

1 **Supplementary file**

2 **Risk factors of *S. aureus* intramammary infection in pre-calved dairy heifers under**
3 **grazing conditions and molecular characterization of isolates from heifers and cows**

4 Cecilia M. Camussone^{1,2*}, Ana I. Molineri^{1,2}, Marcelo L. Signorini^{1,2}, Verónica E. Neder²,
5 Carlos A. Vitulich² and Luis F. Calvino²

6

7 1Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Instituto
8 Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA) Estación Experimental Agropecuaria Rafaela
9 (EEA) Rafaela, Ruta 34 Km 227, Rafaela, C.P. 2300, Provincia de Santa Fe, Argentina.

10 2 Área de Investigación en Producción Animal (AIPA). EEA Rafaela, INTA, Ruta 34 Km
11 227, Rafaela, C.P. 2300, Provincia de Santa Fe, Argentina.

12

13

14 **Detailed Materials & methods**

15

16 **Herd Information**

17 Prevalence of *S. aureus* IMI at herd level was determined by taking composite milk
18 samples of every lactating cow in each herd. Thereafter, dairy farms were visited at a
19 three-month interval to obtain mammary secretion samples from pregnant dairy heifers,
20 within 20 of expected calving day. Samples from the total number of pre-calved heifers
21 within 20 days of expected calving day available at each visit were taken. In most cases,
22 the total number of heifers that calved during the year was sampled. In those dairy farms
23 where this was not possible due to the visit schedule established, at least 70% of the pre-
24 calving heifers were sampled.

25 A questionnaire was developed to obtain information about the management
26 practices carried out in each dairy farm that could be associated with the presence of *S.*
27 *aureus* IMI in heifers at pre-calving. The purpose and importance of the survey was
28 previously explained to the farmers, emphasizing that responses would be confidential,
29 since the interest was not the experience or practices applied by any particular farmer but
30 the frequency of events at the population level. A copy of the questionnaire is available
31 below.

32

33 **Sampling**

34 Heifers were immobilized in a chute, secretion samples were taken aseptically and
35 teats were dipped in a 0.5% iodophor solution after sample collection. Both lactating cows
36 and heifer samples were collected by trained personnel participating in this study.

37

38 **Bacteriological examination**

39 Samples were mixed thoroughly and 10 µl were streaked onto half plate (for cow
40 milk composite samples) or quarter plate (for heifer quarter secretion samples) of 5% calf
41 blood agar using a sterile loop. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h and for further 24 h
42 in case no growth was observed.

43 A culture with more than two different bacterial species was regarded as
44 contaminated and not considered for analysis. However, the presence of at least one
45 colony of *S. aureus* on blood agar was considered as a positive identification even if
46 another colony type was detected. Detection limit for *S. aureus* was 100 cfu/ml. Bacteria
47 were stored at -80°C in trypticase soy broth (TSB) (Britania, Buenos Aires, Argentina)
48 added with 15% glycerol until processed.

49

50 **Molecular characterization of isolates**

51 Selection criteria for herds were high *S. aureus* prevalence in lactating cows,
52 different *S. aureus* prevalence in pre-calved heifers between herds, and different
53 geographical location (online Supplementary Table 1).

54 ***Pulse field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)***: Similarity between PFGE types was evaluated
55 with BioNumerics version 6.6 (Applied Maths, Belgium) using Dice coefficient.
56 Dendrograms were generated using Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean
57 (UPGMA), (optimization value = 1.0, position tolerance = 2.0%).

58 ***Virulence genes amplification***: PCR was performed in duplicate in a total volume of 20µl
59 containing: 1x PCR buffer, 2mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTPs (Productos Bio-Lógicos, Buenos
60 Aires, Argentina), 1U/µl Taq DNA polymerase (Fermentas, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.3
61 µM of each sense and antisense primer and 50 ng of genomic DNA. Amplification was
62 carried out on Ivema T21 thermal cycler (Ivema, Argentina) using a program as follows: an
63 initial 5-min denaturation step at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s of denaturation at
64 94°C, 30 s of annealing at aT, and 1 min of extension at 72°C; with a final extension step

65 at 72°C for 5 min. Positive controls and blank reactions were included. PCR products were
66 analyzed by electrophoresis on GelRed (Biotium, USA)-stained 1% or 1.5% agarose gels
67 (Biodynamics, B.A., Argentina).

68

69 **HEIFER SURVEY**

70 1. CALVING SYSTEM: a. Continuous b. Seasonal

71 Indicate calving season and month of highest expected calving number

72 2. Time calf spent with dam.

73 3. Time between calving and first milking.

74 4. Time of colostrum milking.

75 5. Calves rearing system: 2. Individually tethered b. hutches c. Collective

76 d. Other (specify)

77 6. Colostrum usage: a. directly to calves b. Freezing c. Other (specify)

78 7. Feeding of heifer calves: a. Milk b. Pasteurized milk c. Milk replacer

79 d. Other (specify).

80 8. Feeding times per day, volume administered and temperature.

81 9. Other feeds during rearing time (besides milk and milk replacer).

82 a. What feed?

83 b. When did administration of other feeds begin?

84 c. Weaning time

85 10. Time grazing started

86 11. Post-weaning

87 a. In the same dairy farm? YES NO

88 b. Do heifer calves have contact with animals from other dairy farms? YES NO

89 Observations: Provide details of breeding and rearing cycles if necessary.

90 12. Dams vaccination schedule.

91 13. Heifer calves vaccination schedule.

92 14. Heifer calves parasiticide administration schedule.

93 15. Do you apply fly control in the rearing facility?

94

- 95 16. There is an established control program for: a) Mastitis b) brucellosis
96 c) Tuberculosis.
- 97 17. Age (months) and weight at first insemination.
- 98 18. Type of insemination: a. natural b. artificial
- 99 19. Are there any records of clinical mastitis in heifers? YES NO
- 100 20. Percentage of clinical mastitis in heifers in first third of lactation (if recorded).
- 101 21. Origin of cow replacements. Own dairy farm external dairy farm
- 102 22. If external, please specify origin, age and time when they get in contact with calves of
103 own dairy farm.
- 104 23. Number of heifers per year.

105 Table S1. Characteristics of dairy herds selected for molecular epidemiology studies.

	<i>S. aureus</i> heifers IMI prevalence	<i>S. aureus</i> lactating cows IMI prevalence	Province	Nº heifers isolates evaluated	Nº lactating cows isolates evaluated	Total Nº isolates evaluated
Herd 1 (H1):	32.3%	24.8%	Santa Fe	14	19	33
Herd 2 (H2):	3.5%	20%	Buenos Aires	5	18	23
Total Nº isolates evaluated				19	37	56

106

107

108 Table S2. PCR primers and conditions for identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* genes.

Primer	Sequence (5'-3')	Amplified fragment	Annealing temperature (aT, °C)	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference
Cap5k	F- GTCAAAGATTATGTGATGCTACTGAG	<i>capsular type 5</i>	55	361	Verdier et al., 2007
	R- ACTTCGAATATAAACTTGAATCAATGTTATACAG				
Cap8k	F- GCCTTATGTTAGGTGATAAACCC	<i>capsular type 8</i>	55	173	Verdier et al., 2007
	R- GGAAAAACACTATCATAGCAGG				
α-tox	F- GCAGATTCTGATATTAAT	<i>α-toxin</i>	50	879	Designed (Primer Blast Software)
	R- ATTTGTCATTTCTTCTTT				
β-tox	F- AAAGGAGTGATAATGATG	<i>β-toxin</i>	50	1008	Camussone et al., 2014
	R- CTATTTACTATAGGCTT				
ClfA	F- GAAAATAGTGTTACGCAATCT	<i>Clumping factor A</i>	51	1554	Camussone et al., 2014
	R- CTCTGGAATTGGTTCAATTTTC				
ClfB	F-TGCAAGTGCAGATTCCGAAAAAAAC	<i>Clumping factor B</i>	62	194	Klein et al., 2012.
	R-CCGTGCGTTGAGGTGTTTCATTTG				
FnBPA	F-CGACACAACCTCAAGACAATAGCGG	<i>Fibronectin binding protein A</i>	62	133	Pereyra et al., 2016
	R-CGTGGCTTACTTTCTGATGCCGTTTC				
FnBPB	F-ACGCTCAAGGCGACGGCAAAG	<i>Fibronectin binding protein b</i>	62	197	Pereyra et al., 2016
	R-ACCTTCTGCATGACCTTCTGCACCT				
icaA	F-CTTGCTGGCGCAGTCAATAC	<i>Intercellular adhesion A</i>	55	178	Pereyra et al., 2016
	R-CCAACATCCAACACATGGCA				
icaD	F-CGCTATATCGTGTGTTCTTTGGGA	<i>Intercellular adhesion D</i>	55	164	Pereyra et al., 2016
	R-TCGCGAAAATGCCCATAGTT				

109

110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118

References:

Camussone CM, Pujato N, Renna MS, Veaute CM, Morein B, Marcipar IS, Calvinho LF. 2014. Immune response and functional role of antibodies raised in heifers against a *Staphylococcus aureus* CP5 lysate and recombinant antigens vaccine formulated with Iscom Matrix adjuvant. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 162,96-107.

Klein RC, Fabres-Klein MH, Brito MA, Fietto LG, Ribon Ade O. 2012. *Staphylococcus aureus* of bovine origin: genetic diversity, prevalence and the expression of adhesin-encoding genes. *Veterinary Microbiology* 160, 183–188.

Verdier I, Durand G, Bes M, Taylor KL, Lina G, Vandenesch F, Fattom AI, Etienne J. 2007. Identification of the capsular polysaccharides in *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates by PCR and agglutination tests. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 45,725-729.

119 Table S3. Lactating herd prevalence, prepartum heifer prevalence and prepartum heifer
120 quarter prevalence for each herd included in the study

121

Herd	<i>S. aureus</i> heifers IMI prevalence	<i>S. aureus</i> heifers IMI quarter prevalence	<i>S. aureus</i> lactating cows IMI prevalence
1	32.3	11.29	24.8
2	3.5	1.04	20.0
3	15.3	5.56	28.8
4	40.5	14.19	31.3
5	0.0	0.00	12.9
6	14.5	5.25	40.0
7	14.0	5.26	46.0
8	34.4	10.94	7.0
9	6.1	2.87	8.0
10	21.6	6.08	3.6
11	2.7	0.68	8.6
12	12.0	3.52	20.3
13	8.1	2.03	0.0
14	2.8	0.70	10.7
15	12.9	5.00	6.3
16	10.7	2.68	6.9
17	14.0	5.00	14.9

122

123

124 Table S4. Distribution of explanatory variables selected ($P < 0.15$) by univariate analysis
 125 (generalized linear model) for potential association with the prevalence of *S. aureus* in pre-
 126 calved heifers.

Variable	Level	Number of heifers sampled	Number of heifers positive to <i>S. aureus</i> (%)	OR (95%CI)	P-Value
<i>S. aureus</i> prevalence in cows	<0.15	788	68 (8.6)	1.72 (1.24-2.39)	0.001
	>0.15	686	96 (14.0)		
Time the calf stayed with their dam after birth	<24 h	509	40 (7.85)	1.73 (1.19-2.51)	0.001
	>24 h	965	124 (12.84)		
Time between birth and first milking	<24 h	1047	109 (10.41)		0.172
	>24 h	427	55 (12.88)		
Calves rearing system	Stake system	1293	134 (10.36)	1.72 (1.11-2.64)	0.014
	Collective system	181	30 (16.57)		
Calves reared in the farm	No	181	30 (16.57)	1.82 (1.18-2.81)	0.007
	Yes	1262	124 (9.82)		
Type of calf feeding	Milk replacer or pasteurized milk	288	27 (9.37)		0.392
	Milk from hospital herd	834	92 (11.03)		
	Milk	352	45 (12.78)		
Time calves were fed milk	30 to 45 days	103	13 (12.62)		0.584
	60 days	1122	108 (9.62)		

	80 days	37	3 (8.10)		
Post-weaning heifer calves reared in the farm	No	318	33 (10.37)		0.847
	Yes	1125	121 (10.75)		
Contact with other animals	No	1135	116 (10.22)		0.287
	Yes	308	38 (12.33)		
Fly control	No	1295	129 (9.96)	1.84 (1.15-2.93)	0.011
	Yes	148	25 (16.89)		
Weight at first service	450 Kg	142	17 (11.97)		0.216
	280 Kg	303	24 (7.92)		
	320-370 Kg	998	113 (11.32)		
Clinical mastitis percentage at first third of lactation in heifers	NS	1047	112 (10.69)		0.663
	10-14%	248	29 (11.69)		
	2-4%	148	13 (8.78)		
Origin of replacements	External	151	17 (11.25)		0.805
	From the own dairy farm	1292	137 (10.60)		

127 Bold numbers represent significant association

128

129

130

131