Data supplement to Rommelse et al. High intelligence and the risk of ADHD and other psychopathology. Br J Psychiatry doi: 10.1192/bjp.bp.116.184382

Table DS1 Mean scale scores (0-2) of A	DHD, externalizir	ng and inter	nalizing proble	ems in relatior	n to estimated	l intelligence		
	Full sample*	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	Curve estimation**
	N=2230	55-69	70-84	85-99	100-114	115-129	130+	F-value, % variance explained
		N=65	N=318	N=805	N=721	N=268	N=39	(R ²)
CBCL M (SD)			-	-	-	-	-	-
Attention problems	.52 (.41)	.75 (.45)	.63 (.39)	.55 (.41)	.48 (.40)	.44 (.40)	.25 (.26)	Linear: <i>F</i> =88.0, <i>R</i> ² =.041
Hyperactivity/impulsivity	.49 (.48)	.72 (.61)	.64 (.51)	.53 (.50)	.42 (.45)	.39 (.42)	.30 (.35)	Linear: <i>F</i> =80.2, <i>R</i> ² =.038
Externalizing	.24 (.20)	.33 (.28)	.30 (.23)	.25 (.21)	.21 (.18)	.22 (.17)	.14 (.10)	Inverse: <i>F</i> =65.5, <i>R</i> ² =.031
Internalizing	.25 (.19)	.30 (.30)	.25 (.18)	.24 (.19)	.23 (.18)	.28 (.21)	.21 (.13)	n.s.
TRF M (SD)								
Attention problems	.53 (.58)	.95 (.64)	.75 (.62)	.58 (.58)	.43 (.54)	.30 (.47)	.21 (.33)	Linear: <i>F</i> =178.0, <i>R</i> ² =.085
Hyperactivity/impulsivity	.36 (.53)	.49 (.58)	.48 (.62)	.40 (.55)	.29 (.48)	.22 (.44)	.11 (.24)	Linear: <i>F</i> =58.3, <i>R</i> ² =.029
Externalizing	.22 (.38)	.41 (.52)	.33 (.49)	.23 (.38)	.18 (.33)	.14 (.31)	.17 (.35)	Linear: F=48.0, R ² =.024
Internalizing	.33 (.37)	.44 (.35)	.38 (.38)	.34 (.37)	.29 (.35)	.29 (.38)	.25 (.30)	Inverse: <i>F</i> =39.7, <i>R</i> ² =.020
YSR M (SD)								
Attention problems	.56 (.40)	.55 (.44)	.54 (.41)	.56 (.39)	.58 (.39)	.56 (.40)	.57 (.38)	n.s.
Hyperactivity/impulsivity	.58 (.40)	.51 (.47)	.54 (.44)	.58 (.40)	.59 (.39)	.59 (.34)	.59 (.36)	n.s.
Externalizing	.27 (.20)	.27 (.22)	.27 (.20)	.27 (.20)	.27 (.19)	.28 (.19)	.25 (.15)	n.s.
Internalizing	.36 (.24)	.40 (.28)	.35 (.24)	.36 (.24)	.37 (.24)	.37 (.24)	.37 (.25)	n.s.

* Full sample also includes N=5 children with an IQ 45-51 that formed a too small group for illustrating group means and SDs for psychopathology measures.

** Analyses performed with estimated IQ (vocabulary and block patterns) as continuously distributed predictor. IQ groups were formed for illustrative purposes.

Table DS2Rater discrepancy scale scores and interrater correlations of ADHD, externalizing and internalizing problemsin relation to estimated intelligence

	Full	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	IQ	-
	sample**	55-69	70-84	85-99	100-	115-	130+	
	N=2230	N=65	N=318	N=805	114	129	N=39	
					N=721	N=268		
Teacher-parent								Curve estimation**
difference score* M (SD)								F-value, % variance
								explained (R ²)
Attention problems	01 (.53)	.23	.11	.01	05	15	06	Linear: <i>F</i> =40.8, <i>R</i> ² =.022
		(.64)	(.56)	(.53)	(.52)	(.48)	(.33)	
Hyperactivity/impulsivity	16 (.58)	24	18	14	14	18	20	n.s.
		(.73)	(.62)	(.60)	(.56)	(.50)	(.34)	
Externalizing***	04 (.36)	.03	.01	03	04	09	.06	n.s
		(.48)	(.43)	(.35)	(.33)	(.30)	(.34)	
Internalizing	.07 (.37)	.10	.13	.09	.05	.00	.06	Inverse : <i>F</i> =20.1,
		(.41)	(.38)	(.37)	(.35)	(.38)	(.29)	<i>R</i> ² =.011
Teacher-parent								IQXparent rating
correlation								regressed onto
								teacher rating (in
								addition to main
								effect of parent
								rating)
								B, t, p
Attention problems	.46	.35	.44	.46	.42	.40	.38	73, -8.64, <.001
Hyperactivity/impulsivity	.35	.25	.39	.34	.31	.29	.39	38, -3.80, <.001
Externalizing	.34	.37	.39	.33	.29	.30	.32	39, -4.34, <.001
Internalizing	.26	.25	.19	.28	.26	.28	.30	30, -3.46, .001

* Negative score = parent rated higher symptom levels; positive score = teacher rates higher symptom levels.

** Analyses performed with estimated IQ (vocabulary and block patterns) as continuously distributed predictor. IQ groups were formed for illustrative purposes.

*** Results for externalizing problems were moderated by gender: rater discrepancy scores were not related to IQ in girls, but were in boys (Linear: F = 7.62, p = .006) with larger rater discrepancy in the lower IQ spectrum (teacher rating more externalizing problems than parents) than in the average to higher IQ spectrum.

	Parent report	Teacher report	Self report		
Repeated grade(s)	Attention problems:	Attention problems*:			
/ special education	$\chi^2 = 25.6, p < .001$	$\chi^2 = 11.7, p = .001$			
for learning	Hyperactivity/impulsivity:				
disabled	Attention problems:				
	$\chi^2 = 10.8, p = .001$				
Parents received	Attention problems:	IQ x attention problems:	Externalizing problems**:		
phone call(s)	$\chi^2 = 26.6, p < .001$	$\chi^2 = 7.5, p = .006$	$\chi^2 = 46.1, p < .001$		
because of	Externalizing problems:	Externalizing problems:			
problems at school	$\chi^2 = 24.9, p < .001$	$\chi^2 = 83.7, p < .001$			
	Internalizing problems:				
	$\chi^2 = 11.1, p = .001$				
Performs below	Attention problems:	IQ x attention problems*:	Attention problems:		
own capacity	$\chi^2 = 31.2, p < .001$	$\chi^2 = 8.4, p = .003$	$\chi^2 = 15.3, p < .001$		
according to		Externalizing problems:	Externalizing problems**:		
teacher		$\chi^2 = 8.9, p = .003$	$\chi^2 = 16.1, p < .001$		
			Internalizing problems:		
			$\chi^2 = 7.9, p = .005$		