

1 **Feeding wheat dried distillers' grains with solubles increases conjugated linoleic**
2 **acid and unsaturated lipids in ovine milk without adversely affecting milk yield**

3 Marina C. Neofytou, Charoulla Michael, Constantina Constantinou, Dionysis
4 Sparaggis, Ouranios Tzamaloukas

5

6 **SUPPLEMENTARY FILE**

7

8 **Materials & methods**

9 *Animals, management and experimental diets*

10 The experiment was performed in the research farm of Agricultural Research Institute
11 (ARI, Athalassa, Nicosia) in Cyprus. Animals were housed indoors, and all
12 experimental procedures were carried out according to international guidelines
13 Directive 2010/63/EU and approved by the corresponding departmental committee of
14 the Cyprus University of Technology. Feed ingredients and the feed chemical analysis
15 including FA composition are shown in Table S1 and S2, respectively. Dry matter
16 intake (DMI) was monitored and feeds were offered manually, as a total mixed ration,
17 two times per day after morning and evening milking. In each pen the animals were
18 group fed the diets to 1.1 times their maintenance energy (0.401 MJ/kg of weight^{0.73})
19 and milk production requirements [dietary ME (MJ/kg of milk): $Y = (1.94 +$
20 $0.43X)/0.62$, where X is the fat percentage and 0.62 the efficiency of utilization of
21 dietary ME for milk production of Chios ewes; Economides, 1986]. Feed samples were
22 collected at the beginning, at the middle and at the end of the trial, mixed per treatment
23 and analyzed in triplicate using methods described previously (Symeou *et al.*, 2019).
24 Intake measurements presented were taken during the first week of the experiment and
25 after the 10 days of the adaptation period.

26 ***Measurements, sampling and analysis***

27 All animals were machine milked (Fullwood, Shropshire, UK) twice daily (at 0430 h
28 and 1630 h) and milk yields were recorded electronically (AfiMilk model Afifree 155,
29 SAE Afikim Kibbutz, Israel). Raw milk samples for the determination of the lipid
30 profile were collected from each ewe during two consecutive milkings at the end of
31 each sampling week (days 17, 24, 31, 38 and 45 of the experiment), mixed (morning
32 and evening) and immediately transferred with the use of a cool box (4 °C) to the
33 laboratory for chemical composition determination by the use of combined thermo-
34 optical procedures (Lactostar 3510, Funke Gerber, Berlin, Germany). Milk subsamples
35 were stored at -80°C for further analyses for FA composition. Analyses of FA methyl
36 esters (**FAME**) of experimental diets, and milk samples were performed by using a
37 GCMS-QP2010 Plus Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometer (Shimadzu, Duisburg,
38 Germany) equipped with a 100 m x 0.25 mm x 0.2 µm column (Agilent CP-Sil 88 fused
39 silica capillary column, Agilent, Santa Clara, United States) with a 1:20 split ratio. The
40 column was held for 4 min at 70°C after injection, increased at 13°C/min to 175°C, and
41 then held at that temperature for a further 27 min. The temperature was then raised to
42 215°C at 4°C/min at which it was held for a further 36 min. Helium was the carrier gas
43 at 1 mL/min, with both injector and interface temperatures of 225°C. Chromatographic
44 profiles were analysed using Shimadzu GCMS Postrun Solution software, and
45 individual peaks were identified by comparison of their retention indices and mass
46 spectra to those of commercially available authentic standards (Supelco 37-FAME
47 standard mix, CLA *cis*-9, *trans*-11, CLA *trans*-10, *cis*-12, C18:1 *trans*-11; Sigma-
48 Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) and using the National Institute of Standards and Technology
49 08 and 21 mass spectral libraries and cross referencing with chromatograms-
50 spectrograms reported in the literature (Kramer *et al.*, 2008; Tsiafoulis *et al.*, 2014). All
51 FAME peaks identified were quantitated by peak integration and individual FAME
52 expressed as a percentage of the total fat.

53 Milk atherogenic index (**AI**) was determined using the formula proposed by Ulbricht
54 and Southgate, (1991) $AI = (C12:0 + 4 \times C14:0 + C16:0) / (\Sigma MUFA + \Sigma PUFA)$ and the
55 desaturation index (**DI**) was determined using the formula suggested by Garnsworthy
56 *et al.*, (2010): $DI = (C14:1 \text{ cis-9} \times 100) / (C14:0 + C14:1 \text{ cis-9})$. Fat-corrected milk yield
57 at 6% of fat content (FCM 6%) was estimated according to Mavrogenis and

58 Papachristoforou (1988) for Chios sheep: FCM 6% = milk yield x (0.453 + 0.0912 x
59 fat%).

60

61 **References**

62 **Economides, S. 1986.** Comparative studies of sheep and goats: Milk yield and
63 composition and growth rate of lambs and kids. *Journal of Agricultural Science*
64 **106**:477–484.

65 **Garnsworthy PC, Feng S, Lock AL and Royal MD (2010)** Short communication:
66 Heritability of milk fatty acid composition and stearoyl-CoA desaturase indices
67 in dairy cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* **93** 1743–1748.

68 **Kramer JKG, Hernandez M, Cruz-Hernandez C, Kraft J and Dugan MER**
69 (2008) Combining results of two GC separations partly achieves determination
70 of all cis and trans 16:1, 18:1, 18:2 and 18:3 except CLA isomers of milk fat as
71 demonstrated using ag-ion SPE fractionation. *Lipids* **43** 259–273.

72 **Mavrogenis AP and Papachristoforou C (1988)** Estimation of the energy value of
73 milk and prediction of fat-corrected milk yield in sheep and goats. *Small*
74 *Ruminant Research* **1** 229–236.

75 **Symeou S, Tsiafoulis CG, Gerothanassis IP, Miltiadou D and Tzamaloukas O**
76 (2019) Nuclear magnetic resonance screening of changes in fatty acid and
77 cholesterol content of ovine milk induced by ensiled olive cake inclusion in
78 Chios sheep diets. *Small Ruminant Research* **177** 111–116.

79 **Tsiafoulis CG, Skarlas T, Tzamaloukas O, Miltiadou D and Gerothanassis IP**
80 (2014) Direct nuclear magnetic resonance identification and quantification of
81 geometric isomers of conjugated linoleic acid in milk lipid fraction without
82 derivatization steps: Overcoming sensitivity and resolution barriers. *Analytica*
83 *Chimica Acta* **821** 62–71.

84 **Ulbricht T and Southgate D (1991)** Coronary heart disease: seven dietary factors.
85 *Lancet* **338** 985–992.

86

87

88 **Table S1.** Ingredients of diets contained 0 (control, DG0), 6 (DG6) and 12
 89 (DG12) g of wheat - based dried distillers' grains with solubles per 100g DM

Item, % DM	Diet		
	DG0	DG6	DG12
Barley hay	40	40	40
Barley grain	15.6	15.6	15.6
Corn grain	18.12	15.9	13.38
Wheat bran	4.8	4.8	4.8
Sugar beet pulp	6	6	6
Soya bean meal ¹	8.4	4.68	1.2
Sunflower meal ²	4.8	4.8	4.8
Wheat DDGS	-	6	12
Mineral and vitamin mix ³	2.28	2.22	2.22

90

91 ¹Containing 47% CP, ²Containing 35% CP, ³Containing (% DM): Magnesium oxide
 92 (5.26), Sodium Biocarbonate (21.05), Limestone (57.9), Sodium chloride (5.26),
 93 Monocalcium phosphate (5.26%), Micro - mineral and vitamin premix (5.26)

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110 **Table S2.** Chemical composition of the DDGS feed as well as the chemical composition
 111 and the fatty acid profile of the experimental diets contained 0 (control, DG0), 6 (DG6)
 112 or 12 (DG12) g of wheat - based dried distillers' grains with solubles (DDGS) per 100g
 113 DM.

Item	DDGS	Diet			SEM	P-value ¹
		DG0	DG6	DG12		
Chemical composition						
Dry matter, % as fed	90.1	89.60	89.50	90.20	0.11	NS
Crude protein, % DM	26.41	14.45	14.61	14.32	0.12	NS
Crude fiber, % DM	6.30	16.83	16.75	17.17	0.13	NS
Ether extract, % DM	6.31	1.28 ^c	1.42 ^b	1.82 ^a	0.02	***
Ash, % DM	4.23	7.38	7.22	7.37	0.05	NS
aNDF, % DM	31.68	33.37 ^c	34.09 ^b	35.07 ^a	0.40	**
ADF, % DM	16.11	20.64 ^c	21.33 ^b	22.76 ^a	0.48	***
ME (MJ/kg) ²	10.98	11.79	11.83	11.78	0.03	NS
Fatty acid profile, % of total fatty acid						
C16:0		16.84 ^a	17.03 ^b	18.39 ^a	0.52	*
C18:0		4.12	3.52	2.79	0.46	NS
C18:1 <i>cis</i> -9		29.11	29.43	28.85	0.37	NS
C18:2n-6		44.44	44.74	45.00	0.31	NS
C18:3n-3		3.89	3.86	3.69	0.08	NS

114 ^{a-b}Means within a row not sharing a common superscript differ, ¹Probability of
 115 significant effects; *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; NS = Non significance,

116 ²Calculated from NRC (2001)

117

118

119

120