

## DATA SUPPLEMENT

**Table DS1** Effects of caregiver-focused stigma, social support and avoidance coping on caregiver depressive symptoms, controlling for patient clinical status and demographic characteristics

Independent variables	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4	Step 5
<b>Step 1: Demographic factors</b>					
Patients					
Gender <sup>1</sup>	-0.10	-0.11*	-0.12*	-0.12*	-0.11
Age	0.00	0.02	0.01	-0.05	-0.09
Caregivers					
Gender <sup>1</sup>	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01
Age	-0.21**	-0.20**	-0.21**	-0.14*	-0.07
Relationship: spouse <sup>2</sup>	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.05	0.00
Relationship: parent <sup>3</sup>	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.08	0.06
Socio-economic status <sup>4</sup>	0.17**	0.15**	0.14**	0.11*	0.08
<b>Step 2: Stigma</b>					
Caregiver focused		0.20***	0.19***	0.09	0.07
<b>Step 3: Patient's clinical status</b>					
GAF <sup>5</sup>		-0.10	-0.08	-0.08	
Clinical status <sup>6</sup>		0.07	0.06	0.04	
Length of illness, years		0.06	0.06	0.06	
<b>Step 4: Support</b>					
Subjective support			-0.38***	-0.33***	
Social interactions			-0.14**	-0.11*	
<b>Step 5: Coping</b>					
Avoidance coping				0.26***	
R <sup>2</sup>	0.08***	0.12***	0.14***	0.32***	0.38***
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.29	0.35
ΔR <sup>2</sup>	0.08***	0.04***	0.02*	0.18***	0.06***

1. Male, 1; female, 2.

2. Spouse, 1; other, 0.

3. Parent, 1; other, 0.

4. Evaluated by the Hollingshead–Redlich two-point scale (Hollingshead & Redlich, 1958). Higher numbers represent lower socio-economic status.

5. Global Assessment of Functioning score based on past 30 days; higher numbers reflect better functioning.

6. Negative, 0; positive, 1; on DSM–IV criteria for current affective episode.

\*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001.