJ 2013 Fouling and cleaning studies in  
239 the food and beverage industry classified by cleaning type. *Comprehensive*  
240 *Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety* **12** (2) 121–143

241 Jeurnink TJM & Brinkman DW 1994 The cleaning of heat exchangers and evaporators  
242 after processing milk or whey. *International Dairy Journal* **4** (4) 347–368

243 Kendrick BS, Chang BS, Arakawa T, Peterson B, Randolph TW, Manning MC &  
244 Carpenter JF 1997 Preferential exclusion of sucrose from recombinant interleukin-  
245 1 receptor antagonist: Role in restricted conformational mobility and compaction  
246 of native state. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **94** (22) 11917–  
247 11922

248 Khan TA & Sievenpiper JL 2016 Controversies about sugars: results from systematic  
249 reviews and meta-analyses on obesity, cardiometabolic disease and diabetes.  
250 *European Journal of Nutrition* **55**, 25–43

251 Lee JC & Timasheff SN 1981 The Stabilization of Proteins by Sucrose. *The Journal of*  
252 *Biological Chemistry* **256** (14) 7193–7201

253 Lenne RL & Mann T 2020 Reducing sugar use in coffee while maintaining enjoyment:  
254 A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Health Psychology* **25** (5) 586–597

255 McCain HR, Kaliappan S & Drake MA 2018 Invited review: Sugar reduction in dairy  
256 products. *Journal of Dairy Science* **101** (10) 8619–8640

257 Moore JB, Sutton EH & Hancock N 2020 Sugar reduction in yogurt products sold in the  
258 UK between 2016 and 2019. *Nutrients* **12** (171) 12

259 Morison KR & Thorpe RJ 2002 Spinning disc cleaning of skimmed milk and whey  
260 protein deposits. *Trans IChemE* **80** (December) 319–325

261 Perrone IT, Stephani R, de Carvalho AF, Netto GG & Francisquini JDA 2019 *Doce de*  
262 *leite: química e Tecnologia*. São Paulo: CAP-LAB.

263 Rodrigues Júnior PH, Oliveira KDS, de Almeida CER, de Oliveira LFC, Stephani R,  
264 Pinto MDS, de Carvalho AF & Perrone ÍT 2016 FT-Raman and chemometric tools  
265 for rapid determination of quality parameters in milk powder: Classification of  
266 samples for the presence of lactose and fraud detection by addition of maltodextrin.  
267 *Food Chemistry* **196** 584–588

268 Stephani R, Francisquini JDA, Perrone ÍT, de Carvalho AF & de Oliveira LFC 2019  
269 Dulce de Leche—Chemistry and Processing Technology. In *Milk Production,*  
270 *Processing and Marketing* (p. 18). IntechOpen.

271 Tanguy G, Perrone IT, Dolivet A, Santellania AC, Leduc A, Jeantet R, Schuck P &  
272 Gaucheron F 2019 Calcium citrate insolubilization drives the fouling of falling  
273 film evaporators during the concentration of hydrochloric acid whey. *Food*  
274 *Research International* **116** 175–183

275 Tanguy G, Siddique F, Beaucher E, Santellani AC, Schuck P & Gaucheron F 2016  
276 Calcium phosphate precipitation during concentration by vacuum evaporation of  
277 milk ultrafiltrate and microfiltrate. *LWT - Food Science and Technology* **69** 554–  
278 562

279 Walstra P, Wouters JTM & Geurts TJ 2006 *Dairy Science and Technology* (Second).  
280 Taylor & Francis Group

281 Wang H, Pampati N, McCormick WM & Bhattacharyya L 2016 Protein Nitrogen  
282 Determination by Kjeldahl Digestion and Ion Chromatography. *Journal of*  
283 *Pharmaceutical Sciences* **105** (6) 1851–1857

284 Zhang BY, Lu J & Huang JY 2018 Effect of sugar on the fouling behavior of whey  
285 protein. *Food and Bioproducts Processing* 1–8.

286

287 **Table legends:**

288

289 **Table 1:**

290 Pearson correlations between the analyzed parameters and the sucrose content, dilution  
291 factor and evaporation rate in the manufacture of DL.

292

	<b>Sucrose added (% w·w<sup>-1</sup>)</b>	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	<b>Evaporation Ratio</b>
Deposit (% w·w <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.942	-0.948	0.997
Moisture (% w·w <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.953	-0.952	0.793
Total protein (% w·w <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.901	-0.897	0.687
Protein on dry basis (% w·w <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.957	-0.956	0.815
Nitrogen (%)	-0.953	-0.945	0.846
Calcium (norm. Wt. %)	-0.920	-0.926	0.997
Phosphorus (norm. Wt. %)	-0.902	-0.906	0.987
Ca·P <sup>-1</sup>	-0.062	-0.053	-0.157
Calcium (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.984	-0.984	0.855
Phosphorus (mg·100g <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.994	-0.995	0.887
Ca·P <sup>-1</sup>	-0.691	-0.683	0.386

293