

Supplementary File

Genetic analysis of persistency indices of milk yield in Jersey crossbred cattle

Subrata Kolo¹ and Ajoy Mandal^{2*}

Statistical analyses:

The following six animal models were fitted for the traits under study.

$$y = Xb + Z_a a + e, \quad (1)$$

$$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_c c + e, \quad (2)$$

$$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + e \text{ with Cov (a, m) = 0}, \quad (3)$$

$$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + e \text{ with Cov (a, m) = } A\sigma_{am}, \quad (4)$$

$$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + Z_c c + e \text{ with Cov (a, m) = 0}, \quad (5)$$

$$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + Z_c c + e \text{ with Cov (a, m) = } A\sigma_{am} \quad (6)$$

where y is a $n \times 1$ vector of observations for each trait; b , a , m , c and e are vectors of fixed effects (calving period/year, season of calving and parity of animal), direct additive genetic effects, maternal additive genetic effects, permanent environmental effects of dam and the residual effects, respectively; X , Z_a , Z_m , Z_c are the incidence matrices of fixed effects, direct additive genetic effects, maternal genetic effects and permanent environmental effect of the dam; A is the numerator relationship matrix between animals; and σ_{am} is the covariance between additive direct and maternal genetic effects. The (co)variance structure for the model was:

$$V(a) = A\sigma_a^2, V(m) = A\sigma_m^2, V(c) = I_P\sigma_c^2, V(e) = I_R\sigma_e^2 \text{ and Cov (a, m) = } A\sigma_{am}$$

32 where I_P and I_R are identity matrices with orders equal to the number of dams and the number of
 33 lambs, respectively and σ_a^2 , σ_m^2 , σ_c^2 , and σ_e^2 are direct additive genetic variance, maternal additive
 34 genetic variance, maternal permanent environmental variance, and residual variance, respectively.
 35 Estimates of heritability (h^2), maternal heritability (m^2) and permanent maternal environmental
 36 effects (c^2) were calculated as ratios of estimates of σ_a^2 , σ_m^2 and σ_c^2 , respectively, to the phenotypic
 37 variance (σ_p^2). The direct-maternal correlation (r_{am}) was computed as the ratio of the estimates of
 38 direct-maternal covariance (σ_{am}) to the product of the square roots of estimates of σ_a^2 and σ_m^2 .

39 The most appropriate model for the trait was selected based on log likelihood ratio test
 40 (Meyer 1992). An effect was considered to have a significant influence when its inclusion caused
 41 a significant increase in log-likelihood, compared with a model in which it was ignored.
 42 Significance was tested at $P < 0.05$ by comparing differences in log-likelihoods to values for a chi-
 43 squared distribution with degrees of freedom equal to the difference in the number of (co)variance
 44 components fitted for the two models.

45

46 **Results and Discussion**

47

48 Table S1: Data structure for different persistency measures of Jersey crossbred cattle

49

Parameter/ Traits	P21	P31	P32	P4	P5
No. of records	1289	989	979	975	1297
No. of animals	540	510	508	507	548
No. of sires with progeny record	63	61	63	63	63
No. of dams with progeny record	246	226	228	229	251
Progeny per sire	20.35	16.08	15.44	15.38	20.48
Progeny per dam	5.19	4.30	4.23	4.20	5.12
Mean	0.77	0.60	0.77	4.69	1.28
Standard Deviation	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.76	0.25
CV (%)	22.08	28.33	20.78	16.21	19.53

50

51

52

53 Table S2 Estimates of (co) variance components and genetic parameters for lactation persistency
 54 index (P_{21}) of Jersey crossbred cattle
 55

Parameters	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
σ_a^2	0.0035	0.0020	0.0028	0.0045	0.0020	0.0036
σ_m^2	-	-	0.0007	0.0025	0.0000	0.0014
σ_{am}	-	-	-	-0.0031	-	-0.0023
σ_c^2	-	0.0013	-	-	0.0013	0.0009
σ_e^2	0.0198	0.0197	0.0198	0.0192	0.0197	0.0192
σ_p^2	0.0232	0.0230	0.0232	0.0231	0.0230	0.0229
h^2	0.15 (0.04)	0.09 (0.04)	0.12(0.05)	0.20	0.09	0.16
m^2	-	-	0.03	0.11	0.00	0.06
r_{am}	-	-	-	-0.94	-	-1.00
c^2	-	0.06(0.03)	-	-	0.06	0.04
$Log L$	1714.69	1717.15	1715.09	1719.58	1717.15	1720.34

56 σ_a^2 , direct additive genetic variance; σ_m^2 , maternal additive genetic variance; σ_{am} , direct-maternal
 57 genetic covariance; σ_c^2 , maternal permanent environmental variance; σ_e^2 , residual variance; σ_p^2 ,
 58 phenotypic variance; h^2 , direct heritability; m^2 , maternal heritability; r_{am} , direct-maternal genetic
 59 correlation; c^2 : σ_c^2/σ_p^2

60 Table S3 Estimates of (co)variance components and genetic parameters for lactation persistency
 61 index (P_{31}) of crossbred cattle
 62

Parameters	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
σ_a^2	0.0039	0.0021	0.0022	0.0028	0.0021	0.0024
σ_m^2	-	-	0.0018	0.0025	0.0001	0.0005
σ_{am}	-	-	-	-0.0011	-	-0.0005
σ_c^2	-	0.0020	-	-	0.0020	0.0018
σ_e^2	0.0197	0.0193	0.0196	0.0193	0.0193	0.0192
σ_p^2	0.0236	0.0234	0.0236	0.0236	0.0234	0.0235
h^2	0.17(0.03)	0.09(0.04)	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.10
m^2	-	-	0.08	0.11	0.003	0.02
r_{am}	-	-	-	-0.41	-	-0.40
c^2	-	0.09(0.04)	-	-	0.08	0.08
$Log L$	1327.08	1330.15	1328.75	1329.30	1330.15	1330.26

63 Table S4. Estimates of (co)variance components and genetic parameters for lactation persistency
 64 index (P_{32}) of crossbred cattle

65

Parameters	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
σ_a^2	0.0011	0.0004	0.0003	0.0007	0.0002	0.0006
σ_m^2	-	-	0.0009	0.0017	0.0003	0.0011
σ_{am}	-	-	-	-0.0008	-	-0.0006
σ_c^2	-	0.0011	-	-	0.0008	0.0005
σ_e^2	0.0208	0.0204	0.0207	0.0204	0.0205	0.0203
σ_p^2	0.0219	0.0219	0.0219	0.0219	0.0219	0.0219
h^2	0.05 (0.03)	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.03
m^2	-	-	0.04	0.08	0.02	0.05
r_{am}	-	-	-	-0.74	-	-0.74
c^2	-	0.05(0.03)	-	-	0.04	0.02
Log L	1336.24	1337.70	1337.32	1337.91	1337.77	1338.03

66

67 Table S5. Estimates of (co)variance components and genetic parameters for lactation persistency
 68 index (P_4) of crossbred cattle

69

Parameters	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
σ_a^2	0.0750	0.0539	0.0427	0.0449	0.0422	0.0441
σ_m^2	-	-	0.0334	0.0349	0.0279	0.0293
σ_{am}	-	-	-	-0.0032	-	-0.0021
σ_c^2	-	0.0229	-	-	0.0629	0.0057
σ_e^2	0.4613	0.4570	0.4592	0.4584	0.4583	0.4577
σ_p^2	0.5363	0.5339	0.5352	0.5350	0.5347	0.5348
h^2	0.14(0.04)	0.10(0.05)	0.08(0.05)	0.08	0.08	0.08
m^2	-	-	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06
r_{am}	-	-	-	-0.08	-	-0.06
c^2	-	0.04 (0.03)	-	-	0.01	0.01
Log L	-192.48	-191.46	-190.94	-190.93	-190.90	-190.90

70

71 Table S6. Estimates of (co)variance components and genetic parameters for lactation persistency
 72 index (P_5) of Jersey crossbred cattle
 73

Parameters	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
σ_a^2	0.0078	0.0067	0.0070	0.0099	0.0067	0.0097
σ_m^2	-	-	0.0009	0.0029	0.0001	0.0245
σ_{am}	-	-	-	-0.0042	-	-0.0041
σ_c^2	-	0.0011	-	-	0.0011	0.0005
σ_e^2	0.0495	0.0493	0.0495	0.0486	0.0493	0.0486
σ_p^2	0.0573	0.0572	0.0573	0.0571	0.0572	0.0571
h^2	0.14(0.04)	0.12(0.04)	0.12(0.04)	0.17	0.12	0.17
m^2	-	-	0.02(0.02)	0.05	0.002	0.04
r_{am}	-	-	-	-0.80	-	-0.84
c^2	-	0.02(0.02)	-	-	0.02	0.008
$Log L$	1182.34	1182.71	1182.53	1184.49	1182.71	1184.52

74
 75
 76
 77
 78
 79
 80
 81
 82
 83
 84
 85
 86
 87
 88
 89
 90

91 **References**

92 **Danell B** 1982 Persistency of milk yield and its correlation with lactation yield. *Acta Agriculturae*
93 *Scandinavica* **32** 93-101.

94 **Harvey WR** 1990 User's Guide for LSMLMW PC-2 Version, mixed model least squares and
95 maximum likelihood computer programme, Mimeograph. Ohio State University Press;
96 Columbus, OH, USA

97 **Johansson I & Hansson A** 1940 Causes of variation in milk and butterfat yield of dairy cows.
98 *Kungliga Lantbruksakademiens Handlingar* **79** 127.

99 **Mahadevan P** 1951 The effect of environment and heredity on lactation. II. Persistency of
100 lactation. *Journal of Agricultural Science* **41** 89-93.

101 **Meyer K** 1992 Variance components due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of
102 Australian beef cattle. *Livestock Production Science* **31** 179- 204.

103 **Meyer K** 2000 DFREML programs to estimate variance components by restricted maximum
104 likelihood using derivative free algorithm-user notes.